STAT 801: Mathematical Statistics

Monte Carlo

Given random variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ whose joint density f (or distribution) is specified and a statistic $T(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ whose distribution you want to know. To compute something like $P(T > t)$:

1. Generate $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ from the density f.
2. Compute $T_1 = T(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$.
3. Repeat N times getting $T_1, \ldots, T_N$.
4. Estimate $p = P(T > t)$ as $\hat{p} = M/N$ where M is number of repetitions where $T_i > t$.
5. Estimate accuracy of $\hat{p}$ using $\sqrt{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})/N}$.

Notice accuracy inversely proportional to $\sqrt{N}$. There are a number of tricks to make the method more accurate (but they only change the constant of proportionality – the SE is still inversely proportional to the square root of the sample size).

Generating the Sample

Transformation

Most computer languages have a facility for generating pseudo uniform random numbers, that is, variables U which have (approximately of course) a Uniform$[0,1]$ distribution. Other distributions are generated by transformation:

Exponential: $X = -\log U$ has an exponential distribution:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X > x) &= P(-\log(U) > x) \\ &= P(U \le e^{-x}) = e^{-x} \end{aligned}$$

Random uniforms generated on the computer sometimes have only 6 or 7 digits or so of detail. This can make the tail of your distribution grainy. If U were actually a multiple of 10^{-6} for instance then the largest possible value of X is $6\log(10)$. This problem can be ameliorated by the following algorithm:

- Generate U a Uniform[0,1] variable.
- Pick a small ϵ like 10^{-3} say. If $U > \epsilon$ take $Y = -\log(U)$.
- If $U \le \epsilon$ remember that the conditional distribution of $Y - y$ given $Y > y$ is exponential. You use this by generating a new U' and computing $Y' = -\log(U')$. Then take $Y = Y' - \log(\epsilon)$. The resulting Y has an exponential distribution. You should check this by computing $P(Y > y)$.

General technique: inverse probability integral transform.
If X is to have cdf F:
Generate $U \sim Uniform[0,1]$.
Take $X = F^{-1}(U)$:

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y \le y) &= P(F^{-1}(U) \le y) \\ &= P(U \le F(y)) = F(y) \end{aligned}$$

Example: X exponential. $F(x) = 1 - e^{-x}$ and $F^{-1}(u) = -\log(1-u)$.
Compare to previous method. (Use U instead of $1-U$.)
Normal: $F = \Phi$ (common notation for standard normal cdf).
No closed form for F^{-1}.
One way: use numerical algorithm to compute F^{-1}.
Alternative: Box Müller
Generate U_1, U_2 two independent Uniform[0,1] variables.
Define

$$Y_1 = \sqrt{-2\log(U_1)}\cos(2\pi U_2)$$

and

$$Y_2 = \sqrt{-2\log(U_1)}\sin(2\pi U_2)\,.$$

Exercise: (use change of variables) Y_1 and Y_2 are independent $N(0,1)$ variables.

Acceptance Rejection

Suppose: can't calculate F^{-1} but know f.

Find density g and constant c such that

1. $f(x) \le cg(x)$ for each x and
2. G^{-1} is computable or can generate observations $W_1, W_2, \ldots$ independently from g.

Algorithm:

1. Generate W_1.
2. Compute $p = f(W_1)/(cg(W_1)) \le 1$.
3. Generate uniform[0,1] random variable U_1 independent of all Ws.
4. Let $Y = W_1$ if $U_1 \le p$.
5. Otherwise get new W, U; repeat until you find $U_i \le f(W_i)/(cg(W_i))$.
6. Make Y be last W generated.

This Y has density f.

Markov Chain Monte Carlo

Recently popular tactic, particularly for generating multivariate observations.

Theorem Suppose $W_1, W_2, \ldots$ is an (ergodic) Markov chain with stationary transitions and the stationary initial distribution of W has density f. Then starting the chain with *any* initial distribution

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} g(W_i) \to \int g(x)f(x)dx\,.$$

Estimate things like $\int_A f(x)dx$ by computing the fraction of the W_i which land in A.

Many versions of this technique including Gibbs Sampling and Metropolis-Hastings algorithm.

Technique invented in 1950s: Metropolis et al.

One of the authors was Edward Teller "father of the hydrogen bomb".

Importance Sampling

If you want to compute

$$\theta \equiv E(T(X)) = \int T(x)f(x)dx$$

you can generate observations from a different density g and then compute

$$\hat{\theta} = n^{-1}\sum T(X_i)f(X_i)/g(X_i)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{\theta}) &= n^{-1}\sum E\left\{T(X_i)f(X_i)/g(X_i)\right\} \\ &= \int \{T(x)f(x)/g(x)\}g(x)dx \\ &= \int T(x)f(x)dx \\ &= \theta \end{aligned}$$

Variance reduction

Consider the problem of estimating the distribution of the sample mean for a Cauchy random variable. The Cauchy density is

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}$$

We generate $U_1, \ldots, U_n$ uniforms and then define $X_i = \tan^{-1}(\pi(U_i - 1/2))$. Then we compute $T = \bar{X}$. Now to estimate $p = P(T > t)$ we would use

$$\hat{p} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} 1(T_i > t)/N$$

after generating N samples of size n. This estimate is unbiased and has standard error $\sqrt{p(1-p)/N}$.

We can improve this estimate by remembering that $-X_i$ also has Cauchy distribution. Take $S_i = -T_i$. Remember that S_i has the same distribution as T_i. Then we try (for $t > 0$)

$$\tilde{p} = [\sum_{i=1}^{N} 1(T_i > t) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} 1(S_i > t)]/(2N)$$

which is the average of two estimates like $\hat{p}$. The variance of $\tilde{p}$ is

$$(4N)^{-1}Var(1(T_i > t) + 1(S_i > t))$$

$$= (4N)^{-1}Var(1(|T| > t))$$

which is

$$\frac{2p(1-2p)}{4N} = \frac{p(1-2p)}{2N}$$

Notice that the variance has an extra 2 in the denominator and that the numerator is also smaller – particularly for p near $1/2$. So this method of variance reduction has resulted in a need for only half the sample size to get the same accuracy.

Regression estimates

Suppose we want to compute

$$\theta = E(|Z|)$$

where Z is standard normal. We generate N iid $N(0,1)$ variables $Z_1, \ldots, Z_N$ and compute $\hat{\theta} = \sum |Z_i|/N$. But we know that $E(Z_i^2) = 1$ and can see easily that $\hat{\theta}$ is positively correlated with $\sum Z_i^2/N$. So we consider using

$$\tilde{\theta} = \hat{\theta} - c(\sum Z_i^2/N - 1)$$

Notice that $E(\tilde{\theta}) = \theta$ and

$$Var(\tilde{\theta}) =$$

$$Var(\hat{\theta}) - 2c\mathrm{Cov}(\hat{\theta}, \sum Z_i^2/n)$$

$$+ c^2 Var(\sum Z_i^2/N)$$

The value of c which minimizes this is

$$c = \frac{\mathrm{Cov}(\hat{\theta}, \sum Z_i^2/n)}{Var(\sum Z_i^2/N)}$$

and this value can be estimated by regressing the $|Z_i|$ on the Z_i^2!