

COGS 200: Foundations in cognitive science
Wed 9:30-12:20, WMC2521

Contact Information

Instructor:	John Alderete	Webpage:	www.sfu.ca/~alderete
Office:	RCB 8117	E-mail:	alderete@sfu.ca
Office Hours:	Thurs 11:30am-12:30pm	Prerequisite:	COGS 100, or by consent

Course description:

This course is an in-depth introduction to the methods and theoretical frameworks for exploring the mind. It introduces students to some of the major results in cognitive science and fleshes out several of the foundational debates that have fueled investigations in the past fifty years. Taking an interdisciplinary approach, the course illustrates how a convergence of ideas from psychology, philosophy, linguistics, and computer science has led to deep explanations of human cognitive capacities, as well as clarified some research questions that are being actively investigated today. Because of the expanded nature of the field, we will build foundations by focusing on a few core theories, including symbolic-computational and connectionist theories of cognitive processes. We will also tend to concentrate on certain core problems in cognitive science, like language processing, human rationality, object processing, concepts, and learning in general.

Required texts:

- *Cognitive science: An introduction to the science of the mind*, José Luis Bermúdez, 2014 (2nd Edition), Cambridge University Press.
- Supplemental readings (see Bibliography) available electronically on Canvas

Course requirements and weightings of assignments

Interpretive exercises (several)	10%
Participation (commentaries on Canvas)	10%
Presentation (one):	20%
90 minute exams (two):	60%

Exams: Feb. 13, Mar. 27

Missed exams: Please put these dates in your calendar. If you miss an exam for medical reasons, please bring a certificate of illness (from SFU Health & Counselling) that documents the the illness with your regular health care provider. I will confirm the justification for the absence and excuse the absence. Excused absences will have the result that the missed exam will not factor in your grade. Unexcused absences will result in a zero.

Course philosophy

Discussion-oriented lectures The course is really built on class discussion. Each class has a topic area and a set of questions and exercises to start us off. But the answers to the questions, or at least the next steps towards the answers, are fleshed out in class discussion. It is often the case that class discussion identifies new directions for future investigation and reading.

Participate! Participation is formalized with the Canvas discussion board (see below), but the following general comments are helpful suggestions for your success. Active participation really facilitates learning. The fastest way to learn the material is to engage fully in the class activities. There are many different ways to participate.

Active listening is also extremely important, and can be demonstrated by including points from class discussion in your written work.

Working groups: In the beginning of the semester you will be assigned to work groups of approximately five people. You will work in these groups for various small group activities, including the interpretative exercises.

Reading in detail We use a textbook, but this is really just the beginning of our reading. We also read detailed treatments of selected problems. Some of this reading will be challenging and hopefully thought-provoking to you. If you find yourself getting stuck, or that there are obstacles to your participation in the class, please come and talk to me about this. Don't let small obstacles get in the way of understanding.

Assignments

Interpretive exercises There will be several of these, perhaps one every other week. They are focused questions designed to flesh out the reading or an assignment built around the objective for the week. In "Group Quizzes" you will take a quiz and then work in groups to find consensus answers. Grades are based simply on completion. That is, completed exercises = 100%, incomplete exercises = 0%. The exams are very similar to interpretative exercises, so they are good practice for the exams.

Participation In addition to the textbook readings, there are several articles we will read to engage with course concepts. Each of these articles has one or two presenters and a discussion board associated with it on Canvas. For each article, each student is asked to make an extended comment on the reading by 9pm the day before the article is presented. An extended comment is approximately a short paragraph, with the goal of making a critical comment, making an observation about a new direction for the research, or simply asking a detailed question about what is actually being said in the article. These commentaries are used by the presenter(s) to form their discussions. These commentaries are a way for you to "get your word in" for the discussion and shape it a way that helps the presenter. To be clear, all students are required to post a comment for all articles (including both articles on a single day). These comments are graded based on completion and form the basis of your participation grade.

Presentations Each student will give one presentation. The first day of class we will assign the presentation dates to all the students. There are two types of presentations: a summary presentation and a position presentation. A *summary presentation* is composed of a ten minute summary of the assigned article, plus a 15-20 minute discussion based on the Canvas commentaries. Some articles are rather long and require two students to cover all the article in a summary presentation. In this case, the presentation will have a longer summary and discussion, and the students should clarify which parts they are doing. The *position presentation* is also connected to an article, but instead of a summary, it involves staking out a claim and supporting it with evidence and argument. It is perhaps more fun, but involves some creativity and 'out of the box' thinking. It too involves a 10 minute presentation + 15-20 minute discussion period. Students can come and talk to me about all presentations. Presentations are graded on the basis of: clarity, overall organization, skill in guiding the discussion. The general categories are: outstanding in every way (100%), excellent but could be improved (90%), very good (80%), good (70%), poor (60%).

Mechanics: students may use whatever materials they prefer, e.g., powerpoint slides, handouts, etc., but slides usually work best. Please prepare in advance your materials and ways of delivering them (laptops and adaptors for slide presentations). Usually you can email me your slides and use my laptop to present. Students are also encouraged to come and talk to me about how to structure your presentation and guide the discussion.

Lectures and assigned readings

JLB = the Bermúdez textbook 'Cognitive Science'.

Note: the dates for all exams are given in bold. Presenter spaces are given under the articles.

Jan. 9, Course introduction, assignment of articles

Objective: give a general introduction to the course and symbolic computational architectures.

Setup: symbolic systems toolbox

Jan. 16, Human rationality

Objective: use facts of human rationality as a way of exploring the modularity of mind

JLB: 10.2-10.3 (285-305)

Article: Samuels et al. (1999), Presenter: John Alderete

Setup: general linguistics toolbox and introduction to language acquisition

Jan. 23, Language acquisition and formal learning

Objective: to understand the problem posed by language acquisition with concrete examples and establish a framework for acquisition as a physical symbol system

JLB: 6.1 (141-151)

Article 1: Berko (1958), Presenter _____

Article 2: Lidz et al. (2003), Presenter _____ Position Presenter _____

Setup: object permanence and general processing of objects

Jan. 30, Spelke objects

Objective: use the FINST theory of visual indexing to account for both the infant's object concept and adult knowledge of object tracking.

JLB: 9.3 (254-261)

Article: Scholl and Leslie (1999), Presenters _____ and _____

Position Presenter _____

Setup: concept learning and development in categorization

Feb. 6, Concept learning

Objective: understand the nature of learning concepts and how it might be overcome with learning biases

Article 1: Chap. 2 of Markman (1991), Presenter: _____

Article 2: Chap. 4 of Markman (1991), Presenter: _____

Position Presenter _____

Feb. 13, Exam 1, first 90 minutes of class

Setup: toolbox for connectionist networks

Feb. 27, Language acquisition and connectionism

Objective: to reexamine a formal rule at the microstructure level and see how it can account for detailed facts in language development.

JLB: 8.1-8.2 (209-227) and 9.2 (245-254)

Article: McClelland and Rumelhart (1986), Presenters _____ and _____

Position Presenter _____

Setup: refresher on propositional attitudes, distributed representations

Mar. 6, Propositional attitudes, representation, and connectionism

Objective: investigate connectionism models of propositional memories and structured representations and examine their philosophical implications

JLB: 6.2 (151-159)

Article 1: Ramsey et al. (1990), Presenter _____

Article 2: Horgan and Tienson (1989), Presenter _____

Position Presenter _____

Setup: refresher on concept learning, connectionist learning

Mar. 13, Connectionism and concept learning

Objective: to understand how a connectionist network learn categories under different learning conditions

JLB: 8.308.4 (227-235)

Article 1: McClelland and Rumelhart (1985), Presenters _____ and _____

Position Presenter _____

Setup: refresher on objects, more advanced connectionist modeling techniques

Mar. 20, Object permanence in a connectionist model

Objective: investigate object processing at the micro-structure level

JLB: 9.4 (261-268)

Article: Munakata et al. (1997), Presenters _____ and _____

Position Presenter _____

Mar. 27, Exam 2, first 90 minutes of class

Setup: introduction to language production

Apr. 3, Hybrid model: rules and connectionism in speech production

Objective: understand how a hybrid model with symbolic and numerical computation can explain certain psychological effects in speech errors

Article: Dell (1986), Presenters _____ and _____

Position Presenter _____

Bibliography

Berko, Jean. 1958. The child's learning of English morphology. *Word* 14.150-77.

Dell, Gary S. 1986. A spreading-activation theory of retrieval in sentence production. *Psychological Review* 93.283-321.

Horgan, Terence & John Tienson. 1989. Representations without rules. *Philosophical Topics* 17.147-74.

Lidz, Jeffrey, Sandra Waxman & Jennifer Freedman. 2003. What infants know about syntax but couldn't have learned: Experimental evidence for syntactic structure at 18 months. *Cognition* 89.B65-B73.

Markman, Ellen. 1991. *Categorization and naming in children* Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.

McClelland, James L. & David Rumelhart. 1985. Distributed memory and the representation of general and specific information. *Journal of Experimental psychology: General* 114.159-88.

McClelland, James L. & David E. Rumelhart. 1986. On learning the past tenses of English verbs. *Parallel Distributed Processing: Explorations in the microstructure of cognition, Volume 2: Psychological and biological models*, ed. by J.L. McClelland, D.E. Rumelhart & T.P.R. Group, 216-71 Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.

Munakata, Yuko, James L. McClelland, Mark Johnson & Robert Siegler. 1997. Rethinking infant knowledge: Toward an adaptive process account of success and failures on object permanence tasks. *Psychological Review* 104.686-713.

Ramsey, William, Stephen Stich & Joseph Garon. 1990. Connectionism, eliminativism and the future of folk psychology. *Connectionism: Debates on folk psychology*, ed. by C. Macdonald & G. Macdonald, 311-38. Cambridge, MA: Basil Blackwell.

Samuels, Richard, Stephen Stich & Patrice D. Tremoulet. 1999. Rethinking rationality: From bleak implications to Darwinian modules. *What is cognitive science?*, ed. by E. Lepore & Z. Pylyshyn, 74-120. Malden, MA: Blackwell.

Scholl, Brian J. & Alan M. Leslie. 1999. Explaining the infant's object concept: Beyond the perception/cognition dichotomy. *What is cognitive Science?*, ed. by E. Lepore & Z. Pylyshyn, 26-73. Malden, MA: Blackwell.