

STAT 830

Likelihood Ratio Tests

Richard Lockhart

Simon Fraser University

STAT 830 — Fall 2013



Purposes of These Notes

- Describe likelihood ratio tests
- Discuss large sample χ^2 approximation.
- Discuss level and power



Likelihood Ratio Tests

- For general composite hypotheses optimality theory is not usually successful in producing an optimal test.
- Instead we look for heuristics to guide our choices.
- The simplest approach is to consider the likelihood ratio

$$\frac{f_{\theta_1}(X)}{f_{\theta_0}(X)}$$

and choose values of $\theta_1 \in \Theta_1$ and $\theta_0 \in \Theta_0$ which are reasonable estimates of θ assuming respectively the alternative or null hypothesis is true.

- The simplest method is to make each θ_i a maximum likelihood estimate, but maximized only over Θ_i .



Example 1: $N(\mu, 1)$

- Test $\mu \leq 0$ against $\mu > 0$. (Remember UMP test.)
- Log likelihood is

$$-n(\bar{X} - \mu)^2/2$$

- If $\bar{X} > 0$ then global maximum in Θ_1 at \bar{X} .
- If $\bar{X} \leq 0$ global maximum in Θ_1 at 0.
- Thus $\hat{\mu}_1$ which Max $\ell(\mu)$ subject to $\mu > 0$ at $\hat{\mu}_1 = \bar{X}1(\bar{X} > 0)$.
- Similarly, $\hat{\mu}_0$ is \bar{X} if $\bar{X} \leq 0$ and 0 if $\bar{X} > 0$.
- Hence

$$\frac{f_{\hat{\theta}_1}(X)}{f_{\hat{\theta}_0}(X)} = \exp\{\ell(\hat{\mu}_1) - \ell(\hat{\mu}_0)\} = \exp\{n\bar{X}|\bar{X}|/2\}$$

- Monotone increasing function of \bar{X} so rejection region has form $\bar{X} > K$.
- To get level α reject if $n^{1/2}\bar{X} > z_\alpha$.
- Notice simpler statistic is *log likelihood ratio*

$$\lambda \equiv 2 \log \left(\frac{f_{\hat{\mu}_1}(X)}{f_{\hat{\mu}_0}(X)} \right) = n\bar{X}|\bar{X}|$$



Example 2: $H_0 : \mu = 0$ in $N(\mu, 1)$

- Value of $\hat{\mu}_0$ is 0
- Maximum of log-likelihood over alternative $\mu \neq 0$ occurs at \bar{X} .
- This gives

$$\lambda = n\bar{X}^2$$

which has a χ_1^2 distribution.

- This test leads to the rejection region $\lambda > (z_{\alpha/2})^2$ which is the usual (UMPU) z-test.



Example 3: $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ model, $\mu = 0$ against $\mu \neq 0$

- Must find two estimates of μ, σ^2 .
- Maximum likelihood over alternative occurs at global mle $\bar{X}, \hat{\sigma}^2$.
- We find

$$\ell(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2) = -n/2 - n \log(\hat{\sigma})$$

- Maximize ℓ over null hypothesis.
- Recall

$$\ell(\mu, \sigma) = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum (X_i - \mu)^2 - n \log(\sigma)$$

- On null $\mu = 0$ so find $\hat{\sigma}_0$ by maximizing

$$\ell(0, \sigma) = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum X_i^2 - n \log(\sigma)$$



LRT – general description

- This leads to

$$\hat{\sigma}_0^2 = \sum X_i^2/n$$

and

$$\ell(0, \hat{\sigma}_0) = -n/2 - n \log(\hat{\sigma}_0)$$

- This gives

$$\lambda = -n \log(\hat{\sigma}^2/\hat{\sigma}_0^2)$$

- Since

$$\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\hat{\sigma}_0^2} = \frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2 + n\bar{X}^2}$$

we can write

$$\lambda = n \log(1 + t^2/(n-1))$$

where

$$t = \frac{n^{1/2}\bar{X}}{s}$$

is the usual t statistic.

- LRT rejects for large values of $|t|$ — the usual test.



LRT – general description

- Notice that if n is large we have

$$\lambda \approx n[t^2/(n-1) + O_P(n^{-2})] \approx t^2.$$

- Since t statistic is approximately standard normal if n large we see

$$\lambda = 2[\ell(\hat{\theta}_1) - \ell(\hat{\theta}_0)]$$

has nearly a χ_1^2 distribution.

- General phenomenon when null hypothesis has form $\phi = 0$.
- Here is the general theory.
- Suppose vector θ of $p + q$ parameters partitioned into $\theta = (\phi, \gamma)$ with ϕ a vector of p parameters and γ a vector of q parameters.
- To test $\phi = \phi_0$ we find two mles of θ .
- First: global mle $\hat{\theta} = (\hat{\phi}, \hat{\gamma})$ maximizes likelihood over $\Theta_1 = \{\theta : \phi \neq \phi_0\}$ (typically $P_\theta(\hat{\phi} = \phi_0) = 0$).



LRT – general description

- Maximize likelihood over null hypothesis, that is find $\hat{\theta}_0 = (\phi_0, \hat{\gamma}_0)$ to maximize

$$\ell(\phi_0, \gamma)$$

- The log-likelihood ratio statistic is

$$2[\ell(\hat{\theta}) - \ell(\hat{\theta}_0)]$$

- Now suppose that the true value of θ is ϕ_0, γ_0 (so that the null hypothesis is true).
- The score function is a vector of length $p + q$ and can be partitioned as $U = (U_\phi, U_\gamma)$.
- The Fisher information matrix can be partitioned as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{I}_{\phi\phi} & \mathcal{I}_{\phi\gamma} \\ \mathcal{I}_{\gamma\phi} & \mathcal{I}_{\gamma\gamma} \end{bmatrix}.$$



Large sample theory for LRT

- According to our large sample theory for the mle we have

$$\hat{\theta} \approx \theta + \mathcal{I}^{-1}U$$

and

$$\hat{\gamma}_0 \approx \gamma_0 + \mathcal{I}_{\gamma\gamma}^{-1}U_\gamma$$

- Two term Taylor expansions of both $\ell(\hat{\theta})$ and $\ell(\hat{\theta}_0)$ around θ_0 give

$$\ell(\hat{\theta}) \approx \ell(\theta_0) + U^t \mathcal{I}^{-1}U + \frac{1}{2}U^t \mathcal{I}^{-1}V(\theta)\mathcal{I}^{-1}U$$

where V is the second derivative matrix of ℓ .



Large sample theory for LRT

- Remember that $V \approx -\mathcal{I}$ and you get

$$2[\ell(\hat{\theta}) - \ell(\theta_0)] \approx U^t \mathcal{I}^{-1} U.$$

- A similar expansion for $\hat{\theta}_0$ gives

$$2[\ell(\hat{\theta}_0) - \ell(\theta_0)] \approx U_{\gamma}^t \mathcal{I}_{\gamma\gamma}^{-1} U_{\gamma}.$$

- If you subtract these you find that

$$2[\ell(\hat{\theta}) - \ell(\hat{\theta}_0)]$$

can be written in the approximate form

$$U^t M U$$

for a suitable matrix M .

- Now use general theory of distribution of $X^t M X$ where X is $MVN(0, \Sigma)$.



The theorem: large sample theory of LRT

The ideas above lead to a proof of the following theorem.

Theorem

The log-likelihood ratio statistic

$$\lambda = 2[\ell(\hat{\theta}) - \ell(\hat{\theta}_0)]$$

has, under the null hypothesis, approximately a χ_p^2 distribution.



Quadratic forms and χ^2

In proving the main theorem we need some facts about quadratic forms.

Theorem

Suppose $X \sim MVN(0, \Sigma)$ with Σ non-singular and M is a symmetric matrix. If $\Sigma M \Sigma M \Sigma = \Sigma M \Sigma$ then $X^t M X$ has a χ^2_ν distribution with df $\nu = \text{trace}(M \Sigma)$. The condition simplifies to $M \Sigma M = M$



Proof

- We have $X = AZ$ where $AA^t = \Sigma$ and Z is standard multivariate normal.
- So $X^tMX = Z^tA^tMAZ$.
- Let $Q = A^tMA$.
- Since $AA^t = \Sigma$ condition in the theorem is

$$AQQA^t = AQA^t$$

- Since Σ is non-singular so is A .
- Multiply by A^{-1} on left and $(A^t)^{-1}$ on right; get $QQ = Q$.
- Q is symmetric so $Q = P\Lambda P^t$ where Λ is diagonal matrix containing the eigenvalues of Q and P is orthogonal matrix whose columns are the corresponding orthonormal eigenvectors.
- So rewrite

$$Z^tQZ = (P^tZ)^t\Lambda(PZ).$$



More proof

- $W = P^t Z$ is $MVN(0, P^t P = I)$; i.e. W is standard multivariate normal.
- Now

$$W^t \Lambda W = \sum \lambda_i W_i^2$$

- We have established that the general distribution of any quadratic form $X^t M X$ is a linear combination of χ^2 variables.
- Now go back to the condition $Q Q = Q$.
- If λ is an eigenvalue of Q and $v \neq 0$ is a corresponding eigenvector then $Q Q v = Q(\lambda v) = \lambda Q v = \lambda^2 v$ but also $Q Q v = Q v = \lambda v$.
- Thus $\lambda(1 - \lambda)v = 0$.
- It follows that either $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda = 1$.



End of proof

- This means that the weights in the linear combination are all 1 or 0 and that X^tMX has a χ^2 distribution with degrees of freedom, ν , equal to the number of λ_i which are equal to 1.
- This is the same as the sum of the λ_i so

$$\nu = \text{trace}(\Lambda)$$

- But

$$\begin{aligned}\text{trace}(M\Sigma) &= \text{trace}(MAA^t) \\ &= \text{trace}(A^tMA) \\ &= \text{trace}(Q) \\ &= \text{trace}(P\Lambda P^t) \\ &= \text{trace}(\Lambda P^tP) \\ &= \text{trace}(\Lambda)\end{aligned}$$



Application to LRT

- In the application Σ is \mathcal{I} the Fisher information and $M = \mathcal{I}^{-1} - J$ where

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{I}_{\gamma\gamma}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

- It is easy to check that $M\Sigma$ becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ -\mathcal{I}_{\gamma\phi} \mathcal{I}_{\phi\phi} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where I is a $p \times p$ identity matrix.

- It follows that $\Sigma M \Sigma M \Sigma = \Sigma M \Sigma$ and $\text{trace}(M \Sigma) = p$.

