Chapter 1  Introduction and Overview

“What we now call ‘finance’ is, I hold, an intellectual perversion of what began as warm human love.” — Robert Graves ¹

¹ From a speech delivered on December 6, 1963 to the London School of Economics, published as “Mammon” in *Mammon and the Black Goddess*, 1965. Robert Graves (1895-1985) was a British poet and novelist.
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Introduction and Overview

(1.1) What is Finance?  

Notwithstanding the quote on the above title page, finance is an academic and a professional discipline devoted to the study and practice of making “investments.” An investment is anything owned or controlled and that has the potential to increase future consumption at the expense of current consumption. This definition highlights the fact that we make investments not simply for monetary gain. There must be something that underlies the monetary gain (or loss). This something is the level of your consumption. If you make wise investment decisions, then you, your clients, or financial asset holders of your firm, enjoy greater future consumption.

Our definition highlights the inter-temporal dimension of all investments. The benefit of today’s investment is at a future date. The delay can be short for some investments (speculative investments, for example) or long for others (business investment, for example).

The work “potential” in our definition indicates that all investments are risky, which is, of course, an investment attribute all investors should consider. We expect an investment to increase future consumption but it might, unexpectedly, decrease future consumption. Since we can never entirely avoid risk, risk management is a fundamental investor-skill that requires our ability to identify and measure risk. Thus, risk is a principal topic of any finance study.

1.1.1 Real Assets Financial Assets and Firms  

We study two principal investments: real assets and financial assets. The word “asset” is synonymous with “investment.” We define the adjectives “real” and “financial” in greater detail later in this chapter. For the moment, you can think of real assets as “Property, Plant, and Equipment” and financial assets as paper assets (like common shares or bonds).

There are two general classes of investors in our economy. Corporations are the primary owners (and operators) of real assets, and natural individuals (living and breathing) are the primary owners of financial assets. Of course, there are exceptions to these general rules. For example, you might be the owner of an apartment building. In addition, governments own and operate real assets. Nevertheless, ownership of real assets by individuals and governments is small compared
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to corporations. Corporations and governments are also owners of financial assets, but individuals are the primary owners of financial assets. Financial assets can be held directly by individuals or in trust by pension and investment plans.

A principal theme of this book is that no firm can operate independently of the influence of financial markets. For example, we present evidence in a later chapter that, given some technological characteristics of the industry in which a firm operates, product pricing is influenced by the rate of return required by the financial asset holders (the financial asset-holders of most businesses are just two: creditors and common shareholders). Alternative financial asset investments available in financial markets influence this rate of return. The two sides of a corporation – the operating side and financial side – are inextricably intertwined.

Firms raise funds by selling financial assets. They then invest these funds in real operating assets. Because financial asset-holders require rates of return that compensate them for the risk they bear, firms must earn commensurate rates of return from their operating investments. Earnings from real assets allow a firm to make payments to financial asset-holders. Such payments provide a return on invested capital. This relation between firms’ operating activity and financial activity determines the flow of investment funds throughout the economy. In well-functioning financial markets, investment dollars flow to those businesses which earn the highest return on their business investments and can offer investors the most attractive rates of return on their financial investments (relative to risk they bear). The purpose of financial analysis is to measure the operating performance of firms from this perspective.

1.1.2 Four Fundamental Questions

A financial analyst must consider four principal questions for any investment. The focus of each question depends on whether the investment was made in the past (retrospective investigation) or whether the investment is under consideration (a prospective investigation). The following exhibit summarizes the first three of these questions:
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retrospective Analysis</th>
<th>Prospective Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Three of Four Questions in Financial Analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) What expenditure was made?</td>
<td>(1) What expenditure is required?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) What return was realized?</td>
<td>(2) What rate of return is expected?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) What was the investment risk?</td>
<td>(3) What is the investment risk?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Exhibit 1-1: Fundamental Questions of Financial Analysis**

For many investments, the first question is answered easily. For example, your stockbroker can tell you exactly the price to pay to buy publicly traded common stock. The value of financial assets is often easily determined because financial assets often trade in organized public financial markets, where prices are readily observed. On the other hand, business investment expenditures are generally not so easy to determine. First, real assets do not usually trade in organized markets that allow their values to be observed. Second, development and construction costs for business facilities are often subject to variation and uncertainty. To help us answer this expenditure question, we begin the process by which you can value entire firms with the notion of invested capital in the following chapter.

As to the second question, the expected return is obvious for some investments. For example, the expected rate of return on a bond can be determined, at least to a first approximation, from the financial section of your daily newspaper or from many commonly available internet websites. On the other hand, to estimate the expected return on a common share or on a real asset investment, requires projections of future operating performance and the economic environment. Needless to say, such estimates can be difficult to make and are subject to considerable uncertainty.

We can make one simple general statement for the third question of financial analysis: to evaluate the risk of any investment is a difficult proposition. Investment risk is difficult to
measure because we operate in uncertain business environments. Nonetheless, it is essential that business analysts learn to characterize, identify, and measure all sorts of business risks. The notion of investment risk is a central theme in any study of finance.

1.1.3 Risk

There are a number of general ways in which you might think about risk. First, you might think of risk as being the variability of potential returns on an investment. For an individual, this investment might be a portfolio of real and financial assets. An interesting question is whether an incremental investment increases or decreases the risk of the overall portfolio. A risky financial asset can be defined as one that increases the overall risk of your portfolio. We will study this question, and portfolio measures of risk, in some detail in another chapter of this book.

On the other hand, you might think of risk as being a statistical measure that identifies investments having greater or lesser return variability. For example, you might use the “standard deviation” or the range of potential returns as a risk measure.

Finally, you might focus on the characteristics of firms that make their financial assets more risky or less risky. Firms having characteristics that lead to greater return variability for financial asset-holders are more “risky” firms. In a later chapter of this book we examine firm characteristics that lead to greater risk for financial asset-holders.

1.1.4 Is This a "Good" Investment?

“Is this a good investment,” or, equivalently, “was this a good investment,” is the fourth question of financial analysis. Whether an investment is good or not requires having a goal in mind. That goal is at the heart of this first chapter on financial analysis. Further, it is at the heart of your developing world view as a business person. Once we presume and justify a goal for financial analysis in this chapter, in the remainder of this book we add the details of financial analysis.
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(1.2) What Is Financial Analysis?

Financial analysis is the process of asking and answering the four fundamental questions required of any investment: expenditure, risk, return, and “is this a “good” investment?” In this book, you will be cast into the role of a budding financial analyst and your role will be to learn how to ask and answer these four questions.

Financial analysis is the evaluation of the financial features of a firm. Financial features are those characteristics of a firm that have an influence on its financial assets. There are two main uses of financial analysis. The first use is internal to the firm and the second is external. First, firms use financial analysis either to identify areas of improvement for existing operations or to establish values for purchase or sale of operating assets. These uses are related to business activity, and therefore, financial analysis is an invaluable tool in operational and strategic planning. Second, investors use financial analysis to evaluate the financial assets for possible portfolio inclusion. In this regard, for example, shareholders consider the dividend and capital gains potential of a firm while creditors seek to establish a firm’s ability to repay indebtedness.

Both the internal and external uses of financial analysis are related to investment planning. The internal use guides firms in operations while the external use aids investors in portfolio choice.

Because financial analysis is similar for firms in operations planning and investors in portfolio decisions, we intend this book for both internal and external financial analysts and/or their advisors. These uses of financial analysis are related to one another because the attributes and policies of a firm that discourage investors are the same factors, which, if improved upon, create wealth and value for all of the financial asset-holders.

The focus of this book is on widely held public firms rather than closely held private firms although most of the concepts apply equally well. There are two reasons for this focus. First, financial analysis cannot be undertaken by external analysts for private corporations because of a lack of information, (although, financial analysis is nonetheless useful for internal analysts of
private corporations). Second, a major distinction between smaller private firms and larger public firms is the importance of risk. Modern public corporations are extremely efficient vehicles for sharing risk among shareholders, and therefore, it is often the case that corporate policy prescriptions based on risk are less relevant for large public corporations.

(1.3) Real and Financial Assets

Financial analysis can be used by internal analysts for operations and strategic planning or by investors for portfolio selection. The first use is related to real investment and the second is related to financial investment. For corporations, real and financial assets are inextricably related, and therefore, this book investigates both: investment by firms in real assets and investment by investors in financial assets. Internal analysts are primarily interested in operations and strategic planning, while external analysts are interested in financial assets. As a foundation for later analysis, the terms asset, real asset, and financial asset are defined and discussed in this subsection.

1.3.1 Definition of an Asset

An asset is anything which is owned or controlled and has potential to increase future consumption. This increase can arise from income generated by the asset or from capital gains that arise when the asset is sold. Some assets – like speculative holding of gold – generate only capital gains, while other assets – like bonds – earn the largest part of their return in the form of periodic income.

There are two general categories of assets, real and financial assets.

1.3.2 Real Assets

Two characteristics define a real asset. First, a real asset is not established by legal contract between specific individuals and, second, it must be managed to produce a return. An
implication of these characteristics is that, for a real asset, there are no contractually promised payments between specific individuals. A factory is an example of a real asset. The factory is owned by because of the rights of private property in our society, it is not created by a legal contract between specific individuals. No profits are promised to the owner, either by contractual guarantee or as a contingent outcome. The first defining characteristic of a real asset is about how it came into being. The factory did not come into being as the result of a contract between individuals. It came into being because someone invented the idea for it, someone engineered it, someone designed it, and someone constructed it. Notice that there might be legal contacts that as associated with a real asset but that did not cause it to come into being. For example, there might be a lease contract that allows an individual to use a factory. However, this contract did not cause the factory to come into being in the first instance. Finally, because the factory must be operated to produce a return, it satisfies our definition of a real asset.

Real assets share some other common characteristics. First, real assets are an important component of the business activities of firms. Second, most real assets are tangible, and last, many real assets are held for the long term (they produce a return over many years). Not all real assets are tangible. A patented idea is an example of an intangible real asset. A patent is not created by a contract between specific individuals (although a social contract establishes the existence of patents and the rights of patent holders). The idea that underlies the patent is the real asset. It was not created as a legal contract between individuals. Rather, it was created by someone’s intelligence, creativity, innovation, skill, dedication and hard work. Further, there is no return on the patent if it is left idle (i.e., it is not operated). An idea is of no value unless someone uses it. Notice that this operation need not be by you. For example, you might hold a patent on an idea, but you license the right to use that idea to others for a fee.

Consider a third example, speculative holding of gold. Is gold a real asset or is gold a financial asset? No contract between individuals establishes the existence of gold. Ownership arises from the right of private property and there are no promised future contractual payments. For these reasons, an investor with a speculative holding of gold owns a real asset. However, it is
undeniable that this investment has features similar to a financial asset. Other than storage and safeguarding, an investment in gold requires no operation. The speculator buys the gold with the belief that its price will rise in the near future. Gold may be bought or sold any day at minimal cost. Therefore, for many investors, speculative purchase of gold is equivalent to a financial investment. Gold has both features of a real asset and features of a financial asset. Thus, speculative holding of gold is an example of assets that are hard to classify. Occasionally it is a matter of interpretation as to whether an asset is real or financial.

1.3.3 Financial Assets

A financial asset is a legal contract between two specific individuals whereby at least one party - and most commonly only one party - promises to make future payments to the other party. Naturally, one party promises to make futures payments only in exchange for equivalent consideration, such as a lump sum cash payment when the contract is created. The person (possibly a corporate person) who promises to make future payments is called the seller, the issuer, or the writer of the financial asset. The individual who is promised the future payments is called the buyer, the holder, or the owner of the financial asset. The holder of a financial asset is also called the investor. For more speculative financial assets, the issuer of the financial asset is said to have a short position while the holder of the financial asset is said to have a long position. The owner of a financial asset earns a return without managing a business and without creation or transformation of physical goods.

Consider a home mortgage as an example of a financial asset. The borrower promises equal payments during the life of the mortgage in exchange for a lump-sum payment when the mortgage is initiated. Payment every month is contingent upon the borrower's continued ability to pay and upon, perhaps, the level of interest rates in the economy. No direct activity is typically required of the lender to receive payment. The mortgage contract specifies the obligations of the borrower and the remedies available to the lender should the borrower default. The borrower is the seller of the financial asset and the lender is the buyer. The sale price is the amount borrowed.
Shares of common stock are another example of a financial asset. The seller of this financial asset is a corporation and the owner is a shareholder. The promised payments from the corporation to shareholders are dividends. These might be regular quarterly dividends, special dividends, or they might even be liquidating dividends. An implication of the observation that the only thing of value received by shareholders from a corporation is dividends, is that the ultimate source of value for common shares is dividends (or at least the potential for a firm to pay dividends). The contingency in the payment of dividends is declaration by the board of directors. Based on their business judgment, the board of directors can initiate, increase, decrease, or eliminate, dividends. The direct link between shareholders and the firm is declared of dividends, but of course the ability of a firm to pay dividends depends upon its earnings ability. Earnings are, therefore, the indirect contingency for dividends.

1.3.4 Public versus Private Financial Assets

Another defining characteristic of financial assets is that after the original issuer sells them, they are transferable by the holder to a third party, at least in principle. If the original financial asset was designed by the issuer to be transferable and if it currently trades among investors, it is called a public financial asset or a security. The term security reflects the fact that liquidity arising from tradability makes many public financial assets excellent collateral for other borrowing undertaken by the owner.

The sale of securities by corporations (or other issuers) to general investors is subject to government regulation in the jurisdiction in which the sale is made. The sale of private financial assets is a negotiated agreement between private individuals and is not subject to extensive government regulation.

Common shares and corporate bonds, which trade in organized markets, are examples of public financial assets. In the case of common shares, the incorporation procedure of the firm as a newly created legal entity can be interpreted as the negotiated contract between shareholders and the firm. Incorporation creates both the firm and common shares as financial assets of the firm.
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The incorporation documents and bylaws are the contract that establishes the existence of a common share. On the other hand, the contract that creates a bond is called the indenture agreement. Both the incorporation documents of a firm and the bond indenture agreement are written in terms of generic investors: common shareholders or bondholders respectively. The identity of the actual owners of these financial assets is not contractually stated. This lack of identification facilitates transferability of these financial assets amongst investors.

A financial asset that is not designed to be transferable or is currently not trading is referred to as a private financial asset. Private financial assets arise in privately negotiated contracts between issuers and holders. At the time of incorporation, common shares of a firm are transferable but private financial assets. In other words, they have the potential to become public financial assets. At some time in the future, the original owners might sell the shares to general investors. At this time, the shares of stock become public financial assets. Firms that have none of their financial assets traded in public financial markets (accessible by general investors) are called private corporations. In a private company, the right to transfer shares is often restricted in some manner. For example, a sale of shares to “outsiders” might require the approval of the board of directors, or the existing shareholders might have the right of first refusal in the sale. Such restrictions do not commonly exist for a public corporation.

Private financial assets are created by a negotiated contract that identifies both the issuer and owner. This feature precludes transferability (unless this feature is included as a contract term) or direct sale to a third party. Commercial loans are an example of this type of financial asset.

1.3.5 Primary and Secondary Market Trades

When a financial asset is created (or in fact destroyed), the exchange between the issuer and the buyer is called a primary market trade. An example of a primary market trade is the sale of new shares by Bombardier Ltd. to any investor who wants to buy at a specified price. The defining characteristics of a primary market trade are that the original issuer of the financial asset is a direct party to the transaction and that a new set of promised payments is created (or destroyed).
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Because Bombardier is a party to the transaction, when they sell new shares to new shareholders they raise funds for their business investments and other general corporate purposes. On the other hand, when an existing financial asset is traded between investors, the transaction is called a secondary market trade. Notice that the original issuer (Bombardier, for example) is not a direct party to this trade. Stock and bond exchanges – like the New York Stock Exchange, where both stocks and bonds are traded – is an example of a secondary market.

1.3.6 Securitization

The distinction between private and public financial assets is becoming difficult to maintain in current financial markets. The reason for this difficulty is a process known as securitization. Consider the mortgage market as an example. In a home mortgage, the borrower - the mortgagor - has sold a financial asset to the lender - the mortgagee. Beginning in the early 1980s, lenders have formed pools of similar mortgages and have sold rights to receive portions of mortgage payments received in these pools to investors in denominations accessible even to small investors. In exchange for a fee, the original lender simply passes mortgage payments to holders of the new securities. These securities, called mortgage-backed securities, are designed to be tradable among investors. Securitization has the effect of transforming previously private financial assets into publicly tradable financial assets. The original mortgagee has effectively sold a set of private financial assets. Securitization has occurred in such diverse markets as commercial bank loans, automobile loans, loans to less developed countries, and corporate accounts receivable. The fundamental value of the securitization process is that it facilitates the flow of funds to sectors of the economy that are otherwise difficult to finance. For example, even though you might not know it, your ability to borrow to buy your new condo might depend upon the existence of mortgage backed securities and the securitization process. Without this process, alternatively, banks might not be willing to lend to you. In addition, smaller investors have access to a variety of new financial markets and financial assets that were not previously accessible. We all benefit from innovation in financial markets.
Financial analysis differs depending upon whether it is undertaken internally or externally because of access to corporate information. Generally, internal analysts have better access to firm-specific information. Corporate officers – like the chief financial officer – make corporate information available to internal analysts, information that is generally not available to investors. This fact illustrates that the nature of the corporate organizational form can impede financial analysis. Because of this relation, this section outlines the major attributes of the corporate organizational form and the effects these attributes have on financial analysis.

1.4.1 The Corporate Organizational Form

The corporation is the most prominent organizational form of business activity in democratic countries. By any measure of activity, for example sales or asset size, corporations surpass by a wide margin the primary non-government alternatives: sole proprietorships and partnerships. The principal advantage of the corporation - to which all other advantages are related - is its legal identity.

Corporations are legal persons in the eyes of the law; they have the same rights and the same duties as natural persons. Firms can be sued for wrongdoing and damage separately from the financial asset-holders of the firm and other associated groups (for example: shareholders, bondholders, employees, and management). Limited liability arises from the legal identity of a corporation. If a corporation defaults on contractual obligations - with exceptions which are few and of arcane legal character - damaged parties must seek remedy with the corporation itself and not with financial asset-holders, including and in particular, common shareholders. This fact implies that the maximum loss a shareholder can sustain is his/her original investment in a common share. This feature of common share ownership is attractive to investors and facilitates not only tradability of existing common shares but also the sale of additional shares by corporations for the purpose of financing business activity. Note, however, that limited liability is not an attractive feature of corporations to creditors, and therefore, limited liability might simply
favor one type of financing – equity financing – over another – debt financing. There is no inherent reason to believe that limited liability increases overall financing in our economy.

1.4.2 Tax for Corporations Versus Natural Persons

The separate legal identity of corporations permits and facilitates distinct legislative treatment of corporations from natural persons. An example of this distinctive treatment arises in taxation. Corporate and personal taxable incomes are calculated differently and different rates are applied in determining taxes payable. Taxation is an inescapable fact that must be represented in any complete financial analysis for either individuals or corporations. Taxation is the subject of an entire chapter on its own in this book.

1.4.3 Separation of Corporate Ownership and Control

While limited liability and tax advantages contribute to the popularity of the corporate form, its primary advantage is separation of ownership and control. Modern widely held corporations could not have evolved if it were necessary for all corporate business decisions to be agreed upon by all shareholders or even a majority of shareholders. This requirement would not only impede corporate decision-making but would also reveal to competitors elements of strategic advantage.

Through the authority of legislated Corporations Acts, shareholders of modern corporations have delegated the direction of corporate affairs to boards of directors who are small groups of individuals elected by shareholders and who are charged with the responsibility for managing the business and affairs of the corporation. A director is not required to own shares in a corporation unless articles of incorporation specifically require it. In most cases, however, members of the board are shareholders and often principal shareholders of the firm. In larger firms, the board of directors delegates operational decision making to professional managers (the chief executive officer and other senior managers are commonly board members themselves). Strategic policy initiatives and monitoring of managerial performance remain the major functions of “the Board.” It should be noted that while shareholders elect boards of directors, legislated corporations acts
define and courts have interpreted the duties and obligations of directors to be the promotion of the interests of the firm as a whole rather than the interests of common shareholders specifically. An analogous situation exists in representative democracies. While local area constituents vote a representative to a national legislature, this representative is often regarded as a servant of the nation rather than his/her constituents specifically.

1.4.4 Access to Corporate Information

The corporate form of business organization has advantages – primarily that managers can concentrate on business activity rather than moderate and reconcile competing and divergent views of individual shareholders – but it also has disadvantages. The primary disadvantage to shareholders – especially shareholders of widely held corporations – is that they are distanced from operational control and influence over business activity. If shareholders are unsatisfied with firm performance, it is often the case that their only recourse is to sell their shares. This distancing of shareholders from operations also distances shareholders from corporate information. Because shareholders are not party to corporate decisions, generally they are not party to information used to make such decisions. This distancing results from the legal identity of corporations. Because firms are legal individuals, they have the right of privacy – even from shareholders who are ostensibly the owners. The primary source of information about decisions made by public firms is its financial statements, which are available to any investor or other interested individual. Financial statement data are summary and aggregate measures of corporate activity, which lack the detail and comprehensiveness of information available to internal analysts. For external analysts, accounting financial data is often the primary source of firm-specific information.

1.4.5 Managerial versus Shareholder Interests

A second disadvantage of the corporate organization form is that with distancing of shareholders from operations, the possibility arises that managers become entrenched and pursue corporate policies that serve their own interests rather than those of shareholders. In widely held
corporations, voting power becomes dispersed and often no one shareholder has sufficient influence to discipline managers. In this environment, it can be argued that managers can pursue their own aims with impunity.

However, in corporations, real assets and their management are inextricably related to financial assets. The same equity market that disperses ownership can also be used by boards of directors to tie the interests of management and shareholders to one another. Managerial compensation plans are commonly designed so a large portion of remuneration is in the form of bonuses, stock options, or equity ownership, which are directly related to performance of the firm vis-à-vis shareholders. When the firm prospers both shareholders and managers prosper. Even if one takes the cynical view that managers of modern corporations pursue their own interests, if managers maximize their own wealth through managerial compensation by increasing financial variables like EPS (earnings per share) ROE (rate of return on equity) or even share price, then, they also maximize the wealth of shareholders because these financial variables represent the interests of shareholders. Equity markets also provide a vehicle for replacement of ineffective management through takeovers, mergers, and acquisitions.

The argument that both financial markets and managerial labor markets align the interests of managers and shareholders is developed in the embedded article by Gary Becker, entitled “Why Managers Have the Shareholder at Heart,” which appeared in a 1985 issue of Business Week.

© Publisher: McGraw-Hill Inc. Author: Gary Becker. This material has been copied under license from CANCOPY. Resale or further copying of this material is strictly prohibited.

1.4.6 Other Corporate Stakeholders

The interests of managers and shareholders might be aligned, but what about the other stakeholders in a firm: what about employees? Social activists often argue that corporate interests are contrary to the interests of workers and employees, and that shareholders and
corporations enrich themselves at the expense of their employees. The author of the embedded article below, which appeared in the May 1994 issue of Canadian Business, argues that the interests of corporations and employees are, in fact, surprisingly congruent. Click on the icon to read “The Bottom Line is Jobs and Vice Versa,” by George Vasic.

© Publisher: Canadian Business Media Limited.
Author: George Vasic.
This material has been copied under license from CANCOPY. Resale or further copying of this material is strictly prohibited.

(1.5) Wealth Maximization and DCF

Legislated Business Corporations Acts describes the duties and obligations of directors as “managing the business and affairs of the corporation.” While this prescription is rather vague, it is often interpreted to mean the maximization of shareholders' wealth. Wealth is the amount receivable upon actual or hypothetical sale of an asset (net of any liabilities that must be paid). Therefore, an interpretation of the objective of managers in operating a business is the maximization of the current sale price of a firm upon hypothetical sale (for a private corporation) or maximization of current share price for a public corporation.

---

Poitras (1994) and Dobson (1999) investigate the relations between shareholder wealth maximization, business ethics, and social responsibility. Dobson evaluates shareholder wealth maximization as a moral justification for business behavior. Poitras reviews empirical studies that test the ethical implications of shareholder wealth maximization.
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Why should this objective focus on shareholders? There are many other groups that have an interest in the operation of a business: customers, suppliers, creditors, employees, governments, non-governmental agencies, and society at large. Despite the fact that the author of the above embedded article argues that the interests of shareholders and these groups are congruent, nonetheless, we presume that the primary objective of managers in operating a business is to maximize shareholders’ wealth. Why shareholders? What is special about shareholders that gives them a little more power compared to the other interest groups in a corporation? The answer is that shareholders have the right to vote for the board of directors. None of these other groups have this right. So, while it might sometimes be difficult, if shareholders don’t like how a business is being run, then they can vote in a new board of directors that will change professional managers so that the firm is run in the better interests of shareholders.

This is the answer to our fourth question of financial analysis. An investment is “good” if it increases shareholders’ wealth for a private corporation and if it increases share price (other things equal) for a public corporation.

The above goal for financial analysis is still rather vague and we need to make it more concrete. That is, we need a way to interpret and predict whether a business investment that might be made by managers of a firm will increase shareholders’ wealth or not. In business practice, we commonly use net present value (NPV) or, equivalently, discounted cash flow (DCF) analysis as a “theory of value” for this purpose.

In DCF analysis, the value of an investment is calculated as the net present value (NPV), which is the present value of predicted future cash-inflows net of the discounted value of required expenditures. There are three fundamental ingredients to any NPV problem:

(a) predicted amounts of future cash inflows and outflows,

(b) an accurate representation of the timing of these cash flows,

(c) an opportunity cost rate of return for the use of capital.
The concept of NPV can be applied to either business investments or financial investments, but, for the moment, let us think of its application to business investments. In this case, we determine the first two elements of a NPV problem from an analysis of a business investment. We determine the third element of a NPV problem from an analysis of financial markets. These two aspects of a NPV problem, the business investment side and the financial investment side, are what we might call the yin and the yang of a corporation:

![Yin Yang symbol]

Sometimes we separate the two sides of the “coin” which is a corporation, the business investment side from the financial side, to focus our financial analysis. Other times, like, for example, in a NPV problem, it is critical that the two sides be brought back together to answer the question, “is this a good investment?”

The financial side of a NPV problem requires an “opportunity cost rate of return” as a benchmark for business investment analysis in a sense that we will make clear as we proceed through this chapter. However, before we get there, we need to begin by answering the question of whose opportunity cost rate of return we should use. Corporations are legal “fictions” and, therefore, they do not have opportunity costs themselves. On the other hand, we presume that the primary objective of managers in operating a business is to maximize the wealth of shareholders. So, it must be the opportunity cost of shareholders that we use in financial analysis. In fact, we can do our financial analysis from the perspective of all financial asset-holders (common share-holders...
and creditors, for example) or from the perspective of shareholders in particular. We almost always get the same result. For the purpose of discussion here, we will focus on shareholders.

We have already said that the primary investors in financial assets in our economy are persons like you and I. Shareholders are natural persons. So, our perspective for business investment analysis is that a corporation might undertake a business investment or they might return funds to shareholders so that they can alternatively invest in portfolios of financial assets in financial markets. Thus, the opportunity cost rate of return that we use in NPV analysis must be determined from financial markets. The details of this determination we investigate as we proceed through this book.

Business students generally accept the presumption that the primary objective of managers in operating a business is to maximize shareholders’ wealth. So, in “stepping into the shoes” of shareholders and considering their opportunity costs determined in financial markets, all business students have some familiarity with financial markets and financial principles. Thus, even if you do not plan to study finance in detail in your academic career, it is important that you as a student of business, regardless of your specialization, take and master at least one finance course.

The opportunity cost of capital is the return shareholders can earn on financial assets with approximately the same risk as the business investment under investigation. The opportunity cost of capital goes by a number of different names, such as the discount rate, the market capitalization rate, the cost of equity, and the hurdle rate. For business investments, the opportunity cost is often measured by a rate called the weighted average cost of capital which we investigate later in this book as we put these conceptual notions into practice.
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(1.6) An Illustration of Financial Analysis

Before we begin to develop the details of financial analysis, it is instructive to examine a simple example. The example is not meant to display how much complexity is possible in financial analysis. Rather, this example highlights the fundamental components of financial analysis and how they relate to one another. We will use this example to identify how and where we might ask and answer the four fundamental questions of financial analysis. Should you feel overwhelmed by details of the analysis you see in later chapters and become disoriented, this example may help you to regain perspective.

1.6.1 An Example of Financial Analysis

Suppose a firm predicts that it will generate $400,000 in one year as the result of an investment of $300,000 today. The $400,000 represents after tax profit, net of expenses, disposal of the asset, and all other benefits. Notice that we have described two of the three required inputs to a DCF problem, the amount and timing of the predicted future cash flows. The $300,000 is the answer to the first question of financial analysis for this business investment: the expenditure question.

In addition, suppose that we also have in hand an assessment of the venture’s risk that suggests an appropriate opportunity cost is 7% per annum. Because we accept the principle that the primary objective of managers is to maximize shareholders’ wealth and because the alternative investment of shareholders is in financial assets in financial markets, we determine this 7% rate of return from an assessment of financial markets. If the corporation does not make the business investment, but instead, gives the funds (that is, the $300,000) back to shareholders and they invest in financial assets of about the same risk as the business investment, we expect them to earn a rate of return of 7%. So, the 7% is shareholders’ opportunity cost rate of return, which is the answer to one of our questions of financial analysis: the return question. It is a special type of return (there are others) but, nonetheless, it is a rate of return.
There are two principal determinants of any expected rate of return from financial markets: interest rates in the economy and risk of the business investment under investigation. In comparing “apples to apples” and “oranges to oranges” with respect to risk, to determine the 7% opportunity cost rate of return, we match a financial asset with the same risk as the business investment that we are contemplating. So, a principal determinant of the 7% opportunity cost rate of return is risk. This is the answer to the third question of financial analysis. The answer to this question is imbed in the opportunity cost rate of return for shareholders. Investors assess and are extremely cognizant of risk in financial markets. Therefore, risk is assessed by financial asset investors and principally in this manner alone. In other words, if investors become more risk averse in our economy, then the 7% opportunity cost rate of return would be greater and vice versa. If the risk of our business investment were greater, then we need to benchmark with a financial asset that has greater risk and that, therefore, has a greater expected rate of return. This greater rate of return means that our opportunity cost rate of return is greater. Get the idea?

Let us now combine the yin and the yang of our rudimentary financial analysis to answer the question “is this a good investment?” The NPV of the business investment is:

\[ NPV = \frac{400,000}{1 + 0.07} - 300,000 = $73,831.77 \]

Before we discuss what this number means, let us makes a few observations.

First, you never (never, never, never) take the $400,000 subtract the $300,000 and say that this is a good investment because its “profit” is $100,000. Profit is not $100,000 but alternatively profit is embedded in the $400,000. Further, the $400,000 is not directly comparable to the $300,000 for two reasons. Two cash flows to be paid or received at two different points of time are not comparable because of the “time value of money,” which means that we as investors never leave money idle but alternatively always invest (in different ways) to earn a rate of interest. Consequently, X dollars received today is worth more than X dollars received in the future. In addition, the $400,000 is not comparable to the $300,000 because the $400,000 is undoubtedly more risky than is the $300,000. The $400,000 is our best forecast of the “benefit” of the business investment but there is likely to be much variability in the amount that we will realize.
after the fact (ex-post) compared to what we expect when we initially make the investment (ex-ante). We have done a risk assessment from financial markets and the 7% opportunity cost rate of return represents the compensation that investors demand for the higher risk of the $400,000 relative to the $300,000. So, when we “discount” the $400,000 by dividing by 1.07, we make these two numbers (the $400,000 and the $300,000) comparable on two dimensions: the temporal and the risk dimension. In particular, when we discount, we measure “present” value which means that all dollars are as of today and then, and only then, they are comparable.

You might wonder what the “1” means when we “discount” by 1.07 in our NPV calculation. You might wonder what the “1” means when we “discount” by 1.07 in our NPV calculation

\[ NPV = \frac{400,000}{1 + 0.07} - 300,000. \]

The answer is that this “1” represents “return of capital.” Because this is a “one-period” investment, the “1” appears in our discount factor 1.07 when we calculate:

\[ NPV = \frac{400,000}{1 + 0.07} - 300,000. \]

Here, capital is our initial investment of $300,000. For any $1 that we invest, we need to get back at least $1 in order for this to be a good investment. In addition, we need compensation for the time value of money (interest rates in the economy) and the risk of this business investment. These two factors are embedded (in composite) in the opportunity cost rate of return: 7%. We make the business investment today and generate (expected) $400,000 in one year. We get this $400,000 just once and not per annum indefinitely. If alternatively we were to get a dollar return \textit{per annum} indefinitely then the “1” would disappear in our NPV calculation. In this instance, because we get a dollar return on our business investment every year, capital need never be returned to us.

In our example, NPV is $73,831.77. What exactly does this number mean? It means that if we undertake this investment, total shareholder wealth increases by $73,831.77. Their potential for consumption increases by this amount. Thus, the value of this investment is $73,831.77. This business investment creates wealth for shareholders. Because NPV is positive this is a “good” investment and we as managers who are trying to maximize shareholders’ wealth should make this investment. NPV answers the fourth question of financial analysis: is this a good investment? If NPV is positive, then the answer to this question is yes: this is a good investment.
Wealth creation by firms is inextricably joined to the workings of financial markets. The value of the firm’s assets depends on the opportunity cost of investors. The opportunity cost of investors, in turn, reflects the return expected from alternative financial asset investments. These observations mean that no business is independent of financial markets and that every manager must have some understanding of financial markets to ensure that they increase shareholder wealth. Shareholders who believe that they will not earn the opportunity cost of capital sell their shares. If many shareholders share a negative opinion, share prices fall until the market price reflects a fair rate of return. Falling share prices reduce the amount of capital available to managers. In this way, markets work to reallocate capital, increasing the resources available to managers who succeed to earn at least the opportunity cost of capital and decreasing the resources available to unsuccessful managers.

1.6.2 The Internal Rate of Return

Often financial analysis need not go as far as the NPV calculation. Alternatively, we can recast many investment problems, including the example above, with rates of return. The rate of return on an investment (typically a business investment) is the internal rate of return (IRR).

If the internal rate of return is “internal,” what is the “external” rate of return and what do we mean by internal versus external? Good questions. Let’s see if we can answer them.

If the internal rate of return is “internal,” then the opportunity cost rate of return is external. “Internal” is within a company and, even better said, within a particular business investment. “External” is an opportunity cost rate of return from financial markets that are “external” to a particular business investment. Because IRR is “internal,” we calculate it without the external rate of return—the opportunity cost rate of return from financial markets.

The IRR is “the” rate of return on an investment that we calculate as the hypothetical opportunity cost rate of return that makes NPV equal zero. Why? The answer is that if NPV>0, the business investment is better (in terms of shareholder wealth creation) than an equivalent financial investment with equal risk. If NPV<0 the business investment is worse than an equivalent
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financial investment with equal risk. So, only if NPV=0 is the business investment equivalent to an equal-risk financial investment. If equivalent, the business investment must have the same return as the financial investment (otherwise, it would not be equivalent). So IRR is the return for a business investment return because it is equivalent with respect to shareholder wealth creation to an equal-risk financial investment. Wow, I think this is profound!

Notice that there is only one current market-determined opportunity cost rate of return for any one business investment. That return is the 7% in our above example. However, in alternative market environments interest rates might differ and/or risk might differ and, then, NPV would also differ. Notice, generally when opportunity cost rates of return increase, values as measured by NPV fall. So, values and opportunity cost rates of return relate inversely. This is a fundamental notion in financial analysis and in financial markets.

In our example, the IRR satisfies the following equation:

\[ NPV = \frac{400,000}{1 + IRR} - 300,000 = 0 \]

With a little algebra, the IRR is (this is not a general formula to be used in all situations but only in the context of our example here):

\[ IRR = \frac{400,000}{300,000} - 1 = 33.3\% \]

Notice that to calculate the IRR we did not use the “external” rate of return, the 7% opportunity cost rate of return.

The IRR is the answer to the “return” question for a business investment. This is our third fundamental question of financial analysis. What is the rate of return on this business investment? The general answer is the IRR. Because the rate of business investment return is greater than the opportunity cost rate of return from financial markets (that is, 7%), this is a “good” investment. This is another (generally equivalent) way to answer the fourth question of
financial analysis, “is this a good investment.” It is a good investment if it has a rate of return that exceeds the opportunity cost rate of return.

1.6.2 Economic Determinants of Business Returns

You should recognize that the economic determinants of business returns (the IRR) and the opportunity cost rate of return are very different. We know from our discussion above that the principal economic determinants of an opportunity cost rate of return are interest rates in the economy and risk of the business investment under consideration. Investors are averse to risk. If risk is greater than other things equal, financial asset prices are lesser which means that expected rates of return are greater. These financial asset price changes allow the risk assessment of investors coupled with their risk aversion to continuously determine expected rates of return in financial markets. There are no such price adjustments that influence the rate of return on a business investment. For example, in our numerical example, the $300,000 expenditure to make our business investment does not change as investors in the economy become more or less risk averse. The $300,000 is based on technical, mechanical, architectural, engineering and scientific aspects of the business investment.

Note, however, that there is risk inherent in any business investment. We simply mean to say investors in financial markets assess the nature and extent of this risk, which changes prices and expected rates of return in financial markets, which then determine opportunity cost rates of return for financial analysis. In our example, the $400,000 is uncertain and is, thus, risky. However, no aspect of our business investment depends on investor risk-aversion in financial markets. The 33% expected return for this business investment is subject to risk but changes in investor risk aversion in financial markets have no impact on this amount. Rather, the principal economic determinant of business returns is profitability. More exactly, the principal economic determinant of business return is the relation between expected future profitability and required expenditure to undertake a business investment (that is the relation between $400,000 and $300,000 in our example).
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Business persons might not go as far as a precision determination that the opportunity cost is 7%. Often, it is sufficient to use only a rudimentary and "ballpark" understanding of what constitutes a good or a bad return on an investment relative to financial markets. We determined that the IRR on the investment is 33%. It is unlikely that we could earn a return so high consistently over time (at least in the market environment of 2015). With only rudimentary understanding from financial markets of what constitutes a high or a low return, we can do a “rough and ready” assessment of the proposed real asset investment. Business persons often make decisions on the basis of rough and ready assessments rather than detailed evaluations. However, your skill in making rough and ready assessments arises out of good, sound, financial business judgment. The purpose of this book is to “kick-start” your business judgment. In addition, for more marginal investments or for investments critically important to a firm's strategic plans, the value of a more thorough investment assessment is indispensable to the business planning process. An important aspect of financial analysis is that, in the process of evaluating investments, you learn much about the activities you are about to embark upon. Without financial analysis, you miss the learning benefits of this planning.

(1.7) The Accounting Versus the Finance Perspective  **Title Page**

It is instructive to continue the numerical example we began in the preceding section to illustrate the difference in perspective that exists between financial analysis and financial statement presentation. To do this, we must add some detail to our hypothetical firm and its planned real asset investment.

Suppose that this project is the only asset of the firm and that it is a proprietary idea. In other words, there are no tangible assets that currently accompany the project but the idea could be sold if the firm chose to do so (i.e., it might be an idea protected by patent legislation). The project is not yet financed but when it is financed, equity will be used (i.e., a sale of new shares to new shareholders). We know from the NPV calculation that the financing requirement for the project is $300,000. The project is a tangible real asset investment. The process of financing and capital spending transforms the idea – an intangible asset – into an idea and a tangible operating asset.
There have been no prior transactions of the firm other than incorporation, which we will presume to take place at zero cost.

Take a moment and fill in the missing elements of the following two balance sheets. The first balance sheet is the accounting balance sheet and the second balance sheet is referred to as a “market value balance sheet.” On the left-hand side of the market value balance sheet, record the market value of the asset if the firm were to sell it (the firm itself continues as a legal individual). On the right-hand side record the value of all of the shares in the firm (i.e., the sale price of all of the shares if sold in a block to another investor or set of investors – a secondary market trade of shares).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Balance Sheets Before Financing and Capital Expenditure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounting Balance Sheet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exhibit 1-2: **Accounting (Book) Values versus Fair Market Values—Initial**

You should have zeroes on both the asset and the equity sides of the accounting balance sheet. There have been no transactions, and therefore, there is nothing as of yet to account for. The book value of the firm’s assets and the book value of the firm’s equity are zero. On the other hand, the fact there have been no transactions does not imply that the firm does not have value. In fact, by the NPV calculation we know the (estimated) value of the real asset, $73,831.77. In other words, if the firm wishes to sell the asset (the intangible idea), they should be looking for at least this amount. Like the accounting balance sheet, the right and left sides of the market value balance sheet should be equal, and therefore, the market value of equity in the firm is also $73,831.77. This amount means that if all shareholders were to sell their shares in a block to
another group of shareholders, the total amount they would be looking for is $73,831.77. Notice that these transactions are somewhat different. In the first case, the firm sells its assets (the firm might go into some other business or develop a new product or idea), in the second case, the firm keeps its assets but the shareholders in the firm are new.

Now, suppose the firm sells shares to new shareholders. The firm needs $300,000, and therefore, this is the total value of new shares they sell. At the same time, the firm makes capital expenditures in the amount of $300,000. The intangible idea of the firm is now transformed into an operating business with tangible assets. As in the previous case, the benefits of the investment are receivable in one period. Take a few moments and once more fill in the following accounting and market value balance sheets for the firm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Values Immediately After Financing and Capital Expenditure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounting Balance Sheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Value Balance Sheet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Exhibit 1-3: Accounting (Book) Values versus Fair Market Values–after Investment**

Because the firm has raised $300,000 in equity and purchased the same amount of fixed assets (and possibly working capital assets), you should have this value on both sides of the accounting balance sheet. On the other hand, the market value of the firm’s assets and equity is now the present value (rather than the net present value, because the expenditure has been made). This value is $400,000 ÷ 1.07 = $373,831.77. This amount can also be found as the NPV plus the expenditure $73,831.77 + $300,000 = $373,831.77. This amount is the sum of the value of the idea and the expenditure on assets that put the idea into operation. This is the amount the firm requires if they are to sell the idea and the assets and this is also the amount shareholders require if they are to sell all of their shares.
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1.7.1 The Market to Book Ratio (Price to Book)  Title Page

A number of financial ratios and measures relate the fair market value of financial assets to underlying corporate characteristics. These ratios and measures are commonly used in the financial industry because they offer a good deal of insight into how financial markets value and price financial assets. This process is of fundamental interest to investors. From the balance sheets above we can calculate a commonly used financial ratio: the market to book ratio (sometimes called the price to book ratio). The market to book ratio can be calculated for either assets or equity. The market to book ratio is a measure of value to expenditure and is, therefore, related to NPV our theory of value. Before financing, the market to book ratio is $73,831.77 divided by zero (infinitely large) for both the firm’s assets and the firm’s equity. After financing, the market to book ratio is 1.24 ($373,831.77 ÷ $300,000) for the firm’s assets and for the firm’s equity. The market to book ratios for assets and equity are the same in this example because the firm uses no debt in its financial structure. It is a common characteristic of profitable and high growth-firms that the market to book ratio is greater than one. In the case at hand, we know that the firm is “profitable” because the rate of return on the investment is greater than the opportunity cost. Because of this profitability, the market value exceeds the book value and the market to book ratio exceeds one. This is as it should be; if the market to book ratio for a newly developing firm were lesser than one, it would make no sense to continue with the investment. It would be a negative NPV investment. After expenditures have been made, circumstances may change and the market to book ratio may fall below one. Firms having financial problems (that is, low profitability) or shrinking product and/or service markets tend to have market to book ratios lesser than one.

(1.8)  Summary  Title Page

Firms invest in real assets with funds raised from the sale of financial assets to investors. Investment in real assets and investment by suppliers of capital in financial assets are two sides of the same "coin" which is the firm. Financial analysis is the investigation of the financial features of a firm. Internal analysts are primarily interested in improving the performance of
operating assets and establishing values of assets, which firms might purchase or sell. These activities are intimately related to strategic and operational planning. Firms sell financial assets to raise funds for their business operations. Internal financial analysts are interested in both financial asset valuation and strategic financing possibilities. Both internal and external analysts use financial markets to establish objective benchmarks against which a firm's performance can be assessed. If a firm earns a rate of return on invested assets that exceeds the opportunity cost of investors, then the prices of the firm's financial assets increase. In particular, share value increases. This increase is of paramount importance to the firm if its primary objective is maximization of shareholders' wealth.

External financial analysts are interested in establishing either the credit worthiness of a firm's debt or dividends and capital gains potential of its equity. However, a firm's ability to make contractual debt payments and dividends (which also underlie capital gains for shareholders) depends on the success of real operations. Consequently, even for external analysts, the principal investigation of a firm for portfolio investment decision is its real operations and fundamental business activity.

(1.9)  

Suggested Readings
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(1.10) Problems

1. **Objectives of the Firm.**
   What arguments can be made for the view that modern corporations are operated primarily, although not exclusively, for the benefit of shareholders?

2. **Definition of a Financial Asset**
   A simple term life insurance contract may be purchased for a single premium (now) in exchange for a lump-sum payment of $100,000 to the beneficiary if the insured individual dies within five years of the premium payment. Explain how this contract satisfies the definition of a financial asset.

3. **Real Versus Financial Assets**
   Is a patent a real or a financial asset? Explain.

4. **Real Versus Financial Assets**
   There is a firm that traded on the Montreal Stock Exchange that owns the "rights" to the popular song "Rock Around the Clock." Every time this song is played on radio, the firm receives approximately 2 cents in royalty payments from the player of the song. Does the firm own a real or a financial asset? Explain.
5. **Primary Versus Secondary Market Trades**
Is a stock split a primary or a secondary market trade? Explain.

6. **Primary Versus Secondary Market Trades**
Legislated corporations acts allow firms to repurchase their own publicly traded financial assets. For example, firms buy back their own common in public equity markets at prices that are agreeable to those investors selling shares. Is a corporate share repurchase a primary or a secondary market trade? Explain and discuss.

7. **Internal Versus External Financial Analysts**
Explain differences and similarities between internal and external financial analysts.

8. **Primary Versus Secondary Market Trades**
A short-sale of a publicly traded common share takes place when an individual borrows the share, sells it to another investor, and promises to repurchase the share and return it at some indefinite time in the future. The share is often borrowed from a securities dealer, like, for example RBC Dominion Securities. The share is sold through the facilities of the organized stock exchange on which the share is traded. The intent of the short-seller is to buy back the share at a price that is lower than it is sold (of course this does not always happen). Is the short sale a primary or a secondary market trade?
9. **Real Versus Financial Assets**
What are two characteristics of a real asset that distinguish it from a financial asset?

10. **Definition of a Financial Asset**
Is a lottery ticket a real or a financial asset? Explain and discuss.

11. **Real Versus Financial Assets**
Servomation, the company that runs the “Ravens” cafeteria, offers a “coffee card.” Each time you buy a coffee, the card is punched. After you have nine punches, the tenth coffee is free. You now have four punches on your coffee card. Do you own a real or a financial asset? Explain and discuss.

12. **Advantages and Disadvantages of the Corporate Organizational Form**
What are the advantages and disadvantages of the corporate organizational form of business activity compared to its principal alternatives: sole proprietorships and partnerships.
13. **Interpretation of NPV**
You work for ABC Company. Your boss never graduated from high school. Nonetheless, she has heard about net present value (NPV) and wants you to explain it to her. What does it measure? What are its fundamental components? What factors make net present value difficult to implement in practice?

14. **Primary Versus Secondary Market Trades**
Comment briefly on the following assertion. “Because any new shares that are sold by a firm to raise funds for business purposes eventually trade on a stock market (like the Toronto Stock Exchange) this sale is referred to as a secondary market sale.” Use no numerical examples in your response.

15. **Definition of a Financial Asset**
Comment briefly on the following assertion. “In order to make an equity investment in a firm, shareholders expect to receive cash from the firm in the form of dividends and capital gains.” Use no numerical examples in your response.

16. **Net Present Value and the Market to Book Ratio**
ABC Company is planning a real asset investment. ABC is a start-up firm, and therefore, it has no previous investments. Also, ABC has no other investments planned or contemplated other than the one described in this problem. For an investment of $I today, the expected benefit to ABC in one year is $140,000. This benefit is the profit on the investment, plus salvage, net of taxes and commissions, etc. The internal rate of return on the project is 40%. Currently, ABC has no debt in its financial structure and its book equity is zero. Book equity is the sum of share-capital and retained earnings. In order to undertake its investment, ABC needs to do some financing. They plan to sell ABC sells new shares to new shareholders in the amount of $I to finance their real asset investment. Immediately after the share issue and the required capital
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Expenditure of $1, ABC’s market to book ratio for equity is 1.20 (there remains, nonetheless, one year before the expected cash flow benefit of $140,000 is received). Required: What is the financial market opportunity cost (expressed as a rate of return) facing the shareholders of ABC? (In other words, what is the discount rate?)

Solution

17. Opportunity Cost
Comment on the following assertion: “With respect to corporate financial analysis, the opportunity cost rate of return is the rate of return that a firm earns from its investment into business activity on behalf of all its financial asset-holders. This return is called the opportunity cost because the investment allows investors the “opportunity” to profitably investment in the dynamic business environment of this particular firm.” Use no numerical examples in your response.

Solution

18. Definition of a Real Asset
Comment on the following assertion: “An apartment building is a financial asset rather than a real asset because its stable rental income makes the rate of return on this investment of only modest risk.” Use no numerical examples in your response.

Solution

For the purpose of undertaking financial analysis, there are at least three fundamental questions associated with any investment. What are these questions. You are the financial analyst at ABC company who is charged with evaluating the recent performance of ABC for shareholders. With respect to the investment made by ABC’s shareholders, discuss the ratios and/or measures which you might calculate to answer or investigate each of these questions for the shareholders of ABC.

20. **Definition of a Real Asset.**

Joe Canoe is a rancher. His herd of cattle (or any other herd of cattle) is not allowed a depreciation deduction for tax purposes. In the eyes of the government, this asset is not “depreciable.” Comment on the following assertion: “If this asset is not depreciable, it cannot be a real asset, and therefore, it must be a financial asset.”

21. **Market to Book Ratio**

Comment on the following assertion: “Because firms create wealth for their financial asset-holders and their shareholders in particular, the Market to Book ratio for a firm’s common equity must always be greater than one.”

22. **Opportunity Cost**

ABC Company is planning a real asset investment. ABC is a start-up firm, and therefore, it has no previous investments. Also, ABC has no other investments planned or contemplated other than the one described in this problem. For an investment of $I today, the expected cash-flow benefit to ABC in one year is $40,000. Thereafter, this per annum benefit is expected to grow at 5% per annum indefinitely into the future. That is, for example, the cash-flow benefit two years from today is $40,000*1.05. Ignore, taxation, salvage, depreciation and commissions in this problem. The internal rate of return on this investment is 45%. Currently, ABC has no debt in its financial structure and its book equity is zero. Book equity is the sum of share-capital and retained earnings. In order to undertake its investment, ABC needs to do some financing. They
plan to sell shares to new shareholders in the amount of $I to finance their real asset investment. Immediately after the share issue and the required capital expenditure of $I, ABC’s market to book ratio for equity is 4 (there remains, nonetheless, one year before the first expected cash flow benefit of $40,000 is received).

**Required:** What is the financial market opportunity cost (expressed as a per annum rate of return) facing the shareholders of ABC? (In other words, what is the discount rate?)

---

### 23. **NPV and the Market to Book Ratio.**

ABC Company Ltd., is considering a possible business investment that requires a $300,000 expenditure today. Immediately after the expenditure, the new venture’s market to book ratio (value to expenditure) is 2.2.

**Required:** What is the new venture’s Net Present Value (NPV)?

---

### 24. **Market/Book and IRR**

Today, ABC Company is planning a business investment. ABC is a start-up firm, and therefore, it has no previous investments. Also, ABC has no other investments planned or contemplated other than the one described in this problem. For an investment (expenditure) of $I today, the expected cash flow to ABC is $C per annum indefinitely beginning one year from today. This cash flow is profit on the investment, net of taxes and commissions, etc. Currently, ABC has no debt in its financial structure and its book equity is zero. Book equity is the sum of share-capital and retained earnings. In order to undertake its investment, ABC needs to do some financing. They plan to sell new shares to new shareholders in the amount of $I to finance their business investment. ABC’s market to book ratio for equity immediately after the share issue is 2.5. The Internal Rate of Return (IRR) on the business investment is 25%.

**Required:** Find the financial market opportunity cost (expressed as a rate of return) facing the shareholders of ABC for this investment.
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