## 12 Extremal Graph Theory II

In this section, graphs are assumed to have no loops or parallel edges.
Average Degree: The average degree of a graph $G$ is $\frac{2|E(G)|}{|V(G)|}=\frac{1}{|V(G)|} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \operatorname{deg}(v)$.
Observation 12.1 For every $r \in \mathbb{N}$, every graph of average degree $\geq 2 r$ contains a subgraph of minimum degree $\geq r+1$.

Proof: We prove the observation by induction on $|V(G)|$. If $G$ has minimum degree $\geq r+1$, then we are done. Otherwise, let $v \in V(G)$ satisfy $\operatorname{deg}(v) \leq r$. Then we have

$$
\frac{2|E(G-v)|+2|\delta(v)|}{|V(G-v)|+1}=\frac{2|E(G)|}{|V(G)|} \geq 2 r \geq \frac{2|\delta(v)|}{1} .
$$

It follows from this (and the observation $\frac{a+b}{c+d} \geq \frac{b}{d} \Rightarrow \frac{a}{c} \geq \frac{a+b}{c+d}$ ) that $\frac{2|E(G-v)|}{|V(G-v)|} \geq \frac{2|E(G)|}{|V(G)|} \geq 2 r$. (So, deleting a vertex of degree $\leq r$ from a graph with average degree $\geq 2 r$ can only increase the average degree). Now, by induction, $G-v$ has a subgraph of minimum degree $\geq r+1$ and this completes the proof.

Theorem 12.2 (Mader) For every positive integer $r$, every graph with average degree $\geq$ $2^{\binom{r}{2}}$ contains a subdivision of $K_{r}$.

Proof: We will prove by induction that for $m=r-1, r, r+1, \ldots,\binom{r}{2}$, every graph of average degree $\geq 2^{m}$ contains a subgraph which is a subdivision of a (simple) graph on $r$ vertices with $m$ edges. As a base, when $m=r-1$, we may choose a vertex $v$ with $\operatorname{deg}(v) \geq 2^{r-1} \geq r-1$. Now $v$ together with $r-1$ of its neighbors induce a graph with $r$ vertices and $r-1$ edges.

For the inductive step, we let $r \leq m \leq\binom{ r}{2}$ and let $G$ be a graph with average degree $\geq 2^{m}$. We may assume (without loss) that $G$ is connected. Choose a maximal set $U \subseteq V(G)$ so that the graph induced on $U$ is connected and so that the graph $G^{\prime}$ obtained from $G$ by identifying $U$ to a new vertex $u$ and then deleting all loops and parallel edges has average degree $\geq 2^{m}$. Note that such a set $U$ exists since $U=\{v\}$ works for every $v \in V(G)$.

Now, let $H$ be the subgraph of $G^{\prime}$ induced by the neighbors of $u$. If there exists a vertex $v \in V(H)$ with $\operatorname{deg}_{H}(v)<2^{m-1}$, then replacing $U$ by $U \cup\{v\}$ reduces the number of vertices in $G^{\prime}$ by 1 and the number of edges by $<2^{m-1}$ so this gives a $G^{\prime}$ with average degree $\geq 2^{m}$, contradicting our choice of $U$. It follows that $H$ has average degree $\geq 2^{m-1}$. By induction,
we may choose a subgraph $K$ of $H$ which is a subdivision of an $r$ vertex graph with $m-1$ edges. Since every point in $V(H)$ is adjacent to a point in $U$ and the graph induced on $U$ is connected, we may extend $K$ to a subgraph $K^{\prime}$ of $G$ which is a subdivision of an $r$ vertex graph with $m$ edges, as desired.

Ball: If $x \in V(G)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the ball of radius $n$ around $x$ is

$$
B_{n}(x)=\{v \in V(G): \operatorname{dist}(x, v) \leq n\} .
$$

Theorem 12.3 Every graph $G$ with $\delta(G) \geq 3$ and no cycle of length $\leq 8\binom{r}{2}+2$ contains $K_{r}$ as a minor.

Proof: Set $k=\binom{r}{2}$ and choose a maximal subset of vertices $X \subseteq V(G)$ with the property that $\operatorname{dist}(x, y) \geq 2 k+1$ for every distinct $x, y \in X$. Now let $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}$ and define a function $f: V(G) \rightarrow X$ by the rule that for every $v \in V(G)$, the vertex $f(v)=x_{i}$ is a point in $X$ with minimum distance to $v$, and subject to this has $i$ as small as possible. Now for $1 \leq i \leq m$ let $V_{i}=\left\{v \in V(G): f(v)=x_{i}\right\}$. The following inclusion follows immediately from our definitions (it holds for every $1 \leq i \leq m$ ).

$$
B_{k}\left(x_{i}\right) \subseteq V_{i} \subseteq B_{2 k}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

Claim: If $v \in V_{i}$ and $P$ is a shortest path from $v$ to $x_{i}$ then $V(P) \subseteq V_{i}$.
Proof of Claim: Suppose (for a contradiction) that $u \in V(P)$ satisfies $u \in V_{j}$ for $j \neq i$. If $\operatorname{dist}\left(u, x_{j}\right)<\operatorname{dist}\left(u, x_{i}\right)$, then we find $\operatorname{dist}\left(v, x_{j}\right)<\operatorname{dist}\left(u, x_{i}\right)$, which is contradictory. It follows from this (and $\left.u \in V_{j}\right)$ that $\operatorname{dist}\left(u, x_{j}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(u, x_{i}\right)$. From this we deduce $\operatorname{dist}\left(v, x_{j}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(v, x_{i}\right)$. However, now $v \in V_{i}$ implies $i<j$ and $u \in V_{j}$ implies $j<i$ which is a contradiction.

With this claim, we now deduce the following properties of $V_{i}$.

- The graph induced by $V_{i}$ is connected.
- $\operatorname{dist}\left(v, x_{i}\right) \leq 2 k$ for every $v \in V_{i}$.
- If $x, y \in V_{i}$ then $\operatorname{dist}(x, y) \leq 4 k$.

For every $1 \leq i \leq m$ let $T_{i}$ be the graph induced on $V_{i}$. Suppose (for a contradiction) that $T_{i}$ has a cycle and choose such a cycle $C \subseteq T_{i}$ of minimum length. By assumption, $|E(C)| \geq 8 k+2$, but then we may choose two points $u, v \in V(C)$ which are distance $\geq 4 k+1$ on this cycle. Now there is a path $P \subseteq T_{i}$ from $u$ to $v$ of length $\leq 4 k$ and now $P \cup C$ contains a shorter cycle than $C$, giving us a contradiction. Thus, we find that $T_{i}$ is a tree.

Now by degree sum arguments, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\delta\left(V_{i}\right)\right| & =\sum_{v \in V_{i}} \operatorname{deg}(v)-2\left|E\left(T_{i}\right)\right| \\
& \geq 3\left|V_{i}\right|-2\left|V_{i}\right| \\
& =\left|V_{i}\right| \\
& \geq\left|B_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)\right| \\
& \geq 2^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, construct a graph $G^{\prime}$ from $G$ by contracting every edge in $T_{i}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq m$. It follows from the assumption that any two points in $T_{i}$ are joined by a path of length $\leq 4 k$ in $T_{i}$ and the assumption that all cycles in $G$ have length $\geq 4 k+3$ that $G^{\prime}$ has no loops or parallel edges. So, $G^{\prime}$ is a minor of $G$ which is simple with minimum degree $\geq 2^{k}=2^{\binom{r}{2}}$, and by Theorem 12.2 we find that $G^{\prime}$ contains a subdivision of $K_{r}$. This gives us a $K_{r}$ minor in $G$, as required.

Linking: A graph $G$ is $k$-linked if $|V(G)| \geq 2 k$ and for every $\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right\} \subseteq V(G)$, there exist vertex disjoint paths $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}$ so that $P_{i}$ has ends $s_{i}$ and $t_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Theorem 12.4 For every positive integer $k$, every $2\left(\begin{array}{c}\binom{3 k}{2} \\ \text {-connected graph is } k \text {-linked. }\end{array}\right.$
Proof: Let $\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right\} \subseteq V(G)$ and apply Theorem 12.2 to choose a subdivision of $K_{3 k}$ in $G$. Call this subdivision $H$ and let $U \subseteq V(G)$ be the set of vertices with degree $3 k$ in $H$. By Menger's Theorem, we may choose a collection of paths $Q_{1} \ldots, Q_{2 k} \subseteq G$ so that each of these paths has a distinct starting point in $\left\{s_{1} \ldots, s_{k}, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right\}$ and a distinct endpoint in $U$. Subject to this, choose $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{2 k}$ so that each $Q_{i}$ has a minimum number of edges in $E(G) \backslash E(H)$.

Let $U=\left\{s_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, s_{k}^{\prime}, t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{k}^{\prime}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\}$ and assume that for $1 \leq i \leq k$ the path $Q_{i}$ has ends $s_{i}$ and $s_{i}^{\prime}$ and that the path $Q_{k+i}$ has ends $t_{i}$ and $t_{i}^{\prime}$. Let $1 \leq i \leq k$ and let $R_{i}, R_{i}^{\prime} \subseteq H$
be the paths from $u_{i}$ to $s_{i}^{\prime}$ and $t_{i}^{\prime}$ which correspond to (possibly subdivided) edges of our $K_{3 k}$. Let $v_{i}$ be the first vertex on the path $R_{i}$ from $u_{i}$ to $s_{i}^{\prime}$ which is contained in one of the paths $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{2 k}$ and suppose that $v_{i} \in Q_{j}$. Now, consider rerouting $Q_{j}$ along $R_{i}$ to the vertex $u_{i}$. The resulting paths $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{2 k}$ would be vertex disjoint, so it follows from our choice that $Q_{j}$ uses no edges in $E(G) \backslash E(H)$ after $v_{i}$. It follows from this that $j=i$ and after the vertex $v_{i}$, the path $Q_{i}$ follows $R_{i}$ to $s_{i}^{\prime}$. By a similar argument, we find that the first vertex $v_{i}^{\prime}$ on $R_{i}^{\prime}$ which lies on one of $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{2 k}$ is on the path $Q_{k+i}$. Now, define the path $P_{i}$ to be the path from $s_{i}$ to $t_{i}$ obtained by following $Q_{i}$ from $s_{i}$ to $v_{i}$, then following $R_{i}$ to $u_{i}$, then following $R_{i}^{\prime}$ to $v_{i}^{\prime}$ and then following $Q_{k+i}$ to $t_{i}$. It is a consequence of our construction that $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}$ are vertex disjoint, thus completing the proof.

