Likelihood Methods of Inference

Given data X with model $\{f_{\theta}(x); \theta \in \Theta\}$:

Definition: The likelihood function is map L: domain Θ , values given by

$$L(\theta) = f_{\theta}(X)$$

Key Point: think about how the density depends on θ not about how it depends on X.

Notice: X, observed value of the data, has been plugged into the formula for density.

We use likelihood for most inference problems:

- 1. Point estimation: we must compute an estimate $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(X)$ which lies in Θ . The **maximum likelihood estimate (MLE)** of θ is the value $\hat{\theta}$ which maximizes $L(\theta)$ over $\theta \in \Theta$ if such a $\hat{\theta}$ exists.
- 2. Point estimation of a function of θ : we must compute an estimate $\hat{\phi} = \hat{\phi}(X)$ of $\phi = g(\theta)$. We use $\hat{\phi} = g(\hat{\theta})$ where $\hat{\theta}$ is the MLE of θ .
- 3. Interval (or set) estimation. We must compute a set C = C(X) in Θ which we think will contain θ_0 . We will use

$$\{\theta \in \Theta : L(\theta) > c\}$$

for a suitable c.

4. Hypothesis testing: decide whether or not $\theta_0 \in \Theta_0$ where $\Theta_0 \subset \Theta$. We base our decision on the likelihood ratio

$$\frac{\sup\{L(\theta); \theta \in \Theta_0\}}{\sup\{L(\theta); \theta \in \Theta \setminus \Theta_0\}}$$

Maximum Likelihood Estimation

To find MLE maximize L.

Typical function maximization problem:

Set gradient of L equal to 0

Check root is maximum, not minimum or saddle point.

Often L is product of n terms (given n independent observations).

Much easier to work with logarithm of L: log of product is sum and logarithm is monotone increasing.

Definition: The **Log Likelihood** function is

$$\ell(\theta) = \log\{L(\theta)\}.$$

Samples from MVN Population

Simplest problem: collect replicate measurements X_1, \ldots, X_n from single population.

Model: X_i are iid $MVN_p(\mu, \Sigma)$.

Parameters (θ) : (μ, Σ) . Parameter space: $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and Σ is some positive definite $p \times p$ matrix.

Log likelihood is

$$\ell(\mu, \Sigma) = -np \log(\pi)/2 - n \log \det \Sigma/2$$
$$-\sum (\mathbf{X}_i - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_i - \mu)/2$$

Take derivatives.

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \mu} = \Sigma^{-1} \left\{ \sum (\mathbf{X}_i - \mu) \right\}$$
$$= n \Sigma^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \mu)$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{X}} = \sum \mathbf{X}_i/n$.

Second derivative wrt μ is a matrix:

$$-n\Sigma^{-1}$$

Fact: if second derivative matrix is negative definite at critical point then critical point is a maximum.

Fact: if second derivative matrix is negative definite everywhere then function is concave; no more than 1 critical point.

Summary: ℓ is maximized at

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{X}$$

(regardless of choice of Σ).

More difficult: differentiate ℓ wrt Σ .

Somewhat simpler: set $D=\Sigma^{-1}$

First derivative wrt D is matrix with entries

$$rac{\partial \ell}{\partial \mathbf{D}_{ij}}$$

Warning: method used ignores symmetry of Σ .

Need: derivative of two functions:

$$\frac{\partial \log \det \mathbf{A}}{\partial \mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^T$$

Fact: ij^{th} entry of A^{-1} is

$$(-1)^{i+j} \frac{\det(\mathbf{A}^{(ij)})}{\det \mathbf{A}}$$

where $A^{(ij)}$ denotes matrix obtained from A by removing column j and row i.

Fact: $det(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{k} (-1)^{i+k} A_{ik} det(\mathbf{A}^{(ik)})$; expansion by minors.

Conclusion

$$\frac{\partial \log \det \mathbf{A}}{\partial A_{ij}} = (\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{ij}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \log \det \mathbf{A}^{-1}}{\partial A_{ij}} = -(\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{ij}$$

Implication

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \mathbf{D}} = -n\mathbf{\Sigma}/2 - \sum_{i} (\mathbf{X}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{X}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{T}/2$$

Set = 0 and find only critical point is

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \sum_{i} (\mathbf{X}_{i} - \bar{\mathbf{X}})(\mathbf{X}_{i} - \bar{\mathbf{X}})^{T}/n$$

Usual sample covariance matrix is

$$\mathbf{S} = \sum_{i} (\mathbf{X}_i - \bar{\mathbf{X}}) (\mathbf{X}_i - \bar{\mathbf{X}})^T / (n-1)$$

Properties of MLEs:

1)
$$\bar{\mathbf{X}} \sim MVN_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, n^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma})$$

2)
$$E(S) = \Sigma$$
.

Distribution of S? Joint distribution of \bar{X} and S?

Univariate Normal samples: Distribution Theory

Theorem: Suppose X_1, \ldots, X_n are independent $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ random variables. Then

- 1. \bar{X} (sample mean)and s^2 (sample variance) independent.
- 2. $n^{1/2}(\bar{X}-\mu)/\sigma \sim N(0,1)$.
- 3. $(n-1)s^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$.
- 4. $n^{1/2}(\bar{X}-\mu)/s \sim t_{n-1}$.

Proof: Let $Z_i = (X_i - \mu)/\sigma$.

Then Z_1, \ldots, Z_p are independent N(0,1).

So $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_p)^T$ is multivariate standard normal.

Note that $\bar{X} = \sigma \bar{Z} + \mu$ and $s^2 = \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2/(n-1) = \sigma^2 \sum (Z_i - \bar{Z})^2/(n-1)$ Thus

$$\frac{n^{1/2}(\bar{X}-\mu)}{\sigma} = n^{1/2}\bar{Z}$$

$$\frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2} = \sum (Z_i - \bar{Z})^2$$

and

$$T = \frac{n^{1/2}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{s} = \frac{n^{1/2}\bar{Z}}{s_Z}$$

where $(n-1)s_Z^2 = \sum (Z_i - \bar{Z})^2$.

So: reduced to $\mu = 0$ and $\sigma = 1$.

Step 1: Define

$$Y = (\sqrt{n}\bar{Z}, Z_1 - \bar{Z}, \dots, Z_n - \bar{Z})^T.$$

(So Y has dimension n+1.) Now

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \\ 1 - \frac{1}{n} & -\frac{1}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{1}{n} \\ -\frac{1}{n} & 1 - \frac{1}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{1}{n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \\ \vdots \\ Z_n \end{bmatrix}$$

or letting ${f M}$ denote the matrix

$$Y = \mathbf{M}Z$$
.

It follows that $Y \sim MVN(0, \mathbf{MM}^T)$ so we need to compute \mathbf{MM}^T :

$$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 - \frac{1}{n} & -\frac{1}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{1}{n} \\ \vdots & -\frac{1}{n} & \cdots & & -\frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \vdots & \cdots & & 1 - \frac{1}{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Put
$$Y_2 = (Y_2, ..., Y_{n+1})$$
. Since $Cov(Y_1, Y_2) = 0$

conclude Y_1 and \mathbf{Y}_2 are independent and each is normal.

Thus $\sqrt{n}\bar{Z}$ is independent of $Z_1-\bar{Z},\ldots,Z_n-\bar{Z}$.

Since s_Z^2 is a function of $Z_1-\bar{Z},\ldots,Z_n-\bar{Z}$ we see that $\sqrt{n}\bar{Z}$ and s_Z^2 are independent.

Also, see $\sqrt{n}\bar{Z} \sim N(0,1)$.

First 2 parts done.

Consider $(n-1)s^2/\sigma^2 = \mathbf{Y}_2^T\mathbf{Y}_2$. Note that $\mathbf{Y}_2 \sim MVN(0, \mathbf{Q})$.

Now: distribution of quadratic forms:

Suppose $Z \sim MVN(0, \mathbf{I})$ and \mathbf{A} is symmetric. Put $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{P}^T$ for \mathbf{D} diagonal, \mathbf{P} orthogonal.

Then

$$\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Z} = (\mathbf{Z}^*)^T \mathbf{D} \mathbf{Z}^*$$

where

$$\mathbf{Z}^* = \mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{Z}$$

But $\mathbf{Z}^* \sim MVN(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{P}^T\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{I})$ is standard multivariate normal.

So: $\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Z}$ has same distribution as

$$\sum_{i} \lambda_i Z_i^2$$

where $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ are eigenvalues of **A**.

Special case: if all λ_i are either 0 or 1 then $\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Z}$ has a chi-squared distribution with df = number of λ_i equal to 1.

When are eigenvalues all 1 or 0?

Answer: if and only if A is idempotent.

1) If A idempotent and λ, x is an eigenpair the

$$\mathbf{A}x = \lambda x$$

and

$$Ax = AAx = \lambda Ax = \lambda^2 x$$

SO

$$(\lambda - \lambda^2)x = 0$$

proving λ is 0 or 1.

2) Conversely if all eigenvalues of ${\bf A}$ are 0 or 1 then ${\bf D}$ has 1s and 0s on diagonal so

$$D^2 = D$$

and

$$AA = PDP^TPDP^T = PD^2P^t = PDP = A$$

Next case: $\mathbf{X} \sim MVN_p(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$. Then $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{AZ}$ with $\mathbf{AA}^T = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$.

Since $\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Z}^T\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Z}$ it has the law

$$\sum \lambda_i Z_i^2$$

 λ_i are eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{A}$. But

$$\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} x = \lambda x$$

implies

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{A}x = \mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{A}x = \lambda\mathbf{A}x$$

So eigenvalues are those of Σ and $\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}$ is χ^2_{ν} iff Σ is idempotent and $\mathrm{trace}(\Sigma) = \nu$.

Our case: $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^T/n$. Check $\mathbf{Q}^2 = \mathbf{Q}$. How many degrees of freedom: trace(\mathbf{D}).

Defn: The trace of a square matrix A is

$$trace(A) = \sum A_{ii}$$

Property: trace(AB) = trace(BA).

So:

$$trace(A) = trace(PDP^{T})$$

= $trace(DP^{T}P) = trace(D)$

Conclusion: df for
$$(n-1)s^2/\sigma^2$$
 is
$${\sf trace}({\bf I}-{\bf 1}{\bf 1}^T/n)=n-1.$$

Derivation of the χ^2 density:

Suppose Z_1, \ldots, Z_n independent N(0,1). Define χ_n^2 distribution to be that of $U = Z_1^2 + \cdots + Z_n^2$. Define angles $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{n-1}$ by

$$\begin{split} Z_1 &= U^{1/2} \cos \theta_1 \\ Z_2 &= U^{1/2} \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 \\ &:= : \\ Z_{n-1} &= U^{1/2} \sin \theta_1 \cdots \sin \theta_{n-2} \cos \theta_{n-1} \\ Z_n &= U^{1/2} \sin \theta_1 \cdots \sin \theta_{n-1} \,. \end{split}$$

(Spherical co-ordinates in n dimensions. The θ values run from 0 to π except last θ from 0 to 2π .) Derivative formulas:

$$\frac{\partial Z_i}{\partial U} = \frac{1}{2U} Z_i$$

and

$$\frac{\partial Z_i}{\partial \theta_j} = \begin{cases} 0 & j > i \\ -Z_i \tan \theta_i & j = i \\ Z_i \cot \theta_j & j < i \end{cases}.$$

Fix n=3 to clarify the formulas. Use shorthand $R=\sqrt{U}$.

Matrix of partial derivatives is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\cos\theta_1}{2R} & -R\sin\theta_1 & 0 \\ \frac{\sin\theta_1\cos\theta_2}{2R} & R\cos\theta_1\cos\theta_2 & -R\sin\theta_1\sin\theta_2 \\ \frac{\sin\theta_1\sin\theta_2}{2R} & R\cos\theta_1\sin\theta_2 & R\sin\theta_1\cos\theta_2 \end{bmatrix} .$$

Find determinant:

$$U^{1/2}\sin(\theta_1)/2$$

(non-negative for all U and θ_1).

General n: every term in the first column contains a factor $U^{-1/2}/2$ while every other entry has a factor $U^{1/2}$.

FACT: multiplying a column in a matrix by c multiplies the determinant by c.

SO: Jacobian of transformation is

$$u^{(n-2)/2}u^{-1/2}/2 \times h(\theta_1, \theta_{n-1})$$

for some function, h, which depends only on the angles.

Thus joint density of $U, \theta_1, \dots \theta_{n-1}$ is

$$(2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp(-u/2)u^{(n-2)/2}h(\theta_1,\cdots,\theta_{n-1})/2$$
.

To compute the density of U we must do an n-1 dimensional multiple integral $d\theta_{n-1} \cdots d\theta_1$.

Answer has the form

$$cu^{(n-2)/2} \exp(-u/2)$$

for some c.

Evaluate c by making

$$\int f_U(u)du = c \int_0^\infty u^{(n-2)/2} \exp(-u/2)du$$
$$= 1.$$

Substitute y = u/2, du = 2dy to see that

$$c2^{n/2} \int_0^\infty y^{(n-2)/2} e^{-y} dy = c2^{n/2} \Gamma(n/2)$$

= 1.

CONCLUSION: the χ^2_n density is

$$\frac{1}{2\Gamma(n/2)} \left(\frac{u}{2}\right)^{(n-2)/2} e^{-u/2} \mathbf{1}(u > 0).$$

Fourth part: consequence of first 3 parts and def'n of t_{ν} distribution.

Defn: $T \sim t_{\nu}$ if T has same distribution as

$$Z/\sqrt{U/\nu}$$

for $Z \sim N(0,1)$, $U \sim \chi^2_{\nu}$ and Z, U independent.

Derive density of T in this definition:

$$P(T \le t) = P(Z \le t\sqrt{U/\nu})$$
$$= \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^{t\sqrt{u/\nu}} f_Z(z) f_U(u) dz du$$

Differentiate wrt t by differentiating inner integral:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{at}^{bt} f(x)dx = bf(bt) - af(at)$$

by fundamental thm of calculus. Hence

$$\frac{d}{dt}P(T \le t) = \int_0^\infty \frac{f_U(u)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{u}{\nu}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2 u}{2\nu}\right) du.$$

Plug in

$$f_U(u) = \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\nu/2)} (u/2)^{(\nu-2)/2} e^{-u/2}$$

to get

$$f_T(t) = \frac{\int_0^\infty (u/2)^{(\nu-1)/2} e^{-u(1+t^2/\nu)/2} du}{2\sqrt{\pi\nu} \Gamma(\nu/2)}.$$

Substitute $y = u(1 + t^2/\nu)/2$, to get

$$dy = (1 + t^2/\nu)du/2$$

$$(u/2)^{(\nu-1)/2} = [y/(1+t^2/\nu)]^{(\nu-1)/2}$$

leading to

$$f_T(t) = \frac{(1+t^2/\nu)^{-(\nu+1)/2}}{\sqrt{\pi\nu}\Gamma(\nu/2)} \int_0^\infty y^{(\nu-1)/2} e^{-y} dy$$

or

$$f_T(t) = \frac{\Gamma((\nu+1)/2)}{\sqrt{\pi\nu}\Gamma(\nu/2)} \frac{1}{(1+t^2/\nu)^{(\nu+1)/2}}.$$

Multivariate Normal samples: Distribution Theory

Theorem: Suppose $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$ are independent $N(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ random variables. Then

- 1. $\bar{\mathbf{X}}$ (sample mean)and \mathbf{S} (sample variance-covariance matrix) are independent.
- 2. $n^{1/2}(\bar{X} \mu) \sim MVN(0, I)$.
- 3. $(n-1)S \sim Wishart_p(n-1, \Sigma)$.
- 4. $T^2 = n(\bar{\mathbf{X}} \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \mathbf{S}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{X}} \boldsymbol{\mu})$ is Hotelling's T^2 . $(n-p)T^2/(p(n-1))$ has an $F_{p,n-p}$ distribution.

Proof: Let $X_i = AZ_i + \mu$ where $AA^T = \Sigma$ and Z_1, \dots, Z_p are independent MVN(0, I).

So
$$\mathbf{Z} = (\mathbf{Z}_1^T, \dots, \mathbf{Z}_p^T)^T \sim MVN_p(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}).$$

Note that $ar{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{A} ar{\mathbf{Z}} + \boldsymbol{\mu}$ and

$$(n-1)\mathbf{S} = \sum (\mathbf{X}_i - \bar{\mathbf{X}})(\mathbf{X}_i - \bar{\mathbf{X}})^T$$

= $\mathbf{A} \sum (\mathbf{Z}_i - \bar{\mathbf{Z}})(\mathbf{Z}_i - \bar{\mathbf{Z}})^T \mathbf{A}^T$

Thus

$$n^{1/2}(\bar{X} - \mu) = \mathbf{A}n^{1/2}\bar{\mathbf{Z}}$$

and

$$T^{2} = \left(n^{1/2}\bar{\mathbf{Z}}\right)^{T} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{-1} \left(n^{1/2}\bar{\mathbf{Z}}\right)$$

where

$$S_Z = \sum (Z_i - \bar{Z})(Z_i - \bar{Z})^T/(n-1).$$

Consequences. In 1, 2 and 4: can assume $\mu=0$ and $\Sigma=I$. In 3 can take $\mu=0$.

Step 1: Do general Σ . Define

$$\mathbf{Y} = (\sqrt{n}\bar{\mathbf{Z}}^T, \mathbf{Z}_1^T - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}^T, \dots, \mathbf{Z}_n^T - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}^T)^T.$$

(So Y has dimension p(n+1).) Clearly Y is MVN with mean 0.

Compute variance covariance matrix

$$\left[egin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{I}_{p imes p} & \mathtt{0} \ \mathtt{0} & \mathbf{Q}^* \end{array}
ight]$$

where \mathbf{Q}^* has a pattern. It is a $p \times p$ patterned matrix with entry ij being

$$extstyle extstyle ext$$

Kronecker Products

Defn: If A is $p \times q$ and B is $r \times s$ then $A \otimes B$ is the $pr \times qs$ matrix with the pattern

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11}\mathbf{B} & \mathbf{A}_{12}\mathbf{B} & \cdots & \mathbf{A}_{1q}\mathbf{B} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{A}_{p1}\mathbf{B} & \mathbf{A}_{p2}\mathbf{B} & \cdots & \mathbf{A}_{pq}\mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix}$$

So our variance covariance matrix is

$$\mathbf{Q}^* = \mathbf{Q} \bigotimes \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$$

Conclusions so far:

- ${f 1})$ $ar{{f X}}$ and ${f S}$ are independent.
- 2) $\sqrt{n}(\bar{\mathbf{X}} \boldsymbol{\mu}) \sim MVN(0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$

Next: Wishart law.

Defn: The Wishart $p(n, \Sigma)$ distribution is the distribution of

$$\sum_{1}^{n} \mathbf{Z}_{i} \mathbf{Z}_{i}^{T}$$

where $\mathbf{Z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Z}_n$ are iid $MVN_p(0, \Sigma)$.

Properties of Wishart.

- 1) If $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^t = \mathbf{\Sigma}$ then $\mathsf{Wishart}_p(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma}) = \mathbf{A}\mathsf{Wishart}_p(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})\mathbf{A}^T$
- 2) if $\mathbf{W}_i, i=1,2$ independent Wishart $_p(n_i, \Sigma)$ then

$$\mathbf{W}_1 + \mathbf{W}_2 \sim \mathsf{Wishart}_p(n_1 + n_2, \Sigma).$$

Proof of part 3: rewrite

$$\sum (\mathbf{Z}_i - \mathbf{\bar{Z}})(\mathbf{Z}_i - \mathbf{\bar{Z}})^T$$

in form

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{U}_i \mathbf{U}_i^T$$

for U_i iid $MVN_p(0, \Sigma)$. Put $\mathbf{Z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Z}_n$ as cols in matrix \mathbf{Z} which is $p \times n$. Then check that

$$\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Z}^T = \sum (\mathbf{Z}_i - \bar{\mathbf{Z}})(\mathbf{Z}_i - \bar{\mathbf{Z}})^T$$

Write $\mathbf{Q} = \sum \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_i^T$ for n-1 orthogonal unit vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{n-1}$. Define

$$\mathbf{U}_i = \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{v}_i$$

and compute covariances to check that the \mathbf{U}_i are iid $MVN_p(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$. Then check that

$$\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Z}^T = \sum \mathbf{U}_i \mathbf{U}_i^T$$

Proof of 4: suffices to have $\Sigma = I$.

Uses further props of Wishart distribution.

3: If $\mathbf{W} \sim Wishart_p(n, \Sigma)$ and $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}$ then

$$rac{\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{a}} \sim \chi_n^2$$

4: If $\mathbf{W} \sim Wishart_p(n, \Sigma)$ and $n \geq p$ then

$$\frac{\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{W}^{-1} \mathbf{a}} \sim \chi_{n-p+1}^2$$

5: If $\mathbf{W} \sim Wishart_p(n, \Sigma)$ then

$$\operatorname{trace}(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{W}) \sim \chi_{np}^2$$

6: If $\mathbf{W} \sim Wishart_{p+q}(n, \Sigma)$ is partitioned into components then

$$\mathbf{W}_{11} - \mathbf{W}_{12}\mathbf{W}_{22}^{-1}\mathbf{W}_{21} \sim Wishart_p(n-q, \Sigma_{11.2})$$