

# The Monte Hall Problem

Richard Lockhart

Simon Fraser University

STAT 870 — Summer 2011



# Purposes of Today's Lecture

- Illustrate modelling process.
- Discuss choice of sample or probability space.
- Illustrate ambiguities in real world problems.
- Compare conditional and unconditional modelling assumptions.



# The Monte Hall Problem

- Monte Hall hosted a TV game show called “Let’s Make a Deal”.
- At the end of the show top two winners each got to pick one of three doors, numbered 1, 2 3.
- One door had big prize. One had small prize. One had “goat”.
- This problem is not quite the same – modelled on that one, though.
- Imagine only one player, not two.
- Three doors, one prize, 2 goats.



# Monte Hall set-up

- Player picks a door.
- Monte Hall opens some other door to show you it had a goat – this is always possible.
- Monte offers you the chance to switch the door you picked for the one he did not open.
- Should you switch?



## Monte Hall sample space

- What is the set of possible outcomes — the sample space?
- Ingredients: Monte hides prize ( $H$ ), player chooses door ( $C$ ), Monte opens door ( $O$ ), player chooses whether or not to switch ( $S$ ).
- So typical outcome is sequence  $(H, C, O, S)$ . The notation is
  - ▶  $H$  is 1, 2 or 3 – the door where Monte hides the prize;
  - ▶  $C$  is the door the player initially picks – again 1, 2 or 3.
  - ▶  $O$  is door Monte opens; can't be the door where the prize is hidden;
  - ▶  $S$  is either 1 for switching or 0 for not switching.
- Total of  $3 \times 3 \times 2 \times 2 = 36$  possible outcomes.
- or, for simplicity allowing  $O = H$ ,  $3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 2 = 54$  possible outcomes.



## Some events, random variables and probabilities

- Have 4 obvious random variables:  $H$ ,  $C$ ,  $O$ ,  $S$ .
- Another random variable of interest  $X$  which is the number of the door the player ends up with.
- What do we know about probabilities of events or distributions of random variables?
- Assume that player has no knowledge of how the prize is hidden.
- Convert this to  $H$  and  $C$  are independent.
- Convert this to assumption:

$$Pr(H = C) = \frac{1}{3}.$$

- That is: no matter how player picks the original door s/he cannot improve on picking a door at random.
- See homework for discussion of  $P(H = i) = 1/3$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .



# What is a strategy?

- The player gets to pick
  - ▶  $p_j = P(C = j)$  – the probability that the player chooses door  $j$ .
  - ▶ A strategy:  $q_{ij} = P(\text{Switch} | C = i, O = j)$ .

- Want to compute

$P(H = X | S = 1, C = i, O = j)$  and  $P(H = X | S = 0, C = i, O = j)$  and

for given strategy for switching.

- You control  $S$  as a function of  $D$  and  $O$  (and external randomization).



## What else do we know?

- We *don't* know  $P(O = k|C = j, H = i)$  which is Monte's strategy.
- We *do* know that if  $i \neq j$  (the player has chosen wrongly) then  $P(O = k|C = j, H = i) = 1$  for the  $k$  which is not  $i$  and not  $j$ .
- It really remains to specify  $P(O = k|C = j, H = j)$ . It might be natural for Monte to be making this value  $1/2$  for the two possible  $k$  values. But this is not really clearly specified by the problem.





# First Analysis

- Imagine you adopt the strategy  $S = 1$ ; that is  $P(S = 1) = 1$ . In fact

$$P(S = 1|C, O) = 1 \text{ for all } C, O.$$

- Then the event  $H = X$  is exactly the same event as  $H \neq C$  because if the player picked the wrong door then the one you can switch to is the right door.
- So for this strategy  $P(H = X) = 1 - P(H = C) = 2/3$ .
- Similarly if you never switch you win with probability  $1/3$ .
- So you should switch.



## Criticism of First Analysis

- The analysis did not answer the question about  $P(H = X|S = 1)$  for a general strategy.
- What if you switch whenever Monte opens the door with the larger number? Could that be good?
- The strategy is

$$\begin{aligned}P(S = 1|C = 1, O = 3) &= 1 & P(S = 0|C = 1, O = 2) &= 0 \\P(S = 1|C = 2, O = 3) &= 1 & P(S = 0|C = 2, O = 1) &= 0 . \\P(S = 1|C = 3, O = 2) &= 1 & P(S = 0|C = 3, O = 1) &= 0\end{aligned}$$



## A computation for this strategy

- We try to compute as an example  $P(X = H|S = 1)$ .
- Back to basics, keeping track of when Monte has a choice ( $H = C$ ) and when not:

$$\begin{aligned}P(H = X|S = 1) &= \sum_{i=1}^3 P(H = i, X = i|S = 1) \\&= \sum_{i=1}^3 P(H = i, X = i, S = 1)/P(S = 1) \\&= \{P(H = 1, C = 2) + P(H = 2, C = 1)\}/P(S = 1)\end{aligned}$$



# Computation Continued

Event  $S = 1$  has following pieces:

- 1 I switch if  $H = 1$  and  $C = 2$  because Monte opens door 3.
  - 2 I switch if  $H = 2$  and  $C = 1$  because Monte opens door 3.
  - 3 I switch if  $H = 3$ ,  $C = 3$  and Monte opens door 2.
  - 4 I switch if  $H = 1$ ,  $C = 1$  and Monte opens door 3.
  - 5 I switch if  $H = 2$ ,  $C = 2$  and Monte opens door 3.
- Notice several probabilities depend on how I model Monte's behaviour. For instance, what is  $P(O = 2|H = 3, C = 3)$ ?
  - In general the story does not specify enough to compute all possible probabilities.



# Related Problems

- Many game theory examples.
- Prisoner's dilemma.
- Three cards problem
- *tit for tat*
- Relation is in incomplete specification of the problem.



## Step by Step Probabilities

- Game is sequence in time:  $H \rightarrow C \rightarrow O \rightarrow S$
- Decompose joint distribution in same way

$$P(H = i, C = j, O = k, S = l) = P(H = i)P(C = j|H = i)P(O = k|H = i, C = j)P(S=l|H = i, C = j, O = k)$$

- Apply modelling assumptions to pieces.
- $P(C = j|H = i) = P(C = j)$  because player has no information about where the prize is hidden.
- $P(S = l|H = i, C = j, O = k) = P(S = l|C = j, O = k)$  for same reason.
- $P(S = l|C = j, O = k)$  is the player's strategy.
- $P(O = k|H = i, C = j)$  and  $P(H = i)$  are summaries of what the player knows about Monte's strategy.

