

Probability Definitions

Probability Space (or **Sample Space**): ordered triple (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) .

- Ω is a set (possible outcomes).
- \mathcal{F} is a family of subsets (**events**) of Ω with the property that \mathcal{F} is a σ -field (or Borel field or σ -algebra):
 1. The empty set \emptyset and Ω are members of \mathcal{F} .
 2. $A \in \mathcal{F}$ implies $A^c = \{\omega \in \Omega : \omega \notin A\} \in \mathcal{F}$
 3. A_1, A_2, \dots all in \mathcal{F} implies

$$A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{F}.$$

- P a function, domain \mathcal{F} , range a subset of $[0, 1]$ satisfying:

1. $P(\emptyset) = 0$ and $P(\Omega) = 1$.

2. **Countable additivity:** A_1, A_2, \dots **pairwise disjoint** ($j \neq k \implies A_j A_k = \emptyset$)

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i)$$

Axioms guarantee can compute probabilities by usual rules, including approximation without contradiction.

Consequences:

1. **Finite additivity** A_1, \dots, A_n pairwise disjoint:

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i).$$

2. For any event A $P(A^c) = 1 - P(A)$.

3. If $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots$ are events then

$$P\left(\bigcup_1^{\infty} A_i\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(A_n).$$

4. If $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \dots$ then

$$P\left(\bigcap_1^{\infty} A_i\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(A_n).$$

Most subtle point is σ -field, \mathcal{F} . Needed to avoid some contradictions which arise if you try to define $P(A)$ for every subset A of Ω when Ω is a set with uncountably many elements.

Events in Set Notation

Event that Y_n converges to 0 is

$$A \equiv \{\omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n(\omega) = 0\}$$

Not explicitly written in terms of simple events involving only a finite number of Y s.

Recall basic definition of limit: y_n converges to 0 if $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N$ such that $\forall n \geq N$ we have $|y_n| \leq \epsilon$.

Convert the definition in A into set theory notation:

- replace y_n by $Y_n(\omega)$,
- replace each *for every* by an intersection
- replace each *there exists* with a union.

We get

$$A = \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} \{\omega : |Y_n(\omega)| \leq \epsilon\}$$

Not obvious A is event because intersection over $\epsilon > 0$ is uncountable.

However, the intersection is countable. Let

$$B_\epsilon \equiv \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} \{\omega : |Y_n(\omega)| \leq \epsilon\}$$

Notice that

$$\epsilon' < \epsilon \implies B_{\epsilon'} \subset B_\epsilon$$

This means that

$$\bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} B_\epsilon = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} B_{1/m}$$

A is countable intersection of countable unions of countable intersections of events, so A is an event.

Here are some other events:

Sequence S_n has a limit. Sequence s_n has a limit if $\exists s_\infty$ such that $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N$ such that $\forall n \geq N$ we have $|s_n - s_\infty| \leq \epsilon$. Mechanically get event:

$$\bigcup_s \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} \{\omega : |S_n(\omega) - s| \leq \epsilon\}$$

Intersection over ϵ can be made countable. Union over s , however, is not easy to make countable. Instead use theorem of analysis to describe existence of a limit.

A sequence s_n has a limit if and only if the sequence is Cauchy.

Cauchy sequence: $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N$ such that $\forall n \geq N$ we have $|s_n - s_N| \leq \epsilon$. $\{S_n \text{ has a limit}\}$ is

$$\bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} \{|S_n - S_N| \leq \epsilon\}$$

Intersection over all $\epsilon > 0$ is countable intersection over $\epsilon = 1/r$ for positive integers r .

Y_n is summable: the sequence of partial sums $S_n = \sum_1^n Y_i$ has a limit so

{ Y_n summable}

$$= \bigcap_{r=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} \left\{ \left| \sum_{N+1}^n Y_j \right| \leq 1/r \right\}$$

Event $S_n > 0$ for infinitely many n : $\forall N \exists n \geq N$ such that $S_n > 0$. is

$$\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} \{S_n > 0\}$$

limit superior of S_n is 1 is intersection of two events, $\limsup S_n \leq 1$ and $\limsup S_n \geq 1$. Former is $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N$ such that $\forall n \geq N$ $S_n \leq 1 + \epsilon$. Latter is $\forall \epsilon > 0$ and $\forall N$ there is an $n \geq N$ such that $S_n \geq 1 - \epsilon$. Event is $A^* \cap A_*$ where

$$A^* = \bigcap_{r=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} \{S_n \leq 1 + 1/r\}$$

$$A_* = \bigcap_{r=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} \{S_n \geq 1 + 1/r\}$$

Random Variables:

Vector valued random variable: function X , domain Ω , range in \mathbb{R}^p such that

$$P(X_1 \leq x_1, \dots, X_p \leq x_p)$$

is defined for any constants (x_1, \dots, x_p) . Notation: $X = (X_1, \dots, X_p)$ and

$$X_1 \leq x_1, \dots, X_p \leq x_p$$

is shorthand for an event:

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : X_1(\omega) \leq x_1, \dots, X_p(\omega) \leq x_p\}$$

X function on Ω so X_1 function on Ω .

Formal definitions:

The **Borel** σ -field in \mathbb{R}^p is the smallest σ -field in \mathbb{R}^p containing every open ball

$$B_y(r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^p : |x - y| < r\}.$$

(To see that there is, in fact, such a “smallest” σ -field you prove the following assertions:

1. The intersection of an arbitrary family of σ -fields is a σ -field.
2. There is at least one σ -field of subsets of \mathbb{R}^p containing every open ball.

Now define the Borel σ -field in \mathbb{R}^p to be

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^p) = \bigcap \mathcal{F}$$

where the intersection runs over all σ -fields \mathcal{F} which contain every open ball.)

Every common set is a Borel set, that is, in the Borel σ -field.

Example: If O is an open set then O is Borel.

Proof: For each x in O there is a point y all of whose co-ordinates are rational numbers and a rational number r such that

$$x \in B_y(r) \subset O$$

Now O is the union of all these $B_y(r)$.

(Every $x \in O$ is in one of the $B_y(r)$ and every point in any $B_y(r)$ is in O .)

But the union is countable because there are only countably many possible pairs (y, r) with all the co-ordinates rational numbers.