is that demand curves as shown in Figure 13.6(a) are made impossible by
the Axiom of Revealed Preference (although those as in Figure 13.6(b) are
still possible).

While this interpretation and use of the Axiom of Revealed Preference
may not seem surprising on its own, it is still interesting to note that Hicks
gives precisely the demand curve of Figure 13.6(a) as the \textit{plausible}
description of the case of a Giffen good [see Hicks and Allen 1934, Figure
6, p. 68]. If my interpretation of the Axiom of Revealed Preference is
correct, then one can see that the axiom does say something more than the
Ordinal Demand Theory (of Hicks and Allen) which alone will not exclude
Giffen goods except by excluding ‘inferior goods’. By adding the Axiom of
Revealed Preference to Ordinal Demand Theory, however, we can get
\textit{slightly} closer to the Law of Demand.

\textbf{METHODOLOGICAL EPILOGUE}

Clearly, writing about a subject that has received so much attention in the
past is difficult to justify. Some would accept this reconsideration if it had
pedagogical utility – that is, on the presupposition that we all know all
there is to know about neoclassical demand theory but we always can use
some clever device with which to help teach undergraduates. I think that if
there is a use for better pedagogical devices, such a potentiality reflects a
poor understanding of the matter at hand. Of course, others would accept
this reconsideration merely if it involves the demonstration of some new
mathematical devices or techniques. Although most seem unwilling to
admit it, the application of a complicated mathematical technique to a
simple concept always ‘costs’ more than the resulting ‘benefits’ warrant.