## 4 Connectivity

## 2-connectivity

Separation: A separation of $G$ of order $k$ is a pair of subgraphs $(H, K)$ with $H \cup K=G$ and $E(H \cap K)=\emptyset$ and $|V(H) \cap V(K)|=k$. Such a separation is proper if $V(H) \backslash V(K)$ and $V(K) \backslash V(H)$ are nonempty.

Observation 4.1 $G$ has a proper separation of order 0 if and only if $G$ is disconnected.
Cut-vertex: A vertex $v$ is a cut-vertex if $\operatorname{comp}(G-v)>\operatorname{comp}(G)$.
Observation 4.2 If $G$ is connected, then $v$ is a cut-vertex of $G$ if and only if there exists a proper 1-separation $(H, K)$ of $G$ with $V(H) \cap V(K)=\{v\}$.

Proposition 4.3 Let e, $f$ be distinct non-loop edges of the graph $G$. Then exactly one of the following holds:
(i) There exists a cycle $C$ with $e, f \in E(C)$
(ii) There is a separation $(H, K)$ of order $\leq 1$ with $e \in E(H)$ and $f \in E(K)$.

Proof: It is clear that (i) and (ii) are mutually exclusive, so it suffices to show that (i) or (ii) holds. For this, we may assume that $G$ is connected, and set $k$ to be the length of the shortest walk containing $e, f$. We proceed by induction $k$. For the base case, if $k=2$, then we may assume $e=u v$ and $f=v w$. If $u, w$ are in the same component of the graph $G-v$, then (i) holds. Otherwise, $v$ is a cut-vertex and (ii) holds.

For the inductive step, we may assume $k \geq 3$. Let $f=u v$ and choose an edge $f^{\prime}=v w$ so that there is a walk containing $e, f^{\prime}$ of length $k-1$. First suppose that there is a cycle $C$ containing $e, f^{\prime}$. If $C-v$ and $u$ are in distinct components of $G-v$, then $v$ is a cutvertex and (ii) holds. Otherwise, we may choose a path $P \subseteq G-v$ from $u$ to a vertex of $V(C) \backslash\{v\}$. Now $P \cup C+f$ has a cycle which contains $e, f$, so (i) holds. If there is no cycle containing $e, f^{\prime}$, then it follows from our inductive hypothesis that there is a 1-separation $(H, K)$ with $e \in E(H)$ and $f^{\prime} \in E(K)$. Suppose (for a contradiction) that $f \in E(H)$. Then $V(H) \cap V(K)=\{v\}$, the shortest walk containing $e, f$ has length $k$ and the shortest walk
containing $e, f^{\prime}$ has length $<k$ which is contradictory. Thus, $f \in E(K)$ and ( $H, K$ ) satisfy (ii). This completes the proof.

2-connected: A graph $G$ is 2-connected if it is connected, $|V(G)| \geq 3$, and $G$ has no cut-vertex.

Theorem 4.4 Let $G$ be a graph with at least three vertices. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) $G$ is 2-connected
(ii) For all $x, y \in V(G)$ there exists a cycle $C$ with $x, y \in V(C)$.
(iii) $G$ has no vertex of degree 0 , and for all $e, f \in E(G)$ there exists a cycle $C$ with $e, f \in E(C)$.

Proof: It is easy to see that (iii) implies (ii): to find a cycle $C$ with $x, y \in V(C)$ just choose an edge $e$ incident with $x$ and an edge $f$ incident with $y$ and apply (iii) to $e, f$. Trivially, (ii) implies (i). So, to complete the argument, we need only show that (i) implies (iii), but this is an immediate consequence of the previous proposition.

Block: A block of $G$ is a maximal connected subgraph $H \subseteq G$ so that $H$ does not have a cut-vertex. Note that if $H$ is a block, then either $H$ is 2-connected, or $|V(H)| \leq 2$.

Proposition 4.5 If $H_{1}, H_{2}$ are distinct blocks in $G$, then $\left|V\left(H_{1}\right) \cap V\left(H_{2}\right)\right| \leq 1$.
Proof: Suppose (for a contradiction) that $\left|V\left(H_{1}\right) \cap V\left(H_{2}\right)\right| \geq 2$. Let $H^{\prime}=H_{1} \cup H_{2}$, let $x \in H^{\prime}$ and consider $H^{\prime}-x$. By assumption, $H_{1}-x$ is connected, and $H_{2}-x$ is connected. Since these graphs share a vertex, $H^{\prime}-x=\left(H_{1}-x\right) \cup\left(H_{2}-x\right)$ is connected. Thus, $H^{\prime}$ has no cut-vertex. This contradicts the maximality of $H_{1}$, thus completing the proof.

Block-Cutpoint graph: If $G$ is a graph, the block-cutpoint graph of $G$, denoted $B C(G)$ is the simple bipartite graph with bipartition $(A, B)$ where $A$ is the set of cut-vertices of $G$, and $B$ is the set of blocks of $G$, and $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ adjacent if the block $b$ contains the cut-vertex $a$.

Observation 4.6 If $G$ is connected, then $B C(G)$ is a tree.

Proof: Let $(A, B)$ be the bipartition of $B C(G)$ as above. It follows from the connectivity of $G$ that $B C(G)$ is connected. If there is a cycle $C \subseteq B C(G)$, then set $H$ to be the union of all blocks in $B \cap V(C)$. It follows that $H$ is a 2-connected subgraph of $G$ (as in the proof of the previous proposition). This contradicts the maximality of the blocks in $B \cap V(C)$.

Ears: An ear of a graph $G$ is a path $P \subseteq G$ which is maximal subject to the constraint that all interior vertices of $P$ have degree 2 in $G$. An ear decomposition of $G$ is a decomposition of $G$ into $C, P_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}$ so that $C$ is a cycle of length $\geq 3$, and for every $1 \leq i \leq k$, the subgraph $P_{i}$ is an ear of $C \cup P_{1} \cup \ldots P_{i}$.

Theorem 4.7 A graph $G$ is 2-connected if and only if it has an ear decomposition.
Proof: For the "if" direction, let $C, P_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}$ be an ear decomposition of $G$. We shall prove that $G$ is 2 -connected by induction on $k$. As a base, if $k=0$, then $G=C$ is 2-connected. For the inductive step, we may assume that $k \geq 1$ and that $C \cup P_{1} \cup \ldots P_{k-1}$ is 2-connected. It then follows easily that $G=C \cup P_{1} \cup \ldots P_{k}$ is also 2-connected.

We prove the "only if" direction by a simple process. First, choose a cycle $C \subseteq G$ of length $\geq 3$ (this is possible by Theorem 4.4). Next we choose a sequence of paths $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}$ as follows. If $G^{\prime}=C \cup P_{1} \cup \ldots P_{i-1} \neq G$, then choose an edge $e \in E\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ and $f \in E(G) \backslash E\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, and then choose a cycle $D \subseteq G$ containing $e, f$ (again using 4.4). Finally, let $P_{i}$ be the maximal path in $D$ which contains the edge $f$ but does not contain any edge in $E\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Then $P_{i}$ is an ear of $C \cup P_{1} \cup \ldots P_{i}$, and when this process terminates, we have an ear decomposition.

## Menger's Theorem

Theorem 4.8 Let $G$ be a graph, let $A, B \subseteq V(G)$ and let $k \geq 0$ be an integer. Then exactly one of the following holds:
(i) There exist $k$ pairwise (vertex) disjoint paths $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}$ from $A$ to $B$.
(ii) There is a separation $(H, K)$ of $G$ of order $<k$ with $A \subseteq V(H)$ and $B \subseteq V(K)$.

Proof: It is clear that (i) and (ii) are mutually exclusive, so it suffices to show that (i) or (ii) holds. We prove this by induction on $|E(G)|$. As a base, observe that the theorem holds
trivially when $|E(G)| \leq 1$. For the inductive step, we may then assume $|E(G)| \geq 2$. Choose an edge $e$ and consider the graph $G^{\prime}=G-e$. If $G^{\prime}$ contains $k$ disjoint paths from $A$ to $B$, then so does $G$, and (i) holds. Otherwise, by induction, there is a separation $(H, K)$ of $G^{\prime}$ of order $<k$ with $A \subseteq V(H)$ and $B \subseteq V(K)$.

Now consider the separations $(H+e, K)$ and $(H, K+e)$ of $G$. If one of these separations has order $<k$, then (ii) holds. Thus, we may assume that $e$ has one end in $V(H) \backslash V(K)$, the other end in $V(K) \backslash V(H)$, and both $(H+e, K)$ and $(H, K+e)$ have order $k$. Choose $\left(H^{\prime}, K^{\prime}\right)$ to be one of these two separations with $E\left(H^{\prime}\right), E\left(K^{\prime}\right) \neq \emptyset$ (this is possible since $|E(G)| \geq 2$ ) and set $X=V\left(H^{\prime}\right) \cap V\left(K^{\prime}\right)$ (note that $|X|=k$ ). Now, we apply the theorem inductively to the graph $H^{\prime}$ for the sets $A, X$ and to $K^{\prime}$ for the sets $X, B$. If there are $k$ disjoint paths from $A$ to $X$ in $H^{\prime}$ and $k$ disjoint paths from $X$ to $B$ in $K^{\prime}$, then (i) holds. Otherwise, by induction there is a separation of $H^{\prime}$ or $K^{\prime}$ in accordance with (ii), and it follows that (ii) is satsified.

Note: The above theorem implies Theorem 11.2 (König Egerváry). Simply apply the above theorem to the bipartite graph $G$ with bipartition $(A, B)$. Then (i) holds if and only if $\alpha^{\prime}(G) \geq k$, and (ii) holds if and only if $\beta(G)<k$ (here $V(H) \cap V(K)$ is a vertex cover).

Internally Disjoint: The paths $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}$ are internally disjoint if they are pairwise vertex disjoint except for their ends.

Theorem 4.9 (Menger's Theorem) Let $u, v$ be distinct non-adjacent vertices of $G$, and let $k \geq 0$ be an integer. Then exactly one of the following holds:
(i) There exist $k$ internally disjoint paths $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}$ from $u$ to $v$.
(ii) There is a separation $(H, K)$ of $G$ of order $<k$ with $u \in V(H) \backslash V(K)$ and $v \in$ $V(K) \backslash V(H)$.

Proof: Let $A=N(u)$ and $B=N(v)$ and apply the above theorem to $G-\{u, v\}$.
$k$-Connected: A graph $G$ is $k$-connected if $|V(G)| \geq k+1$ and $G-X$ is connected for every $X \subseteq V(G)$ with $|X|<k$. Note that this generalizes the notion of 2-connected from Section 13. Also note that 1-connected is equivalent to connected.

Corollary 4.10 A simple graph $G$ with $|V(G)| \geq k+1$ is $k$-connected if and only if for every $u, v \in V(G)$ there exist $k$ internally disjoint paths from $u$ to $v$.

Line Graph: If $G$ is a graph, the line graph of $G$, denoted $L(G)$, is the simple graph with vertex set $E(G)$, and two vertices $e, f \in E(G)$ adjacent if $e, f$ share an endpoint in $G$.

Edge cut: If $X \subseteq V(G)$, we let $\delta(X)=\{x y \in E(G): x \in X$ and $y \notin X\}$, and we call any set of this form an edge cut. If $v \in V(G)$ we let $\delta(v)=\delta(\{v\})$.

Theorem 4.11 (Menger's Theorem - edge version) Let $u, v$ be distinct vertices of $G$ and let $k \geq 0$ be an integer. Then exactly one of the following holds:
(i) There exist $k$ edge disjoint paths $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}$ from $u$ to $v$.
(ii) There exists $X \subseteq V(G)$ with $u \in X$ and $v \notin X$ so that $|\delta(X)|<k$.

Proof: Apply Theorem 4.8 to the graph $L(G)$ for $\delta(u)$ and $\delta(v)$ and $k$.
$k$-edge-connected: A graph $G$ is $k$-edge-connected if $G-S$ is connected for every $S \subseteq E(G)$ with $|S|<k$.

Corollary 4.12 $A$ graph $G$ is $k$-edge-connected if and only if for every $u, v \in V(G)$ there exist $k$ pairwise edge disjoint paths from $u$ to $v$.

## Fans and Cycles

Subdivision: If $e=u v$ is an edge of the graph $G$, then we subdivide $e$ by removing the edge $e$, adding a new vertex $w$, and two new edges $u w$ and $w v$.

## Observation 4.13

1. Subdividing an edge of a 2-connected graph yields a 2-connected graph.
2. Adding an edge to a $k$-connected graph results in a $k$-connected graph.
3. If $G$ is $k$-connected and $A \subseteq V(G)$ satisfies $|A| \geq k$, then adding a new vertex to $G$ and an edge from this vertex to each point in $A$ results in a $k$-connected graph.

Fan: Let $v \in V(G)$ and let $A \subseteq V(G) \backslash\{v\}$. A $(v, A)$-fan of size $k$ is a collection of $k$ paths $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}\right\}$ so that each $P_{i}$ is a path from $v$ to a point in $A$, and any two such paths intersect only in the vertex $v$.

Lemma 4.14 If $G$ is $k$-connected, $v \in V(G)$ and $A \subseteq V(G) \backslash\{v\}$ satisfies $|A| \geq k$, then $G$ contains a $(v, A)$-fan of size $k$.

Proof: Construct a new graph $G^{\prime}$ from $G$ by adding a new vertex $u$ and then adding a new edge between $u$ and each point of $A$. By the above observation, $G^{\prime}$ is $k$-connected, and $u, v \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ are nonadjacent, so by Menger's theorem there exist $k$ internally disjoint paths from $u$ to $v$. Removing the vertex $u$ from each of these paths yields a $(v, A)$-fan of size $k$ in $G$.

Theorem 4.15 Let $G$ be a $k$-connected graph with $k \geq 2$ and let $X \subseteq V(G)$ satisfy $|X|=k$. Then there exists a cycle $C \subseteq G$ with $X \subseteq V(C)$.

Proof: Choose a cycle $C \subseteq G$ so that $|V(C) \cap X|$ is maximum, and suppose (for a contradiction) that $X \nsubseteq V(C)$. Choose a vertex $v \in X \backslash V(C)$ and set $k^{\prime}=\min \{k,|V(C)|\}$. It follows from the above lemma that $G$ has a $(v, V(C))$-fan of size $k^{\prime}$, say $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{k^{\prime}}\right\}$. Since $|X \cap V(C)|<k$, it follows that there exists a cycle $C^{\prime} \subseteq C \cup P_{1} \cup \ldots \cup P_{k^{\prime}}$ so that $\{v\} \cup(X \cap V(C)) \subseteq V\left(C^{\prime}\right)$. This contradiction completes the proof.

