Online Routing of Stochastically Arriving Bundles in Delay Tolerant Networks

> Daniel C. Lee Simon Fraser University

Delay Tolerant Networks

- Internet is inter-net. Why do we need another architecture to deal with heterogeneity?
- Internet protocol suit was not designed for links with intermittent connectivity or long propagation delay.



Long propagation delay Intermittent links (link occultation, frequent disconnection)

Implicit assumptions behind internet protocol design

- Small round trip time
- Existence of a contemporaneous path

Delay Tolerant Networks

- Overlay architecture; internet of internets
 - Extreme example: Mars internet, Earth internet, overlay architecture connecting them
- Message-oriented
 - Non-interactive due to disconnection of links
- Hop-by-hop reliability
 - Not end-to-end reliability like IP suit
 - Message (bundle) custody transfer

DTN nodes connecting performance challenging links



Key DTN Concept



DTN Bundles as an application overlay

Bundles: A Store and Forward Application Overlay



Research: Bundle Routing

- Links go on and off and link speed is timevarying.
 - Randomly
 - Deterministically
- Bundle arrivals may be random or deterministic
- Control variables

- Joint routing and transmission scheduling

Problem Space of Bundle Routing



Layering of Bundle Services, securities and reliabilities of Bundle Transfer



System Model

- DTN nodes and links are modeled by a graph (V, E).
- Time-varying link speed $r_{ij}(\tau)$ (e.g., bits per second), the maximum transmission rate allowed at time τ .
- Exogenous bundle arrivals at node *s*, destined to node *d*: Poission process with arrival rate λ_{sd}
- Bundle length: random variable L_{sd} , with pdf $p_{sd}(l)$
- A node *i*'s online routing and trasmission decision upons a bundle arrival:
 * The next node *j*
 - * Transmission rates $f_{ij}(t)$

Link delay model

For the model to be more inclusive, we can capture propagation delay and the case of packets arriving our of order.

Suppose that a bundle becomes available for transmission at time t. Bundle transfer delay through link (i, j) is

$$\max_{0 \le s \le L} \left\{ \Delta(s,t,f) + d_{ij}^{p} \left(t + \Delta(s,t,f) \right) \right\}$$

where $\Delta(s,t,f)$ is the time that elapses from *t* until the *s*th segment is transmitted (i.e., $\int_{t}^{t+\Delta(s,t,f)} f(\tau) d\tau = s$ in fluiod model),

 $d_{ii}^{p}(\tau)$ is the propagation delay of the segment that is transmitted at time τ .

In designing a policy

- One must think of the end-to-end bundle delays
- Prevent overflows at the receiving node
 - Limited storage, extreme importance of power
 - Feedback-based flow control is impractical

Suggestion: Online routing based on nominal flows

 $\overline{r_{ij}}$: avearge link rate, time average of $r_{ij}(t)$ or $E[r_{ij}(t)]$ of stationary random process

Feasible set of flows $\{f_{ij}^{sd} | i, j, s, d \in V\}$ $f_{ii}^{sd} \geq 0, \forall s, d, i, j$ $\sum_{i} f_{sj}^{sd} = \lambda_{sd} E[L_{sd}], \quad \forall s, d$ $\sum_{i,j} f_{id}^{sd} = \lambda_{sd} E[L_{sd}], \quad \forall s, d$ $\sum_{k} f_{ki}^{sd} = \sum_{i} f_{ij}^{sd}, \quad \forall i \neq s, d, \quad \forall s, d$ $\sum_{s \in d} f_{ij}^{sd} < \overline{r}_{ij}, \ \forall i, j \qquad \text{Use } \sum_{s \in d} f_{ij}^{sd} < \overline{r}_{ij} - \varepsilon_{ij}, \ \forall i, j$

Determine nominal flows offline: Example

M/M/1 approximation of queue length for link (i, j): $\frac{\sum_{sd} f_{ij}^{sa}}{\overline{r_{ij}} - \sum_{sd} f_{ij}^{sd}}$

buffer (storage) occupancy at node *i*:

$$\sum_{j} \frac{\sum_{sd} f_{ij}^{sd}}{\overline{r_{ij}} - \sum_{sd} f_{ij}^{sd}}$$

Feasible set of flows that

$$\max_{\{f_{ij}^{sd}|i,j,s,d\in V\}} \min_{i} \left[B_{i} - \sum_{j} \frac{\sum_{sd} f_{ij}^{sd}}{\overline{r_{ij}} - \sum_{sd} f_{ij}^{sd}} \right],$$

where B_i is the stirage capcity at node *i*.

If we need no discriminate s-d pairs

$$\max_{\{f_{ij}^{d} \mid i, j, d \in V\}} \min_{i} \left[B_{i} - \sum_{j} \frac{\sum_{sd} f_{ij}^{d}}{\overline{r_{ij}} - \sum_{d} f_{ij}^{d}} \right]$$

subject to

 $f_{ij}^d \ge 0, \ \forall d, i, j$

$$\sum_{d} f_{ij}^{d} \leq \overline{r}_{ij} - \varepsilon_{ij}, \ \forall i, j$$

$$\sum_{j} f_{ij}^{d} = \lambda_{id} E[L_{id}] + \sum_{k} f_{ki}^{d}, \quad \forall i, \forall d \neq i$$

$$\sum_{k} f_{kd}^{d} = \sum_{s} \lambda_{sd} E[L_{sd}], \quad \forall d$$

Suggested Online routing: leaky bucket



Online algorithm

- Run for each link (i, j) and destination d a leaky bucket with credit rate f_{ij}^{d} .
 - * Credit rate ensures that the average flow rate never exceeds nominal flow f_{ij}^{d} .
 - * Bucekt size limits burstiness, so that the buffer overflow at next node j may not be likely.
- Routing decision: Upon arrival of bundle with size *L* destined to node *d*, choose the next-hop a node from the nodes that have accumulated credit at least *L* for destination *d*.
- If no such neighboring node exists, wait until one of the neighbors accumuate enough credit.