

## SOUND CHANGE

Sound change is an alteration in the phonetic shape of a sound as a result of a phonological process.

ME	NE
<i>see</i> [sæ:]	<i>sea</i>
<i>wīf</i> [wi:f]	<i>wife</i>
<i>seen</i> [se:n]	<i>seen</i> [si:n]
<i>name</i> [na:me]	<i>name</i> [nejm]
<i>hūs</i> [hu:s]	<i>house</i> [haws]
<i>spōn</i> [spo:n]	<i>spoon</i> [spuwn]
<i>ham</i> [hɑ: m]	<i>home</i> [howm]

The NE forms are replacements of ME.

Modifications that lead to the introduction of new phonemes in a language, to loss or realignments of old elements, are referred to as **sound changes**.



this term is used only for events that result in  
*disruption* of the phonological system

If a phonological process is introduced into a language where it did not formerly occur, it may result in sound change.

Example: The OE velar stop [k] was palatalized to [tʃ] before [i].

*cidan* [kidan] > *chide*

NOTE:

The introduction of a phonological process into a language cannot alone be considered sound change!!!

Example: interesting [-təɪ-] or [-tɪ-]

We cannot assume that there has been sound change ə > Ø before liquids; for sound change to occur the basic form of the word must be permanently altered; no variation should occur!

## The regularity of sound change



every instance of the sound undergoes sound change

e.g., **every** OE  $k > \text{tʃ} / \_\_\_\_\_\_ i$

**every** OE  $\bar{u} > aw$   
OE  $h\bar{u}s > \text{NE } house [aw]$   
 $m\bar{u}s > \text{NE } mouse$   
 $l\bar{u}s > \text{NE } louse$

## Types of sound change

The development of OE  $\bar{u} > \text{NE } aw$  is an example of **unconditioned sound change**.



sound change affecting every occurrence of a sound so that no conditioning factor can be identified

The development of OE  $k > \text{NE } \text{tʃ} / \_\_\_\_\_\_ i$  is a **conditioned sound change**



sound change that affects sounds in certain identifiable phonetic environments

Most common unconditioned sound changes:

monophthongization, e.g., ME  $rtwdə > \text{NE } rude$   
ME  $n\bar{t}wə > \text{NE } new$

diphthongization, e.g., OE  $h\bar{u}s > \text{NE } house [aw]$   
 $m\bar{u}s > \text{NE } mouse$   
 $l\bar{u}s > \text{NE } louse$

raising/lowering, e.g., ME  $n\bar{o}n > \text{NE } noon [u]$

backing/fronting, e.g., at the beginning of NE period  $a > æ$   
in words such as *calf*, *path* etc.

Most common conditioned sound changes: assimilation, dissimilation, deletion (syllable structure processes or weakening processes), insertion (syllable structure processes).

## Phonetic and phonemic sound change

Phonetic changes refer to a change in pronunciation of allophones which has no effect on the phonemic system of the language.

Example: ME  $p, t, k > \text{NE } p^h \ t^h \ k^h$

This sound change altered the pronunciation of the stop phonemes by adding one allophone to each phoneme, *but the phonemic system of English has remained unaffected!*

Phonemic changes refer to sound changes which change the phonological system of a language.

Example: in OE /f/ had one allophone: [f], until about 700 A.D. At this time f > v / V\_\_\_\_\_V  
 Later borrowings from French containing v were pronounced with v instead of f, since  
 the voiced sound already had occurred in the language → a new phoneme has been  
 introduced!

## The patterns of sound change

**Merger:** see Lecture #6 (in relation to limitations of internal reconstructions)



Replacement of two or more contrastive segments by a single segment.

*Absolute merger*: the total loss of phonological distinction.

Absolute merger is unrecoverable through internal reconstruction, unless one of the segments itself functioned to condition a change that preceded the merger -- this is the case of the Skt. merger of *e* and *a* : the merger is unconditioned, but the earlier existence of *e* is indicated by its effect on preceding velar stops -- e.g., the perfect of the root *kr* 'make' is *cakara* -- palatalization, from a following front vowel (see Lecture #4).

Example of unrecoverable absolute merger:

There are four vowels reconstructed for PGmc: *\*i* *\*e* *\*u* *\*a*; PIE had five vowels: *\*i* *\*e* *\*u* *\*o* *\*a*. Gmc. lost the distinction between *\*o* and *\*a*. The merger was unconditional, and there is no trace of evidence in Gmc. that *a* reflects two earlier distinct segments. Thus, from internal reconstruction alone it is impossible to recover the vowel system from which Gmc. vowels are derived.

**Study 10.14, p. 205.**

**Split:** replacement of a single distinctive segment by two or more segments in different phonetic contexts.

Conditioned merger necessarily coincides with phonetic split. If some allophones of a phoneme /x/ merge with /y/, a conditioned split in /x/ has occurred. This phenomenon is termed **primary split:**



sound change affecting some allophones of a phoneme, which merge with another phoneme. No new phoneme is added to the sound system.

Example: Pre- Latin /s/ and /r/ phonemes remain distinct in Classical Latin, except in intervocalic position: s > r / V\_\_\_ V (probably via z). Thus the phoneme /s/ splits into [s] and [r] while a merger occurs with the phoneme /r/.

**Secondary split** results from a change in the conditioning features of allophones.



sound change whereby conditioned allophones of a phoneme become independent phonemes as a result of a change in the environment that served to condition the occurrence of that allophone.

Example: Skt velar stops become palatal affricates in the environment of front vowels (see the Skr reduplication example in Lecture #4)

Thus, Skt *k* splits into *k* and *c* [tʃ]. But, subsequently the front vowel *e* merged with *a* and *o* as *a*, with the result that tokens of the phoneme [tʃ] come to occur in a non-palatal environment.

Skt *ca* 'and' < \*ke (< \*k<sup>w</sup>e) is distinguished from the root *ka* 'who' < \*ko (< k<sup>w</sup>o)

A change elsewhere in the system has given phonemic status to a segment introduced into the system as an allophone.

## PROTO-INDO-EUROPEAN PHONOLOGICAL PROCESSES LEADING TO SOUND CHANGES

### 1. Voicing assimilation

In consonant clusters, the first consonant assimilated to the second; most frequently, the second consonant was voiceless.

Example: \*leg-tó- ‘chosen’ > lek-tó (~ Gk. lektós)

Less commonly, the second consonant was voiced:

Example: \*pro-pd-o- (< ped- ‘foot’) > \*.pro-bd-o (~ Avestan frab-da)  
‘front of the foot’

Progressive assimilation in PIE: Bartholomae’s Law

If a voiced aspirate was followed by a voiceless unaspirated stop, the aspiration moved to the end of the cluster and the voiceless consonant became voiced (was this a PIE process? This is still a controversial issue).

Example: PIE \*g<sup>h</sup>t > gdh (~ Ved mugdhá- ‘dazed’)

**Study 3.34-3.35, p. 69. and 10.6 on p. 203.**

2. Sibilant insertion

A sibilant was inserted between two dentals – a common occurrence when a root-final dental was followed by a suffix with an initial *t* or *d*.

Example: PIE \*h<sub>1</sub>ed-te ‘eat’ > \*h<sub>1</sub>et-te (voicing assimilation) > \*h<sub>1</sub>etste (~Old Hittite ezten [étsten]).

**Study 3.36, p. 69.**

3. \*ss simplification

When the root-final *s* was followed by a suffix with an initial *s*, \*ss > \*s.

Example: \*h<sub>1</sub>es-si ‘you are’ > h<sub>1</sub>esi (~Ve ási)

**Study 3.37, p. 70.**

4a. Stang’s Law

Word-final consonant clusters containing a resonant simplified – causing compensatory lengthening of the preceding short vowel.

Example: PIE \*dieum > \*die:m ‘sky’ Acc. Sg. (~Ved Skr. dya:m)  
PIE \*g<sup>w</sup>oum > \*g<sup>w</sup>o:m ‘cow’ Acc.Sg. (~Ved Skr. ga:m)

4b. Szemerényi’s Law

PIE \*-VRs > \*-V:R (*R* is a resonant)

Example: PIE \*kuons ‘dog’ > \*kuon (~ Gk. kuon)

**Study 3.38, p. 70.**

5. The *Boukolos Rule*: Dissimilation

A labiovelar lost its labial component when adjacent to the vowel \*u.

Example: PIE \*g<sup>w</sup>ou-k<sup>w</sup>olos > g<sup>w</sup>ou-kolos (~Gk. bou-kolos ‘cowherd’)

**Study 3.39, p. 70.**

6. Additional processes:

- a. word-final stops were neutralized (not certain whether they occurred voiced or voiceless -- the latter (a lenition process) is more plausible – why?)
- b. Word-final \*-n was deleted after long o.

Example: PIE \*kuon > \*kuo: (~ Old Irish cú)

**Study 3.40, p. 70.**

There have been several other processes identified; their PIE occurrences, however, are uncertain – many could be considered independent developments:

**Study 3.41, pp. 70-71. Comment!**