

Geographic and Temporal Variation in Diet of Wintering White-winged Scoters

Author(s): Eric C. Palm , Daniel Esler , Eric M. Anderson and Matt T. Wilson Source: Waterbirds, 35(4):577-589. 2012. Published By: The Waterbird Society URL: <u>http://www.bioone.org/doi/full/10.1675/063.035.0407</u>

BioOne (www.bioone.org) is a nonprofit, online aggregation of core research in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. BioOne provides a sustainable online platform for over 170 journals and books published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Web site, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/</u>page/terms_of_use.

Usage of BioOne content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and noncommercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Geographic and Temporal Variation in Diet of Wintering White-winged Scoters

ERIC C. PALM^{1,*}, DANIEL ESLER², ERIC M. ANDERSON^{1, 3} AND MATT T. WILSON⁴

¹Centre for Wildlife Ecology, Simon Fraser University, 8888 University Dr., Burnaby, BC, V5A 1S6, Canada

²Centre for Wildlife Ecology, Simon Fraser University, 5421 Robertson Rd., Delta, BC, V4K 3N2, Canada

³Current Address: Department of Renewable Resources, British Columbia Institute of Technology, 3700 Willingdon Avenue, BC, V5G 3H2, Canada

⁴U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Fairbanks Fish and Wildlife Field Office, 101 12th Ave., Fairbanks, AK, 99701, USA

*Corresponding author; E-mail: epalm@sfu.ca

Abstract.—Quantifying variation in diet over time and space is important for understanding patterns of habitat use in marine birds. Diet composition of adult male White-winged Scoters (*Melanitta fusca*) was quantified at five study sites in coastal British Columbia and Washington during mid-winter (December) and late winter (February-March). At four sites where White-winged Scoters fed in nearshore areas, diet varied little between winter periods and birds fed almost exclusively on large infaunal bivalves ($\geq 85\%$ of mean ash-free dry mass of esophagus contents for each season × site combination). The main prey of White-winged Scoters in intertidal foraging areas (N = 3 of 5 study sites) were Varnish clams (*Nuttalia obscurata*), which were introduced to the region within the last 25 years. At an offshore site, diet consisted mainly of bivalves except during one period when White-winged Scoters had consumed mainly fish, crustaceans, polychaetes and echinoderms. Greater temporal variation in diet at the offshore site may have been an effect of reduced time available to locate preferred prey items and lower predictability of prey distributions owing to this site's greater exposure to wind and waves. However, neither exposure nor water depth received appreciable support in models of the dietary fraction of bivalves across sites and periods. Our results underscore the importance of marine areas with high densities of infaunal bivalves to White-winged Scoters, but also show that White-winged Scoters exhibit flexibility to adjust diet in response to differences in prey composition across habitats. *Received 6 June 2012, accepted 22 July 2012*.

Key words.—diet, foraging conditions, marine habitat, *Melanitta fusca*, sea duck, White-winged Scoter. Waterbirds 35(4): 577-589, 2012

Food quality and quantity strongly influence habitat use in birds (Fauchald et al. 2000; Dorfman and Kingsford 2001). Density, distribution and type of foods available to birds depend on many underlying physical habitat characteristics, which can vary geographically (Goss-Custard 1984). Within a site, considerable temporal variation in prey abundance or diversity may occur at seasonal, annual or decadal time scales. As food resources vary over space and time, birds often respond by altering diet composition (Karasov 1990; Janssen et al. 2009; White et al. 2009). The degree to which birds modulate diet composition in response to foraging conditions varies widely across species and populations, and can directly affect energy intake, digestive physiology, body condition, reproductive success and survival (Haramis et al. 1986; Pierotti and Annett 1991; Oro and Furness 2002; Sorensen et al. 2009).

For wintering sea ducks that feed primarily on benthic invertebrates in marine environments, diet composition may vary by location, as densities and distributions of available prey types change in relation to physical habitat characteristics (Stott and Olsen 1973; Vermeer and Bourne 1984; Brown and Fredrickson 1997). Birds presumably select prey types based mainly on their profitability, which depends on prey energy content and the bird's ability to detect, capture, handle and digest the prey (Bolnick *et al.* 2003).

There can be considerable variation in foraging strategies and prey consumption between sea duck congeners foraging in the same habitat (Goudie and Ankney 1986; Anderson and Lovvorn 2011). Recent studies of non-breeding Surf Scoters (*Melanitta perspicillata*) showed pronounced diet shifts in late winter from bivalve prey towards seasonally abundant polychaetes and herring spawn (Lacroix *et al.* 2005; Anderson *et al.* 2008; Lok et al. 2008). In areas with high densities of sessile, benthic prey, such as mussel beds, high predator consumption rates throughout winter can lead to prey depletion (Lewis et al. 2007; Kirk et al. 2008) and may force Surf Scoters to switch to alternative prey (Anderson and Lovvorn 2011). Conversely, past studies indicate that infaunal bivalves are a heavily used food by White-winged Scoters (M. fusca) in marine environments, irrespective of season (Anderson et al. 2008). However, Anderson et al. (2008) also found that the methods used in past studies may have underestimated importance of softbodied prey such as polychaetes, fish and some crustaceans. Contemporary data describing wintering White-winged Scoter diets are limited, and very few studies have related White-winged Scoter diet composition to physical habitat conditions (Vermeer and Bourne 1984; Lewis et al. 2008).

White-winged Scoters are large-bodied sea ducks that spend most of the year in marine environments across a broad range of northern latitudes. Similar to many other sea ducks, they exhibit high site fidelity during the winter (D. Esler, unpublished data). Although the British Columbia (BC) coastline represents a significant portion of their wintering range along the Pacific Coast of North America, there are few areas in BC where White-winged Scoters occur in appreciable numbers during the winter (Savard 1979). This may be due in part to their assumed preference for habitat conditions that are relatively uncommon in BC: intertidal and shallow subtidal areas with soft benthic substrates (Lewis et al. 2008). However, even within such habitats, there is considerable variation in water depth and exposure to wind and waves, which may affect prey selection and diet composition by influencing the relative profitability among a range of prey items (Beauchamp et al. 1992; de Leeuw and van Eerden 1992; Heath et al. 2008). Greater exposure to wind and waves can increase water currents and turbidity, and in areas with soft, mobile substrates, these conditions may result in unpredictable prey distributions and reduced ability to specialize on infaunal bivalve prey.

We measured diet composition of Whitewinged Scoters in five wintering areas along the Pacific Coast of BC and Washington during mid- and late winter. Our study sites varied markedly in water depth and exposure. The objectives of this study were to (1) quantify White-winged Scoter diets across a large geographic area, including spatial and temporal variation in diet composition and the size of bivalves consumed, and (2) determine the degree to which diet composition was influenced by physical habitat conditions (i.e. water depth and exposure).

METHODS

Study Sites

We selected five wintering sites along the Pacific coast of BC (Chatham Sound, Dogfish Banks, Baynes Sound and the Fraser River Delta) and Washington (Birch Bay) in which we observed White-winged Scoters feeding in relatively large numbers (Fig. 1). Each site represented a different combination of latitude, water depth and exposure to wind and waves (Table 1), each of which might influence foraging behavior and resulting diet composition (Vermeer and Bourne 1984; Brown and Fredrickson 1997). Baynes Sound, the Fraser River Delta and Birch Bay are characterized by extensive intertidal to shallow subtidal habitat, mainly of water depths <5 m. Like Baynes Sound, Birch Bay offers considerable shelter from rough seas. The Fraser River Delta is more exposed to wind and water currents than



Figure 1. Map of the five study sites in BC and WA for which wintering diets of White-winged Scoters were assessed.

Site	Latitude (°N)	Exposure	Water Depth
Chatham Sound	54.4	Low-Medium	High
Dogfish Banks	54.0	High	High
Baynes Sound	49.6	Low	Low
Fraser River Delta	49.1	Low-Medium	Low
Birch Bay	48.9	Low	Low

Table 1. Latitude and categorical levels of exposure and water depth for five wintering sites of White-winged Scotters in BC and Washington.

many coastal bays and inlets, but it does not experience frequent high winds and rough seas. Dogfish Banks is a highly exposed, offshore site subject to frequent storms, strong winds and water currents (LGL Limited 2009a). Finally, Chatham Sound is situated between many large islands and the mainland coast, and is more protected from high winds and large waves than Dogfish Banks. Unlike the three southern sites, water depth at which White-winged Scoters fed was high both at Chatham Sound (5-30 m) and Dogfish Banks (4-20 m) (ECP pers. obs.).

Collection Methods

We collected 155 adult male White-winged Scoters using a shotgun from a small boat, under the authority of permits from Environment Canada (BC-09-0182), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (MB111993-0), and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (05-608). To assess changes in diet over winter, we collected birds during mid-winter (early December) and late winter (late February-early March) at each study site. There were a total of eleven collection events across the five sites: Dogfish Banks (February 2009, N = 19, December 2009, N = 20 and February 2010, N = 18); Chatham Sound (December 2009, N = 13 and February 2010, N = 10); Fraser River Delta and Baynes Sound (December 2010, N = 13 and N = 15, respectively, and February 2011, N = 15 at both sites), and Birch Bay (December 2005, N = 9 and February-March 2006, N = 8). We attempted to shoot birds that were actively feeding to increase the likelihood that they contained food. Immediately after collection, we removed the esophagus (including the proventriculus and any food items found in the mouth) and gizzard, and preserved the foods they contained separately in 70% isopropyl alcohol for subsequent diet analyses.

Laboratory Analyses

For each sample, we rinsed esophageal contents over a 500-µm sieve and rinsed gizzard contents over a 2-mm sieve, as gizzard contents were often ground to smaller, less identifiable pieces. Using a $6-10\times$ dissecting scope, we sorted and identified all food items to the lowest possible taxon. We used digital calipers to measure length (±0.1 mm) of whole prey items along the longest axis. For whole prey in esophagi, we measured ash-free dry mass (AFDM) by drying items at 60° C until they reached a constant mass, followed by combustion at 500°C for 8 hr (Ashkenas *et al.* 2004). Subtracting the resulting ash mass from dry mass yielded ash-free dry mass, which more closely reflects energy value of prey than does wet or dry mass (Ricciardi and Bourget 1998).

We calculated average percent AFDM of each prey category (bivalvia, crustacea, echinodermata, gastropoda, polychaeta, fish) by first assessing the relative percentage of each food category in the esophagus of each bird, and then averaging those percentages across all samples from the respective collection period (Anderson et al. 2008). We calculated the average percent of AFDM for esophagi contents only because gizzard contents are known to be biased towards less digestible foods (hereafter, AFDM refers to esophagus contents only; Anderson et al. 2008). However, as a measure of prey species richness in White-winged Scoter diets, we calculated percent frequency of occurrence for each prey species by pooling data from esophagi and gizzards. Because pea crabs (Pinnotheridae) live within the mantle of bivalves, or within polychaete worm burrows (Carlton 2007), we assumed that they were ingested incidentally. Thus, we report them separately from other crustaceans and exclude them from results of AFDM.

Data Analyses

We compared the proportion of bivalves in diets of White-winged Scoters across study sites and collection periods using least squares analyses in an informationtheoretic context. We used the proportion of bivalves in diets both as an index of diet composition and as the response variable for each model in our candidate set. We did not arcsine transform proportion data, as the proportions of bivalves in diets were not derived from binomial data (Warton and Hui 2011). To test relative support for different a priori hypotheses describing possible sources of variation in proportion of bivalves in diets, we pooled diet data from separate collection events in specific ways. Because all explanatory variables were categorical, each linear model represented a different way of grouping data from different collection events for comparisons, allowing considerations of support for each of the factors as drivers of variation in the proportion of bivalves in diets. Below, we justify and describe each of our candidate models.

Exposure. During our collections, we observed that birds at Chatham Sound generally fed 1-3 km from shore, while Fraser River Delta birds foraged 1-5 km from shore. At Birch Bay and Baynes Sound, scoters fed close to shore relative to other sites, almost always <500 m from land and generally much closer. Dogfish Banks was the only offshore wintering area within our study

sites. On average, White-winged Scoters occurred 8 km (range 2-22 km) from shore on Dogfish Banks. The eastern shore of Haida Gwaii immediately south of Rose Spit offers little to no shelter from prevailing adverse weather. Thus, birds at Dogfish Banks were exposed to prevailing southeast winds that travel many hundreds of km across Hecate Strait during winter. To determine model groupings, we calculated mean fetch lengths for each site (see Palm 2012 for methods), which served as proxies for the degree of exposure. Mean fetch lengths during winter were 217.5 km at Dogfish Banks, 13.5 km on the Fraser River Delta, 10.6 km at Chatham Sound, 4 km at Baynes Sound and 2.6 km at Birch Bay.

In our exposure model we pooled data into two groups, where the first group included data from collection events on Dogfish Banks and the second group consisted of all data from the remaining sites (Table 2). This model was based on the hypothesis that high exposure on Dogfish Banks would have a separate effect on diet composition than the lower degrees of exposure at the four remaining sites. For our exposure and period model, we used the same data groupings by site but categorized mid-winter data separately from late-winter data to account for any temporal variation in diet (Table 2).

Water Depth. We hypothesized that increased water depth might affect the degree to which White-winged Scoters can specialize on bivalve prey by reducing the amount of time birds can spend at the bottom. To assess the effect of water depth, we pooled data from Dogfish Banks and Chatham Sound separately from the remaining sites. Scoters at Dogfish Banks and Chatham Sound fed exclusively in the subtidal zone at average water depths of 10-15 m and 10-20 m, respectively. Baynes Sound, the Fraser River Delta and Birch Bay all consisted of intertidal and shallow subtidal foraging habitat averaging 2-5 m in depth. For our water depth and period model, we again used the same data groupings by site but categorized mid-winter data separately from late-winter data to account for any temporal variation in diet. Site and Period Models. We included a site model that grouped data from each wintering site separately, which represented the hypothesis that variation in diet composition was mainly a result of differences in site-specific prey availability. Our period-only model grouped mid-winter data separately from late winter data, irrespective of site; this model assumes that variation in diet composition across all sites was mainly influenced by differences in prey availability from December to February-March. We also included a model that grouped each collection event separately, representing the hypothesis that diet varied in response to both geographic and temporal differences in prey availability. Finally, our null model was based on the hypothesis that bivalve proportions did not vary across collection periods or sites.

Model Selection

We used information-theoretic methods to direct model selection, and for all statistical analyses used Program R (R Foundation for Statistical Computing 2011). To infer the relative support of each model included in the candidate set, we calculated Akaike's Information Criterion adjusted for small sample sizes (AIC_c), Δ AIC_c values, and Akaike weights (*w*) (Burnham and Anderson 2002). Both Δ AIC_c and *w* values indicate the relative amount of support for each model compared to other models in the candidate set.

RESULTS

Geographic and Temporal Variation in Diet

Infaunal bivalves were the most commonly consumed prey type for wintering Whitewinged Scoters at all study sites along the coast of BC and far northern WA (Fig. 2), although the species and size classes of bivalve prey consumed varied among wintering ar-

Table 2. Candidate models describing variation in the proportion of bivalves in diets of male White-winged Scoters
across five wintering areas in BC and Washington. CS = Chatham Sound, DB = Dogfish Banks, BS = Baynes Sound,
FD = Fraser River Delta, BB = Birch Bay, M = mid-winter, L = late winter, 09 = 2009, 10 = 2010. Number of param-
eters includes +1 for intercept and +1 for model variance. Candidate models are listed by ΔAIC .

Model	Data grouping	Number of parameters	$\Delta AIC_{_{C}}$	W	r^2
Site and period	CSM; CSL; DBL09; DBM; DBL10; BSM; BSL; FDM; FDL; BBM	11	0.00	0.87	0.51
Exposure	CS = BS = FD = BB; DB	6	5.10	0.07	0.30
Exposure with period	CSM = BSM = FDM = BBM; DBM; CSL = BSL = FDL = BBL : DBL	5	5.44	0.06	0.34
Water depth and period	CSM = DBM; BSM = FDM = BBM; CSL = DBL; BSL = FDL = BBL	5	14.59	0.00	0.25
Site	CS; DB; BS; FD; BB	6	14.60	0.00	0.27
Water depth	CS = DB; BS = DB = BB	3	19.44	0.00	0.13
Period	CSM = DBM = BSM = FDM = BBM; CSL = DBL = BSL = FDL	3	22.65	0.00	0.09
Null	CS = DB = BS = FD = BB	2	27.10	0.00	_



Figure 2. Mean percent ash-free dry mass of esophagus contents by prey type for White-winged Scoters collected in five Pacific Coast study sites.

eas. Diets on Dogfish Banks had the highest prey species richness of the five study areas. There were 25 different species of prey in birds collected on Dogfish Banks, 23 in Chatham Sound, twelve each in Birch Bay and the Fraser River Delta, and eleven in Baynes Sound (Table 3). All White-winged Scoters we collected contained either whole bivalves or bivalve shell fragments in their upper gastrointestinal tract. White-winged Scoters consumed over 20 species of bivalves across all sites. Varnish Clams (Nuttallia obscurata) were the most frequently consumed bivalve on the Fraser River Delta (92-100% of AFDM), Birch Bay, and in Baynes Sound (55%-71% of AFDM). Hooked Surfclams (Simomactra falcata) were the most frequently consumed bivalve species on Dogfish Banks during all three collection periods (16-63% of AFDM). In Chatham Sound, diet composition was slightly more varied than at the three southern sites, and included a wider variety of bivalve species and occasionally echinoderms and gastropods. However, the primary component of scoter diets at Chatham Sound was the small, thick-shelled Divaricate Nutclam (*Acila castrensis*) (63-84% of AFDM). One echinoderm, the Pacific sand dollar (*Dendraster excentricus*) constituted a significant portion of scoter diet on Dogfish Banks during all collection periods (10-18% of AFDM).

The average (±SE) length of bivalves consumed by White-winged Scoters varied according to the dominant species of bivalve consumed in each wintering area (Fig. 3). Shell lengths of ingested bivalves were greatest in Baynes Sound (43.1 \pm 1.8 mm) and on the Fraser River Delta (38.7 \pm 2.5 mm), two of the sites where Varnish Clams were the main prey item. Conversely, White-winged Scoters in Chatham Sound consumed much smaller bivalves $(8.5 \pm 0.1 \text{ mm})$. Lengths of bivalves consumed by scoters on Dogfish Banks varied widely within and between bivalve species, but the average length across all species was 18.4 ± 1.3 mm. On Dogfish Banks, Hooked Surfclams consumed by Whitewinged Scoters averaged 27.5 ± 2.0 mm in length. On Dogfish Banks the average length of Salmon Tellin clams (Tellina nuculoides) consumed was 7.9 ± 0.4 mm, and this prey species appeared more frequently in late winter relative to mid-winter diets.

Length classes of bivalves consumed by White-winged Scoters in Baynes Sound and on the Fraser River Delta varied little between winter collection periods, with slight shifts towards smaller bivalves in late winter (Fig. 2). On Dogfish Banks, a marked shift towards consumption of smaller bivalves from mid- to late-winter was due to greater consumption of Salmon Tellin clams in late winter. Average lengths of bivalves were similar during both late winter collection events on Dogfish Banks (February 2009: 12.5 ± 2.1 mm, February 2010: 16.9 ± 2.4 mm), which reflected similar bivalve species composition in diet during these periods. Thus, we pooled bivalve length data from these two collection events in Fig. 3. For Birch Bay, we did not assess lengths of bivalves consumed because of the small number of birds that contained foods at this site.

White-winged Scoter diets in Baynes Sound and on the Fraser River Delta were

age.	are		
aver	data		
), and	those		
%FO	thus		0
ents (, and		
conto	food		
zzard	uined		
ind gi	conta		
agus a t (trai	h Bay		
esoph and	1 Birc		
oled e	irds ii		
or po	few b		, ,
ed in	tively		
curre	Relat		
of oc	gory.		
uency	h cate		
t freq	or eac		
ercen that	pod fe		
nde p	ned f		
s inch h ind	contai		0
Result a das	that		,
011. J	birds		
009-2	oer of		
ring 2 tents	lunu		
3C du	te the		
es in I	ndica		6
ur site f eso	sizes i		i
at fo	nples		,
ected	ts. Sar		
rs coll	onten		
Scote:	guis c		
bed S	opha		
ite-wir rass f	l of es		
f Whi drv m	FDM		
ods o -free	<1% A	here.	
3. Fo	osed	Iown	
Table	comp	not sh	

| | | | | |
 | - | | | |
 | |
 |
 | | 5
 | - | |
|--------|-----------|---|---|---
--
--|---|--------|--|--
--
--|---|---
--|---
--|--|---|
| Fr. | aser Rive | er Delta | | | Baynes S
 | ound | | | | Dogfish
 | Banks |
 |
 | | Chatham
 | Sound | |
| cember | 2010 | February | y 2011 | December | r 2010
 | February | , 2011 | February | 2009 1 | Decembe
 | er 2009 | Februar
 | y 2010
 | Decemb | er 2009
 | Februar | 2010 |
| = 14 N | V = 3 1 | N = 15 | N = 7 | N = 15 h | V = 9 I
 | N = 15 I | N = 7 | N = 19 I | V = 8 | N = 20
 | N = 7 | N = 19
 | N = 7
 | N = 13 | N = 9
 | N = 10 | N = 8 |
| 5FO % | AFDM | %FO % | AFDM | %FO % | AFDM
 | %FO %. | AFDM | %FO %. | AFDM | %FO %
 | 6AFDM | %FO %
 | 6AFDM
 | %FO 9 | %AFDM
 | %FO % | AFDM |
| 1 00 | 001 | 100 | 92 | 100 | 66
 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 25 | 100
 | 82 | 100
 | 80
 | 100 | 98
 | 100 | 85 | | | |
| ļ | | I | I | I |
 | | | | |
 | |
 | I
 | 100 | 84
 | 06 | 63 | | | |
| I | | | I | I |
 | | | 5 | t |
 | |
 | I
 | 8 | 6
 | 20 | I |
| I | | I | I | I | I
 | | I | I | | I
 | I |
 | I
 | | I
 | 20 | Ι |
| 7 | | | I | 7 |
 | 27 | | 53 | 7 | 100
 | 20 | 79
 |
 | 23 |
 | 40 | I |
| 7 | | | I | I | I
 | 27 | I | 53 | 7 | 100
 | 20 | 64
 | I
 | 15 | I
 | 40 | |
| Ι | I | | I | I | I
 | | I | | |
 | |
 | I
 | 8 | I
 | | I | | | |
| I | | | I | 7 |
 | | | | |
 | |
 | I
 | I | I
 | | I |
| 7 | | | I | | I
 | | | 95 | 16 | 100
 | 63 | 84
 | 59
 | I |
 | | Ι |
| Ι | | | I | I |
 | | | 95 | 16 | 100
 | 63 | 84
 | 59
 | I | I
 | | Ι | | | |
| 7 | | I | I | |
 | | | | |
 | I |
 | I
 | I | I
 | | I |
| I | I | I | I | I | I
 | I | I | 16 | I | I
 | I | 5
 | t
 | I | I
 | I | I |
| I | | | I | 20 | 22
 | 20 | 5 | 53 | 1 | 10
 | | 21
 | 21
 | 23 | ũ
 | 40 | 16 | | | |
| I | | I | | |
 | | | 5 | |
 | |
 |
 | I |
 | | |
| I | I | I | I | I | I
 | I | I | 47 | 1 | 10
 | I | 21
 | 21
 | I | I
 | I | I | | | |
| I | | | Ι | Ι |
 | | | | |
 | | I
 |
 | 15 | 5
 | 40 | 16 |
| I | I | I | | I |
 | I | | | | I
 | I | I
 | I
 | × | t
 | I | |
| I | | I | | 20 | 22
 | 20 | 5 | | |
 | |
 |
 | |
 | | |
| 93] | 001 | 100 | 92 | 87 | 55
 | 80 | 71 | | |
 | |
 |
 | | I
 | | I | | | |
| ļ | | | I | |
 | | | | |
 | |
 |
 | | I
 | 30 | I |
| 7 | | | I | 33 | 22
 | 09 | 27 | 26 | 1 |
 | | 5
 | I
 | 15 | 1
 | 50 | 9 | | | |
| ļ | | | I | I |
 | | | | |
 | |
 |
 | œ | 1
 | 20 | 9 | | | |
| I | | | I | |
 | | | | |
 | |
 |
 | | I
 | 10 | Ι |
| I | | | I | 20 | 15
 | 60 | 27 | 21 | 1 |
 | |
 |
 | | I
 | | Ι |
| I | | | I | 13 | 7
 | | | | |
 | |
 |
 | I |
 | | Ι | | | |
| Ι | I | I | I | I |
 | | | 5 | |
 | | 5
 |
 | |
 | | |
| 1 | | | Ι | |
 | | | 1 | | I
 | 1 | 1
 | I
 | 8 | Ι
 | 30 | 1 |
| | | FO %AFDM 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10 | FO %AFDM %FO %
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 | FO %AFDM %FO %AFDM 100 100 92 - - - | FO %AFDM %FO %AFDM %FO %FO %FO 0 100 100 92 100 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 8 100 100 92 87 9 100 100 92 87 9 100 100 92 87 100 10 113 113 <td< td=""><td>FO %AFDM %FO %AFDM %FO %AFDM 0 100 100 92 100 99 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 8 100 100 92 87 55 7 9 100 100 92 87 55 10 10 </td></td<> <td></td> <td>FO %AFDM %FO %FO %FD %FO %FD %FO %FD %FD</td> <td>FO $\%$AFDM $\%$FO 7 -</td> <td>60 $% FO$ $% FO$<!--</td--><td>FO % FO % AFDM % FO % AFD % A</td><td>No. Sero Sarba Sero Sarba<td>No. Sarbin Sarbin<td>No. Serie (MATEN) Serie (MATEN)</td><td>No. Serie (Metric) Serie (Metric)<td>Constraint SFO SAFDM <</td><td>No. SEPO %AFDM SEPO %AFDM</td></td></td></td></td> | FO %AFDM %FO %AFDM %FO %AFDM 0 100 100 92 100 99 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 8 100 100 92 87 55 7 9 100 100 92 87 55 10 10 | | FO %AFDM %FO %FO %FD %FO %FD %FO %FD %FD | FO $\%$ AFDM $\%$ FO 7 - | 60 $% FO$ </td <td>FO % FO % AFDM % FO % AFD % A</td> <td>No. Sero Sarba Sero Sarba<td>No. Sarbin Sarbin<td>No. Serie (MATEN) Serie (MATEN)</td><td>No. Serie (Metric) Serie (Metric)<td>Constraint SFO SAFDM <</td><td>No. SEPO %AFDM SEPO %AFDM</td></td></td></td> | FO % FO % AFDM % FO % AFD % A | No. Sero Sarba Sero Sarba <td>No. Sarbin Sarbin<td>No. Serie (MATEN) Serie (MATEN)</td><td>No. Serie (Metric) Serie (Metric)<td>Constraint SFO SAFDM <</td><td>No. SEPO %AFDM SEPO %AFDM</td></td></td> | No. Sarbin Sarbin <td>No. Serie (MATEN) Serie (MATEN)</td> <td>No. Serie (Metric) Serie (Metric)<td>Constraint SFO SAFDM <</td><td>No. SEPO %AFDM SEPO %AFDM</td></td> | No. Serie (MATEN) Serie (MATEN) | No. Serie (Metric) Serie (Metric) <td>Constraint SFO SAFDM <</td> <td>No. SEPO %AFDM SEPO %AFDM</td> | Constraint SFO SAFDM < | No. SEPO %AFDM SEPO %AFDM |

582

WATERBIRDS

Table 3. (Continued) Foods of White-winged Scoters collected at four sites in BC during 2009-2011. Results include percent frequency of occurrence for pooled esophagus and gizzard contents (%FO), and average percent ash-free dry mass for foods comprising >1% of esophagus contents (%AFDM); a dash indicates that foods were not observed in the sample and t (trace) indicates that foods were present but composed <1% AFDM of esophagus contents. Sample sizes indicate the number of birds that contained food for each category. Relatively few birds in Birch Bay contained foods, and thus those data are not shown here.

		Fraser Ri	ver Delta	_		Baynes S	bund				Dogfish	Banks				Chatham	Sound	
	Decem	ber 2010	Februa	ry 2011	Decembe	r 2010	February	2011	February	2009 1	Decembe	er 2009	Februar	y 2010	Decemb	er 2009	Februar	y 2010
	N = 14	N = 3	N = 15	N = 7	N = 15	6 = N	N = 15 N	V = 7	V = 19 N	V = 8	N = 20	N = 7	N = 19	N = 7	N = 13	N = 0	N = 10	N = 8
	%FO	%AFDM	%FO	%AFDM	%FO %	AFDM	%FO %/	AFDM	%FO %	AFDM	%FO %	AFDM	%FO %	AFDM	%FO 9	%AFDM	%FO %	AFDM
Myidae (Mya arenaria)	Ι	I	I	I	I	I	20	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
Hiatellidae (Tresus sp.)	Ι	I	I	I	I	I		I				I	I	I		I	10	
Gastropoda	7	l		I	I		13		63	3	20	t	58		15		60	7
Trochidae (Magarites pupillus)	I	I	I	I	I	I	I				5	I	I	I		I	I	
Columbellidae	7			I							5				×			
Alia carnata	Ι	I	I	I	I	I	I				5	I	I	I		I	I	
Alia tuberosa	7	I		I	I								I		8			
Cerithiidae $(Bittium sp.)$				I	I		7										I	
Muricidae	7	I	I	I	I	I	I					I	I	I		I		
Nassaridae	2	I	I	I	Ι	I	I	I	11	t	I	I	16	I	x	I	20	
Nassarius mendicus			I	I					11	t			16		œ	I	20	
Nassarius sp.	2						I						I		I		I	
Naticidae (Natica clausa)				I					32	1			32		8	I	60	61
Buccinidae (Neptunea sp.)		I	I	I	I	I	7		I	I			I	I	I	I	I	
Conidae (Oenopota sp.)	I	I	I	I	I	I	I		5	I		I	5	I	I	I	20	
Olivellidae (Olivella baetica)				I					53	61	10		16		ø		20	
Unidentified Gastropoda	I				I	I					5	t	ũ				I	
Crustacea (excluding Pinnotheridae)	7	I	7	I	I	I	I		47	14	55	I	37	4	15	2	20	
Crangonidae	2		7		I				37	1	25		19	4				
Crangon alaskensis	I				I				32	1	25		19	4				
Crangon nigricauda	7				I	I											I	
Crangon sp.	I		7	I	I				5									
Pasiphaeidae (<i>Pasiphaea pacifica</i>)			I			I	I						5	I				
Paguridae	2		I	I	I	I	I		5					I	œ	10	10	
Pagurus caurinus	7		I			I	I							I			I	
Unidentified Paguridae	7			I					5				I		8	5	10	
Cancridae (Cancer magister)	I			I	I		I		26	13	40		32		15	I	20	

WHITE-WINGED SCOTER MARINE DIETS

present but composed <1% AFDM of esopl those data are not shown here.	hagus co	ontents. Sa	ample size	es indicat	te the nu	nber of t	irds tha	t containe	f boof be	or each o	ategory. F	Relativel	y few bird	ls in Birc	ch Bay c	ontained	foods, aı	rd thus
		Fraser Riv	/er Delta			Baynes S	ound				Dogfish B	anks				Chatham	Sound	
	Decemh	oer 2010	Februar	y 2011	Decembe	r 2010	Februar	y 2011	February	2009	December	2009	February	2010 I	Jecembe	er 2009	Februar	y 2010
	N = 14	N = 3	N = 15	N = 7	N = 15	N = 9	N = 15	N = 7	N = 19 1	N = 8	N = 20 N	1 7 1	V = 19 N	[2]	N = 13	N = 9	N = 10	N = 8
	%FO	%AFDM	%FO %	AFDM	%FO %	AFDM	%FO %	6AFDM	%FO %	AFDM	%FO %A	FDM	%FO %A	VEDM	%FO %	AFDM	%FO %	AFDM
Pinnotheridae	I	I	I		4	I	4	I	37		42		37	t	×	I	10	6
Pinnixa faba	I	I	I	I	I	I		I		I	5					I		I
Pinnixa littoralis	I	I	I	I	I	I		I	32	I						I		I
Pinnixa tubicola	I	Ι	I	I	I	I		Ι	I	I	I	Ι	I		I	I	10	6
Pinnixa sp.	I	Ι	I	I	7	Ι		Ι	5	I	I	Ι	I			I		I
Faba subquadratea		I	I			I		I	26		35	Ι	37	t				
Unidentified Pinnotheridae		I				I	7	I	I			I						
Unidentified Amphipoda	I	I						I				I	I		8			
Unidentified Crustacea	4	I	I	I	7	I	I	I	I	Ι	I	Ι	I		I	Ι		I
Polychaeta	I	I	7	×	13	1		Ι	11	15	I	Ι	I				10	t
Nephtyidae (Nephtys sp.)		I	7	×	13	1		I	11	15		Ι						
Unidentified Polychaeta	I	I						I				I	I				10	t
Enchinodermata	I	I				I			26	10	45	18	37	15			20	4
Dendrasteridae (<i>Dendraster excentricus</i>)	I	I	I	I		I	I	I	26	10	45	18	37	15	I	I		I
Ophiuridae (<i>Ophiura sarsi</i>)	I							I									20	4
Fish	I							I	32	33	5							
Ammodytidae (Ammodytes hexapterus)	I	Ι	I	I		Ι		Ι	26	33	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι		Ι		Ι
Unidentified Fish	I	I		I	I	I			5	I	5					I		I

Table 3. (Continued) Foods of White-winged Scoters collected at four sites in BC during 2009-2011. Results include percent frequency of occurrence for pooled esophagus and gizzard contents (%FO),



Figure 3. Shell lengths of whole bivalves consumed by White-winged Scoters collected in four sites in BC during mid-winter (December) and late-winter (February) during 2009-2011.

very similar between December and February-March. Conversely, birds on Dogfish Banks and in Chatham Sound fed on a greater diversity of prey items in late winter compared to mid-winter (Fig. 2). On Dogfish Banks during December 2009 and February 2010, scoters consumed primarily bivalves (80-82% of AFDM) and secondarily echinoderms (15-18% of AFDM). However, during February 2009 compared to the other two periods on Dogfish Banks, White-winged Scoters consumed a lower fraction of bivalves and greater fractions of polychaetes, crustaceans, and fish. Notably, Pacific sand lance (Ammodytes hexapterus) composed 33% of AFDM during February 2009 on Dogfish Banks. At the same site, Hooked Surfclams composed just 16% of AFDM during February 2009 versus 63% in December 2009 and 59% in February 2010.

Relative Influence of Habitat Conditions on Diet Composition

The most parsimonious model describing variation in the proportion of bivalve prey in diets was the site and period model (Table 2). This model received the most support (w = 0.87) from the data relative to the other candidate models and explained 51% of the variation in the data. Bivalves composed >80% of AFDM during all collection periods except February 2009 on Dogfish Banks. During that period, which was highly influential in the model results, bivalves composed just 25% of AFDM while four other categories of prey each composed >10% of AFDM (Fig. 2). No other models received substantial support; however, each of these remaining models outperformed the null model, indicating that site, period, exposure and water depth each explained some of the variation in the proportion of bivalves in diet.

DISCUSSION

Our study was consistent with past results indicating that infaunal bivalves were an important prey type for White-winged Scoters across wintering sites (Yocum and Keller 1961; Grosz and Yocum 1972; Stott and Olson 1973; Hirsch 1980; Vermeer and Bourne 1984; Anderson et al. 2008). Species composition of bivalves in diets varied considerably across study sites, presumably reflecting differences in availability among sites. At most of our study sites, feeding mainly on bivalves appeared to be a seasonally stable foraging strategy for White-winged Scoters. However, White-winged Scoters showed flexibility to consume alternative prey during one collection event (February 2009 on Dogfish Banks), presumably in response to temporal changes in food availability. Most notably, birds during this collection event consumed appreciable amounts of fish and echinoderms, which have not previously been described as major parts of their diets. Only two other studies documented fish consumption in wintering White-winged Scoters; specifically, scoters consumed sand lance (Ammodytes sp.) in Long Island Sound, New York (McGilvrey 1967) and off the Lithuanian coast (Žalakevicius 1995). No previous studies measured such a large degree of temporal variation in diet composition within a site as we did on Dogfish Banks.

The invasion of the non-native Varnish Clam has greatly impacted White-winged Scoter diets in the three southern sites. Similar to study results from the late 1960s, 1970s, and early 2000s, our data show that White-winged Scoters are bivalve specialists in Baynes Sound and on the Fraser River Delta. However, the proportions of bivalve species consumed at the two sites have changed markedly over time. Specifically, compared to results from these two sites in the late 1960s and 1970s, our results from 2010-2011 show lower species richness of bivalve prey, as well as lower dietary percentages of Pacific littleneck clams (Protothaca staminea), Nuttall's cockles (Clinocardium nuttallii) and introduced Manila clams (Venerupis philippinarium) (Vermeer and Bourne 1984). In 2010-2011, Baynes Sound birds contained no Manila clams. Conversely, in this same site, Manila clams occurred in 53% of White-winged Scoters in 1968 and constituted nearly 30% of the dry weight of fecal shell fragments of White-winged Scoters in 2001-2004 (Lewis et al. 2007). The Varnish Clam, an Asian species that was not present on the Pacific coast of North America 25 years ago, is now the main food item of White-winged Scoters at Baynes Sound and Birch Bay, and is almost the sole component of their diets on the Fraser River Delta. These clams did not appear in White-winged Scoter diets on Dogfish Banks or in Chatham Sound, probably because they occur mainly in intertidal habitats that we did not consider for these two sites (Dudas 2005). Varnish Clams were likely introduced to Vancouver Harbor in the late 1980s to early 1990s and have since spread north along Vancouver Island and south into Puget Sound and the southern coast of Oregon (Gillespie et al. 1999). The ability to reproduce within a year of recruitment, compared to 2-3 years for most native bivalves, has aided dispersal throughout the region, where they reach densities of up to $800/m^2$ (Dudas 2005).

A combination of high densities, low crushing resistance (D. Esler, unpublished data), and high flesh-to-shell ratio relative to other bivalve prey make Varnish Clams a high quality food for White-winged Scoters. Past research in Baynes Sound indicated that distributions of White-winged Scoters were positively associated with densities of Varnish Clams (Žydelis et al. 2006). Further, Varnish Clam distributions may influence current trends in habitat use by moulting Surf and White-winged scoters in the Fraser River Delta and parts of Puget Sound (Tschaekofske 2010). Other introduced bivalve species have appreciably altered the diets of diving ducks. Notably, Lesser Scaup (Aythya affinis) in San Francisco Bay prefer Corbula amurensis clams, an Asian species that was introduced in 1986 (Poulton et al. 2002).

Our results were strongly influenced by the February 2009 collection event on Dogfish Banks, during which White-winged Scoters fed on a much higher proportion of non-bivalve prey types than during the other collection events. The site and period model received the most support in the candidate set because it was the only model that separated data from this collection event into its own group. Largely as a result of the February 2009 collection event, diet composition on Dogfish Banks was the most temporally variable of the five wintering areas in our study. This may have reflected temporal changes in the profitability of bivalves at this site. Most notable during the February 2009 collection event on Dogfish Banks was that roughly one-third of birds contained fish in their esophagus or gizzard. Although our exposure models did not offer high explanatory power, high exposure on Dogfish Banks may have constrained consumption of bivalves in two ways. Specifically, periods of extreme wind and waves may reduce foraging time and thus the ability of White-winged Scoters to locate preferred prey items (Lima 1986), while the mobile sand-gravel substrate (LGL Limited 2009a) of this site likely reduces the predictability of prey distributions. White-winged Scoters on Dogfish Banks met this pronounced seasonal variation in diet with different physiological responses

compared to those they displayed at the remaining four wintering areas (Palm 2012).

In contrast to results of many studies that show high philopatry in wintering sea ducks (Robertson et al. 1999; Robertson et al. 2000; Iverson et al. 2004), we observed variable numbers and distributions of White-winged Scoters on Dogfish Banks and on the Fraser River Delta between collection events. Consistent with our observations, survey data from recent years at Dogfish Banks showed marked variation in White-winged Scoter densities and distributions (Hodges et al. 2005; LGL Limited 2009b). During 2010-2011 we observed only a few hundred White-winged Scoters on the Fraser River Delta, yet many thousands winter at this site in some years. Periods with lower densities of White-winged Scoters at these two sites were characterized by different patterns in their diet: birds consumed lower dietary fractions of bivalves on Dogfish Banks but not on the Fraser River Delta. We speculate that the lower degree of exposure and more stable substrate on the Fraser River Delta allowed White-winged Scoters to be more selective in their diets relative to birds at Dogfish Banks.

Wintering White-winged Scoters share Baynes Sound, the Fraser River Delta, and Birch Bay with large numbers of Surf Scoters, and we observed both species frequently feeding in close proximity to one another. Past data from Birch Bay showed that Whitewinged Scoters often fed on larger bivalves, likely because of their larger body and bill sizes relative to Surf Scoters (Anderson et al. 2008). Past surveys of bivalves in Baynes Sound found that most (72%) Varnish Clams were 25-45 mm in length (Lewis et al. 2007), a size range commonly consumed by White-winged Scoters but larger than most bivalves consumed by Surf Scoters (Anderson et al. 2008). White-winged Scoters may avoid potential competition with Surf Scoters by targeting larger sizes of bivalves, which are relatively abundant in Baynes Sound and Birch Bay (Lewis et al. 2007; Anderson 2009). Unlike these two areas, the Fraser River Delta serves as a major moulting site for both White-winged and Surf Scoters with up to 10,000 total scoters present each year during July to September (J. R. Evenson, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, unpublished data). Heavy use of the Fraser River Delta during moult may deplete bivalves and other prey in some years, thereby contributing to lower numbers of wintering White-winged Scoters at this site.

Population declines in many sea duck species in recent decades have prompted research intended to identify potential causes for these declines. Because White-winged Scoters spend a majority of their annual cycle in marine environments, identifying important marine habitats should be a priority. Our diet results underscore the importance marine areas with high densities of infaunal bivalves to White-winged Scoters, and also show that White-winged Scoters exhibit flexibility to adjust diet in response to changes in food availability across habitats.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank M. Wilson and T. D. Williams for laboratory assistance and K. Jaatinen for assistance in the field. The study was funded by Environment Canada, Naikun Wind Energy Group, Inc., Natural Resources Canada and Simon Fraser University. The Centre for Wildlife Ecology, Simon Fraser University, provided logistical support.

LITERATURE CITED

- Anderson, E. M. 2009. Contrasts in nutrient metabolism and foraging strategies of Surf and White-winged Scoters in nearshore marine habitats. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyoming.
- Anderson, E. M., J. R. Lovvorn and M. T. Wilson. 2008. Reevaluating marine diets of Surf and White-winged Scoters: Interspecific differences and the importance of soft-bodied prey. Condor 110: 285-295.
- Anderson, E. M. and J. R. Lovvorn. 2011. Contrasts in energy status and foraging strategies of Whitewinged Scoters *Melanitta fusca* and Surf Scoters *M. perspicillata*. Auk 128: 248-257.
- Ashkenas, L. R., S. L. Johnson, S. V. Gregory, J. L. Tank and W. M. Wollheim. 2004. A stable isotope tracer study of nitrogen uptake and transformation in an old-growth forest stream. Ecology 85: 1725-1739.
- Beauchamp, G., M. Guillemette and R. Ydenberg. 1992. Prey selection while diving by Common Eiders Somateria mollissima. Animal Behaviour 44: 417-426.
- Bolnick, D. I., R. Svanbäck, J. A. Fordyce, L. H. Yang, J. M. Davis, C. D. Hulsey and M. L. Forister. 2003. The ecology of individuals: Incidence and implications of individual specialization. American Naturalist 161: 1-28.

- Brown, P. W. and L. H. Fredrickson. 1997. White-winged Scoter *Melanitta fusca in* The Birds of North America Online (A. Poole, Ed.). Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York.
- Burnham, K. P. and D. R. Anderson. 2002. Model Selection and Multimodel Inference: A Practical Information Theoretic Approach. Springer, New York, New York.
- Carlton, J. T. 2007. The Light and Smith Manual: Intertidal Invertebrates from Central California to Oregon. University of California Press, Berkeley, California.
- De Leeuw, J. J. and M. R van Eerden. 1992. Size selection in diving Tufted Ducks *Aythya fuligula* explained by differential handling of small and large mussels *Dreissena polymorpha*. Ardea 80: 353-362.
- Dorfman, E. and R. T. Kingsford. 2001. Movements of cormorants in arid Australia. Journal of Arid Environments 49: 677-694
- Dudas, S. E., I. J. McGaw and J. F. Dower. 2005. Selective crab predation on native and introduced bivalves in British Columbia. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 325: 8-17
- Fauchald P., K. E. Erikstad and H. Skarsfjord. 2000. Scale-dependent predator-prey interactions: The hierarchical spatial distribution of seabirds and prey. Ecology 81: 773-78.
- Gillespie G. E, M. Parker and W. Merilees. 1999. Distribution, abundance, biology and fisheries potential of the exotic Varnish Clam *Nuttallia obscurata* in British Columbia. Canadian Stock Assessment Secretariat, Research Document 99/193. Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Nanaimo, British Columbia.
- Goudie, R. I. and C. D. Ankney. 1986. Body size, activity budgets, and diets of sea ducks wintering in Newfoundland. Ecology 67:1475-1482.
- Goss-Custard, J. D. 1984. Intake rates and food supply in migrating and wintering shorebirds. Pages 233-270 *in* Shorebirds: migration and foraging behavior (J. Burger and B. L. Olla, Eds.). Behavior of marine animals: current perspectives in research 6. Plenum Press, New York, New York.
- Grosz, T. and C. F. Yocum. 1972. Food habits of the White-winged Scoter in northwestern California. Journal of Wildlife Management 36: 1279-1282.
- Haramis, G. M. J. D. Nichols, K. H. Pollock and J. E Hines. 1986. The relationship between body mass and survival of wintering canvasbacks. Auk 103: 506-514.
- Heath, J. P., W. A. Montevecchi and G. J. Robertson. 2008. Allocating foraging effort across multiple time scales: behavioral responses to environmental conditions by Harlequin Ducks wintering at Cape St. Mary's, Newfoundland. Waterbirds 31: 71-80.
- Hirsch, K. V. 1980. Winter ecology of sea ducks in the inland marine waters of Washington. Unpublished M.Sc. Thesis, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington.
- Hodges, J. I., D. Groves and A. Breault. 2005. Aerial survey of wintering waterbirds in the proposed Nai Kun

Wind Farm Project Area of Hecate Strait. http://alaska.fws.gov/mbsp/mbm/waterfowl/surveys/pdf/Nai%20Kun%202005%20Report.pdf, accessed 22 February 2012.

- Iverson, S. A., D. Esler and D. J. Rizzolo. 2004. Winter philopatry of Harlequin Ducks in Prince William Sound, Alaska. Condor 106: 711-715.
- Janssen, M. H., P. Arcese, T. K. Kyser, D. F. Bertram, L. McFarlane-Tranquilla, T. D. Williams and D. R. Norris. 2009. Pre-breeding diet, condition, and timing of breeding in a threatened seabird, the Marbled Murrelet *Brachyramphus marmoratus* Marine Ornithology 37: 33-40.
- Karasov, W. H. 1990. Digestion in birds: Chemical and physiological determinants and ecological implications. Studies in Avian Biology 13: 391-415.
- Kirk, M., D. Esler, S. A. Iverson and W. S. Boyd. 2008. Movements of wintering Surf Scoters: predator responses to different prey landscapes. Oecologia 155: 859-867.
- Lacroix, D. L., S. Boyd, D. Esler, M. Kirk, T. Lewis and S. Lipovsky. 2005. Surf Scoters *Melanitta perspicillata* aggregate in association with ephemerally abundant polychaetes. Marine Ornithology 33: 61-63.
- Lewis, T. L., D. Esler and W. S. Boyd. 2007. Effects of predation by sea ducks on clam abundance in softbottom intertidal habitats. Marine Ecology Progress Series 329: 131-144.
- Lewis, T. L., D. Esler and W. S. Boyd. 2008. Foraging behaviors of Surf and White-winged Scoters in relation to clam density: Inferring food availability and habitat quality. Auk 125: 149-157.
- LGL Limited, KS Biological Services and P. G. E. C. Ltd. 2009a. Technical Volume 3 of the Environmental Assessment Application for the Naikun Offshore Wind Energy Project: Marine Physical Environment in the Naikun Offshore Wind Energy Project Area. http:// a100.gov.bc.ca/appsdata/epic/html/deploy/epic_ document_230_29851.html, accessed 22 February 2012.
- LGL Limited, KS Biological Services and P. G. E. C. Ltd. 2009b. Technical Volume 8 of the Environmental Assessment Application for the Naikun Offshore Wind Energy Project: Marine Birds and Sea Turtles in the Naikun Offshore Wind Energy Project Area. http://a100.gov.bc.ca/appsdata/epic/html/deploy/epic_document_230_29856.html, accessed 22 February 2012.
- Lima, S. L. 1986. Predation risk and unpredictable feeding conditions: Determinants of body mass in birds. Ecology 67: 377-385.
- Lok, E. K., M. Kirk, D. Esler and W. S. Boyd. 2008. Movements of pre-migratory Surf and White-winged Scoters in response to Pacific herring spawn. Waterbirds 31: 385-393.
- Mcgilvrey, F. B. 1967. Food habits of seaducks from the northeastern United States. Wildfowl Trust 18: 142-145.
- Oro, D. and R. W. Furness. 2002. Influences of food availability and predation on survival of Kittiwakes. Ecology 83: 2516-2528.

- Palm, E. C. 2012. Trophic, energetic, and physiological responses of wintering White-winged Scoters *Melanitta fusca* to habitat variation. Unpublished M.Sc. Thesis, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia.
- Pierotti, R. and C. A. Annett. 1991. Diet choice in the Herring Gull: Constraints imposed by reproductive and ecological factors. Ecology 72: 319-328.
- Poulton, V. K, J. R. Lovvorn and J. Y. Takekawa. 2002. Clam density and scaup feeding behavior in San Pablo Bay, California. Condor 104: 518-527.
- R Development Core Team. 2011. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Ricciardi, A. and E. Bourget. 1998. Weight-to-weight conversion factors for marine benthic macroinvertebrates. Marine Ecology Progress Series 163: 245-251.
- Robertson, G. J. and F. Cooke. 1999. Winter philopatry in migratory waterfowl. Auk 116: 20-34.
- Robertson, G. J., F. Cooke, R. I. Goudie and W. S. Boyd. 2000. Spacing patterns, mating systems, and winter philopatry in Harlequin Ducks. Auk 117: 299-307.
- Savard, J-P. L. 1979. Marine birds of Dixon Entrance, Hecate Strait and Chatham Sound, B.C. during fall 1977 and winter 1978 (number, species, composition and distribution). Canadian Wildlife Service Technical Report, Delta, British Columbia.
- Sorensen, M. C., J. M. Hipfner, T. K. Kyser and D. R. Norris. 2009. Carry-over effects in a Pacific seabird: Stable isotope evidence that pre-breeding diet qual-

ity influences reproductive success. Journal of Animal Ecology 78: 460-467.

- Stott, R. S. and D. P. Olson. 1973. Food-habitat relationship of sea ducks on the New Hampshire coastline. Ecology 54: 996-1007.
- Tschaekofske, H. J. 2010. Prey selection and its relationship to habitat and foraging strategy of molting White-winged *Melanitta fusca* and Surf Scoters *M. perspicillata* in Puget Sound, WA and the Strait of Georgia, BC. Unpublished M.ES. Thesis, Evergreen State College, Olympia, Washington.
- Vermeer, K. and N. Bourne. 1984. The White-winged Scoter diet in British Columbia waters: resource partitioning with other scoters. Pages 62-73 in Marine birds: their feeding ecology and commercial fisheries relationships (D. N. Nettleship, G. A. Sanger and P. F. Springer, Eds.). Canadian Wildlife Service Special Publication, Ottawa, Ontario.
- Warton, D. I. and F. K. C. Hui. 2011. The arcsine is asinine: The analysis of proportions in ecology. Ecology 92: 3-10.
- White, T. P., R. R. Veit and M. C. Perry. 2009. Feeding ecology of Long-tailed Ducks *Clangula hyemalis* wintering on the Nantucket Shoals. Waterbirds 32: 293-299.
- Yocum, C. F. and M. Keller. 1961. Correlation of food habits and abundance of waterfowl, Humboldt Bay, California. California Fish and Game 47: 41-53.
- Žalakevicius, M., S. Svazas, V. Stanevicius and G. Vaitkus. 1995. Bird migration & wintering in Lithuania. Acta Zoologica Lituanica 2: a monograph.