- Q2.1. "; n=100 >> 1, a 95% CI to μ_{x} is $\chi_{n} \pm 196 \sqrt{\frac{s}{n}}$ By the study data, it is (-0.09, 4.23)
- Q z.z. It's equivalent to test on H_0 : $\mu_x=0$ vs H_1 : $\mu_x \neq 0$ Consider $Z = \frac{X_1}{\sqrt{\frac{S_X^2}{n}}} \frac{X_1}{H_0} N(0,1)$ approximately

 $P_{H_0}(|Z| > 1.96) = 0.05, \quad Z_{obs} = \frac{2.07}{\sqrt{\frac{121.63}{100}}} = 1.88$ $\Rightarrow Do \text{ not reject H}_0$

- Q2.3. (i) There appears no strong evidence against Ho at the significance level of ~=0.05 from either of Q2.1 and Q2.2.
 - (ii) : n=100, the inference procedures in ()21 and Q2,2. don't require the normal approximation Q2.4. Consider a testing procedure for a one-sided alternative. Ho: Mu=Mv vs Hi: Mu>Mv