

Genetic organization of the *unc-22 IV* gene and the adjacent region in *Caenorhabditis elegans*

Teresa M. Rogalski* and David L. Baillie

Department of Biological Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada V5A 1S6

Summary. The genetic organization of the region immediately adjacent to the *unc-22 IV* gene in *Caenorhabditis elegans* has been studied. We have identified twenty essential genes in this interval of approximately 1.5-map units on Linkage Group *IV*. The mutations that define these genes were positioned by recombination mapping and complementation with several deficiencies. With few exceptions, the positions obtained by these two methods agreed. Eight of the twenty essential genes identified are represented by more than one allele. Three possible internal deletions of the *unc-22* gene have been located by intra-genic mapping. In addition, the right end point of a deficiency or an inversion affecting the adjacent genes *let-56* and *unc-22* has been positioned inside the *unc-22* gene.

Introduction

Our laboratory is investigating genomic organization and gene regulation in Caenorhabditis elegans by characterizing, first genetically and then at the molecular level, several small defined regions in the genome of this organism (Moerman and Baillie 1979; Rose and Baillie 1980; Rogalski et al. 1982; T. Rogalski and D. Baillie, unpublished results). This paper describes a genetic analysis of one of these regions: an interval of approximately 1.5 map units including unc-22 *IV*, a gene affecting muscle structure and function in *C*. elegans (Brenner 1974; Waterston et al. 1980; Zengel and Epstein 1980; Moerman 1980; Moerman et al. 1982). In this study we combine a fine-structure analysis of the unc-22 IV gene with the genetic characterization of a small, defined region around this muscle-specific gene. One of the goals of our analysis is to delimit the extents and relative positions of the structural and regulatory elements of the unc-22 gene by intra-genic recombination mapping and by the identification of the adjacent genes on either side of unc-22. A second goal of the analysis is to determine the number of genes in the small region of linkage group IV defined by the deficiency sDf2 (Moerman and Baillie 1981).

Previous genetic studies of the *unc-22* region of linkage group *IV* have included a fine-structure analysis of the *unc-22* gene (Moerman and Baillie 1979; Moerman 1980) and the identification of eleven essential genes near *unc-22* (Rogalski et al. 1982). This study extends both the fine-structure analysis of the *unc-22* gene and the characterization of the region defined by sDf2. We expand and refine the existing *unc-22* fine-structure map and position the right breakpoint of a chromosomal rearrangement inside the *unc-22* gene. We also identify and position nine additional essential genes and one nonessential gene in the interval around *unc-22*.

Materials and methods

Nematode strains and culture conditions. The nomenclature in this paper follows the uniform system adopted for *Caen*orhabditis elegans (Horvitz et al. 1979). The wild-type (N2) strain and strains carrying unc-5(e152)IV, dpy-4(e1166)IV and dpy-11(e224) V were originally obtained from the Caenorhabditis elegans var. Bristol, stock collection at the Medical Research Council, Cambridge, England. The dpy-20(e1282) IV strain was obtained from the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center at the University of Missouri, Columbia. The following mutations and deficiencies of the unc-22 gene were isolated at Simon Fraser University: s7, s8, s12, s18 and s32 (Moerman and Baillie 1979), s35, s36, sDf2, sDf7, sDf8, sDf9, sDf10 and sDf19 (Moerman and Baillie 1981), and s699 and s700 (R. Rosenbluth, unpublished results). All of the unc-22 mutations and deficiencies have a recessive Unc-22 (twitcher) phenotype except sDf19, which has a dominant twitcher phenotype. The lethal mutations and deficiencies, with the exception of sDf19, were maintained as described in Rogalski et al. (1982). In the case of sDf19, the heterozygous strain was maintained by selecting Unc-22 (twitcher) progeny each generation. Nematodes were cultured on NGM Agar streaked with E. coli OP50 as described by Brenner (1974).

Isolation and mapping of unc-22-linked lethal and sterile mutations. Several unc-22-linked lethal and sterile mutations were isolated using essentially the same protocol described in Rogalski et al. (1982). However, in this study only 0.025 M ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS) was used, and the F1 hermaphrodites were incubated at 20° C instead of 26° C.

The procedures used to position the visible, lethal and sterile mutations and to identify lethal complementation groups are the same as those described in Rogalski et al. (1982).

Complementation tests between the lethal mutations and the dominant mutation *sDf19* were performed as fol-

^{*} Present address: Division of Biological Sciences, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211 USA

lows. Hermaphrodites with the genotype, sDf19(IV)/+; dpy-11(e224)V, were mated to let-a(sx) unc-22(s7)/++males and the outcrossed Unc-22 hermaphrodites were picked and allowed to reproduce in order to determine their genotypes. If none of the F1 hermaphrodites from a particular cross carried the *let-a* unc-22 chromosome, then the lethal mutation was considered to be included in the deficiency.

Mapping alleles within the unc-22 gene. The procedure used for the fine-structure mapping of the unc-22 gene was modified from that of Moerman and Baillie (1979). Thirty to 100 young adult hermaphrodites of the genotype unc-5 unc-22(sx) dpy-4/+ unc-22(sy) + were placed singly on large (100 mm) petri plates and transferred to fresh plates every 6 to 12 h until there were approximately 200 plates. The plates were incubated at 15° C to 20° C until the F2 generation was mature (6 days at 20° C) and then they were screened for individuals that did not twitch (either wildtype, Dpy, Dpy Unc-5 or Unc-5). All nonUnc-22 recombinants were picked and their genotype confirmed. Since the hermaphrodites used in the fine-structure mapping experiments were heterozygous for the flanking markers, unc-5 and dpy-4, the relative positions of the two unc-22 alleles being mapped could be determined. If sy is to the left of sx, then the recombinant unc-22(+) chromosome will carry the unc-5 marker, and recombinant individuals will be phenotypically wild-type (unc-5 + +/+ sy +) or Unc-5 $(unc-5 + +/unc-5 \ sx \ dpy-4)$. Alternatively, if sy is to the right of sx then the dpy-4 marker will be carried on the recombinant chromosome and the nonUnc-22 recombinants will be either wild-type (+ dpy-4/+sy +) or Dpy-4 $(+ + dpy-4/unc-5 \ sx \ dpy-4).$

The map distance between two unc-22 alleles was calculated using the formula $d = 2(W) \times 100/T$ from Moerman and Baillie (1979) where d = map distance; W = nonUnc-22recombinants and T =total F2 progeny. The total number of F2 progeny was estimated in the following manner. First, the total number of F1 individuals was estimated by counting all of the F1 progeny on a sample (20%) of the plates. This number was then halved to give the number of F1 heterozygotes, since the required recombination event can only occur in these individuals. Next, the total number of progeny produced by an unc-5 unc-22(sx) dpy-4/+ unc-22(sy) + heterozygote was determined by completely counting all of the progeny of 10 such hermaphrodites. However, not all of the progeny of an F1 heterozygote are screened in these experiments, since screening is done at low magnifications ($6 \times$ and $12 \times$) and only recombinant individuals which were at the L3 or later stages of development would be observed. Approximately one-half of the F2 progeny would be at the appropriate developmental stages at the time the plates were screened. Therefore, the total number of F2 progeny that were screened was estimated as the number of F1 heterozygotes multiplied by one-half the total progeny of a heterozygous hermaphrodite.

The procedure for mapping the breakpoint of sDf19 inside the *unc-22* gene was identical to that described above. Fifty *unc-5 unc-22(sx) dpy-4/+ sDf19 +* hermaphrodites were placed on large plates and transferred at 12 h intervals until there were 200 plates, and the F2 generation was screened for nonUnc-22 recombinants. The map distance from the deficiency breakpoint to the *unc-22* allele was calculated using the above formula except that the number of nonUnc-22 recombinants was multiplied by 4, since half of the recombinants would not be observed due to the dominant twitcher phenotype of the sDf19/+ heterozygote.

Results

Essential genes around unc-22

In a previous study we isolated a set of lethal and sterile mutations linked to unc-22(s7) and tested these mutations for complementation with the deficiency sDf2 (Rogalski et al. 1982). The sixteen mutations that were uncovered by sDf2 were positioned by recombination mapping and deficiency mapping, and eleven essential genes were identified by complementation analysis. In this study we have isolated another set of unc-22-linked lethal and sterile mutations, positioned these mutations by recombination mapping and then determined which mutations were in the region defined by sDf2. The mutations that failed to complement this deficiency were further positioned by complementation with the sDf7, sDf8, sDf9 and sDf10 deficiencies and nine new lethal complementation groups were identified. In addition we also positioned, by recombination mapping, the lethal and sterile mutations from the previous study that complemented sDf2.

A total of 26 strains carrying a lethal or sterile mutation linked to unc-22(s7) was isolated in this study. The first step in mapping the lethal and sterile mutations was to determine whether each mutation was to the left or to the right of to unc-22 IV. The map distance from the lethal site to the unc-22 gene was then determined by two-factor recombination mapping. Thirty-seven mutations were mapped in this manner, including 10 mutations (s50, s52, s47, s51, s63, s166, s169, s212, s213 and s215) from our previous study (Rogalski et al. 1982) and one mutation (s504) which was isolated and positioned by D. Pilgrim (personal communication). Thirty-two of the lethal mutations mapped to the left of unc-22, whereas only five mapped to its right (data not shown). The recombination data obtained for the mutations uncovered by sDf2 (see below) are summarized in Table 1.

The thirty-seven lethal and sterile mutations that were positioned by recombination mapping were tested for complementation with sDf2. Nineteen of these, 18 of which map to the left of *unc-22*, complemented sDf2 and, therefore, lie outside of its region. The number of essential genes defined by these 19 mutations was not determined.

The remaining 18 lethal and sterile mutations failed to complement sDf2. The results of complementation tests with the deficiencies, sDf7, sDf8, sDf9 and sDf10, localized 16 of these 18 mutations into six separate zones (see Fig. 1). Table 2 summarizes the results of these tests. Complementation tests were done between lethal mutations that occupied the same zone. These tests identified 12 essential genes. Further complementation tests were done with mutations representing the eleven previously identified essential genes (Rogalski et al. 1982), and the 20 genes defined by these tests are shown in the first column of Table 2. Figure 1 shows the positions of these genes. Sixteen lethals are in zone 1, and they define 12 loci, let-69(s684), let-70(s689), let-71(s692), let-61(s65), let-72(s52, s695), let-59(s49, s172), let-62(s175), let-63(s170, s679), let-64(s171, s216), let-

Table 1. Two-factor mapping results for unc-22-linked lethal and sterile mutations uncovered by the deficiency sDf2 IV in Caenor-habditis elegans

Muta-	Gene	Position relative to <i>unc-22</i>	Number	of progeny	Map units	
tion			Unc-22	Wild	from unc-22	
e1282	dpv-20	Not done	7	4186	0.25 (0.11–0.36)	
s50	let-56	left	0	2342	~	
s52	let-72	left	9	1423	0.94 (0.43–1.79)	
s504	let-92	left	4	5632	0.11 (0.03-0.27)	
s677	let-92	left	4	1980	0.30 (0.08-0.77)	
s678	let-91	left	7	2279	0.46 (0.18-0.94)	
s679	let-63	left	9	2112	0.64 (0.29–1.21)	
s680	let-68	right	8	2250	0.53 (0.23-1.05)	
s681		left	8	2360	0.51 (0.22-0.99)	
s684	let-69	left	16	2011	1.19 (0.61–1.77)	
s685	let-73	left	9	2148	0.63 (0.29–1.19)	
s689	let-70	left	18	2521	1.07 (0.58-1.56)	
s692	let-71	left	19	2478	1.15 (0.63-1.66)	
s693	let-68	right	8	2301	0.52 (0.22-1.03)	
s694	let-65	left	5	1785	0.42 (0.14-0.97)	
s695	let-72	left	14	2261	0.92 (0.51-1.55)	
s696	let-68	right	3	1524	0.29 (0.06-0.86)	
s697	let-74	left	6	1542	0.58 (0.21-1.27)	
s698		right	1	4185	0.04 (0.001-0.16)	

^a The hermaphrodites used for 2-Factor recombination mapping had the following genotype: *unc-22(s7) let-a(sx)*/++

^b Map units = 100 p and $p = 1 - \sqrt{1 - 3U/U + W}$ where p = recombination frequency, U = number of Unc-22 progeny and W =number of Wild progeny. 95% confidence intervals are shownin the brackets and were calculated using the formula

1.96/ \overline{Npq} where N=W, p=U/W and q=1-p or using the table of Stevens (1942) 73(s685), let-74(s697) and let-91(s678). There are four lethals in zone 2 that define two genes, let-65(s174, s254, s694) and let-60(s59). The two lethal mutations in zone 3, s504 and s677, are alleles of let-92. Similarly, the four mutations in zone 4, s46, s50, s168 and s173, are all alleles of let-56. This zone also contains the unc-22 gene. Zone 5 contains s42, which defines the let-52 locus, whereas zone 6 has five mutations that define three loci, let-66(s176), let-67(s214) and let-68(s680, s693, s696). Sixteen of the let loci map to the left of unc-22, and four map to the right of it. The lethal, sterile and visible mutations so far uncovered by sDf2 represent 22 loci in a 1.5 map unit interval.

Two of the 18 lethal mutations uncovered by sDf2 did not behave as simply as the other mutations. The s681 mutation failed to complement mutations in two genes, *let-59* (s49, s172) and *let-62*(s175), which map close to each other and may be adjacent genes. This mutation could be a small deletion or some other chromosomal rearrangement affecting these two genes. An alternative possibility is that *let-59* and *let-62* represent a complex locus with complementing alleles.

In the case of s698, the mapping experiments gave conflicting results. Recombination mapping placed s698 0.04 map unit to the right of *unc-22* (Table 1). These results suggest that s698 is very close to *unc-22*. In contrast, s698complemented the four deficiencies, sDf7, sDf8, sDf9, and sDf10, as well as *let-66(s176)* and *let-67(s214)* but failed to complement the three alleles of *let-68*. Therefore, based on complementation results, this mutation lies in zone 6 and is an allele of *let-68*, which maps 0.5 map unit to the right of *unc-22*. An explanation for these conflicting data is that s698 is an inversion with one breakpoint in the *let-68*



Fig. 1. Map of essential genes, non-essential genes and deficiencies in the region immediately adjacent to the *unc-22 IV* locus. The relative positions of nonessential genes in this region are shown on the top map. The bottom map is an expansion of the region between *unc-43* and *unc-31*. The positions of the deficiencies are shown below this map. The six zones defined by the deficiency endpoints are also shown. The genes in each zone have not been positioned relative to each other

Table 2. Complementation tests between mutations and deficiencies in the *unc-22 IV* region of *Caenorhabditis elegans*^a

Genes	Alleles	sDf7	sDf8	sDf9	sDf10	Zone
let-69	s684	+	+	+	+	1
let-70	s689	+	+	+	+	1
let-71	s692	+	+	+	+	1
let-61	s65	+	+	+	+	1
let-72	s52, s695	+	+	+	+	1
let-59	s49, s172	+	+	+	+	1
let-62	s175	+	+	+	+	1
let-63	s170, s679	+	+	+	+	1
let-64	s171, s216	+	+	+	+	1
let-73	s685	+	4	+	+	1
let-74	s697	+	+	+	+	1
let-91	s678	+	+	+	+	1
let-65	s174, s254, s694	+	_	+	_	2
let-60	s59	+		+	_	2
dpy-20	e1282	+		+	_	2
let-92	s504, s677	_		+	_	3
let-56	s46, s50, s168, s173	-		-	-	4
unc-22	s7	_			_	4
let-52	s42	_	+	-	_	5
let-66	s176	+	+	+	+	6
let-67	s214	+	+	+	+	6
let-68	s680, s693, s696	+	+	+	+	6

^a Complementation is indicated by + and noncomplementation is indicated by -

gene and the other breakpoint near *unc-22* in a nonessential region, or in another essential gene in zone 6 which has not yet been identified.

The visible mutation dpy-20(e1282) was also tested for complementation with sDf2 and was found to lie inside the region uncovered by this deficiency. The map distance between dpy-20 and unc-22 was determined (Table 1), and this gene was then positioned into zone 2 by complementation mapping with sDf7, sDf8, sDf9 and sDf10 (Table 2). In addition to the twenty essential genes, there are at least two nonessential genes in the 1.5 map unit interval defined by sDf2.

Fine structure analysis of the unc-22 gene

Three of the *unc-22* alleles that were used in this study, s8, s12, and s18, had been positioned relative to each other in the initial fine-structure map of this gene (Moerman and Baillie 1979). The s8 and s12 mutations are located near the left and right boundaries of the map whereas s18 lies near the center (see Fig. 2). These three alleles were used as reference points to position several other mutations in the *unc-22* gene.

Three intragenic mapping experiments were performed in order to refine the positions of 3 previously mapped *unc-22* alleles (Moerman 1980). The results of these mapping experiments are summarized in Table 3 and Fig. 2.

The EMS-induced *unc-22* allele, s32, is suppressed by the informational suppressors *sup-5 III* (Waterston and Brenner 1978) and *sup-7* X (Waterston 1981) and is, therefore, in the structural element of the *unc-22* gene (Moerman 1980; D. Moerman, personal communication). Prior to this analysis, s32 had been positioned only relative to s8, which is near the left boundary of the fine-structure map (Moerman 1980). We have positioned s32 relative to s12, pre-



Fig. 2. A fine structure map of the *unc-22 IV* gene showing the positions of several newly mapped alleles and the inferred right breakpoint of sDf19. The positions of the s8, s18 and s12 alleles are from Moerman (1980). The mutations shown above the line have been positioned relative to each other

 Table 3. Results of fine-structure mapping experiments between alleles of the unc-22 IV gene in Caenorhabditis elegans

Alleles tested ^a	Recombi- nants ^b	Total F2 progeny	Map distance	L/R posi- tion
s12/s32	0	350,000		
s18/s35	0	150,000	-	_
s18/s36	Ó	270,000		-
s8/s699	2 Wild, 1 Dpy-4	340,000	0.0018	s8/s699
s18/s699	2 Unc-5, 1 Wild	510,000	0.0012	s699/s18
s8/s700	4 Dpy-4, 4 Wild	610,000	0.0026	s8/s700
s18/s700	0	780,000	_	_
s8/sDf19	0	180,000	_	_
s18/sDf19	2 Unc-5	270,000	0.003°	sDf19/s18
s12/sDf19	6 Unc-5	270,000	0.009°	sDf19/s12

^a The mutation at the left was on the unc-5(e152) unc-22(sx) dpy-4(e1166) chromosome

^b Only recombinants resulting from a single crossover are shown. The genotype of all the recombinants was determined. The wild recombinants listed in this Table segregated the appropriate markers

^c The number of recombinants was multiplied by 4 because onehalf of them will not be seen due to the dominant twitcher phenotype of the *sDf19* mutation

viously the right-most allele of the *unc-22* gene. Since no recombination occurred between these two alleles (Table 3), the site of the s32 mutation must be very close to the s12 site (Fig. 2).

Four formaldehyde-induced *unc-22* mutations had previously been positioned within the *unc-22* fine-structure map between s8 and s12. We have mapped two of these mutations, s35 and s36, against the s18 allele of this gene. In both cases, no recombination occurred between the two alleles being tested (Table 3). These results suggest that both s35 and s36 map very close to s18. The new positions of these two mutations are shown in Fig. 2.

Two new gamma-ray-induced *unc-22* alleles were positioned within the *unc-22* fine-structure map relative to the s8 and s18 alleles (Table 3; Fig. 2). The s699 mutation maps between s8 and s18 and is the first allele to be positioned in this region. The s700 mutation maps to the right of s8; however, since this allele failed to recombine with s18, it is not positioned relative to this site.

The sDf19 mutation was isolated as a dominant Unc-22 (twitcher) mutation that was also homozygous lethal (D. Moerman, unpublished results). Complementation tests were done with sDf19 and mutations representing *let-51*,

let-59, let-60, let-92, let-56 and let-52. Only the let-56(s46) mutations failed to complement the lethal phenotype of sDf19 indicating that this mutation affects another gene besides *unc-22*. Taken together, the fine-structure mapping experiments (Table 3) and complementation results indicate that sDf19 is either a deletion that breaks inside the *unc-22* gene and extends into *let-56*, the adjacent gene to the left of *unc-22*, or an inversion with its breakpoints in these two genes. The right endpoint of sDf19 was positioned inside the *unc-22* gene between s8 and s18 (Fig. 2). Since sDf19 affects only *unc-22* and *let-56*, which may be adjacent genes, it is not possible to distinguish between these two possibilities at this time. The dominant twitcher phenotype of sDf19 is presumably due to the breakpoint inside the *unc-22* gene.

A total of 37 nonUnc-22 hermaphrodites was found in the fine-structure mapping experiments. All of these exceptional individuals were allowed to produce self-cross progeny in order to determine their genotype. Twenty-two of these individuals were the result of a single recombination event between the two unc-22 alleles being mapped (i.e. they showed the exchange of one of the flanking markers). Only these 22 recombinants were used to position alleles and to determine map distances (Table 3). Seven of the recombinant hermaphrodites carried unc-22(+) chromosomes without either flanking marker and three carried recombinant chromosomes with both unc-5 and dpy-4. These ten individuals could have resulted from either a double crossover or gene conversion of one of the unc-22 alleles. Two of the five remaining individuals were sterile, and the other three behaved anomalously.

Nine of the ten gene conversion or double crossover events occurred in the two mapping experiments involving s700. Six were found in the experiment with s18 and three in the experiment positioning s8 and s700. The remaining event occurred in the experiment that positioned s699 relative to s8.

Discussion

The region around the *unc-22* gene on linkage group IV is at present the best genetically characterized small region in C. elegans. This interval, which is represented by the deficiency, *sDf2*, is approximately 1.5 map units in length and extends in both directions from the unc-22 gene. A total of 32 lethal and sterile mutations defining 20 essential genes has been identified and positioned in the sDf2 region (Table 2). Sixteen of the essential genes are in a 1.0 map unit interval to the left of unc-22 whereas the remaining four genes are in an interval of approximately 0.5 map unit to its right. Only eight of the essential genes uncovered by sDf2 are represented by more than one allele (let-59, let-63, let-64, let-72 and let-92 have two alleles each; let-65 and *let-68* have three alleles each; *let-56* has four alleles). A Poisson analysis of the data indicates that this small region of the C. elegans genome is not saturated for essential genes. Using the equation of Meneely and Herman (1979) for estimating the total number of genes in a region, we estimate that there is a total of 32 essential genes uncovered by the sDf2 deficiency. This region of Linkage Group IV is one of several regions of the C. elegans genome that have been extensively characterized (Meneely and Herman 1979, 1981; Rose and Baillie 1980; Sigurdson et al. 1984).

The extent of sDf^2 was determined from the recombination data obtained for the lethal and sterile mutations. These results indicate that the left endpoint of sDf2 is between 0.8–1.2 map units from *unc-22*. The right endpoint of sDf2 is less firmly positioned. This deficiency includes *let-68*, which maps 0.5 map unit from *unc-22* but does not include *unc-31*, which is approximately 1.1 map units from *unc-22* (Rogalski et al. 1982). Therefore, sDf2 extends at least 0.5 map unit and possibly as far as 1.1 map units to the right of *unc-22*.

The results obtained in our initial analysis (Rogalski et al. 1982) suggested that the essential genes in the sDf2region were clustered to the left of the unc-22 gene. In order to determine whether this was the case, we positioned the lethal and sterile mutations that lie outside the region uncovered by sDf2. All but one of these mutations, including s41, s43, s44, and s45 (Rogalski et al. 1982), map to the left of unc-22 (data not shown). The single mutation, s690, that maps to the right of *unc-22* behaves anomalously and cannot be accurately positioned (T. Rogalski, unpublished results). Surprisingly, no lethal mutation, either inside or outside sDf2, has been found between let-68 and unc-31, an interval of approximately 0.6 map unit. There are at present sixteen essential genes in the 1.0 map unit interval to the left of unc-22 and only four essential genes in the 1.0 map unit interval to its right. These results support the previous suggestion that the essential genes around unc-22 are clustered to the left of this gene.

The initial analysis of sixteen lethal mutations in the sDf2 region identified *let-56* and *let-52* as the flanking genes to the left and right of *unc-22* (Rogalski et al. 1982). The data obtained in this analysis, which characterized a further 18 lethal mutations, still support this conclusion. The distance between these two genes, about 0.1 map unit, represents the maximum size of the *unc-22* gene. The existing *unc-22* fine-structure map occupies only a small portion of this interval.

The fine-structure map of the *unc-22* gene constructed by Moerman (1980) consisted of 15 *unc-22* alleles and the estimated size of this gene was between 0.01 and 0.02 map unit. We have positioned two new alleles, s699 and s700, into this map. Both of these mutations were found to lie within the boundaries of the existing map.

As stated previously, one of the eventual goals of our analysis is to delimit the coding element of the *unc-22* gene. One of the *unc-22* alleles that we have positioned, s32, is in the coding region of the gene. The only other mutation that is believed to be in the coding region is the dominant allele, m52 which has been positioned near s18 (Moerman 1980). At this time the known coding region of the *unc-22* gene includes the right end of the fine-structure map (Fig. 2).

A comparison of the fine-structure mapping results of Moerman (1980) and the results obtained in this study suggests that the two gamma-ray-induced mutations, s699 and s700, and the formaldehyde-induced mutation, s36, may be internal deficiencies of the *unc-22* gene. However, the differences in recombination distance observed between these two studies may not be significant since few recombinants were recovered and different methods were used to estimate total sample sizes. In order to determine whether any of these mutations are internal *unc-22* deficiencies it will be necessary to characterize them at the DNA level.

Another mutation affecting the *unc-22* gene that was positioned in this analysis was sDf19, which has a dominant Unc-22 (twitcher) phenotype and is also homozygous lethal.

Both the intra-genic recombination data (Table 3) and the results of the complementation analysis (see Results) indicate that this mutation is a deficiency or an inversion affecting the two adjacent genes *let-56* and *unc-22*.

The dominant Unc-22 phenotype of sDf19 suggests that an aberrant *unc-22* protein is synthesized from the mutant gene. Possibly the normal *unc-22* promoter is intact and transcription occurs from this promoter. If the sDf19 mutation is, in fact, a deletion, then the *unc-22* promoter would be located at the right end of the fine-structure map. Alternatively the *unc-22* promoter has been deleted and the remaining segment of the gene is coupled to another promoter and is transcribed from this site.

A molecular analysis of the region represented by sDf2 is now in progress. Approximately 240,000 base pairs of DNA have been cloned in this interval of Linkage Group *IV* (Baillie et al. 1985). The sDf2, sDf7, sDf8, sDf9 and sDf10 deficiencies will be extremely useful in orienting the genetic map of this small region with respect to these DNA sequences.

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank our colleagues Raja Rosenbluth, Ann Rose and Don Moerman for helpful discussion during the course of this study. We would like to thank Cheryl Cuddeford for helping with the isolation of the lethals used in this study. We would like to acknowledge the technical assistance of L. Hale and M.M. Rogalski. This work was supported by grants from NSERC of Canada, The Muscular Dystrophy Association of Canada, and the National Cancer Institute of Canada. T.M.R. was supported by a Simon Fraser Open graduate scholarship. Some of the strains used were provided by the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center, which is supported by contract NOI-AG-9-2113 between the National Institutes of Health and the Curators of the University of Missouri.

References

- Baillie DL, Beckenbach KA, Rose AM (1985) Cloning within the unc-43 unc-31 IV interval of the Caenorhabditis elegans genome. Can J Genet Cytol (in press)
- Brenner S (1974) The Genetics of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 77:71–94
- Horvitz HR, Brenner S, Hodgkin J, Herman RK (1979) A uniform

genetic nomenclature for the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Mol Gen Genet 175:129–133

- Meneely PM, Herman RK (1979) Lethals, steriles and deficiencies in a region of the X chromosome of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 92:99–115
- Meneely PM, Herman RK (1981) Suppression and function of X-linked lethal and sterile mutations in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 97:65–84
- Moerman DG (1980) A genetic analysis of the *unc-22* region in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Ph.D. thesis, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia
- Moerman DG, Baillie DL (1979) Genetic organization in Caenorhabditis elegans: Fine-structure analysis of the unc-22 gene. Genetics 91:95–103
- Moerman DG, Baillie DL (1981) Formaldehyde mutagenesis in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Mutat Res 80:273–279
- Moerman DG, Plurad S, Waterston RH, Baillie DL (1982) Mutations in the *unc-54* myosin heavy chain gene in *Caenorhabditis elegans* that alter contractility but not muscle structure. Cell 29:773-781
- Rogalski TM, Moerman DG, Baillie DL (1982) Essential genes and deficiencies in the *unc-22 IV* region of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 102:725–736
- Rose AM, Baillie DL (1980) Genetic organization of the region around *unc-15 I*, a gene affecting paramyosin in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 96:639–648
- Sigurdson DC, Spanier GJ, Herman RK (1984) Caenorhabditis elegans deficiency mapping. Genetics 108:331-345
- Stevens WL (1942) Accuracy of mutation rates. J Genet 43: 301–307
- Waterston RH (1981) A second informational suppressor, sup-7 X, in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 97:307–325
- Waterston RH, Brenner S (1978) A suppressor mutation in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* acting on specific alleles of many genes. Nature 275:715-719
- Waterston RH, Thomson JN, Brenner S (1980) Mutants with altered muscle structure in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Dev Biol 77:271-302
- Zengel JM, Epstein HF (1980) Identification of genetic elements associated with muscle structure in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Cell Motility 1:73–97

Communicated by G. Smith

Received June 15, 1984 / August 27, 1985