## STAT-285 Homework 5 Solutions

## Section 9.2 Question 30

Study Objective: Examine the difference in average ultimate load between fibreglass grid and commercial carbon grid.

Formulation: Let

- $X_{F}$ denote the ultimate load of fibreglass grid.
- $X_{C}$ denote the ultimate load of commercial carbon grid.

We assume that $X_{F} \sim N\left(\mu_{F}, \sigma_{F}^{2}\right), X_{C} \sim N\left(\mu_{C}, \sigma_{C}^{2}\right)$, and $X_{F}$ is independent from $X_{C}$.
Data: We observe

- $X_{F, 1}, \cdots, X_{F, 26}$ : a random sample of 26 observations of ultimate load of fibreglass grid. We are given that $\bar{X}_{F}=33.4$, and $S_{F}=2.2$
- $X_{C, 1}, \cdots, X_{C, 26}$ : a random sample of 26 observations of ultimate load of commercial carbon grid. We are given that $\bar{X}_{C}=42.8$, (iii) $S_{C}=4.3$


## Part a $\quad / 7$

We aim to construct a $99 \%$ CI for $\mu_{F}-\mu_{C}$. Note that $X_{F}-X_{C} \sim N\left(\mu_{F}-\mu_{C}, \sigma_{F}^{2}+\sigma_{C}^{2}\right)$, where $\sigma_{F}^{2}$ and $\sigma_{C}^{2}$ are unknown.
$\Rightarrow$ A point estimate for $\mu_{F}-\mu_{C}$ is $\bar{X}_{F}-\bar{X}_{C}$, with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{X}_{F}-\bar{X}_{C}\right)=\frac{\sigma_{F}^{2}}{26}+\frac{\sigma_{C}^{2}}{26} \\
& \widehat{\operatorname{Var}}\left(\bar{X}_{F}-\bar{X}_{C}\right)=\frac{S_{F}^{2}}{26}+\frac{S_{C}^{2}}{26}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\frac{\left(\bar{X}_{F}-\bar{X}_{C}\right)-\left(\mu_{F}-\mu_{C}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{S_{F}^{2}}{26}+\frac{S_{C}^{2}}{26}}} \sim t(\nu)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu & =\frac{\left(S_{F}^{2} / 26+S_{C}^{2} / 26\right)^{2}}{\frac{\left(S_{F}^{2} / 26\right)^{2}}{26-1}+\frac{\left(S_{C}^{2} / 26\right)^{2}}{26-1}} \\
& =37.24 \\
& \approx 37 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Plugging in the observed values, a $99 \% \mathrm{CI}$ for $\mu_{F}-\mu_{C}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\bar{X}_{F}-\bar{X}_{c}\right) \pm t_{\alpha / 2}(\nu) \sqrt{\frac{S_{F}^{2}}{26}+\frac{S_{C}^{2}}{26}} \\
& =(33.4-42.8) \pm 2.715 \sqrt{\frac{2.2^{2}}{26}+\frac{4.3^{2}}{26}} \\
& =(-11.9718,-6.8282)
\end{aligned}
$$

Interpretation: We are $99 \%$ confident that the true mean difference between the ultimate load of fibreglass grid and commercial carbon grid lies in the interval ( $-11.9718,-6.8282$ ).

## Part b $/ 5$

A $99 \%$ CI for $\mu_{F}-\mu_{C}$ is not the same as a $99 \%$ upper confidence bound for $\mu_{F}-\mu_{C}$.

- Upper bound of CI: $\left(\bar{X}_{F}-\bar{X}_{C}\right)+t_{\alpha / 2}(\nu) \sqrt{\frac{S_{F}^{2}}{26}+\frac{S_{C}^{2}}{26}}$
- Upper confidence bound: $\left(\bar{X}_{F}-\bar{X}_{C}\right)+t_{\alpha}(\nu) \sqrt{\frac{S_{F}^{2}}{26}+\frac{S_{C}^{2}}{26}}$

A $99 \%$ upper confidence bound for $\mu_{F}-\mu_{C}$ is computed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(33.4-42.8)+2.431 \sqrt{\frac{2.2^{2}}{26}+\frac{4.3^{2}}{26}} \\
& =-7.098
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that if the difference between the two types of grids are negligible, we would expect the upper bound to be approximately zero. Since not, it strongly suggests that the true average load for the carbon beams is larger than fibreglass beams.

## Section 9.3 Question 36 /8

Study Objective: Check if there exists a difference in breaking load for fabrics in both unabraded condition and abraded condition.

Formulation: Let

- $X_{U}$ denote the breaking load for fabrics in unabraded condition.
- $X_{A}$ denote the breaking load for fabrics in abraded condition.

We assume that $X_{U} \sim N\left(\mu_{U}, \sigma_{U}^{2}\right)$ and $X_{A} \sim N\left(\mu_{A}, \sigma_{A}^{2}\right)$.
Data: $\left(X_{U, 1}, X_{A, 1}\right), \cdots,\left(X_{U, 8}, X_{A, 8}\right)$.
Reformulation: Let $D=X_{U}-X_{A} \sim N\left(\mu_{D}, \sigma^{2}\right)$, where $\mu_{D}=\mu_{U}-\mu_{A}$, and $\sigma_{D}^{2}$ is unknown. We want to test if there is a difference between the breaking load for unabraded condition is larger than the breaking load for abraded condition.

Hypothesis Test: $H_{0}: \mu_{D}=0$ vs. $H_{a}: \mu_{D}>0$ with $\alpha=0.01$.

## Test Statistic:

$$
T=\frac{\bar{D}-0}{S_{D} / \sqrt{n}} \sim t(n-1) \text { under } H_{0}
$$

Here,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{D} & =\sum_{i=1}^{8} X_{U, i}-X_{A, i}=7.25 \\
S_{D} & =\frac{1}{7} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{8}\left(X_{U, i}-X_{A, i}-\bar{D}\right)^{2}}=11.86
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Plugging in the observed data:

$$
T_{o b s}=\frac{\bar{D}}{S_{D} / \sqrt{n}}=\frac{7.25}{11.86 / \sqrt{8}}=1.73
$$

Method 1 - p-value: $P_{H_{0}}\left(T>T_{o b s}\right) \approx 0.064$, where $T \sim t(7)$.
Since $0.01<0.064$, we fail to reject $H_{0}$.

## Method 2 - Rejection Region:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{0.01} & =\left\{t: t>t_{0.01}(7)\right\} \\
& =\{t: t>3.0\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $T_{\text {obs }} \notin \mathcal{R}_{0.01}$, we fail to reject $H_{0}$.

## Section 9.4 Question $53 \quad / 10$

Study Objective: Assess if there exists a difference in the incidence rate between the control and treatment groups.

Formulation: Let

- $X_{C}$ denote the number of individuals that experience an adverse GI event in the TG control group.
- $X_{T}$ denote the number of individuals that experience an adverse GI event in the olestra treatment group.

We assume that $X_{C} \sim \operatorname{Binomial}\left(n_{C}, p_{C}\right)$ and $X_{T} \sim \operatorname{Binomial}\left(n_{T}, p_{T}\right)$.

## Part a /6

Hypothesis Test: $H_{0}: p_{C}=p_{T}$ vs. $H_{a}: p_{C} \neq p_{T}$ with $\alpha=0.05$.
Data: We have

- $\hat{p}_{C}=0.176, n_{C}=529$
- $\hat{p}_{T}=0.158, n_{T}=563$

Test Statistic Taking $n_{C} \gg 1$ and $n_{T} \gg 1$,

$$
Z=\frac{\left(\hat{p}_{C}-\hat{p}_{T}\right)-0}{\sqrt{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})\left(1 / n_{C}+1 / n_{T}\right)}} \dot{\sim} N(0,1) \text { under } H_{0} .
$$

Here,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{p} & =\frac{n_{C}}{n_{C}+n_{T}} \hat{p}_{C}+\frac{n_{T}}{n_{C}+n_{T}} \hat{p}_{T} \\
& =\frac{529}{529+563} \times 0.176+\frac{563}{529+563} \times 0.158 \\
& =0.166
\end{aligned}
$$

Plugging in our values:

$$
Z_{o b s}=\frac{0.176-0.158}{\sqrt{0.166(1-0.166)(1 / 529+1 / 563)}}=0.8
$$

Method 1 - p-value: $P_{H_{0}}\left(|Z|>\left|Z_{\text {obs }}\right|\right)=2(1-\Phi(0.8))=0.4237$.
Since $0.4237>0.05$, we fail to reject $H_{0}$.
Method 2 - Rejection Region:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{0.05} & =\left\{z:|z|>z_{\alpha / 2}\right\} \\
& =\left\{z:|z|>z_{0.025}\right\} \\
& =\{z: z<-1.96 \text { or } z>1.96\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $Z_{\text {obs }} \notin \mathcal{R}_{0.05}$, we fail to reject $H_{0}$.
Method 3 - Confidence Interval: An approximate $95 \%$ CI for $p_{C}-p_{T}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\hat{p}_{C}-\hat{p}_{T}\right) \pm Z_{0.025} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_{C}\left(1-\hat{p}_{C}\right)}{n_{C}}+\frac{\hat{p}_{T}\left(1-\hat{p}_{T}\right)}{n_{T}}} \\
& =(0.176-0.158) \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{0.176(1-0.176)}{529}+\frac{0.158(1-0.158)}{563}} \\
& =(-0.0046,0.0406) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $0 \in(-0.0046,0.0406)$, we fail to reject $H_{0}$.

## Part b /4

With $\alpha=0.05$, we want to find samples sizes for which $\beta=0.10$ when $p_{C}=0.15$ and $p_{T}=0.20$. Assuming equal sample sizes $\left(n_{T}=n_{C} \equiv n\right)$, the required sample size is obtained from Equation (9.7) from the textbook:

$$
n=\frac{\left(Z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\left(p_{C}+p_{T}\right)\left(1-p_{C}+1-p_{T}\right) / 2}+Z_{\beta} \sqrt{p_{C}\left(1-p_{C}\right)+p_{T}\left(1-p_{T}\right)}\right)^{2}}{\left(p_{C}-p_{T}\right)^{2}}
$$

Plugging in our values yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
n & =\frac{(1.96 \sqrt{(0.15+0.20)(0.85+0.80) / 2}+1.28 \sqrt{0.15 \times 0.85+0.20 \times 0.80})^{2}}{(0.15-0.20)^{2}} \\
& =1210.4 \\
& \approx 1211 .
\end{aligned}
$$

