

STAT 330

Tutorial 10

Mengqi (Molly) Cen
Department of Statistics & Actuarial Science

Nov 23rd/ Nov 25th

Outline

Maximum Likelihood Methods

- Likelihood-Based Tests
- Multiparameter cases
- EM Algorithm

Likelihood-Based Tests

6.3.8. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a Poisson distribution with mean $\theta > 0$.

- (a) Show that the likelihood ratio test of $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ versus $H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0$ is based upon the statistic $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Obtain the null distribution of Y .
- (b) For $\theta_0 = 2$ and $n = 5$, find the significance level of the test that rejects H_0 if $Y \leq 4$ or $Y \geq 17$.

a) The pmf is $f(x, \theta) = e^{-\theta} \frac{\theta^x}{x!}, \theta > 0$.

The likelihood function is

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\theta} \frac{\theta^{x_i}}{x_i!} = e^{-n\theta} \frac{\theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!}$$

$$l(\theta) = \ln L(\theta) = -n\theta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \ln(\theta) - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(x_i!)$$

Using derivative of function equals 0 to find MLE:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} l(\theta) = -n + \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$$

We get: $\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$.

Hence, the likelihood ratio is =

$$\Lambda = \frac{l(\theta_0)}{l(\hat{\theta})} = \frac{e^{-n\theta_0} \frac{\theta_0^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!}}{e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}}$$

Given $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, we have $\Lambda = \frac{e^{-n\theta_0} \theta_0^Y}{e^{-Y} \left(\frac{\theta_0}{n}\right)^Y}$,
and $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(n\theta)$.

$$b) \alpha = P(\text{Reject } H_0 | H_0)$$

$$= P(Y \leq 4 \text{ or } Y \geq 17 | n\theta_0 = 10)$$

$$= P(Y \leq 4 | n\theta_0 = 10) + P(Y \geq 17 | n\theta_0 = 10)$$

$$= P(Y \leq 4 | n\theta_0 = 10) + [1 - P(Y \leq 16 | n\theta_0 = 10)]$$

$$= \sum_{y=0}^4 \frac{e^{-10} 10^y}{y!} + \left[1 - \sum_{y=0}^{16} \frac{e^{-10} 10^y}{y!}\right]$$

$$\approx 0.029 + 1 - 0.972$$

$$= 0.056$$

Likelihood-Based Tests

6.3.15. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a distribution with pmf $p(x; \theta) = \theta^x (1 - \theta)^{1-x}$, $x = 0, 1$, where $0 < \theta < 1$. We wish to test $H_0 : \theta = 1/3$ versus $H_1 : \theta \neq 1/3$.

b)

(a) Find Λ and $-2 \log \Lambda$.

(b) Determine the Wald-type test.

a) The pmf is $f(x; \theta) = \theta^x (1 - \theta)^{1-x}$, $x = 0, 1$, $0 < \theta < 1$.

The likelihood function is :

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{x_i} (1 - \theta)^{1-x_i} = \theta^{n\bar{x}} (1 - \theta)^{n - n\bar{x}}$$

When under $H_0 : \theta = 1/3$, the likelihood

$$\text{function is : } L(\theta_0) = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

When $0 < \theta < 1$, the MLE of θ is \bar{x} .

The likelihood function is $L(\hat{\theta}) = (\bar{x})^{n\bar{x}} (1 - \bar{x})^{n - n\bar{x}}$.

$$\text{Then, } \Lambda = \frac{L(\theta_0)}{L(\hat{\theta})} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}}{(\bar{x})^{n\bar{x}} (1 - \bar{x})^{n - n\bar{x}}} = \left(\frac{1}{3\bar{x}}\right)^{n\bar{x}} \left(\frac{2}{3(1 - \bar{x})}\right)^{n - n\bar{x}}$$

$$\text{Thus, } -2 \log(\Lambda) = -2n\bar{x} \log\left(\frac{1}{3\bar{x}}\right) - 2(n - n\bar{x}) \log\left(\frac{2}{3(1 - \bar{x})}\right).$$

First we compute $\bar{F}I(\theta) :$

$$f(x; \theta) = \theta^x (1 - \theta)^{1-x}, \quad x = 0, 1, \quad 0 < \theta < 1.$$

$$l(\theta) = x \log \theta + (1 - x) \log (1 - \theta).$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} l(\theta) = \frac{x}{\theta} - \frac{1 - x}{1 - \theta}.$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} l(\theta) = -\frac{x}{\theta^2} - \frac{1 - x}{(1 - \theta)^2}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{F}I(\theta) &= -E\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} l(\theta)\right] = \frac{E[x]}{\theta^2} + \frac{1 - E[x]}{(1 - \theta)^2} \\ &= \frac{\theta}{\theta^2} + \frac{1 - \theta}{(1 - \theta)^2} = \frac{1}{\theta(1 - \theta)} \end{aligned}$$

Then the Wald-type test is :

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_W^2 &= \left(\sqrt{n \bar{F}I(\theta)} (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \right)^2 \\ &= \left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{\theta(1 - \theta)}} (\bar{x} - 1/3) \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Multiparameter Cases

6.4.5. Let $Y_1 < Y_2 < \dots < Y_n$ be the order statistics of a random sample of size n from the uniform distribution of the continuous type over the closed interval $[\theta - \rho, \theta + \rho]$. Find the maximum likelihood estimators for θ and ρ . Are these two unbiased estimators?

Given $Y_1 < Y_2 < \dots < Y_n$ be the order statistics of a random sample of size n from $U(\theta - \rho, \theta + \rho)$,
so $Y_1 \geq \theta - \rho$, $Y_n \leq \theta + \rho$.

The pdf is $f(x; \theta, \rho) = \frac{1}{\theta + \rho - (\theta - \rho)}$

The likelihood function is:

$$L(\theta, \rho) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{\theta + \rho - (\theta - \rho)} \right) \\ = \left(\frac{1}{\theta + \rho - (\theta - \rho)} \right)^n$$

Thus, the likelihood function is maximized when the difference between $(\theta - \rho)$ and $(\theta + \rho)$ as small as possible, and $Y_1 \geq \theta - \rho$, $Y_n \leq \theta + \rho$.

Hence, $Y_1 = \theta - \rho$, $Y_n = \theta + \rho$.

Solve the equations we get

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{Y_1 + Y_n}{2}, \quad \hat{\rho} = \frac{Y_n - Y_1}{2}.$$

Multiparameter Cases

6.5.6. Consider the two uniform distributions with respective pdfs

$$f(x; \theta_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\theta_i} & -\theta_i < x < \theta_i, -\infty < \theta_i < \infty \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. The null hypothesis is $H_0 : \theta_1 = \theta_2$, while the alternative is $H_1 : \theta_1 \neq \theta_2$. Let $X_1 < X_2 < \dots < X_{n_1}$ and $Y_1 < Y_2 < \dots < Y_{n_2}$ be the order statistics of two independent random samples from the respective distributions. Using the likelihood ratio Λ , find the statistic used to test H_0 against H_1 .

Similar to the previous question, the likelihood function is maximized when the value of θ_i is small as possible, and $|x_i| < \theta_1, |y_j| < \theta_2$ for $i=1, \dots, n_1, j=1, \dots, n_2$.

Under $H_0 : \theta_1 = \theta_2$, the MLE of $\hat{\theta}$ is:

$$\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}_1 = \hat{\theta}_2 = \max \{ |x_i|, |y_j| \},$$

where $i=1, \dots, n_1, j=1, \dots, n_2$.

The likelihood function is:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\hat{\theta}) &= \left(\frac{1}{2\hat{\theta}}\right)^{n_1} \left(\frac{1}{2\hat{\theta}}\right)^{n_2} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2 \max\{|x_i|, |y_j|\}}\right)^{n_1+n_2} \\ &\text{where } i=1, \dots, n_1, j=1, \dots, n_2. \end{aligned}$$

Under $H_1 : \theta_1 \neq \theta_2$, the MLE of $\hat{\theta}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta}_1 &= \max |x_i|, \text{ where } i=1, \dots, n_1, \\ \hat{\theta}_2 &= \max |y_j|, \text{ where } j=1, \dots, n_2. \end{aligned}$$

The likelihood function is:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2) &= \left(\frac{1}{2\hat{\theta}_1}\right)^{n_1} \left(\frac{1}{2\hat{\theta}_2}\right)^{n_2} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2 \max\{|x_i|\}}\right)^{n_1} \left(\frac{1}{2 \max\{|y_j|\}}\right)^{n_2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus, } \Lambda &= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2 \max\{|x_i|, |y_j|\}}\right)^{n_1+n_2}}{\left(\frac{1}{2 \max\{|x_i|\}}\right)^{n_1} \left(\frac{1}{2 \max\{|y_j|\}}\right)^{n_2}} \\ &= \frac{(\max\{|x_i|\})^{n_1} (\max\{|y_j|\})^{n_2}}{(\max\{|x_i|, |y_j|\})^{n_1+n_2}} \text{ for } i=1, \dots, n_1, j=1, \dots, n_2. \end{aligned}$$

EM Algorithm

6.6.5. Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n_1} is a random sample from a $N(\theta, 1)$ distribution. Besides these n_1 observable items, suppose there are n_2 missing items, which we denote by Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_{n_2} . Show that the first-step EM estimate is

$$\hat{\theta}^{(1)} = \frac{n_1 \bar{x} + n_2 \hat{\theta}^{(0)}}{n},$$

where $\hat{\theta}^{(0)}$ is an initial estimate of θ and $n = n_1 + n_2$. Note that if $\hat{\theta}^{(0)} = \bar{x}$, then $\hat{\theta}^{(k)} = \bar{x}$ for all k .

E-step

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\theta | \hat{\theta}^{(0)}, \text{data}) &= E_{\hat{\theta}^{(0)}} [L(\theta | X, Z)] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (X_i - \theta)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} E[(Z_i - \theta)^2] \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (X_i - \theta)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} E[(Z_i - \hat{\theta}^{(0)}) + (\hat{\theta}^{(0)} - \theta)]^2 \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (X_i - \theta)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} [1 + (\hat{\theta}^{(0)} - \theta)^2] \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (X_i - \theta)^2 + n_2 + n_2 (\hat{\theta}^{(0)} - \theta)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The complete likelihood is

$$L^c(\theta | X, Z) \propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (X_i - \theta)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} (Z_i - \theta)^2 \right] \right\}.$$

The observed likelihood is

$$L(\theta | X) \propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (X_i - \theta)^2 \right\}.$$

The conditional pmf $k(Z | \theta, X) = \frac{L^c(\theta | X, Z)}{L(\theta | X)}$

$$\propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} (Z_i - \theta)^2 \right\}$$

Hence, $Z_1, \dots, Z_{n_2} \sim N(\theta, 1)$.

M-step

Then set derivative respect to θ to 0,

$$\text{we get } \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (X_i - \theta) + n_2 (\hat{\theta}^{(0)} - \theta) = 0.$$

$$\text{Thus, } \hat{\theta}^{(1)} = \frac{n_1 \bar{x} + n_2 \hat{\theta}^{(0)}}{n}.$$

Questions