<u>Last time</u>: Examples of undecidable problems

· ATM = {< M, w> TM M accepts w}

 $. \ \, E_{TM} = \{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) = \emptyset \}$

· ALL TM = { < M > | L (M) = E }

· EQ TM = {< M1, M2> | L(M1) = L(M2) {

The undecidability proofs are by reductions.

They can be generalized to show

Rice's Theorem: Every non-trivial property P of TMs is undecidable.

Set (property):

a property P = {< M > | TM M satisfies}

st. \ TMs M1, M2 1. \forall 1/45 M_1 , M_2 $L(M_1) = L(M_2) \implies both < M_1 > , < M_2 > \in P,$ or both $< M_1 > , < M_2 > \notin P.$

Ex: P={<M>| L(M)=89 is a property.

 $\forall M_1, M_2, L(M_1)=L(M_2) \Rightarrow$ either (M, 7, <M2) & P (If L(M,)=8) 05 (M17, CM27 & P $(id L(M_1) \neq \emptyset)$ Ex: Q = {< M> | TM M has < 100 states} is NOT a property. 3 M, on < 100 states with L(M1)=\$

3 M2 on > 100 states with L(M2)=\$ So JM1, M2, L(M1) = L(M2), but <M17 +Q & <M2> & Q A property P is called non-trivial

if $3 < M_1 > FP$, and $3 < M_2 > FP$. Rice's Thm: Every non-trivial property P is un decidable. Proof: Since P 1s non-trivial:

Lecture 11 Page 2

 M_1 s.t. $L(M_1) = \emptyset$ Wlog, <M, > E P. 3 M2 s.t. <M2> & P By contradiction: Suppose

P is decidable.

Will prove ATM is decidable. { < M, w > TM M accepts w } Construct a TM A: "On Input X, It Maccepts w, then simulate M2 on X. A: (1) M accepts $W \Longrightarrow L(A) = L(M_2)$ => <A> & P (2) M does not $\Rightarrow \angle(A) = \emptyset$ accept $\omega \Rightarrow \angle(A) \in \mathcal{F}$ =) <A>> EP

2. Run a décider for P
on (A) . We it accepts (A) $(A) \in P$.
If it accepts CA) (CA) (P), then answer M not acc W. Of it scients (A) (A)
H'it réjects CA> (CA> f/P), then auswer M acc w.
Reductions
set: A language A is reducible to B (A≤B) if
f a compartable function $f: \Sigma^{\times} \to \Sigma^{\times}$ s.t.
$\forall x \in \Sigma^{*}$
$x \in A \iff f(x) \in B$.
Thm: A < B & B decidable
Semi- A is also decidable.

not seur-der

Thun: A SB & A is undecid.

B is undecid.

Examples:

EQ TM = { < M1, M2 > | L(M1) = UM2)}
is not seuni-decidable.

Seuri-Der X

ATM < EQTM

Want f: Conjutable s.t.

¥ < M,W>

(1) M not acc $w \Rightarrow 4(M, w_2)$ = $\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle$

st. L(M1) = L(M2)

(2) M acc W => 4 ((M,W))=

Lecture_11 Page

Lecture 11 Page

Also, INF is not semidecidable. To prove INF not semi-dec. ATMSENI-dec => INF not semider. Maccw >> L(M'). inf. M not acc w => L(M') finite M: "On input X, simulate
Mon w." Macc w => L(M) = Z luk M not acc w => L(M) = Ø finite Want ATM < INF