

Simon Fraser University

Complexity Analysis of Optimal Stationary Call Admission Policy and Fixed Set Partitioning Policy for OVSF-CDMA Cellular Systems

Daniel Lee

Muhammad Naeem

Chingyu Hsu

Presentation Outline

Background

System Model

Call Admission Control Schemes

Complexity Of Proposed Schemes

Numerical Results

Summary



In Wideband CDMA orthogonal variable spreading factor (OVSF) are codes for providing different channels for different users with different data rates

Well known OVSF code generation



•Nodes with the depth = l from the root of the tree have code words of code length = 2^{l} .









Example of capacity region,, Cmax=32

System Model

- Random arrivals of call requests in each class can be modeled by a stochastic process (Poisson process).
- For class *j*
 - Average arrival rate is λ_i
 - Average call duration μ_i .
- In response to each arrival of call request, the network operator must make a call admission control (CAC) decision (whether to accept or reject the call request), and the decision should be made based on the resource constraint.
- ➤The objective can be to maximize average throughput, or minimize blocking probability, etc.



We allow code re-assignment

Main point

• The greedy Call Admission Policy is not necessarily optimal.

Optimal policy

- The system can be modeled by a Markov decision process, so an optimal policy can be obtained by optimizing a Markov Decision Process.
 - Linear Programming
 - Policy iteration
 - etc.

$$\left\{ \left(k_{0}, k_{1}, \cdots, k_{M-1}\right) \middle| \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} 2^{i} k_{i} \leq C , k_{i} \in Z^{+} \right\}$$

Previous Results: optimal policy

• An optimal policy can have significantly better performance than the greedy policy

According to small-scale cases

- Large-scale: large *C*, large number of classes, etc.
 - Computational complexity of linear programming (e.g., number of variables)

$$\left\{ \left(k_{0}, k_{1}, \cdots, k_{M-1}\right) \middle| \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} 2^{i} k_{i} \leq C , k_{i} \in Z^{+} \right\}$$

Previous results: suboptimal policy

• Even a fixed set policy can have significantly better performance than the greedy policy.

Optimal Fixed Set Partitioning

➢OVSF codes are partitioned into mutually exclusive groups of codes and uniquely assigns a group to each service class.

> G_j the number of codes in the subset assigned with class *j*, where *j* = 0, 1, 2,..., *M*−1.

>Once vector $G \equiv (G_0, G_1, ..., G_{M-1})$ is determined, the actual partition of the set is trivial.

> The numbers of codes in the subsets G_{0} , G_{1} ,..., G_{M-1} satisfy

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} 2^j G_j = C$$

Average Through put of this scheme

$$T_{F}(G) = \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} (2^{k} R) (1 - P_{k}) \lambda_{k} / \mu_{k}$$
 where

$$P_{k}=rac{\left(\lambda_{k}\left/ \mu_{k}
ight) ^{n}\left/ n!
ight. }{\sum_{n=0}^{G_{k}}\left(\lambda_{k}\left/ \mu_{k}
ight) ^{n}\left/ n!
ight. }$$

Optimal Fixed Set Partitioning



Greedy within fixed sets

Complexity Analysis

Size of the feasible set: the number of vectors $(G_0, G_1, \dots, G_{M-1})$ that satisfy constraint $\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} 2^j G_j = C$

Combinatorial Analysis and Recursion

 $> G_{M-1}$ can have any value from 0, 1,..., $C/2^{M-1}$. if G_{M-1} is x_M . Then, G_{M-2} can be 0, 1, 2, 3, ..., $2(C/2^{M-1} - x_M)$ and so on.

The relation between adjacent classes has a recursion

Let $\Gamma_m(v)$ be the number of non-negative integral vectors $(G_0, G_1, \dots, G_{M-1})$ that can occupy the space taken by v codes of largest codes i.e., satisfying constraint $\sum_{i=0}^m 2^i G_i = v2^m$. Then,

$$\Gamma_{m}(v) = \sum_{x=0}^{v} \Gamma_{m-1}(2(v-x)) = \sum_{i=0}^{v} \Gamma_{m-1}(2i)$$

$$\Gamma_{0}(v) = 1, \quad v = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Functions $\Gamma_0(v), \Gamma_1(v), ..., \Gamma_{M-1}(v)$ can be evaluated from the recursion.

The number of vectors $(G_0, G_1, \dots, G_{M-1})$ that satisfy constraint $\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} 2^j G_j = C \quad \text{is } \Gamma_{M-1} (C/2^{M-1}).$

Numerical Results



Numerical Results



Optimization of Markove Decision process through LP

In the case of LP, its complexity is closely related to the size of state space,

$$\left| \left\{ \left(k_0, k_1, \cdots, k_{M-1} \right) \right| \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} 2^i k_i \le C \ , k_i \in Z^+ \right\} \right|$$

► Let $\chi_m(v)$ be the number of non-negative integer vectors $(k_0, k_1, ..., k_m)$ that satisfy the constraint $\sum_{i=0}^m 2^i k_i \le v 2^m$

The number of vectors $(k_0, k_1, ..., k_{m-1})$ that satisfy this constraint is $\chi_{m-1}(2(v-x_m))$. Therefore, we have the following recursion:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} 2^{i} k_{i} \leq (v - x_{m}) 2^{m} = 2(v - x_{m}) 2^{m-1}$$

$$\chi_m(v) = \sum_{i=0}^{v} \chi_{m-1}(2i)$$

$\chi_m(v)$ and $\Gamma_m(v)$ have an identical recursion

The size of the state space

$$\left| \left\{ \left(k_0, k_1, \cdots, k_{M-1} \right) \middle| \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} 2^i k_i \leq C , k_i \in Z^+ \right\} \right|$$

= $\chi_{M-1} \left(C/2^{M-1} \right) = \Gamma_M \left(C/2^{M-1} \right)$

Numerical Results



Complexity

Fixed Set Partitioning (FSP)

The complexity of FSP operation is characterized as O(1) lookups

>DCA-CAC

The complexity of DCA-CAC is also O(1)

In summary, in both FSP and DCA, the computational complexity of online operations is O(1) per call arrival.

Thank You.