## STAT 804: 2004/01

## Assignment 1

1. Let  $\epsilon_t$  be a Gaussian white noise process. Define

$$X_t = \epsilon_{t-2} + 4\epsilon_{t-1} + 6\epsilon_t + 4\epsilon_{t+1} + \epsilon_{t+2}.$$

Compute and plot the autocovariance function of X.

- 2. Suppose that  $X_t$  is strictly stationary.
  - (a) If q is some function from  $R^{p+1}$  to R show that

$$Y_t = g(X_t, X_{t-1}, \dots, X_{t-p})$$

is strictly stationary.

- (b) What property must g have to guarantee the analogous result with strictly stationary replaced by  $2^{\text{nd}}$  order stationary? [Note: I expect a sufficient condition on g; you need not try to prove the condition is necessary.]
- 3. Suppose that  $\epsilon_t$  are iid and have mean 0 with finite variance. Verify that  $X_t = \epsilon_t \epsilon_{t-1}$  is stationary and that it is wide sense white noise.
- 4. Suppose  $X_t$  is a stationary Gaussian series with mean  $\mu_X$  and autocovariance  $R_X(k)$ ,  $k = 0, \pm 1, \ldots$  Show that  $Y_t = \exp(X_t)$  is stationary and find its mean and autocovariance.
- 5. Suppose that

$$X_t = a_1 X_{t-1} + a_2 X_{t-2} + \epsilon_t$$

where  $\epsilon_t$  is an iid mean 0 sequence with variance  $\sigma_{\epsilon}^2$ . Compute the autocovariance function and plot the results for  $\rho_1 = 0.2$  and  $\rho_2 = 0.1$ . (NOTE: I mean  $\rho_i$  and NOT  $a_i$  here.) I have shown in class that the roots of a certain polynomial must have modulus more than 1 for there to be a stationary solution X for this difference equation. Translate the conditions on the roots  $1/\alpha_1, 1/\alpha_2$  to get conditions on the coefficients  $a_1, a_2$  and plot in the  $a_1, a_2$  plane the region for which this process can be rewritten as a causal filter applied to the noise process  $\epsilon_t$ .

6. Suppose that  $\epsilon_t$  is an iid mean 0 variance  $\sigma_{\epsilon}^2$  sequence and that  $a_t; t = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$  are constants. Define

1

$$X_t = \sum a_s \epsilon_{t-s}$$

(a) Derive the autocovariance of the process X.

(b) Show that  $\sum a_s^2 < \infty$  implies

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} E[(X_t - \sum_{-N}^{N} a_s \epsilon_{t-s})^2] = 0$$

This condition shows that the infinite sum defining X converges "in the sense of mean square". It is possible to prove that this means that X can be defined properly. [Note: I don't expect much rigour in this calculation. Mathematically, you can't just define  $X_t$  as this question supposes since the sum is infinite. A rigourous treatment — WHICH I DO NOT EXPECT — asks you to prove that the condition  $\sum a_s^2 < \infty$  implies that the sequence  $S_N \equiv \sum_{-N}^N a_s \epsilon_{t-s}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^2$ . Then you have to know that this implies the existence of a limit in  $L^2$  (technically, the point is that  $L^2$  is a Banach space). Then you have to prove that the calculation you made in the first part of the question is mathematically justified.]

7. Given a stationary mean 0 series  $X_t$  with autocorrelation  $\rho_k$ ,  $k = 0, \pm 1, \ldots$  and a fixed lag D find the value of A which minimizes the mean squared error

$$E[(X_{t+d} - AX_t)^2]$$

and for the minimizing A evaluate the mean squared error in terms of the autocorrelation and the variance of  $X_t$ .

8. The semivariogram of a stationary process X is

$$\gamma_X(m) = \frac{1}{2} E[(X_{t+m} - X_t)^2].$$

(Without the 1/2 it's called the variogram.) Evaluate  $\gamma$  in terms of the autocovariance of X.

DUE: TBD but will be around end of 3rd week.