# Basic Probability Modelling

Richard Lockhart

Simon Fraser University

STAT 870 — Summer 2011



### Purposes of Today's Lecture

- Illustrate modelling process.
- Show some probabilistic notation.
- Review role of independence in modelling
- Compare equally likely outcomes and so on.



# Models for coin tossing

- Toss coin *n* times.
- On trial k write down a 1 for heads and 0 for tails.
- Typical outcome is  $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$  a sequence of zeros and ones.
- **Example**: n = 3 gives 8 possible outcomes

$$\begin{split} \Omega &= \{(0,0,0), (0,0,1), (0,1,0), (0,1,1), \\ &\quad (1,0,0), (1,0,1), (1,1,0), (1,1,1)\} \,. \end{split}$$

- General case: set of all possible outcomes is  $\Omega = \{0,1\}^n$ ;  $\operatorname{Card}(\Omega) = 2^n$ .
- Meaning of random not defined here.
- Interpretation of probability is usually long run limiting relative frequency
- but then we deduce existence of long run limiting relative frequency from axioms of probability.

## Probability measures

**Probability measure**: function P defined on the set of all subsets of  $\Omega$  such that: with the following properties:

- For each  $A \subset \Omega$ ,  $P(A) \in [0,1]$ .
- ② If  $A_1, \ldots, A_k$  are pairwise disjoint (meaning that for  $i \neq j$  the intersection  $A_i \cap A_j$  which we usually write as  $A_i A_j$  is the empty set  $\emptyset$ ) then

$$P(\cup_1^k A_j) = \sum_1^k P(A_j)$$

 $P(\Omega) = 1.$ 



# **Probability Modelling**

- Probability modelling: select family of possible probability measures.
- Make best match between mathematics, real world.
- interpretation of probability: long run limiting relative frequency
- ullet Coin tossing problem: many possible probability measures on  $\Omega$ .
- For n = 3,  $\Omega$  has 8 elements and  $2^8 = 256$  subsets.
- To specify P: specify 256 numbers. Generally impractical.
- Instead: model by listing some assumptions about P.



### From modelling assumptions to probabilities

Then deduce what P is, or how to calculate P(A)Three approaches to modelling coin tossing:

Counting model:

$$P(A) = \frac{\text{number of elements in } A}{\text{number of elements in } \Omega}$$
 (1)

Disadvantage: no insight for other problems.

**2** Equally likely elementary outcomes: if  $A = \{\omega_1\}$  and  $B = \{\omega_2\}$  are two singleton sets in  $\Omega$  then P(A) = P(B). If  $\operatorname{Card}(\Omega) = m$ , say  $\Omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m)$  then

$$P(\Omega) = P(\bigcup_{1}^{m} \{\omega_{j}\})$$

$$= \sum_{1}^{m} P(\{\omega_{j}\})$$

$$= mP(\{(\omega_{1}\}))$$

So  $P(\{\omega_i\}) = 1/m$  and (1) holds.



## Infinite sample spaces

- Defect of models: infinite  $\Omega$  not easily handled.
- Toss coin till first head.
- Natural  $\Omega$  is set of all sequences of k zeros followed by a one.
- OR:  $\Omega = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}.$
- Can't assume all elements equally likely.
- Third approach: model using **independence**:



## Coin tossing example: n = 3

Define 
$$A=\{\omega:\omega_1=1,\omega_2=0,\omega_3=1\}$$
 and 
$$A_1=\{\omega:\omega_1=1\}$$
 
$$A_2=\{\omega:\omega_2=0\}$$
 
$$A_3=\{\omega:\omega_3=1\}\,.$$

Then  $A = A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3$ 

- Note P(A) = 1/8,  $P(A_i) = 1/2$ .
- So:  $P(A) = \prod P(A_i)$



#### General case

- General case: n tosses.  $B_i \subset \{0,1\}$ ;  $i = 1, \ldots, n$
- Define

$$A_i = \{\omega : \omega_i \in B_i\}$$
  $A = \cap A_i$ .

It is possible to prove that

$$P(A) = \prod P(A_i)$$

- Jargon to come later: random variables  $X_i$  defined by  $X_i(\omega) = \omega_i$  are independent.
- Basis of most common modelling tactic.



### Independence

Assume

$$P(\{\omega : \omega_i = 1\}) = P(\{\omega : \omega_i = 0\}) = 1/2$$
 (2)

and for any set of events of form given above

$$P(A) = \prod P(A_i). \tag{3}$$

- Motivation: long run rel freq interpretation plus assume outcome of one toss of coin incapable of influencing outcome of another toss.
- Advantages: generalizes to infinite  $\Omega$ .



#### Infinite $\Omega$

Toss coin infinite number of times:

$$\Omega = \{\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \cdots)\}$$

is an uncountably infinite set. Model assumes for any n and any event of the form  $A = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} A_i$  with each  $A_i = \{\omega : \omega_i \in B_i\}$  we have

$$P(A) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(A_i) \tag{4}$$

For a fair coin add the assumption that

$$P(\{\omega : \omega_i = 1\}) = 1/2.$$
 (5)



### Did we use assume enough? too much?

- Is P(A) determined by these assumptions??
- Consider  $A = \{\omega \in \Omega : (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \in B\}$  where  $B \subset \Omega_n = \{0, 1\}^n$ .
- Our assumptions guarantee

$$P(A) = \frac{\text{number of elements in } B}{\text{number of elements in } \Omega_n}$$

- In words, our model specifies that the first *n* of our infinite sequence of tosses behave like the equally likely outcomes model.
- Define  $C_k$  to be the event first head occurs after k consecutive tails:

$$C_k = A_1^c \cap A_2^c \cdots \cap A_k^c \cap A_{k+1}$$

where  $A_i = \{\omega : \omega_i = 1\}$ ;  $A^c$  means complement of A.

Our assumption guarantees

$$P(C_k) = P(A_1^c \cap A_2^c \cdots \cap A_k^c \cap A_{k+1})$$
  
=  $P(A_1^c) \cdots P(A_k^c) P(A_{k+1})$   
=  $2^{-(k+1)}$ 



### Complicated Events: examples

$$\begin{split} A_1 &\equiv \{\omega: \lim_{n \to \infty} (\omega_1 + \dots + \omega_n)/n \text{ exists } \} \\ A_2 &\equiv \{\omega: \lim_{n \to \infty} (\omega_1 + \dots + \omega_n)/n = 1/2 \} \\ A_3 &\equiv \{\omega: \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_1^n (2\omega_k - 1)/k \text{ exists } \} \end{split}$$

- Strong Law of Large Numbers: for our model  $P(A_2) = 1$ .
- In fact,  $A_3 \subset A_2 \subset A_1$ .
- If  $P(A_2) = 1$  then  $P(A_1) = 1$ .
- In fact  $P(A_3) = 1$  so  $P(A_2) = P(A_1) = 1$ .



### Mathematical Questions

#### Some mathematical questions to answer:

- **1** Do (4) and (5) determine P(A) for every  $A \subset \Omega$ ? [NO]
- ② Do (4) and (5) determine  $P(A_i)$  for i = 1, 2, 3? [YES]
- Are (4) and (5) logically consistent? [YES]

