

# Power and Sample Size Calculations

- ▶ So far: our theory has been used to compute  $P$ -values or fix critical points to get desired  $\alpha$  levels.
- ▶ We have assumed that all our null hypotheses are True.
- ▶ I now discuss power or Type II error rates of our tests.
- ▶ **Definition:** The power *function* of a test procedure in a model with parameters  $\theta$  is  $P_\theta(\text{Reject})$ .



## $t$ tests

- ▶ Consider a  $t$ -test of  $\beta_k = 0$ .
- ▶ Test statistic is

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_k}{\sqrt{MSE(X^T X)^{-1}_{kk}}}$$

- ▶ Can be rewritten as the ratio

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_k / \left[ \sigma \sqrt{(X^T X)^{-1}_{kk}} \right]}{\sqrt{[SSE/\sigma^2]/(n-p)}}$$



- ▶ When null hypothesis that  $\beta_k = 0$  is true numerator is standard normal, the denominator is the square root of a chi-square divided by its degrees of freedom and the numerator and denominator are independent.
- ▶ When, in fact  $\beta_k$  is not 0 the numerator is still normal and still has variance 1 but its mean is

$$\delta = \frac{\beta_k}{\sigma \sqrt{(X^T X)^{-1}_{kk}}} .$$

- ▶ So define **non-central**  $t$  distribution as distribution of

$$\frac{N(\delta, 1)}{\sqrt{\chi_\nu^2/\nu}}$$

where the numerator and denominator are **independent**.

- ▶ The quantity  $\delta$  is the **noncentrality parameter**.
- ▶ Table B.5 on page 1327 gives the probability that the absolute value of a non-central  $t$  exceeds a given level.



- ▶ If we take the level to be the critical point for a  $t$  test at some level  $\alpha$  then the probability we look up is the corresponding **power**,
- ▶ That is, the probability of rejection.
- ▶ Notice power depends on two unknown quantities,  $\beta_k$  and  $\sigma$  and on 1 quantity which is sometimes under the experimenter's control (in a designed experiment) and sometimes not (as in an observational study.)
- ▶ Same idea applies to any linear statistic of the form  $a^T \hat{\beta}$
- ▶ Get a non-central  $t$  distribution on the alternative.
- ▶ So, for example, if testing  $a^T \beta = a_0$  but in fact  $a^T \beta = a_1$  the non-centrality parameter is

$$\delta = \frac{a_1 - a_0}{\sigma \sqrt{a^T (X^T X)^{-1} a}} .$$



# Sample Size determination

- ▶ Before an experiment is run.
- ▶ Sometimes experiment is costly.
- ▶ So try to work out whether or not it is worth doing.
- ▶ Only do experiment if probabilities of Type I and II errors both reasonably low.
- ▶ Simplest case arises when you prespecify a level, say  $\alpha = 0.05$  and an acceptable probability of Type II error,  $\beta$  say 0.10.



- ▶ Then you need to specify
  - ▶ The ratio  $\beta/\sigma$ : comes from physically motivated understanding of what value of  $\beta$  would be important to detect and from understanding of reasonable values for  $\sigma$ .
  - ▶ How the design matrix would depend on the sample size.
  - ▶ Easiest: fix some small set of say  $j$  values  $x_1, \dots, x_j$ ; then use each member of that set say  $m$  times so that the aggregate sample size is  $mj$ .
  - ▶ This gives a non-centrality parameter of the form

$$\frac{\beta}{\sigma} \times \frac{\sqrt{m}}{\sqrt{(X^T X)_{kk}^{-1}}}$$

- ▶ The value  $n = mj$  influences both the row in table B.5 which should be used and the value of  $\delta$ .
- ▶ If the solution is large, however, then all the rows in B.5 at the bottom of the table are very similar so that effectively only  $\delta$  depends on  $n$ ; we can then solve for  $n$ .



## Power for $F$ tests

- ▶ Simplest example: regression through origin (no intercept).

- ▶ Model

$$Y_i = \beta_1 X_{i,1} + \cdots + \beta_p X_{i,p} + \epsilon_i$$

- ▶ Test  $\beta_1 = \cdots = \beta_p = 0$

- ▶  $F$  statistic

$$F = \frac{MSR}{MSE} = \frac{\hat{Y}^T \hat{Y} / p}{\hat{\epsilon}^T \hat{\epsilon}} = \frac{Y^T H Y / p}{Y^T (I - H) Y / (n - p)}.$$

Suppose now that the null hypothesis is false.

- ▶ Substitute  $Y = X\beta + \epsilon$  in  $F$ .
- ▶ Use  $HX = X$  (and so  $(I - H)X = 0$ ).
- ▶ Denominator is

$$\frac{\epsilon^T (I - H) \epsilon}{n - p}$$



- ▶ So: even when the null hypothesis is false the denominator divided by  $\sigma^2$  has the distribution of a  $\chi^2$  on  $n - p$  degrees of freedom divided by its degrees of freedom.
- ▶ FACT: Numerator and denominator are independent of each other even when the null hypothesis is false.
- ▶ Numerator is

$$\frac{(\epsilon + X\beta)^T H(\epsilon + X\beta)}{p}$$

- ▶ Divide by  $\sigma^2$  and rewrite this as

$$W^T H W / p$$

- ▶  $W = (\epsilon + X\beta)/\sigma$  has a multivariate normal distribution with mean  $X\beta/\sigma = \mu/\sigma$  and variance the identity matrix.





- ▶ **FACT:** If  $W$  is a  $MVN(\tau, I)$  random vector and  $Q$  is idempotent with rank  $p$  then  $W^T QW$  has a **non-central**  $\chi^2$  distribution with non-centrality parameter

$$\delta^2 = E(W^T QW) - p = \tau^T Q\tau$$

and  $p$  degrees of freedom.

- ▶ This is the same distribution as that of

$$(Z_1 + \delta)^2 + Z_2^2 + \cdots + Z_p^2$$

where the  $Z_i$  are iid standard normals. An ordinary  $\chi^2$  variable is called **central** and has  $\delta = 0$ .

- ▶ **FACT:** If  $U$  and  $V$  are independent  $\chi^2$  variables with degrees of freedom  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$ ,  $V$  is central and  $U$  is non-central with non-centrality parameter  $\delta^2$  then

$$\frac{U/\nu_1}{V/\nu_2}$$

is said to have a **non-central**  $F$  distribution with non-centrality parameter  $\delta^2$  and degrees of freedom  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$ .



# Power Calculations

- ▶ Table B 11 gives powers of  $F$  tests for various small numerator degrees of freedom and a range of denominator degrees of freedom
- ▶ Must use  $\alpha = 0.05$  or  $\alpha = 0.01$ .
- ▶ In table  $\phi$  is our  $\delta/\sqrt{p+1}$  (that is, the square root of what I called the non-centrality parameter divided by the square root of 1 more than the numerator degrees of freedom.)



# Sample size calculations

- ▶ Sometimes done with charts and sometimes with tables; see table B 12.
- ▶ This table depends on a quantity

$$\frac{\Delta}{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{(p + 1)\delta^2}{n}}$$

To use the table you specify

- ▶  $\alpha$  (one of 0.2, 0.1, 0.05 or 0.01)
- ▶ Power ( $= 1 - \beta$  in notation of table)– must be one of 0.7, 0.8, 0.9 or 0.95
- ▶ Non-centrality per data point,  $\delta^2/n$ .

Then you look up  $n$ .

- ▶ Realistic specification of  $\delta^2/n$  **difficult** in practice.



## Example: POWER of $t$ test: plaster example

- ▶ Consider fitting the model

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 S_i + \beta_2 F_i + \beta_3 F_i^2 + \epsilon_i$$

- ▶ Compute power of  $t$  test of  $\beta_3 = 0$  for the alternative  $\beta_3 = -0.004$ .
- ▶ This is roughly the fitted value.
- ▶ In practice, however, this value needs to be specified *before* collecting data so you just have to guess or use experience with previous related data sets or work out a value which would make a difference big enough to matter compared to the straight line.)
- ▶ Need to assume a value for  $\sigma$ .
- ▶ I take 2.5 – a nice round number near the fitted value.
- ▶ Again, in practice, you will have to make this number up in some reasonable way.



- ▶ Finally  $a^t = (0, 0, 0, 1)$  and  $a^T (X^T X)^{-1} a$  has to be computed.
- ▶ For the design actually used this is  $6.4 \times 10^{-7}$ . Now  $\delta$  is 2.
- ▶ The power of a two-sided  $t$  test at level 0.05 and with  $18 - 4 = 14$  degrees of freedom is 0.46 (from table B 5 page 1327).
- ▶ Take notice that you need to specify  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta_3/\sigma$  (or even  $\beta_3$  and  $\sigma$ ) and the design!



## Sample size needed using $t$ test: plaster example

- ▶ Now for the same assumed values of the parameters how many replicates of the basic design (using 9 combinations of sand and fibre contents) would I need to get a power of 0.95?
- ▶ The matrix  $X^T X$  for  $m$  replicates of the design actually used is  $m$  times the same matrix for 1 replicate.
- ▶ This means that  $a^T (X^T X)^{-1} a$  will be  $1/m$  times the same quantity for 1 replicate.
- ▶ Thus the value of  $\delta$  for  $m$  replicates will be  $\sqrt{m}$  times the value for our design, which was 2.
- ▶ With  $m$  replicates the degrees of freedom for the  $t$ -test will be  $18m - 4$ .



- ▶ We now need to find a value of  $m$  so that in the row in Table B 5 across from  $18m - 4$  degrees of freedom and the column corresponding to

$$\delta = 2\sqrt{m}$$

we find 0.95.

- ▶ To simplify we try just assuming that the solution  $m$  is quite large and use the last line of the table.
- ▶ We get  $\delta$  between 3 and 4 – say about 3.75.
- ▶ Now set  $2\sqrt{m} = 3.7$  and solve to find  $m = 3.42$  which would have to be rounded to 4 meaning a total sample size of  $4 \times 18 = 72$ .
- ▶ For this value of  $m$  the non-centrality parameter is actually 4 (not the target of 3.75 because of rounding) and the power is 0.98.
- ▶ Notice that for this value of  $m$  the degrees of freedom for error is 66 which is so far down the table that the powers are not much different from the  $\infty$  line.



# POWER of $F$ test: SAND and FIBRE example

- ▶ Now consider the power of the test that all the higher order terms are 0 in the model

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 S_i + \beta_2 F_i + \beta_3 F_i^2 + \beta_4 S_i^2 + \beta_5 S_i F_i + \epsilon_i$$

that is the power of the  $F$  test of  $\beta_3 = \beta_4 = \beta_5 = 0$ .

- ▶ Need to specify the non-centrality parameter for this  $F$  test.
- ▶ In general the noncentrality parameter for a  $F$  test based on  $\nu_1$  numerator degrees of freedom is given by

$$E(\text{Extra SS})/\sigma^2 - \nu_1 .$$

- ▶ This quantity needs to be worked out algebraically for each separate case, however, some general points can be made.





- ▶ Write the full model as

$$Y = X_1\beta_1 + X_2\beta_2 + \epsilon$$

and the reduced model as

$$Y = X_1\beta_1 + \epsilon$$

- ▶ Extra SS is difference between two Error sums of squares.
- ▶ One is for the full model, assumed correct, so:

$$E(\text{ErrorSS}_{\text{FULL}}) = \text{ErrorDF}_{\text{FULL}}\sigma^2$$

- ▶ The Error SS for the reduced model is

$$Y^T(I - H_1)Y$$

where  $H_1 = X_1(X_1^T X_1)^{-1}X_1^T$ .



- ▶ Replace  $Y$  by  $X_1\beta_1 + X_2\beta_2 + \epsilon$  from full model equation; take expected value.
- ▶ The answer is

$$\sigma^2[(n - p_1) + \beta_2^T X_2^T (I - H_1) X_2 \beta_2]$$

where  $p_1 =$  is the rank of  $X_1$ .

- ▶ This makes the non-centrality parameter

$$\delta^2 = \beta_2^T X_2^T (I - H_1) X_2 \beta_2 / \sigma^2.$$

- ▶ Interpretation: error sum of squares regressing  $X_2\beta_2$  on  $X_1$ .



## Sand and Fibre details

Assume  $\beta_3 = -0.004$ ,  $\beta_4 = -0.005$  and  $\beta_5 = 0.001$ . The following SAS code computes the required numerator.

```
data plaster;
infile 'plaster.dat';
input sand fibre hardness strength;
newx = -0.004*fibre*fibre -0.005*sand*sand
      +0.001*sand*fibre;
proc reg data=plaster;
  model newx = sand fibre ;
run;
```



Output shows:

- ▶ Error sum of squares regressing newx on sand, fibre and an intercept is 31.1875.
- ▶ Taking  $\sigma^2$  to be 7 we get a noncentrality parameter of roughly 4.55.
- ▶ Compute  $\phi = \sqrt{4.55}/\sqrt{3+1} = 1.07$  needed for table B 11.
- ▶ For 3 numerator and  $18-6=12$  denominator degrees of freedom we get a power between 0.27 and 0.56 but close to 0.27.



## Sample Size for $F$ test: SAND and FIBRE example

- ▶ For same basic problem and parameter values how many times would we need to replicate the design to get a power of 0.95?
- ▶ Non-centrality parameter for  $m$  replicates is  $m$  times that for 1 replicate.
- ▶ In terms of the parameter  $\phi$  used in the tables the value is proportional to  $\sqrt{m}$ .
- ▶ With  $m$  replicates have  $18m - 6$  denominator degrees of freedom.
- ▶ If  $18m - 6$  is reasonably large can use  $\infty$  line and see that  $\phi_m$  must be around 2.2 making  $m$  roughly 4 ( $\phi_m = \sqrt{m}\phi_1 = 1.07\sqrt{m}$ ).



## Using Table B 12 directly

- ▶ Table gives values of  $n/r$  where  $n$  is total sample size,  $r - 1$  is df in numerator of  $F$ -test,  $n - r$  is df for error, non-centrality parameter  $\delta^2$  is

$$\left(\frac{\Delta}{\sigma}\right)^2 \frac{n}{2}$$

- ▶ If basic design has  $n_1$  data points and  $p$  parameters and  $F$  test is based on  $\nu_1$  degrees of freedom then when you replicate the design  $m$  times you get  $mn_1$  total data points,  $mn_1 - p$  degrees of freedom for error and  $\nu_1$  degrees of freedom for the numerator of the  $F$  test.
- ▶ To use the table take  $r = \nu_1 + 1$ .
- ▶ Work out  $\Delta/\sigma$ . Take value of ncp  $\delta_1^2$  for one replicate of basic design. Compute

$$\Delta/\sigma = \sqrt{2}\delta_1$$

- ▶ Look up  $n/r$  in the table and take that to be  $m$ .



- ▶ Making small mistake unless  $p = \nu_1 + 1$  (which is the case for the overall  $F$  test in the basic ANOVA table).
- ▶ The problem is that you will be pretending you have  $(m - 1)(\nu_1 + 1)$  degrees of freedom for error instead of  $mn_1 - p$ . As long as these are both large all is well.
- ▶ Our example: for power 0.95 and  $m$  replicates of 18 point design have  $\delta_1^2 = 4.55$  as above.
- ▶ We have  $r = 3 + 1 = 4$ .
- ▶ We get  $\Delta/\sigma = \sqrt{2}\sqrt{4.55} = 3.02$ .
- ▶ For a level 0.05 test we then look on page 1342 and get  $m = 5$  for a total sample size of 90.



- ▶ Degrees of freedom for error will really be 84 but table pretends that degrees of freedom for error will be  $(5 - 1) \times 4 = 16$ .
- ▶ The latter is pretty small.
- ▶ The table supposes a smaller number of error df which would decrease the power of a test.
- ▶ So  $m = 5$  is probably an overestimate of the required sample size.
- ▶ A better answer can be had by looking at replicates of the 9 point design.





- ▶ For 9 data points noncentrality parameter would be  $\delta_1^2 = 4.55/2 = 2.275$ .
- ▶ Gives  $\Delta/\sigma = 2.13$  and  $m$  of 9 or 10.
- ▶ For  $m = 10$  would have same design as before.
- ▶ For  $m = 9$  we would have only 81 data points.
- ▶ At this point you go back to Table B 11 to work out the power properly for 81 or 90 data points and see if 81 is enough.

