

Do Gun Laws Improve Public Safety?

Abstract: Should the US copy British gun laws? Politicians still claim that restrictive firearm regulations will make society safer. In a recent report published by the Fraser Institute in Vancouver, BC, Canada, I found that restrictions on gun ownership did not reduce either homicide rates or the rate of violent crime in any of the countries I examined. If the goal truly is to improve public safety, governments are urged to seek more effective approaches.

by Gary A. Mauser
Professor
Institute for Canadian Urban Research Studies
Faculty of Business Administration
Simon Fraser University
CANADA

Gun violence frightens many Americans. Some claim we'd be safer if only we had stricter gun laws like they have in the British Commonwealth.

Gun laws must be demonstrated to cut violent crime, or gun control is no more than a hollow promise. It's time to pause and ask: do gun laws actually work.

A study I conducted recently shows that recent gun laws in the Commonwealth have had no discernable impact on violent crime. In "The Failed Experiment: Gun Control and Public Safety in Canada, Australia, England and Wales," published by the Fraser Institute, in Canada, I examine trends in violent crime in Commonwealth countries that had recently introduced firearm regulations.

The widely ignored key to evaluating firearm regulations is to examine trends in total violent crime, not just gun crime. Firearms are involved in only a small fraction of criminal violence.

The criminal justice system in the United States differs dramatically from those in the Commonwealth. Not only are criminal penalties typically more severe in the United States, often much more severe, but also conviction and incarceration rates are usually much higher.

Perhaps the most striking difference is that qualified citizens in the United States can carry concealed handguns for self-defense. In 2004, there are 36 states where qualified citizens can get a permit to carry a concealed handgun.

As surprising as it may seem, violent crime rates have been falling in the United States. The drop in America's crime rate is even more impressive when compared with the rest of the world. In 18 of the 25 countries surveyed by the British Home Office, violent crime increased during the 1990s. This contrast should provoke thinking people to wonder what happened in those countries where they introduced more and more restrictive firearm laws.

Recent gun laws introduced in the United Kingdom, Canada, and Australia have failed to improve public safety. In the past 20 years, the UK has introduced increasingly restrictive laws on firearm ownership, finally banning handguns in 1997. The result? Police statistics show that the homicide rate in England and Wales jumped 50% in the 1990s, going from 10 per million in 1990 to 15 per million in 2000. Violent crime rates are twice as high in England and Wales as in the United States.

During the 1990s, Canada drastically restricted firearm ownership. Universal registration is now the law. The result? Even while violent crime has plummeted in the US, it continued to rise in Canada.

The effort to register all firearms, which was originally claimed to cost only \$2 million, has now been estimated by the Auditor General to top one billion dollars. Final costs are unknown.

The Australian government made similar Draconian changes to its firearm laws during the 1990s, even confiscating a large number of firearms from hunters and target shooters. Unfortunately, this effort has not made Australia safer. Homicide and violent crime continue to increase in Australia, while both are decreasing in the United States. Robbery and armed robbery rates have doubled in Australia, while they are decreasing in the US. The homicide rate in Australia has started climbing again.

It is an illusion that restrictive gun laws protect the public. What works is cracking down on criminals and allowing decent citizens of all races to protect themselves.

Gary A. Mauser
Institute for Canadian Urban Research Studies and
Faculty of Business Administration
Simon Fraser University
Burnaby, B.C.
CANADA V5A 1S6

Word count: 546
File: Oped shorty 6-1-04