

Fax to: +496221/487-8967

**Beate Uhl
Springer-Verlag GmbH & Co. KG, Heidelberg**



From:

Re: Marine Biology DOI 10.1007/s00227-003-1193-6

Analysis of population genetic structure of the green sea urchin (*Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*) using microsatellites

Authors: Addison · Hart

I. Permission to publish

Dear Beate Uhl,

I have checked the proofs of my article and

- I have **no corrections**. The article is ready to be published without changes.
- I have **a few corrections**. I am enclosing the following pages:
- I have made **many corrections**. Enclosed is the **complete article**.

II. Offprint order

- Offprint order enclosed
- I do not wish to order offprints

Remarks:

Date / signature _____

III. Copyright Transfer Statement (sign only if not submitted previously)

The copyright to this article is transferred to Springer-Verlag (for U.S. government employees: to the extent transferable) effective if and when the article is accepted for publication. The copyright transfer covers the exclusive right to reproduce and distribute the article, including reprints, translations, photographic reproductions, microform, electronic form (offline, online) or any other reproductions of similar nature.

An author may make his/her article published by Springer-Verlag available on his/her home page provided the source of the published article is cited and Springer-Verlag is mentioned as copyright owner. Authors are requested to create a link to the published article in Springer's internet service. The link must be accompanied by the following text: "The original publication is available at <http://www.springerlink.com>." Please use the appropriate URL and/or DOI for the article. Articles disseminated via SpringerLink are indexed, abstracted and referenced by many abstracting and information services, bibliographic networks, subscription agencies, library networks, and consortia.

The author warrants that this contribution is original and that he/she has full power to make this grant. The author signs for and accepts responsibility for releasing this material on behalf of any and all co-authors.

After submission of this agreement signed by the corresponding author, changes of authorship or in the order of the authors listed will not be accepted by Springer-Verlag.

Date / Author's signature _____

Offprint Order Form

- To determine if your journal provides free offprints, please check the journal's instructions to authors.
- You are entitled to a PDF file **if you order offprints**.
- Please checkmark where to send the PDF file:

jaddison@dal.ca

- If you do not return this order form, we assume that you do not wish to order offprints.
- If you order offprints **after** the issue has gone to press, costs are much higher. Therefore, we can supply offprints only in quantities of 300 or more after this time.
- For orders involving more than 500 copies, please ask the production editor for a quotation.

Please enter my order for:

	Copies	Price EUR	Price USD
<input type="checkbox"/>	50	350.00	385.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	100	400.00	440.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	200	550.00	605.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	300	700.00	770.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	400	850.00	935.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	500	1,000.00	1,100.00

Prices include surface mail postage and handling. Customers in EU countries who are not registered for VAT should add VAT at the rate applicable in their country.

VAT registration number (EU countries only):

I wish to be charged in

- Euro
 US-Dollar

If not specified, invoices are made out in Euro.

Please indicate your institutional purchase order number (if any) here

and send the purchase order, with all information about the article, via regular mail. The author will be billed for orders without a purchase order number. The title of the journal and the manuscript number of the article must be stated on the purchase order.

- Please charge my credit card
 Eurocard/Access/Mastercard
 American Express
 Visa/Barclaycard/BankAmericard

Number (incl. check digits):

Valid until: __ / __

Signature: _____

Send invoice to:

- Jason A. Addison
Dalhousie University
Dept. Biology
1355 Oxford Street
Halifax
B3H 4J1, Canada

Ship offprints to:

- Jason A. Addison
Dalhousie University
Dept. Biology
1355 Oxford Street
Halifax
B3H 4J1, Canada

Date / signature: _____

Research Article

Analysis of population genetic structure of the green sea urchin (*Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*) using microsatellites

J. A. Addison (✉) · M. W. Hart

J. A. Addison · M. W. Hart
Department of Biology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, B3H 4J1, Canada

✉ J. A. Addison
Phone: +1-902-4946665
Fax: +1-902-4943736
E-mail: jaddison@dal.ca

Received: 31 March 2003 / **Accepted:** 21 April 2003

Abstract We measured within- and among-population genetic variation in the green sea urchin (*Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*) at 11 sites in the north Atlantic and northeast Pacific by using four-locus microsatellite genotypes. We found no differentiation among populations from Atlantic Canada, but strong differentiation across the north Atlantic and between the Atlantic and Pacific samples. High inbreeding coefficients at three loci are consistent with high variance in reproductive success. One population that was recently decimated by disease was strongly differentiated from some others, but there was little differentiation otherwise among populations in Atlantic Canada. On a larger scale, populations in Atlantic Canada were more similar to a population from the north Pacific than to populations in the northwest Atlantic. Differentiation among populations at this large spatial scale is consistent with biogeographical hypotheses of: (1) Pleistocene population reduction and isolation in the northeast Atlantic, but (2) extinction in the northwest Atlantic followed by extensive recolonization from the Pacific. In contrast to other recent studies of trans-Atlantic organisms, we found no evidence of extensive gene flow across the north Atlantic.

Communicated by R.J. Thompson, St. John's

Introduction

Green sea urchins, *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*, are ecologically and economically important members of the shallow-water, marine ecosystem of northern oceans. In many parts of this wide geographical range, the population biology of these animals is characterized by large fluctuations in adult abundance that are associated with intensive grazing of kelps, disease outbreaks, episodic recruitment of planktonic larvae, and commercial harvesting practices (Chapman 1981; Pringle et al. 1982; Miller 1985; Johnson and Mann 1988; Scheibling and Raymond 1990; Hatcher and Hatcher 1997). Green sea urchins have a high-dispersal life-history syndrome characterized by high fecundity, free spawning with external fertilization, and a planktonic larval stage that lasts from 4 to 21 weeks (Strathmann 1978; Hart and Scheibling 1988). The frequency and intensity of larval recruitment is strongly influenced by this lengthy planktonic period. For example, such larvae spawned off the coast of Nova Scotia may be capable of travelling >1,000 km before settlement, even in relatively slow surface currents such as the Nova Scotian Current, which moves at 0.05–0.10 m s⁻¹ (Petrie 1987). The majority of larvae in the northwest Atlantic settle in the summer months, but settlement is known to be highly variable in both space and time. Balch and Scheibling (2000) report differences of several orders of magnitude in the interannual settlement rates for *S. droebachiensis* in shallow waters off the Atlantic coast of Nova Scotia, and similar patterns have been observed in the Gulf of Maine (Harris et al. 1994) and the Bay of Fundy (Balch et al. 1998). While settlement pulses have been shown to correlate with high sea-surface temperatures (Hart and Scheibling 1988), Balch and Scheibling (2000) suggest that reproductive output and larval survival may be more important than environmental factors (e.g. temperature) in the generation of annual variation in settlement rates.

Species with the high-dispersal life-history syndrome are expected to generally fit a model of panmixia, with little genetic divergence among populations compared to species with limited dispersal ability (see reviews by Burton 1983; Bohonak 1999). However, allozyme studies of marine invertebrates have demonstrated small but statistically significant levels of population subdivision without isolation by distance (e.g. limpets: Johnson and Black 1982, 1984a, 1984b; crown-of-thorns starfish: Benzie and Stoddart 1992; sea urchins: Marcus 1977, Watts et al. 1990; zebra mussels: Lewis et al. 2000). In these cases the genetic differences between neighboring populations often exceed those between more distant populations. Population genetic studies of both *S. purpuratus* using allozyme and mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) (Edmands et al. 1996;

Flowers et al. 2002) and *S. franciscanus* using allozymes (Edmands et al. 1996) and nuclear DNA sequence data (Debenham et al. 2000) have revealed similar patterns. The larger data sets analyzed in these studies improved the resolution of genetic differentiation and revealed patterns that were undetectable in previous analyses (e.g. Palumbi and Wilson 1990; Palumbi 1995).

Although the prolonged larval stage of *S. droebachiensis* is expected to facilitate high levels of gene flow, it is possible that other physical and biological features of the northwest Atlantic ecosystem may promote population subdivision. For example, commercial harvesting (Hatcher and Hatcher 1997) and disease outbreaks caused by the protozoan *Paramoeba invadens* (Scheibling and Hennigar 1997) can both cause severe local population reduction in shallow waters. These localized population bottlenecks are followed by recruitment of planktonic larvae, and such episodic changes in population size may have important population genetic consequences. One of our original goals was to characterize the population genetic effects (if any) of spatially and temporally patchy disease outbreaks followed by variable patterns of larval recruitment. Spatially and temporally variable recruitment patterns are one potential source of unexpected population genetic differentiation in species with lengthy planktonic larval stages (Johnson and Black 1984a).

Here, we present frequency data of four-locus microsatellite alleles for 11 *S. droebachiensis* populations spanning >6,000 km of the species' range. We focus on populations from the northwest Atlantic, because knowledge of the population biology and ecological interactions of sea urchins is particularly well studied in this region. We compare differentiation among populations in Atlantic Canada to differentiation across the north Atlantic and between the north Atlantic and the north Pacific. Unlike other studies of *Strongylocentrotus* population genetics, we found evidence of strong population differentiation only at the largest spatial scales. This evidence is consistent with biogeographic hypotheses of Pleistocene range expansion into the north Atlantic from the north Pacific.

Materials and methods

Field sampling

Tube foot or gonad tissue was obtained from *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis* collected between 1999 and 2002 from ten sites throughout the north Atlantic (Fig. 1) and one site from the northeast Pacific. Sample sites were chosen to cover a wide portion of the species range in Atlantic Canada; specific sample locations were chosen based on accessibility and local knowledge of sea urchin

occurrence. Two populations (at Bear Cove, west of Halifax) and East Jeddore (east of Halifax) were chosen because they experienced complete and nearly complete mortality, respectively, in 1995, as a result of disease outbreaks (Scheibling and Hennigar 1997). These two sites are <50 km apart (straight line distance).




Fig. 1. *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*. Sampling locations of shallow-water populations in the northwest Atlantic Ocean. *Arrows* indicate average annual current directions and *line thickness* indicates average flow velocity (<0.005 , 0.05 – 0.10 , 2.5 m s^{-1}). Populations are: Conception Bay (CBY), Bonne Bay (BBY), Harve St. Pierre (HSP), Miramichi Bay (MBY), Main-a-dieu (MAD), East Jeddore (JED), Bear Cove (BCV), and Digby (DBY). See Table 1 for exact population locations (Adapted with permission from the Nova Scotia Museum (<http://museum.gov.ns.ca/mnh/nature/nhns/index.htm>))

All samples were collected from depths ranging from 3 to 15 m using SCUBA. Sea urchins from San Juan Channel, Washington, were collected from about 50 m depth by dredge. Tissue samples were stored in 95% ethanol. The test diameters of individual sea urchins ranged from a minimum of 20 mm to a maximum 100 mm. We sampled a range of test diameters in order to sample sea urchins of different ages (and recruitment events). However, variation in test growth rate and asymptotic growth in older individuals led to a low correlation between test diameter and age within and among some populations (Meidel and Scheibling 1998).

Molecular analysis

Genomic DNA from some sea urchins was extracted from tube feet by incubating a single sucker in 20 μl of distilled deionized water (ddH_2O) with 10 μg proteinase K (Qiagen) for 1 h at 65°C . Samples were then incubated for 5 min at 80°C , and 1 μl of this digest was used as template for each polymerase chain reaction (PCR). For most sea urchins, genomic DNA was isolated from gonad tissue using a cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) buffer and phenol-chloroform extraction (Grosberg 1996). The two different extraction methods produced identical microsatellite genotypes. A total of 966 sea urchins (mean=88 per population; range=37–110) were characterized with the four microsatellite markers described by Addison and Hart (2002). The PCR products were amplified using the Stratagene Robocycler and MJ PTC-100 thermal cyclers. Fragment sizes were resolved on a Li-Cor DNA 4200, following the methodology of Addison and Hart (2002).

Data analysis

Allele frequencies, observed (H_o) and expected heterozygosity (H_e), and exact tests for the conformance to Hardy–Weinberg expectations (HWE) were calculated using the web version of GENEPOP (version 3.1; Raymond and Rousset 1995). Linkage disequilibrium was calculated using Fisher’s exact test by permuting all two-locus genotypes within all populations using genetic data analysis (GDA version 1.0; Lewis and Zaykin 2001). Allelic richness was calculated for each population using FSTAT (Goudet 2001) for the three loci in which no missing values were found.

To assess the levels of genetic differentiation within and among populations F -statistics (F_{IS} , F_{IT} , F_{ST}) were calculated for the eight northwest Atlantic populations and again for all 11 populations throughout the species range using GDA; 95% confidence intervals were calculated for the F -statistics by bootstrapping across loci (number of replications=10,000) using GDA. Pairwise F_{ST} values were computed for all northwest Atlantic populations. A dendrogram based on coancestry distances computed by GDA was drawn using PAUP 4.0b10 (Swofford 2002). Pairwise tests of multi-locus genotypic differentiation were conducted for all northwest Atlantic populations with randomization procedures and G -tests for differentiation using FSTAT.

Results

Genetic variation within populations

All northwest Atlantic populations and the one Pacific population had high levels of genetic variability, with many alleles at each locus and high observed heterozygosities (Table 1). The two northeast Atlantic populations (Iceland and Norway) had fewer alleles at each locus, and the locus *Sd76* failed to amplify in all individuals from these two populations. Allelic richness in Iceland and Norway varied across loci from 3.69 to 7.19 (allelic richness was not calculated for *Sd76* because no alleles were amplified from northeast Atlantic samples). Allelic richness in all other population samples was 10.04–18.68. Significant departures from Hardy–Weinberg expectations were detected in 22 of the 42 possible tests (Table 1), and 18 of these were statistically significant after a tablewide Bonferroni correction for multiple tests (Rice 1989). Positive F_{IS} values indicate the departure from Hardy–Weinberg expectations are a result of an excess in homozygosity. Jackknifing over all populations indicates that departures from Hardy–Weinberg expectations ($F_{IS} \pm SD$) were significant for *Sd156* (0.1878 ± 0.0237), *Sd76* (0.2302 ± 0.0226), and *Sd63* (0.1654 ± 0.0322), but not for *Sd121* (0.0095 ± 0.0144). We have detected null alleles segregating in laboratory families at *Sd156*, but not at other loci (J. Ford, unpublished data). However, the widespread departures from Hardy–Weinberg expectations are not thought to be caused by null alleles because null/null homozygotes were conspicuously rare in this data set. Homozygote and heterozygote genotypes were easy to distinguish on gel images. Only one individual (from Digby) failed to amplify at one locus (*Sd76*), but PCR products were obtained for all remaining individuals in the northwest Atlantic. Failure rates were higher for all loci in the Pacific samples, but this could be due to the poor quality and limited quantity of these tissue samples. Null alleles were probably not common in this Pacific population, because we detected significant heterozygote deficits at only one of four loci (compared to two or three significant deficits for most northwest Atlantic populations in which null homozygotes were completely absent). No linkage disequilibrium was detected between any loci in any of the populations (data not shown).

[Table 1. will appear here. See end of document.]

Genetic variation among populations

We found no statistically significant genetic variation among populations in the northwest Atlantic ($F_{ST} = 0.0016$, $P > 0.05$; Table 2). When we included all 11 populations sampled throughout the

Atlantic and Pacific Oceans, the mean F_{ST} increased to 0.0870 ($P < 0.05$; Table 2). This value was significantly different from zero, and its magnitude suggests a moderate amount of genetic subdivision at this large (>6,000 km) spatial scale. When we removed *Sd76* from the analysis, the resulting mean F_{ST} based on the three-locus genotypes was reduced to 0.0625 ($P < 0.05$; Table 2).

[Table 2. will appear here. See end of document.]

In addition to these quantitative population differences, the failure of *Sd76* to amplify in the northeast Atlantic populations suggests that there has been little recent gene flow across the north Atlantic Ocean; all northeast Atlantic individuals were null homozygotes at this locus, and we found only one null homozygote at this locus in all other populations. Any significant flux of larvae from west to east should introduce amplifiable *Sd76* alleles into Iceland and Norway. Migration from east to west (Wares and Cunningham 2001) could introduce null alleles into northwest Atlantic populations, and it is possible that such null alleles contribute to the deficit of *Sd76* heterozygotes in Atlantic Canada (see “Discussion”). However, such migration does not appear to explain heterozygote deficits at other loci in the same populations.

The UPGMA (unweighted pair-group method using arithmetic averages) tree based on pairwise F_{ST} values among all 11 populations clearly indicates the genetic subdivision between the northwest and northeast Atlantic populations of sea urchins, and the close relationship between the Pacific sample and those from the northwest Atlantic (Fig. 2). Pairwise tests of genotypic differentiation revealed three cases in which two populations had significantly different genotype frequencies after a Bonferroni correction (Table 3). These three cases all involved the population sampled from East Jeddore, which experienced nearly complete mortality as a result of a disease epidemic in 1995 (Scheibling and Hennigar 1997), and pairwise differences were marginally non-significant in three of the other four comparisons involving this population. No similar patterns were observed for samples collected at Bear Cove, which experienced complete mortality in 1995. These differences, therefore, provide no consistent evidence of an effect of disease-induced population fluctuations on population genetic differentiation.




Fig. 2. *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*. UPGMA (unweighted pair-group methods using arithmetic averages) dendrogram of all 11 populations based on four-locus microsatellite coancestry distances (pairwise F_{ST}), calculated using GDA (genetic data analysis). A dendrogram based on Nei's D has the same topology and similar branch lengths

[Table 3. will appear here. See end of document.]

Discussion

Variation within the northwest Atlantic

The populations of green sea urchins (*Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*) sampled throughout the northwest Atlantic Ocean are genetically homogeneous (F_{ST} is not significantly different from zero). This is consistent with a high level of gene flow or a low rate of genetic drift, and suggests that populations on this spatial scale act as one large interbreeding unit. Samples collected from disease-affected populations (East Jeddore and Bear Cove) only 50 km apart had the highest pairwise genetic differences among all the comparisons made. These patterns are similar to the “chaotic genetic patchiness” observed for a variety of benthic marine invertebrates with the high-dispersal life-history syndrome (e.g. Johnson and Black 1982; see review by Hellberg et al. 2002). In these cases populations were genetically homogeneous over a large spatial scale, but included some statistically significant small-scale genetic heterogeneity. In our study, one population

with a recent history of disease outbreak and population decline (East Jeddore) was genotypically distinct in pairwise comparisons, but others (e.g. Bear Cove) were not (Scheibling and Hennigar 1997). Some strong differences among populations recently affected by disease may reflect sporadic and unpredictable recruitment (Harris et al. 1994; Balch et al. 1998) and lack of older individuals at these sites. However, our data so far do not strongly support the hypothesized effect of population fluctuation on local population genetic differentiation. The effect of population decline and subsequent larval recruitment on genetic differentiation requires more detailed studies of the genetic composition of new recruits over several recruitment events.

Although mtDNA and sperm binding sequence polymorphisms suggest genetic homogeneity of *Strongylocentrotus* populations on the west coast of North America (Palumbi and Wilson 1990; Debenham et al. 2000; Flowers et al. 2002), the lack of genetic substructure in our study is surprising, because the allozyme studies of sea urchins sampled at similar spatial scales have revealed significant levels of genetic heterogeneity (Marcus 1977; Watts et al. 1990; Edmands et al. 1996; Mladenov et al. 1997; Moberg and Burton 2000) using genetic markers that were much less polymorphic. Moberg and Burton (2000) reported $F_{ST}=0.033$ for *S. franciscanus* adults from the eastern Pacific coast of North America. Remarkably, Edmands et al. (1996) reported the same value ($F_{ST}=0.033$) for *S. purpuratus* adults sampled from the same coastline. Both estimates were significantly greater than zero. The nominal level of subdivision in these two species is much larger than that found in our study ($F_{ST}=0.0016$; Table 2). Because all three species share similar larval biology and ecology, we expected to detect similar levels of genetic substructure. Burton (1983) suggested that, where the potential for extensive larval dispersal exists, populations should not have the capacity to differentiate on the scale of typical larval dispersal, and thus significant genetic subdivision at or below this geographic scale must be driven by natural selection. Differences in oceanographic conditions and patterns of surface currents on each coast may be responsible for the differences in population structure, but the potential influence of natural selection in the allozyme studies or of non-equilibrium population processes in our study cannot be ruled out.

Population genetic studies of cod (*Gadus morhua*; Bentzen et al. 1996; Pogson et al. 2001; Beacham et al. 2002; Knutsen et al. 2003) and herring (*Clupea harengus*; McPherson et al. 2001) in the northwest Atlantic using microsatellite markers have also demonstrated small but significant levels of genetic subdivision. However, microsatellite analysis of scallops (Herbinger et al. 1998) and barnacles (Dufresne et al. 2002) throughout this same region have failed to reveal any significant genetic heterogeneity. The consistent lack of genetic structure among these studies and in our own study suggest that the passive larval dispersal and sedentary adult lifestyle of many marine

invertebrates may limit the formation of population substructure. In contrast, behavioral associations in fish, such as schooling or spawning-site fidelity, may be responsible for the formation of the genetically distinct assemblages that are frequently detected.

Heterozygote deficits

Populations sampled in the northwest Atlantic had high inbreeding coefficients (mean $F_{IS}=0.1499$, $P<0.05$), and consistent heterozygote deficits were detected for three of the four loci used (*Sd156*, *Sd76*, and *Sd63*; Tables 1, 2). It is unlikely that null alleles are the cause of these deviations, because only one possible null homozygote was detected among 797 individuals screened. Inbreeding is also an unlikely explanation, because high dispersal is predicted to reduce the probability of mating among close relatives (reviewed by Bohonak 1999). While a correlation between allele frequencies at neutral loci and some allozyme alleles under selection has been demonstrated in acorn barnacles (*Semibalanus balanoides*) (Dufresne et al. 2002), possible selective forces that could act on linked loci in the green sea urchin across the wide geographic range sampled in this study are unknown. Selection could generate heterozygote deficiencies (e.g. Zouros and Foltz 1984), but selection is an unlikely explanation of the high inbreeding coefficients detected at multiple loci in green sea urchins.

An alternate explanation for these high inbreeding coefficients is based on the hypothesis that populations of marine free spawners experience a large variance in reproductive success (Hedgewood 1994). The chance matching of reproductive effort to oceanographic conditions that promote fertilization, growth, development, and settlement of larvae is likely a random process, in which the likelihood of reproductive success is independent of genotype. The two main predictions of this model are that cohorts should be genetically differentiated from each other, and each cohort should have reduced allelic diversity because few adults in the population contributed successfully to the resulting pool of offspring (Hedgewood 1994). If cohorts are temporally and spatially differentiated, then an age-structured population should demonstrate unrecognized temporal structure as a kind of Wahlund effect. Since the spawning populations sampled in our study are genetically homogeneous, the large deficits in heterozygotes are unlikely due to a spatial Wahlund effect. Instead, we suggest that our results are consistent with the prediction that large deficits in heterozygotes result from a temporal Wahlund effect caused by a large variance in reproductive success. Supporting evidence for this argument is the observation of small-scale genetic differentiation between geographically close populations in an otherwise panmictic population. It is unlikely that temporal variation in the sources of new recruits could alone account for small-scale

genetic differentiation between East Jeddore and Bear Cove. The observation of equilibrium genotype frequencies in northeast Atlantic populations is not consistent with the hypothesis of reproductive variance, and suggests either that these populations experience reproductive conditions that promote low variance in reproductive success or that the temporal Wahlund effect is not a general explanation of within-population genetic variation in these and other sea urchins (e.g. Flowers et al. 2002).

Population structure across the species range

The significant F_{ST} of 0.0870 ($P < 0.05$) that was calculated among all 11 populations suggests that geographic subdivision in this species is manifest only on a very large spatial scale. The failure of *Sd76* to amplify in the northeast Atlantic populations further suggests that these populations are not currently connected by gene flow to populations in the northwest Atlantic. The failure of this locus to amplify in some populations also highlights the potential pitfalls of employing hyper-variable markers such as microsatellites across a broad geographic range, in which there are potentially deep divergences between populations that are conspecific but genetically isolated from each other on large spatial and temporal scales.

Fossil records indicate that *S. droebachiensis* invaded the Atlantic from the Pacific after the Bering Seaway opened 3.5 million years ago (Durham and MacNeil 1967). It has been suggested that, as a result of more recent climate change, north Atlantic populations may have undergone recent extinctions or severe bottlenecks followed by reinvasion from the Pacific. Both Palumbi and Wilson (1990) and Palumbi and Kessing (1991) suggest recent, but not continuous, migration of *S. droebachiensis* from the Pacific to the northwest Atlantic in the last 300,000–90,000 years. Extensive outflow of freshwater along the Arctic coast of Siberia is thought to have restricted the trans-Arctic interchange to the Canadian Arctic but not the Eurasian Arctic (Gladenkov 1979). There is evidence that the magnitude of the extinctions caused by Pleistocene glacial advances were less severe in the northeast Atlantic than in the northwest Atlantic, because of the presence of rocky substratum offering glacial refugia to the south (Vermeij 1979). Our results are consistent with these hypotheses of historical phylogeography. The lack of amplification at *Sd76*, as well as the reduced number of alleles in the northeast Atlantic, suggests that these populations experienced a severe bottleneck (and became fixed for one or more null alleles at *Sd76*), but were not recently recolonized from the Pacific. In spite of the low genetic diversity within both of these populations at all loci (4–8 alleles, compared to 12–22 alleles in all other populations), we found no heterozygote deficits in the northeast Atlantic. Both of these patterns are consistent with a historical population

reduction followed by restoration of equilibrium genotype frequencies in the absence of detectable gene flow from the Pacific or the northwest Atlantic population. In contrast, the relatively high polymorphism in the northwest Atlantic and genetic similarity to the Pacific population indicate either glacial refugia or extinction followed by more recent and extensive recolonization from the Pacific. The failure to find genetic evidence of glacial refugia in several other obligate rocky substratum inhabitants in the northwest Atlantic supports the local extinction argument (Wares and Cunningham 2001). However, contrary to Wares and Cunningham (2001), our results do not suggest recent recolonization from northeast Atlantic populations. Evidence of such a recolonization event would include lower diversity of alleles in the northwest Atlantic compared to the northeast Atlantic, a pattern opposite to our observations.

Acknowledgements We thank T. Balch, C. Begin, N. Hagen, J. Svavarsson, and B. Hooper for providing samples; F. Harper, S. Watts, and J. Lindley for assistance collecting samples using SCUBA; D. Duggins and the Friday Harbor Laboratories for dredge collections; D. Cook for valuable technical support; J. Lake for laboratory help; and two anonymous reviewers for comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, Canada Foundation for Innovation, the Nova Scotia Department of Economic Development, and the Timiskaming First Nation.

References

- Addison JA, Hart MW (2002) Characterization of microsatellite loci in sea urchins (*Strongylocentrotus* spp.). *Mol Ecol Notes* 2:493–494
- Balch T, Scheibling RE (2000) Temporal and spatial variability in settlement and recruitment of echinoderms in kelp beds and barrens in Nova Scotia. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 205:139–154
- Balch T, Scheibling RE, Harris LG, Chester CM, Robinson SMC (1998) Variation in settlement of *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis* in the northwest Atlantic: effects of spatial scale and sampling method. In: Mooi R, Telford M (eds) *Echinoderms*. Balkema, Rotterdam, pp 555–560
- Beacham TD, Brattey J, Miller KM, Le KD, Withler RE (2002) Multiple stock structure of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) off Newfoundland and Labrador determined from genetic variation. *ICES J Mar Sci* 59:650–655
- Bentzen P, Taggart CT, Ruzzante DE, Cook D (1996) Microsatellite polymorphism and the population structure of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) in the northwest Atlantic. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 53:2706–2721
- Benzie JAH, Stoddart JA (1992) Genetic structure of outbreaking and non-outbreaking crown-of-thorns starfish (*Acanthaster planci*) populations on the Great Barrier Reef. *Mar Biol* 112:119–130
- Bohonak AJ (1999) Dispersal, gene flow, and population structure. *Q Rev Biol* 74:21–45
- Burton RS (1983) Protein polymorphisms and genetic differentiation of marine invertebrate populations. *Mar Biol Lett* 4:193–206
- Chapman ARO (1981) Stability of sea urchin dominated barren grounds following destructive grazing of kelp in St. Margaret's Bay, eastern Canada. *Mar Biol* 62:307–311

- Debenham P, Brzezinski M, Foltz K, Gaines S (2000) Genetic structure of populations of the red sea urchin, *Strongylocentrotus franciscanus*. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 253:49–62
- Dufresne F, Bourget E, Bernatchez L (2002) Differential patterns of spatial divergence in microsatellite and allozyme alleles: further evidence for locus-specific selection in the acorn barnacle, *Semibalanus balanoides*? *Mol Ecol* 11:113–123
- Durham JW, MacNeil FS (1967) Cenozoic migrations of marine invertebrates through the Bering Strait region. In: Hopkins DM (ed) *The Bering land bridge*. Stanford University Press, Stanford, Calif., pp 326–349
- Edmands S, Moberg PE, Burton RS (1996) Allozyme and mitochondrial DNA evidence of population subdivision in the purple sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*. *Mar Biol* 126:443–450
- Flowers JM, Schroeter SC, Burton RS (2002) The recruitment sweepstakes has many winners: genetic evidence from the sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*. *Evolution* 56:1445–1453
- Gladenkov YB (1979) Cenozoic molluscan assemblages in northern regions of the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans. *Int Geol Rev* 21:880–890
- Goudet J (2001) FSTAT, a program to estimate and test gene diversities and fixation indices (version 2.9.3). Available from <http://www.unil.ch/izea/software/fstat.html>
- Grosberg RK (1996) Simple extractions and RAPD-PCR protocols. In: Ferraris JD, Palumbi SR (eds) *Molecular zoology: advances, strategies, and protocols*. Wiley-Liss, New York, pp 470–473
- Harris LG, Rice B, Nestler EC (1994) Settlement, early survival and growth in a southern Gulf of Maine population of *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis* (Müller). In: David B, Guille A, Feral J-P, Roux M (eds) *Echinoderms through time*. Balkema, Rotterdam, pp 701–706
- Hart MW, Scheibling RE (1988) Heat waves, baby booms, and the destruction of kelp beds by sea urchins. *Mar Biol* 99:167–176
- Hatcher BG, Hatcher AI (1997) Research directions and management options for sea urchin culture in Nova Scotia. *Bull Aquacult Assoc Can* 97:62–65
- Hedgecock D (1994) Does variance in reproductive success limit effective population sizes of marine organisms? In: Beaumont AR (ed) *Genetics and evolution of aquatic organisms*. Chapman and Hall, London, pp 122–134
- Hellberg ME, Burton RS, Neigel JE, Palumbi SR (2002) Genetic assessment of connectivity among marine populations. *Bull Mar Sci* 70[Suppl]:273–290
- Herbinger CM, Vercaemer BM, Gjetvaj B, O’Dor RK (1998) Absence of genetic differentiation among geographically close sea scallop (*Placopecten magellanicus*) beds with cDNA and microsatellite markers. *J Shellfish Res* 17:117–122
- Johnson CR, Mann KH (1988) Diversity, patterns of adaptation, and stability of Nova Scotia kelp beds. *Ecol Monogr* 58:129–154
- Johnson MS, Black R (1982) Chaotic genetic patchiness in an intertidal limpet, *Siphonaria* sp. *Mar Biol* 70:157–164
- Johnson MS, Black R (1984a) Pattern beneath the chaos: the effect of recruitment on genetic patchiness in an intertidal limpet. *Evolution* 38:1371–1383
- Johnson MS, Black R (1984b) The Wahlund effect and the geographical scale of variation in the intertidal limpet *Siphonaria* sp. *Mar Biol* 79:295–302
- Knutsen H, Jorde PE, Andre C, Stenseth NC (2003) Fine-scaled geographical population structuring in a highly mobile marine species: the Atlantic cod. *Mol Ecol* 12:385–394
- Lewis KM, Feder JL, Lamberti GA (2000) Population genetics of the zebra mussel, *Dreissena polymorpha* (Pallas): local allozyme differentiation within midwestern lakes and streams. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 57:637–643
- Lewis PO, Zaykin D (2001) Genetic data analysis: computer program for the analysis of allelic data, version 1.0 (d16c). Free program distributed by the authors via internet from <http://lewis.eeb.uconn.edu/lewishome/software.html>
- Marcus NH (1977) Genetic variation within and between geographically separated populations of the sea urchin, *Arbacia punctulata*. *Biol Bull (Woods Hole)* 153:560–576
- McPherson AA, Stephenson RL, O’Reilly PT, Jones MW, Taggart CT (2001) Genetic diversity of coastal northwest Atlantic herring populations: implications for management. *J Fish Biol* 59:356–370

- Meidel SK, Scheibling RE (1998) Size and age structure of the sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis* in different habitats. In: Mooi R, Telford M (eds) Echinoderms. Balkema, Rotterdam, pp 737–742
- Miller RJ (1985) Succession in sea urchin abundance in Nova Scotia, Canada. *Mar Biol* 84:275–286
- Mladenov PV, Allibone RM, Wallis GP (1997) Genetic differentiation in the New Zealand sea urchin *Evechinus chloroticus* (Echinodermata: Echinoidea). *NZ J Mar Freshw Res* 31:261–269
- Moberg PE, Burton RS (2000) Genetic heterogeneity among adult and recruit red sea urchins, *Strongylocentrotus franciscanus*. *Mar Biol* 136:773–784
- Palumbi SR (1995) Using genetics as an indirect estimator of larval dispersal. In: McEdward LR (ed) Ecology of marine invertebrate larvae. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla., pp 369–387
- Palumbi SR, Kessing BD (1991) Population biology of the trans-Arctic exchange: mtDNA sequence similarity between Pacific and Atlantic sea urchins. *Evolution* 45:1790–1805
- Palumbi SR, Wilson AC (1990) Mitochondrial DNA diversity in the sea urchins *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus* and *S. droebachiensis*. *Evolution* 44:403–415
- Petrie B (1987) Undulations of the Nova Scotia current. *Atmos-Ocean* 25:1–9
- Pogson GH, Taggart CT, Mesa KA, Boutilier RG (2001) Isolation by distance in the Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua*, at large and small spatial scales. *Evolution* 55:131–146
- Pringle JD, Sharp GJ, Caddy JF (1982) Interactions in kelp bed ecosystems in the northwest Atlantic: review of a workshop. In: Mercer MC (ed) Multispecies approaches to fisheries management advice. *Can Spec Publ Fish Aquat Sci* 59:108–115
- Raymond M, Rousset F (1995) GENEPOP (version 1.2): population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. *J Hered* 86:248–249
- Rice WR (1989) Analysing tables of statistical tests. *Evolution* 43:223–225
- Scheibling RE, Hennigar AW (1997) Recurrent outbreaks of disease in sea urchins *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis* in Nova Scotia: evidence for a link with large-scale meteorologic and oceanographic events. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 152:155–165
- Scheibling RE, Raymond BG (1990) Community dynamics on a subtidal cobble bed following mass mortalities of sea urchins. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 63:127–145
- Strathmann RR (1978) Length of pelagic period in echinoderms with feeding larvae from the northwest Pacific. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 34:23–27
- Swofford DL (2002) PAUP*: phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (* and other methods), version 4. Sinauer, Sunderland, Mass.
- Vermeij GJ (1979) Anatomy of an invasion: the trans-Arctic interchange. *Paleobiology* 17:281–307
- Wares JP, Cunningham CW (2001) Phylogeography and historical ecology of the north Atlantic intertidal. *Evolution* 55:2455–2469
- Watts RJ, Johnson MS, Black R (1990) Effects of recruitment on genetic patchiness in the urchin *Echinometra mathaei* in Western Australia. *Mar Biol* 105:145–151
- Weir BS, Cockerham CC (1984) Estimating *F*-statistics for the analysis of population structure. *Evolution* 38:1358–1370
- Zouros E, Foltz DW (1984) Possible explanations of heterozygote deficiency in bivalve molluscs. *Malacologia* 25:581–591

Table 1. *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*. Sampling location (abbreviation *in parentheses*), latitude and longitude, sample size (N), number of alleles (N_A), allelic size range in base pairs, and the expected (H_E) and observed (H_O) heterozygosities are given. *Bold type* indicates samples that deviate significantly from Hardy–Weinberg expectations after sequential Bonferroni corrections

	Conception Bay (CBY) 47°38'N; 52°50'W	Bonne Bay (BBY) 49°31'N; 57°53'W	Havre St. Pierre (HSP) 50°14'N; 63°36'W	Miramichi Bay (MBY) 47°08'N; 64°58'W	Main-a-dieu (MAD) 46°00'N; 59°51'W	East Jeddore (JED) 44°44'N; 63°00'W	Bear Cove (BCV) 44°32'N; 63°33'W	Digby (DBY) 44°37'N; 65°46'W	Vestfjorden, Norway (67°21'N; 14°30'W)	Hvalfjordur, Iceland (64°21'N; 21°29'W)	San Juan Channel, Washington (48°33'N; 123°01'W)
<i>Sd156</i>											
N	97	100	97	95	95	104	110	99	79	49	37
N_A	15	14	12	13	13	13	13	12	6	4	13
Size range (bp)	172–204	178–204	178–202	178–202	178–204	178–202	178–202	178–202	167–194	182–196	178–206
H_E	0.91	0.86	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.86	0.89	0.88	0.23	0.28	0.89
H_O	0.67*	0.69*	0.77	0.67*	0.79	0.72*	0.77	0.70*	0.23	0.24	0.89
<i>Sd121</i>											
N	97	100	97	95	95	104	110	99	79	49	39
N_A	20	20	20	22	18	22	19	21	7	7	17
Size range (bp)	120–158	120–160	120–158	120–159	120–158	120–164	120–159	114–157	120–142	120–142	118–154
H_E	0.89	0.91	0.90	0.91	0.89	0.82	0.93	0.90	0.74	0.66	0.92
H_O	0.90	0.91	0.90	0.92	0.89	0.82	0.95	0.84	0.73	0.57	0.85
<i>Sd76</i>											
N	97	100	97	95	95	104	110	98	–	–	32
N_A	13	17	12	14	14	15	13	14	–	–	12
Size range (bp)	145–171	145–179	145–171	145–171	145–179	145–179	145–179	145–171	–	–	145–171
H_E	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.88	0.87	0.85	0.87	0.87	–	–	0.88
H_O	0.68*	0.71*	0.69*	0.69*	0.66*	0.73	0.56*	0.68*	–	–	0.81
<i>Sd63</i>											
N	97	100	97	95	95	104	110	99	79	49	41
N_A	12	13	12	15	12	13	14	14	6	8	12
Size range (bp)	138–178	140–178	138–178	132–178	140–178	140–178	140–178	140–178	140–154	138–154	140–170

H_E	0.83	0.83	0.81	0.85	0.80	0.86	0.82	0.83	0.59	0.65	0.77
H_o	0.62*	0.71*	0.70	0.63*	0.66	0.58*	0.75	0.69*	0.66	0.69	0.51*

Table 2. *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*. Wright's F -statistics calculated using the methods of Weir and Cockerham (1984) in GDA. Comparisons are for individual loci in the northwest Atlantic and for both three- and four-locus analysis of all 11 populations sampled throughout the range; 95% percent confidence intervals were calculated by bootstrapping across loci (no. of replications=10,000) (* $P<0.05$)

Analysis	Locus	F_{IS}	F_{IT}	F_{ST}
NW Atlantic	<i>Sd156</i>	0.1959	0.1977	0.0023
	<i>Sd121</i>	-0.0020	-0.0031	-0.0011
	<i>Sd76</i>	0.2269	0.2263	-0.0008
	<i>Sd63</i>	0.1855	0.1907	0.0063
	Mean	0.1499*	0.1514*	0.0016
All populations, four loci	Mean	0.1436*	0.2181*	0.0870*
All populations, <i>Sd76</i> removed	Mean	0.1169*	0.1721*	0.0625*

Table 3. *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*. Population pairwise F_{ST} values calculated for northwest Atlantic populations using GDA (*upper diagonal*) and P -values for calculations of significant pairwise differentiation calculated using FSTAT (*lower diagonal*). P -values in *bold* represent significant differences after Bonferroni corrections for multiple tests. Populations are: Conception Bay (CBY), Bonne Bay (BBY), Havre St. Pierre (HSP), Miramichi Bay (MBY), Main-a-dieu (MAD), East Jeddore (JED), Bear Cove (BCV), and Digby (DBY)

Sample site	CBY	BBY	HSP	MBY	MAD	JED	BCV	DBY
CBY		0.0012	-0.0006	-0.0013	0.0008	0.0105	-0.0002	0.0000
BBY	0.3893		0.0007	0.0001	-0.0002	0.0052	0.0017	-0.0009
HSP	0.2000	0.2857		0.0000	-0.0014	0.0055	-0.0008	-0.0009
MBY	0.3821	0.5786	0.2339		0.0012	0.0043	0.0015	-0.0014
MAD	0.1982	0.7464	0.7357	0.0714		0.0041	0.0002	-0.0004
JED	0.0012	0.0375	0.0018	0.1214	0.0536		0.0086	0.0040
BCV	0.1554	0.5964	0.0125	0.0679	0.1643	0.0018		0.0011
DBY	0.0339	0.7054	0.2214	0.4750	0.6196	0.0107	0.0429	