Halkomelem directional applicatives

Donna B Gerdts Simon Fraser University

The Halkomelem directional applicative suffix -nss allows the endpoint of an unergative motion verb to be expressed as an object argument. The applicative construction is an alternative to expressing the endpoint as an oblique phrase, usually in a serialized motion verb construction with the verb $ne\dot{m}$ 'go'. Though only a half dozen verbs commonly appear with -nss, many other verbs form directional applicatives if there is an additional implication that the action was directed by the agent toward the endpoint for a purpose. Directional applicatives are limited to unergative verbs where the action is a motion for which an endpoint can be expressed.

1 Halkomelem applicatives¹

Halkomelem is a Central Salish language spoken by around one hundred elders in southwest British Columbia. The data in this paper are from original fieldwork on Island Dialect ($h = l \cdot l$). Like other Salish languages, Halkomelem is polysynthetic—many affixes referencing nominals appear in the verb complex, including agreement markers, transitive suffixes, applicative suffixes, and lexical suffixes. This paper addresses one of the applicative suffixes— $n \Rightarrow s$, which is used to allow the expression of an endpoint as an applied object.

As posited by Kiyosawa (1999, 2000, 2002) Salish languages have two types of applicatives—REDIRECTIVE and RELATIONAL. In a redirective applicative the direct object role is redirected to a non-theme nominal—the applied object. The verb stem is transitive. The semantic role of the applied object is usually a goal, benefactive/malefactive, or possessor. Halkomelem has two redirective applicative suffixes:²

¹ Thanks to the speakers who have provide data for this paper, especially Ruby Peter. Thanks to Kaoru Kiyosawa for help with collection of data and editorial assistance. Thanks to Charles Ulrich for his comments and suggestions. Thanks to audiences at SSILA for comments on an earlier version of this paper. Funding for this research came from a Jacobs Fund Grant and SSHRC Standard Research Grant # 410-2001-1335.

² Abbreviations used in this paper are: AUX: auxiliary, BEN: benefactive applicative suffix, CONT: continuative (imperfective), CS: causative, DAT: dative applicative suffix, DET: determiner, DIR: directional applicative suffix, ERG: ergative, FUT: future, LCTR: limited control transitive, LNK: linker, NOM: nominalizer, OBJ: object suffix, OBL: oblique, PAS:

-as dative ³			
⁹ e³əm	'give'	⁹ a·m əs t	'give it to him/her'
x wayəm	'sell'	x waye m əs t	'sell it to him/her'
?i₩-	'instruct'	⁹ iw əs t	'show it to him.her'
уәӨ-	'tell'	yəθ əs t	'tell him/her about it'
	⁹ e ⁹ əm x™ayəm ⁹ iŵ-	?e?əm 'give' xwayəm 'sell' ?iw- 'instruct'	?e?əm'give'?a·məstx*ayəm'sell'x*ayeməst?iw-'instruct'?iwəst

(2)	-əłc benef	-əłc benefactive					
	₫ ^w ələt	'bake it'	ἀʷəl əłc ət	'bake it for him/her'			
	θəyt	'fix it'	θəy əłc ət	'fix it for him/her'			
	k wənət	'take it'	k wən əłc ət	'take it for him/her'			
	pe i ⁰ ət	'sew it'	ṗ̀e ṫ̀θ əłc t	'sew it for him/her'			

The syntactic effect of adding an applicative suffix can be seen by comparing the simple transitive in (3a) with the applicative in (3b). In the applicative, the benefactive is cast as the direct object and the patient as an oblique NP.⁴

- (3) a. ni^{9} $l \ni k^{w} at \vartheta s$ $k^{w}\theta \vartheta s \stackrel{?}{c} e \stackrel{?}{s} t.$ AUX break-TR-3ERG DET stick

 'She broke the stick.'
 - b. ni^{9} lak^{w} -atc-t-as $t^{\theta}a$ swiwlas ^{9}a $k^{w}\theta a$ scest. Aux break-BEN-TR-3ERG DET boy OBL DET stick 'She broke the stick for the boy.'

The second type of applicative is the relational applicative. Here the verb stem is generally intransitive and the direct object role is assigned to a notional oblique. Halkomelem has two relational applicatives. The suffix -me? is the general relational applicative. As discussed in Gerdts and Kiyosawa (2004, to appear), this suffix is used to express a variety of semantic roles including: stimulus of psychological or cognitive predicates, source of verb of motion, goal of speech or expressive act, adversative (often in passive), and benefactive of an intransitive verb.

passive object suffix, PL: plural, POS: possessive, Q: question particle, REL: relational applicative suffix, SER: serial, SSUB: subordinate subject, SUB subject, TR: transitive.

-

³ Gerdts (2000) and Gerdts and Hinkson (2004 to appear) provide evidence that the dative applicative suffix developed from the lexical suffix -as 'face'.

⁴ More precisely this is an "oblique object". See Gerdts and Hukari (to appear) for discussion.

(4) -me⁹ general relational applicative

si?si?	'afraid'	si ⁹ si ⁹ me ⁹ t	'afraid of him/her'
łəŵ	'run away'	łəẁ mə t	'run away from him/her'
qwal	'say, speak'	q ^w əl mə t	'lecture to, bawl out him/her'
$\theta e^{\gamma}c$	'get dark'	θe ⁹ c me⁹ t	'get dark on him/her'
$k^w u k^w$	'cook'	kwukw me ?t	'cook for him/her'

A second relational suffix $-n \circ s$ forms a directional applicative allowing the expression of the endpoint as an applied object.

(5) -nəs directional

nem	ʻgoʻ	nəm nəs	'go toward him/her/it'
⁹ ewə	'come'	⁹ ewə nəs	'come toward him/her/it'
x ^w čenəm	'run'	х́ ^w čenəm nəs	'run toward him/her'
x wəni?	'get there'	x wən i ns	'get there to him/her'

The syntactic effect of this type of applicative can be seen by comparing the intransitive clause in (6a) with the directional applicative in (6b), where the endpoint of the motion is expressed as an applied object.

(6) a. ni^{γ} $ne\mathring{m}$ $k^w\theta\vartheta$ swiwles. AUX go DET boy 'The boy went.'

b.
$$ni^{9}$$
 $nə^{9}e\dot{m}$ - $nəs$ - as $k^{w}\theta a$ $John$. AUX go-DIR:TR-3ERG DET John 'He went up to John.'

In intransitive clauses, oblique NPs, such as the stimulus in (7) are expressed by the catch-all preposition ?2.

(7) ni cən si'si' 'ə k wθə sk wəleš.

AUX 1SUB frighten OBL DET gun
'I was frightened of the gun.'

But in applicative constructions, the semantically oblique NP is expressed as a direct object:

Gerdts (1988) discusses the syntactic properties of applicative constructions in great detail. Suffice it to say that the applied object is clearly the

direct object because it appears as a direct case NP (6b, 8) or as an objective pronominal suffix (9), and furthermore can passivize (10).

- (9) mi 'ewə-nəs-sams'!

 AUX come.here-DIR-2OBJ

 'Come here to me!'
- (10)Že? ċә ?ə-¾ wəł nə⁹əm-nəs-əm qwəni again hearsay already go-DIR-PAS OBL-DET seagull t^{θ} ə žθəm. DET box

'And the seagull went to the box again.' literally: 'And the box was gone up to again by the seagull.'

In this paper, I address two issues concerning directional applicatives. First, given that there are two different ways of expressing an oblique (as a prepositional phrase in an intransitive clause or as the direct object in an applicative), what semantic difference, if any, exists between these two paraphrases? This topic is taken up in sections 2 and 3.

The second issue I address concerns the verbs to which the suffixes attach. The general applicative $-me^{\,\gamma}$ attaches to a wide variety of psychological and cognitive verbs. To date, we have found twenty-seven verbs taking this suffix (Gerdts and Kiyosawa 2003, to appear) and no verbs clearly of this class that do not. In the case of the directional applicative, only a half dozen verbs commonly appear with the suffix $-n \ni s$ in data from texts. However, many more (twenty-nine out of approximately sixty motion verbs tested to date) have been found to take $-n \ni s$ in elicited data. The question thus arises: why do only half of the motion verbs form directional applicatives?

2 Applicative versus oblique

Directionals, like other obliques such as locatives, instrumentals, and stimuli, can be expressed as oblique case PPs, as seen in the following examples:

- (11) nem cən ə $k^w\theta$ ə $\mathring{\Lambda}a\mathring{l}q^w$ ə $\mathring{l}s$.
 go 1SUB OBL DET dabbing
 'I'm going to bingo.'

However, except for a small handful of motion verbs meaning 'come' and 'go', directional PPs must be preceded by a serialized motion verb, usually $ne\vec{m}$ 'go'.⁵

- (13) nem cən 'əsəl nem 'ə-X snəneyməx".

 go 1SUB paddle go OBL-DET Nanaimo
 'I paddled to Nanaimo.'
- (14) nem $\dot{\text{ctem}}$ nem $\dot{\text{nem}}$ $\dot{\text{nem}}$ $\dot{\text{nem}}$, qeq. go crawl go OBL DET:2POS father baby 'Come crawl to your dad, baby.'

As seen by comparing (14) and (15), motion verbs generally require a serialized motion verb in order to express the endpoint as a directional phrase.

(15) *nem ctem 9 ə t^{θ} ən men, qeq! go crawl OBL DET:2POS father baby 'Come crawl to your dad, baby!'

What is notable about the directional applicative suffix is that it allows the expression of a directional without the necessity of a serialized motion verb.

(16) nem $\dot{\text{ctem-nos}}$ t^{θ} $\partial \dot{\text{n}}$ men, qeq! go crawl-DIR DET:2POS father baby 'Go crawl to your father, baby!'

Another example of this pattern is given in (17–19). The endpoint cannot appear simply as an oblique phrase of the verb 'pšəl' 'paddle' in (17), but it can appear as an oblique phrase in a serialized verb construction in (18) or as the object of the directional applicative in (19).

- (17) *nem cən 'əšəl 'ə lə sleni' ni' ni' Äpaləs.

 go 1SUB paddle OBL DET woman AUX be.at Cowichan.Bay
 'I'm going to paddle toward the lady who is at Cowichan Bay.'
- 9 (18)ni? neṁ cən ?əšəl neṁ łә słeni? ni? go 1sub paddle go OBL DET woman AUX be.at ἄpaləs. Cowichan.Bay

'I'm going to paddle toward the lady who is at Cowichan Bay.'

⁵ Montler (2004) makes the same point for Klallam.

(19) nem cən 'əšəl-nəs lə sleni' ni' ni' λəlpaləs. go 1SUB paddle-DIR DET woman AUX be.at Cowichan.Bay 'I'm going to paddle toward the lady who is at Cowichan Bay.'

Thus the applicative morphology clearly adds directional semantics.

Many verbs, for examples the ones in (20a), take oblique directional phrases and also form directional applicatives. On the other hand, verbs such as those in (20b) do not allow the expression of an endpoint as a serialized motion verb with an oblique directional and also do not occur with $-n \Rightarrow s$.

- (20) a. some verbs forming directional applicatives: $\vec{k}^{w}i^{2} \text{ 'climb'}, \ \vec{c}im\partial l \text{ 'get near'}, \ ta:l \text{ 'go to the middle of the floor (in the longhouse)'}, \ le:l \text{ 'go ashore'}, \ \vec{k}pil \text{ 'go down'}, \ w\partial q^{w}il\partial m$ 'go downstream', $ta\vec{k}^{w}$ 'go home', $ladel{longhouse}$ 'paddle', $ladel{longhouse}$ 'swim', $ladel{longhouse}$ 'swim underwater', $ladel{longhouse}$ 'wade out'
 - b. some verbs that do not form directional applicatives:

 təs 'approach', x "iwəl 'come forward', nəqəm 'dive down',

 pək " 'float to the surface', łak " 'fly', ca:ləc 'go over', cłaq "
 'go through', łxiləs 'stand', 'lməs 'walk'

If the verbs in (20b) express an endpoint at all, they do so through other means, for example with transitive or causative morphology, rather than with applicative morphology (see Gerdts and Hukari 2000).

3 The directional applicative as a "purposive"

In addition to the directional meaning, the applicative often adds a sense of purpose.⁶ All of the following examples were judged incomplete without the inclusion of the explanation of why the action was directed toward the object; the explanation usually takes the form of a modifying or a complement clause.

(21)?i ?ə č wəł tecəl-nəs $k^w\theta$ ə sqwəmey AUX INT 2_{SUB} already arrive-DIR DET dog ?i:'n s-ti:m? AUX:2POS NOM-ask

'Are you here for the dog that you are asking for?'

⁶ When I gave a version of this paper (Gerdts 2004), Tim Montler pointed out to me that Saanich is very similar to Halkomelem in this respect. Montler (1986:167) calls the Saanich suffix $-n \circ s$ 'purposive'. He says: it "adds the implication that the subject has a specific purpose in its action. It often, but not always, carries an implication of malevolent intent." The Halkomelem suffix does not seem to imply malevolence, however.

(22) $k^w\theta$ ə nem cən wəł cam-nəs sməyəθ already go.uphill-DIR go 1SUB DET deer ni? qay-nəx w-e:n. die-LCTR:30BJ-1SSUB AUX

'I'm going up the mountain for the deer that I killed.'

Note that the parallel clause with a stated purpose but without applicative morphology was judged ungrammatical:

(23)*nem wəł ?ə $k^w\theta$ ə cən cam sməyəθ 1SUB already go.uphill OBL DET deer go ni? qay-nəx w-e:n. AUX die-LCTR:30BJ-1SSUB

'I'm going up some mountains for the deer that I killed.'

When asked to provide an example with a stated purpose but without applicative morphology, the following sentence with the serialization of two verbs (the first providing the motion and the second providing the event) was suggested:

(24)nem cən wəł cam λ̃a^γəs-t $k^w\theta$ ə geyems 1sub already go.uphill pick.up-TR DET deer go ni? qay-nəx w-e:n. die-LCTR:30BJ-1SSUB AUX

'I'm going up some mountains to pick up the deer that I killed.'

Here are some additional examples of the purposive use of the applicative.

silə. (25)nem cən šaq^wəl-nəs θə-nə 1sub cross-DIR grandparent go DET-1POS na⁹əθ šłqa?θ ?ə t^{θ} ə šeł. other.side road AUX:DET OBL DET

'I'm going to cross the road because my grandmother is across there.' literally: 'I'm going to cross to my grandmother who is on the other side of the road.'

(26)nəwiləm-nəs $k^w\theta$ ən nem ce? sx wəmnik w ce:p 2PL:SUB enter-DIR DET:2POS aunt/uncle go FUT ?i? ἀaἀi^γ. sick AUX

'You will all go in and see your uncle who is sick.' literally: 'You people will go in toward your uncle who is sick.'

- (27)x^wə⁹aləm-nəs k^wθə-nə ni? nem cən meθž return-DIR 1sub **DET-1POS** go drum AUX nə-s-melq. 1POS-NOM-forget
 - 'I went back for the drum I forgot.'
- ċew-əθaṁš (28) č 'nі ce? tax w-nəs-samš **20BJ** go.downhill-DIR-10BJ help-TR:10BJ come **FUT** ?ə $k^w\theta\vartheta$ 'nі cəm-stəx w-ən. sce:ltən OBL DET salmon come go.downhill.CS:30BJ-1SSUB 'You will come down to the beach and help me bring up the fish that I'm bringing up.' literally: 'You will come down to me..."
- (29)nem ?a č ce? ticəm-nəs tθə qep-ət. qwłeż 2SUB FUT swim-DIR tie-TR DET log 'Are you going to swim to the log and tie it?'
- (30)nem ?ə ce? ckəm-nəs t^{θ} ə słewan ?ə₩ jump-DIR blanket Q 2_{SUB} FUT DET LNK go ni?-əs ce? wen-š-əm? AUX-3SSUB FUT throw-TR-PAS
 - 'Are you going to jump for a blanket when they are thrown out?'
- (31)ni? wəł neṁ həye?-nəs-əs $k^w\theta a$ šəyəl-s o.sibling-3POS AUX already depart-DIR-3ERG DET go ่ ่∧้อ[?]as-t-əs ni? ?ə-¾ mətuliye?. pick.up-TR-3ERG AUX **OBL-DET** Victoria 'He has departed to go and get his brother at Victoria.' literally: 'He has departed to his brother to pick him up at Victoria.'
- (32)č ce? qwim-nəs łə'n siĺə [?]əẅ́ neṁ go 2_{SUB} FUT disembark-DIR DET:2POS grandparent LNK ni-⁹əx w ?ə-¾ təs yəxwəla?əs mi? ⁹a:ł-stəx^w. arrive OBL-DET Kuper I. AUX-2SSUB come board-CS:30BJ

'You will go and get your grandmother when you get to Kuper and bring her aboard (on the ferry).'

literally: 'You will disembark to your grandmother'

```
(33) ?ənəx w-nəs-samis č ?əw ləm-namis-əx w stop-DIR-2OBJ 2SUB LNK see-LCTR:1OBJ-2SSUB kwə-nə-s ?i? ?imiəs.

DET-1POS-NOM AUX walk 'Stop for me if you see me walking.'
```

In sum, we see that directional applicatives, especially with verbs with meanings other than 'come' and 'go', require purposive semantics: the agent must be directing the action toward the endpoint for a purpose.

4 Agent control and the unaccusative ban on directional applicatives

Given the above observation, it is not surprising that unergative verbs but not unaccusative verbs can form directional applicatives. Unergative verbs are intransitive verbs in which the subject argument is semantically an agent in control of an event. Unaccusative verbs are intransitive verbs, usually processes, in which the subject argument is semantically a patient/undergoer that is not in control. Since directional applicatives require an agent to direct the action in a controlled, purposive manner, only unergative verbs are compatible with directional applicatives.

Several tests for the unergative/unaccusative distinction have been developed for Halkomelem (Gerdts 1991, Gerdts and Hukari 1998, 2000). Unergative verbs are compatible with the desiderative suffix -olmon and the limited control suffix -namot, while unaccusative verbs are not. As we see in Table 1, motion verbs that take the directional applicative suffix also take the desiderative and limited control suffixes.

	verb	directional	'want to'	'manage to'
'go'	nem	nəmnəs	neməlmən	nemnamət
'come'	⁹ ewə	⁹ ewənəs	⁹ ewə ⁹ əlmən	⁹ ewənamət
'run'	x ^w čenəm	x ^w čenəmnəs	x wčenəməlmən	x ^w čenəmnamət
'climb'	k ^w i [?]	k⁰wi?nəs	kwi?əlmən	kwi?namət
'go home'	takw	takwnəs	tak wəlmən	takwnamət
'paddle'	⁹ əšəl	⁹ əšəlnəs	⁹ əšələlmən	⁹ əšəlnamət
'swim'	ticəm	ticəmnəs	ticəməlmən	ticəmnamət
'wade out'	siž ^w əm	sixั ^w əmnəs	sixwəməlmən	sixั ^w əmnamət

Table 1: Unergative verb paradigms

In contrast, verbs that do not take the directional applicative suffix, fail to take the desiderative and limited control suffixes as well, as illustrated in Table 2.

	verb	directional	'want to'	'manage to'
'disappear'	ťθəŵ	*ť ⁰ əẁnəs	*ť ⁰ əẁəlmən	*ť ⁰ əwnamət
'drop'	kwe?	*kwe? nəs	*k*e ⁹ əlmən	*k ^w e ⁹ namət
'fade away'	θәх ^w	*θəx wnəs	*θəx™əlmən	*0əx wnamət
'fall'	hiləm	*hiləmnəs	*hiləməlmən	*hiləmnamət
'move'	təyq	*təyqnəs	*təyqəlmən	*təyqnamət
'tilt'	ṗ̃əłq̇̀ ^w	*ṗ̃əłq̇̃*nəs	*p̊əłq̊wəlmən	*p̊əłq̊ºnamət
'stumble'	wəẳəċ	*wəẳəċnəs	*wəオscslmən	*wəxəcnamət

Table 2: Unaccusative verb paradigms

While the semantic forces at work are obscured by the English translations of these verbs, the results from a Halkomelem perspective are clear: some motion verbs are unergative while others are unaccusative.⁷

5 Conclusion

The directional applicative suffix -nəs allows the expression of a endpoint without a serialized motion verb. Furthermore, the directional applicative often adds the implication that the action was directed by the agent toward the endpoint for a purpose. Unaccusative verbs, because they do not have agents, do not form directional applicatives. Unergative verbs, however, are good candidates for directional applicatives, so long as the action is a motion for which an endpoint can be expressed. Given these two conditions, only a portion (approximately one half) of motion verbs form directional applicatives, and most of these only occur when purposive semantics is present.

References

Gerdts, Donna B. 1988. *Object and Absolutive in Halkomelem Salish*. New York: Garland Publishing.

Gerdts, Donna B. 1991. Unaccusative Mismatches in Halkomelem Salish, *IJAL* 57: 230–250.

Gerdts, Donna B. 2004. Applicatives and endpoints in Halkomelem. Paper presented at SSILA, Boston, Mass.

Gerdts, Donna B., and Mercedes Q. Hinkson. 2004. An applicative use of the Halkomelem lexical suffix FACE. *ICSNL* 33: 65–90.

Gerdts, Donna B., and Mercedes Q. Hinkson. To appear. The grammaticalization of Halkomelem 'FACE' into a dative applicative suffix, *IJAL*.

Gerdts, Donna B., and Thomas E. Hukari. 1998. Inside and Outside the Middle. *ICSNL* 33: 166–220.

⁷ The situation is made complicated by the fact that, as Gerdts and Hukari (2000) note, some motion verbs exhibit properties of both unergative and unaccusative verbs.

- Gerdts, Donna B., and Thomas E. Hukari. 2000. The Dual Structure of Halkomelem Motion Verbs, *WAIL* 2000, Santa Barbara Working Papers in Linguistics, Vol. 10, pp. 33–46.
- Gerdts, Donna B., and Thomas E. Hukari. To appear. *Halkomelem*. Munich: Lincom Europa.
- Gerdts, Donna B., and Kaoru Kiyosawa. 2003. Halkomelem Psych Applicatives, *ICNSL* 28: 127–160.
- Gerdts, Donna B., and Kaoru Kiyosawa. To appear. Halkomelem Psych Applicatives, *Studies in Language*.
- Kiyosawa, Kaoru. 1999. Classification of applicatives in Salishan languages, *ICSNL* 34: 112–152.
- Kiyosawa, Kaoru. 2000. Applicatives in Salishan languages. Paper presented at the Workshop on Applicatives in the Languages of the Americas, CAIL 39, AAA 99, San Francisco, CA.
- Kiyosawa, Kaoru. 2002. Proto-Salish applicatives. *Working Papers of the Linguistics Circle* 15: 61–70. University of Victoria.
- Kiyosawa, Kaoru. To appear. The Form and Function of the Two Salish Object Suffix Sets. In D. Gerdts and L. Matthewson, eds. *Studies in Salish Linguistics in Honor of M. Dale Kinkade*. University of Montana Occasional Papers in Linguistics No. 17, Missoula.
- Montler, Timothy. 1986. An Outline of the Morpohology and Phonology of Saanich, North Straits Salish. UMOPL 4.
- Montler, Timothy. 2004. Klallam paths. Paper presented at SSILA, Boston, Mass.