

**Definition 17.1.1** A **partition** of a set  $A$  is a finite collection of non-empty subsets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  satisfying the following properties.

- (a)  $A$  is the union of all the  $A_i$ 's:  $A = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ ,
- (b) the  $A_i$ 's are disjoint:  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  for all  $i \neq j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ .

Example: ①  $\mathcal{P}$  = set of all movable pieces on Rubik's cube.

$\mathcal{P}$  can be partitioned into three sets :

$E$  = set of edge cubies

$V$  = set of corner (vertex) cubies

$C$  = set of centre cubies

$$\mathcal{P} = E \cup V \cup C$$

②  $\mathbb{Z}$  can be partitioned into odd & even integers :

$$\mathbb{Z} = E \cup O$$

where  $E$  = set of even integers, and  $O$  = set of odd integers.

**Definition 17.2.1** Let  $A$  be a set. A subset  $\mathcal{R} \subset A \times A$  is called a **relation on  $A$** . If  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$  then we say  $x$  is related to  $y$  (and we sometimes write  $x\mathcal{R}y$  for simplicity).

Example:  $\mathcal{C}$  = set of all configurations of Rubik's cube. Define  $\mathcal{R}$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  by

$X\mathcal{R}Y \iff Y$  can be obtained from  $X$  by a quarter turn of one face (either cw or ccw).

**Definition 17.3.1** Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a relation on a set  $A$ . We call  $\mathcal{R}$  an **equivalence relation** on  $A$  if it satisfies the following properties:

- (a) Each element is related to itself:  $(a, a) \in \mathcal{R}$  for all  $a \in A$  (reflexive property)
- (b) If  $a$  is related to  $b$  then  $b$  is related to  $a$ :  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}$  implies  $(b, a) \in \mathcal{R}$  (symmetric property)
- (c) If  $a$  is related to  $b$ , and  $b$  is related to  $c$  then  $a$  is related to  $c$ :  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $(b, c) \in \mathcal{R}$  implies  $(a, c) \in \mathcal{R}$  (transitive property).

Notation: If  $\mathcal{R}$  is an equivalence relation we often write  $x \sim y$  or  $x \equiv y$  in place of  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$ .

Example: Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the set of all people alive today. Consider the following relations:

$x\mathcal{R}_1y \iff x$  is a sister of  $y$

$x\mathcal{R}_2y \iff x$  is a sibling of  $y$

$x\mathcal{R}_3y \iff x$  is a child of  $y$

$x\mathcal{R}_4y \iff x$  lives in the same city as  $y$

reflexive	symmetric	transitive	equivalence
-----------	-----------	------------	-------------

**Definition 17.3.2** Let  $\sim$  be an equivalence relation on a set  $A$ . For each  $a \in A$  the set

$$[a] = \{x \in A \mid x \sim a\}$$

is called the **equivalence class of  $A$  containing  $a$** . We call  $a$  a **representative** of the equivalence class  $[a]$ .

**Lemma 17.3.1** If  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on a set  $A$  and  $x, y \in A$ , then

- (a)  $x \in [x]$  (an equivalence class contains its representative)
- (b)  $x \sim y$  if and only if  $[x] = [y]$  (if two elements are related then their equivalence classes are equal)
- (c)  $[x] = [y]$  or  $[x] \cap [y] = \emptyset$  (equivalence classes are either equal or disjoint).

*Proof :*

**Theorem 17.3.2** (a) If  $A$  is a set and  $\mathcal{R}$  is an equivalence relation on  $A$  then the set of equivalence classes form a partition of  $A$ .

(b) If  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  is a partition of a set  $A$  then the relation  $\mathcal{R}$  defined by

$$a\mathcal{R}b \quad \text{if} \quad a, b \in A_i \text{ for some } i,$$

is an equivalence relation on  $A$ . This relation can be written as

$$\mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \times A_i.$$

The sets  $A_i$  are the equivalence classes of relation  $\mathcal{R}$ .

**Definition 17.3.3** If  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on a set  $A$ , then a **set of class representatives** is a subset of  $A$  which contains exactly one element from each equivalence class. We denote the set of class representative by  $A/\sim$ .

Example: Define a relation  $\equiv$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$  by

$$a \equiv b \pmod{2} \iff b-a \text{ is divisible by } 2$$

reflexive  $\checkmark$

symmetric  $\checkmark$

transitive  $\checkmark$

---

In general, for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  define an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{Z}$  by

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \iff n \mid b-a$$

We say  $a$  is congruent to  $b$  modulo  $n$ .

Equivalence class of  $a$ :

$$\begin{aligned} [a] &= \{ b \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a \equiv b \pmod{n} \} \\ &= \{ b \in \mathbb{Z} \mid b-a = kn, k \in \mathbb{Z} \} \\ &= \{ b \in \mathbb{Z} \mid b = a+kn, k \in \mathbb{Z} \} \\ &= \{ a+kn \mid k \in \mathbb{Z} \} \end{aligned}$$

Equivalence class representatives:  $\mathbb{Z}/\equiv = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ .