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#### Abstract

Let $M^{(n)}$ be a graph which is obtained from a path $P_{n}$ or a cycle $C_{n}$ by replacing each vertex by a fixed graph $M$ and replacing each edge by a fixed set of edges joining the corresponding copies of $M$. A matrix approach to the computation of distance related invariants in such graphs is presented. This approach gives a general procedure to obtain closed formulas (depending on $n$ ) for such invariants of $M^{(n)}$. As an example, the Wiener index is treated in more details.


## 1 Introduction

The notion of a polygraph was introduced in chemical graph theory as a formalization of the chemical notion of polymers [2]. Fasciagraphs and rotagraphs form an important class of polygraphs. They describe polymers with open ends and polymers that are closed upon themselves, respectively. Their special structure makes it possible to design

[^0]efficient procedures for computing several graph invariants [10]. It was shown in [9] how the structure of fasciagraphs and rotagraphs can be used to obtain efficient algorithms for computing the Wiener index of such graphs (under some additional constraints).

In this paper we use the same approach to study a general class of distance related graph invariants. We initiate development of a general theory of such invariants on infinite chain graphs. The results are illustrated on a well-known distance-related graph invariant, the Wiener index, for which general formulas for rotagraphs and fasciagraphs are derived. Similar approach can be used also for other distance-related graph invariants.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we study infinite chain graphs which can be viewed as host graphs or coverings of fascia and rotagraphs, respectively. The main result of Section 2 is Theorem 2.3 which shows that the connectivity of the infinite chain graph is a "local property". The derived bound on "locality" is shown to be best possible. In Section 3 we relate fascia and rotagraphs to the infinite chain graphs, and in Sections 4 and 5 we conclude by showing how one obtains closed formulas for the Wiener index (and more general distance-related graph invariants) of polygraphs.

## 2 Distances in infinite chain graphs

In this section we introduce infinite chain graphs and prove some general results on connectivity and (partial) distance matrices in such graphs (Proposition 2.2, Theorem 2.3 , and Proposition 2.4). The key observation is Proposition 2.4 which is the main ingredient of efficient computational procedures presented in later sections.

Let $M$ be a fixed graph (also called monograph) with $k$ vertices and let $X \subseteq V(M) \times$ $V(M)$ be a nonempty binary relation on the vertices of $M$. Denote by $\mathbf{Z}$ the set of integers. The infinite chain graph $\Xi=\Xi(M, X)$ based on $M$ and $X$ is defined as follows: $V(\Xi)=V(M) \times \mathbf{Z}$ and $E(\Xi)=\bigcup_{i \in \mathbf{Z}}\left(E_{i} \cup X_{i}\right)$ where $E_{i}=\{(u, i)(v, i) \mid u v \in E(M)\}$ and $X_{i}=\{(u, i)(v, i+1) \mid(u, v) \in X\}, i \in \mathbf{Z}$. By $M_{i}(i \in \mathbf{Z})$ we will denote the subgraph induced on $V(M) \times\{i\}$. Clearly, each $M_{i}$ is just a copy of $M$.

Given a graph $G$, we denote by $A=A(G)$ and $D=D(G)$ its adjacency and its distance matrix, respectively. The entry $a_{u v}$ of $A$ is equal to 1 if $u v \in E(G)$, and 0 otherwise. By $\tilde{A}$ we denote the matrix with entries $\tilde{a}_{u v}=a_{u v}$ if $a_{u v} \neq 0$ or $u=v$, and $\tilde{a}_{u v}=\infty$ otherwise. The entry $d_{u v}$ of $D$ is equal to $\operatorname{dist}_{G}(u, v)$, the length of a shortest path in $G$ from $u$ to $v$. If $u$ and $v$ are in distinct connected components of $G$, then $d_{u v}=\infty$.

When considering distance problems in graphs, it is useful to introduce a semiring over the extended non-negative integers $\mathbf{N}_{0}^{*}=\mathbf{N}_{0} \cup\{\infty\}$ with operations min (as the addition) and + (as the multiplication). The matrix product over this semiring will be
denoted by o. If $A, B$ are square matrices of the same order $k$ with entries in $\mathbf{N}_{0}^{*}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A \circ B)_{u v}=\min _{1 \leq i \leq k}\left(A_{u i}+B_{i v}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an extensive survey of results and applications concerning the above matrix product the interested reader is invited to consult $[3,4,5,13]$.

The distance matrix $D$ of the graph $G$ can be obtained from the matrix $\tilde{A}$ by computing its powers using the above product:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\underbrace{\tilde{A} \circ \tilde{A} \circ \cdots \circ \tilde{A}}_{n-1}=\tilde{A}^{n-1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is the number of vertices of $G$. Instead of the power $n-1$, it suffices to take only $\operatorname{diam}(G)$ factors in (2).

Let $\Xi(M, X)$ be an infinite chain graph based on $M$ where $|V(M)|=k$. Define the $k \times k$ transition matrix $T(X)=\left[t_{u v}\right]_{u, v \in V(M)}$ in the following way:

$$
t_{u v}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1, & (u, v) \in X \\
\infty, & \text { otherwise } .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The following lemma, a reformulation of a result from [9], presents basic properties of partial distance matrices in infinite chain graphs.

Lemma 2.1 Let $\Xi=\Xi(M, X)$ be an infinite chain graph and $k=|V(M)|$. Let $D_{0}$ be the $k \times k$ matrix with entries $\left(D_{0}\right)_{u v}=\operatorname{dist}_{\Xi}((u, 0),(v, 0))$. For $i>0$, define $D_{i}=D_{i-1}$ 。 $T(X) \circ D_{0}$. Then for each $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, the matrix $D_{i}(i=0,1, \ldots)$ contains distances in $\Xi$ between all pairs of vertices $(u, j),(v, j+i)$. More formally, $\left(D_{i}\right)_{u v}=\operatorname{dist}_{\Xi}((u, j),(v, j+$ i)). Furthermore,

$$
D_{i+j}=D_{i} \circ D_{j}, \quad i, j \geq 0 .
$$

Proof. Observe first that it is enough to prove the claim for $j=0$. The proof is by induction on $i$. The base $i=0$ is true by the definition of $D_{0}$. Suppose now that the claim holds for $i-1, i>0$, and consider the equality

$$
\left(D_{i}\right)_{u v}=\min _{1 \leq w \leq k}\left(\left(D_{i-1}\right)_{u w}+\left(T(X) \circ D_{0}\right)_{w v}\right) .
$$

By the induction hypothesis, $\left(D_{i-1}\right)_{u w}$ is the length of a shortest path between $(u, 0)$ and $(w, i-1)$. Observe, finally, that $\left(T(X) \circ D_{0}\right)_{w v}$ is the length of a shortest path from $(w, i-1)$ to $(v, i)$ such that the first edge of this path is of the form $(v, i-1)\left(v^{\prime}, i\right)$, where $\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \in X$. Since every shortest path from $(u, 0)$ to $(v, i)$ uses such an edge, this implies the first part of the lemma.

The equality $D_{i+j}=D_{i} \circ D_{j}$ follows from $D_{0}=D_{0} \circ D_{0}$.
Let us remark that idempotency of $D_{0}$ also implies that

$$
D_{i}=\left(D_{1}\right)^{i}, \quad i>0 .
$$

With each infinite chain graph $\Xi=\Xi(M, X)$ we associate a mixed graph $M_{X}$ containing directed and undirected edges. The graph $M_{X}$ is defined as follows. The vertex set of $M_{X}$ is the same as for $M, V\left(M_{X}\right)=V(M)$, while the edge set $E\left(M_{X}\right)$ consists of undirected edges $E(M)$ and directed edges $X$. Let $Q$ be a walk in $M_{X}$ where also the directed edges can be traversed in each direction. By $|Q|$ we denote the length of $Q$, i.e., the number of edges in $Q$. For each edge $e$ in $Q$, its weight $w(e)$ (with respect to $Q$ ) is defined as 0 if $e$ is undirected, 1 if $e$ is traversed by $Q$ in the direction consistent with its orientation, and -1 otherwise. The weight $w(Q)$ of $Q$ is defined as

$$
w(Q)=\sum_{e \in Q} w(e) .
$$

It is easy to see that $\operatorname{dist}_{\Xi}((u, i),(v, j))$ equals the length of a shortest $u v$-walk in $M_{X}$ whose weight is equal to $j-i$.

Proposition 2.2 Let $\Xi=\Xi(M, X)$ be a connected infinite chain graph and $k=$ $|V(M)|>1$. Then there exist a spanning subgraph $M^{\prime}$ of $M$ and a subset $X^{\prime} \subseteq X$ such that the infinite chain graph $\Xi\left(M^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right)$ is connected and

$$
\left|E\left(M_{X^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left|E\left(M^{\prime}\right)\right|+\left|X^{\prime}\right|<k+\log _{2} k .
$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $\Xi$ is connected if and only if the directed graph $M_{X}$ is weakly connected and there exists a closed walk $Q$ in $M_{X}$ of weight $w(Q)=1$. Let $T$ be a spanning tree in $M_{X}$ and let $r \in V(T)$ be an arbitrary fixed vertex of $T$. For $e=u v \in E\left(M_{X}\right) \backslash E(T)$, denote by $C_{e}$ the closed walk in $T+e$ (called the fundamental closed walk of $e$ ) which consists of the path from $r$ to $u$, followed by $e$ and by the path from $v$ to $r$ in $T$. Clearly, $\left|w\left(C_{e}\right)\right| \leq k$. Since the fundamental walks generate the fundamental group of $M_{X}$ (with base vertex $r$ ), $Q$ can be expressed as a concatenation of several fundamental closed walks. Therefore the greatest common divisor of the set of the weights of all fundamental walks equals 1 . Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a minimal set of fundamental walks with the above property. To prove the lemma, it suffices to see that $|\mathcal{C}|<\log _{2} k+1$. Choose $C_{0} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\left|w\left(C_{0}\right)\right|$ is as small as possible and let $P$ be the set of primes dividing $\left|w\left(C_{0}\right)\right|$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}\{w(C) \mid C \in \mathcal{C}\}=1$, for each prime $p \in P$ there exists $C(p) \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $w(C(p))$ is not divisible by $p$. By minimality of $\mathcal{C}$, it follows that $\mathcal{C}=\{C(p) \mid p \in P\} \cup\left\{C_{0}\right\}$. Since $\left|w\left(C_{0}\right)\right|<k$ and the product of primes
in $P$ is at least $2^{|P|}$, but not greater than $\left|w\left(\mathcal{C}_{0}\right)\right|$, we have $|P|<\log _{2} k$. Therefore $|\mathcal{C}| \leq|P|+1<\log _{2} k+1$.

Let $F \subseteq E\left(M_{X}\right) \backslash E(T)$ be the set of edges that corresponds to the walks in $\mathcal{C}$. The above arguments show that one can take the undirected edges of $E(T) \cup F$ as $E\left(M^{\prime}\right)$, while the directed edges in $E(T) \cup F$ determine $X^{\prime}$.

Let us remark that we can always achieve $\left|E\left(M^{\prime}\right)\right|<k$, while $\left|X^{\prime}\right| \leq \log _{2} k$ does not hold in general. Moreover, since the product of the first $p$ primes grows much faster than $2^{p}$ (even faster than $p!$, the above proof in fact shows that $\left|E\left(M_{X^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right|=k+o(\log k)$.

Theorem 2.3 Let $\Xi=\Xi(M, X)$ be a connected infinite chain graph and $k=|V(M)|$. Then for any $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $u, v \in V(M)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dist}_{\Xi}((u, i),(v, j))<k \cdot(4 k+|i-j|) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $i \leq j$. Let $T$ be a spanning tree in $M_{X}$ and $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{l}$ the edges in $E\left(M_{X}\right) \backslash E(T)$. Denote by $C_{s}$ the fundamental closed walk with respect to $T$ and a root $v \in V(T)$ that is determined by $e_{s}$ and let $w_{s}=w\left(C_{s}\right)$. Clearly, $\left|w_{s}\right| \leq k$. Let $P$ be the path in $T$ from $u$ to $v$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{l}\right)=1$, there exists a $u v$-walk $Q$ of weight $j-i$ that is composed of $P$ and some of the closed walks $C_{s}$ (where each $C_{s}$ can appear in $Q$ several times in one or the other direction). Denote by $Q_{t}(1 \leq t \leq|Q|)$ the subwalk of $Q$ consisting of the first $t$ edges in $Q$. Since $w(Q)=j-i$, $|w(P)|<k$, and $\left|w_{s}\right| \leq k(1 \leq s \leq l)$, we may achieve by possible rearrangement of the order of the walks $C_{s}$ in $Q$ that for each $t$

$$
-2 k<w\left(Q_{t}\right) \leq j-i+2 k
$$

This implies that there exists a path from $(u, i)$ to $(v, j)$ in $\Xi$ that contains only vertices of $H=M_{i-2 k+1} \cup \cdots \cup M_{j+2 k}$. Since the subgraph of $\Xi$ induced by $H$ has at most $k \cdot(4 k+j-i)$ vertices, (3) holds.

Roughly speaking, Theorem 2.3 states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dist}_{G}((u, i),(v, j))=\mathcal{O}\left(k^{2}+k \cdot|i-j|\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now show by an example that the order of magnitude in (4) cannot be improved.
Example 1. Choose a positive integer $k$ congruent 1 modulo $4, k=4 l+1$. Let $M$ be the graph with $V(M)=\{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $E(M)=\emptyset$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
X= & \{(i, i+1) \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2 l+1\} \cup\{(i, i-1) \mid 2 l+3 \leq i \leq 3 l+1\} \\
& \cup\{(i, i+1) \mid 3 l+2 \leq i \leq 4 l\} \cup\{(l+1,3 l+1),(l+1,3 l+2)\}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 1: The mixed graph $M_{X}$ of $\Xi(M, X)$.
and set $\Xi=\Xi(M, X)$. The associated mixed graph $M_{X}$ is shown in Figure 1.
Since the weight of the cycle in $M_{X}$ is equal to $\pm 1$, it is easy to see that

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{\Xi}((1,0),(k, i))=\frac{k-1}{2}+\frac{k+1}{4} \cdot|2 i-k+1|
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{\Xi}((1,0),(l+1, i))=\frac{k-1}{4}+\frac{k+1}{8} \cdot|4 i-k+1| .
$$

Finally, observe that for each $k$ and $i$, the larger of the above distances is of the same order of magnitude as the upper bound in (4).

The above construction can be extended to the cases when $k$ is not congruent to 1 modulo 4.

It can be shown that for large enough indices $l$ matrices $D_{l}$ have a special structure that enables us to compute them efficiently. The following proposition is a variant of the "cyclicity" theorem for the "tropical" semiring ( $\mathbf{N}_{0}^{*}, \min ,+$ ), see, e.g., [3, Theorem 3.112]. By a constant matrix we mean a matrix with all entries equal.

Proposition 2.4 Let $\Xi=\Xi(M, X)$ be a connected infinite chain graph, $k=|V(M)|$, and $K=\max \left\{\left(D_{0}\right)_{u v} \mid u, v \in V(M)\right\}$. Then there is an index $q \leq(2 K+1)^{k^{2}}$ such that $D_{q}=D_{p}+C$ for some index $p<q$ and some constant matrix $C$. Let $P=q-p$. Then for every $i \geq p$ and every $j \geq 0$ we have

$$
D_{i+j P}=D_{i}+j C .
$$

Proof. For $l \geq 0$, let $D_{l}^{\prime}=D_{l}-\left(D_{l}\right)_{11} J$ where $J$ is the matrix with all entries equal to 1 . Since the difference between any two elements of $D_{l}$ cannot be greater than $2 K$, there are indices $p<q \leq(2 K+1)^{k^{2}}$ such that $D_{p}^{\prime}=D_{q}^{\prime}$. This proves the first part of the proposition.

The equality $D_{i+j P}=D_{i}+j C$ follows from the fact that for arbitrary matrices $A$, $B$ and a constant matrix $C$ we have $(A+C) \circ B=A \circ B+C$.

Let us remark that the matrix $C$ of Proposition 2.4 can be interpreted in terms of "eigenvalues" of $D_{1}$ with respect to the matrix product over the semiring ( $\mathbf{N}_{0}^{*}, \min ,+$ ). The reader is referred to $[3,5]$ for more details.

The bound on $q$ in Proposition 2.4 is far from being optimal. Our examples in Section 5 show that $p$ and $P$ are usually much smaller.

## 3 Fasciagraphs and rotagraphs

A subgraph of $\Xi(M, X)$ induced on $V(M) \times\{1, \ldots, n\}$ is called a fasciagraph and denoted by $\Xi_{n}(M, X)$. The rotagraph $\Xi_{n}^{\circ}(M, X)$ is obtained from $\Xi(M, X)$ by identifying vertices $(v, i)$ and $(v, i+n)(i \in \mathbf{Z}, v \in V(M))$. Alternatively, the fasciagraph $\Xi_{n}(M, X)$ can be obtained by taking $n$ disjoint copies $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{n}$ of the graph $M$, and for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ and for each $(u, v) \in X$, adding the edge $u_{i} v_{i+1}$ where $u_{i} \in V\left(M_{i}\right), v_{i+1} \in V\left(M_{i+1}\right)$ are copies of $u$ and $v$, respectively. We can think of a rotagraph $\Xi_{n}^{\circ}(M, X)$ in the same way except that we also add edges $u_{n} v_{1}$ between $M_{n}$ and $M_{1},(u, v) \in X$.

Notice that the Cartesian product of $M$ and the path $P_{n}\left(M\right.$ and the cycle $\left.C_{n}\right)$ is a special case of the fasciagraph (rotagraph) where $X=i d$. Similarly, the direct, the strong, and several other products $[1,6]$ of $M$ and $P_{n}$ or $C_{n}$ are special cases of fascia and rotagraphs.

We obtain partial distance matrices $D_{i, j}$ and $D_{i, j}^{\circ}$ containing distances in $\Xi_{n}$ and $\Xi_{n}^{\circ}$ (respectively) between vertices of $M_{i}$ and $M_{j}(1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n)$ similarly as for the infinite chain graph. Because of circular symmetry of $\Xi_{n}^{\circ}$, it is clear that $D_{i, j}^{\circ}$ depends only on $(j-i) \bmod n$. (The same property does not hold for fasciagraphs.) Also,

$$
D_{j, i}=D_{i, j}^{\mathrm{T}} \quad \text { and } \quad D_{j, i}^{\circ}=D_{i, j}^{\circ}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} .
$$

Most of the partial distance matrices $D_{i, j}$ and $D_{i, j}^{\circ}$ can be obtained from the matrices $D_{l}$ of the corresponding infinite chain graph as follows:

Proposition 3.1 Suppose that the infinite chain graph $\Xi=\Xi(M, X)$ is connected. Let $k=|V(M)|$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Denote by $K$ the maximum element of $D_{0}$. Then $K<4 k^{2}$. Moreover:
(a) If $K / 2<i \leq j<n-K / 2+1$, then $D_{i, j}=D_{j-i}$.
(b) If $n \geq K$ and $0 \leq j-i<n$, then $D_{i, j}^{\circ}=\min \left\{D_{j-i}, D_{n-j+i}^{\mathrm{T}}\right\}$ where the minimum is taken elementwise. If also $j-i \leq n / 2-K$, then $D_{i, j}^{\circ}=D_{j-i}$.

Proof. Theorem 2.3 implies that $K<4 k^{2}$. By the definition of $K$, for every pair of vertices $(u, i),(v, j) \in V(\Xi), i \leq j$, all shortest paths between $(u, i)$ and $(v, j)$ contain
only vertices of $M_{i-\lfloor K / 2 \mid}, \ldots, M_{j+|K / 2|}$. This implies (a). To justify (b), observe that in a rotagraph $\Xi_{n}^{\circ}=\Xi_{n}^{\circ}(M, X)$ where $n \geq K$, there is always a shortest path between $(u, i)$ and $(v, j)$ in $\Xi_{n}^{\circ}$ that corresponds to either a path between $(u, i)$ and $(v, j)$ in $\Xi$, or a path between $(u, i)$ and $(v, j-n)$ in $\Xi$. (Note that when $n<K$, all shortest paths between $(u, i)$ and $(v, j)$ in $\Xi_{n}^{\circ}$ could correspond to paths between (u,i) and, e.g., $(v, j+n)$ in $\Xi$.) The length of a shortest path of the first type is equal to $\left(D_{j-i}\right)_{u v}$, while a shortest path of the second type has length $\left(D_{n-j+i}\right)_{v u}$. This proves the first part of (b). If also $j-i \leq \frac{n}{2}-K$, then $(n-j+i)-(j-i) \geq 2 K$, which finally gives $\left(D_{j-i}\right)_{u v} \leq\left(D_{n-j+i}\right)_{v u}$.

One can apply Proposition 3.1 in problems related to distances in polygraphs. An example of such an approach is presented in the next section.

In [9] we treated the isometric case when (each copy of) $M$ is an isometric subgraph of $\Xi(M, X)$, i.e., the distance matrix of $M$ is equal to $D_{0}$. (More generally, a subgraph $H$ of $G$ is an isometric subgraph if for any two vertices $u, v \in V(H)$, the distance from $u$ to $v$ in $H$ is equal to their distance in $G$.) In the isometric case we have

$$
D_{i, j}=D_{j-i}
$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$. Similarly,

$$
D_{i, j}^{\circ}=D_{j-i}
$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ such that $j-i \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-k+1$.
If $i$ and $j$ are not within the intervals requested in Proposition 3.1, the matrices $D_{i, j}$ and $D_{j-i}$ do not always coincide. Next we prove that their entries cannot differ too much.

Lemma 3.2 Suppose that $\Xi(M, X)$ is an infinite chain graph and let $K$ be the maximum element of $D_{0}$. Suppose that $\Xi_{n}=\Xi_{n}(M, X)$ is connected. If $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ and $u, v \in V(M)$, then

$$
\left(D_{j-i}\right)_{u v} \leq\left(D_{i, j}\right)_{u v} \leq\left(D_{j-i}\right)_{u v}+K(k+2) .
$$

Proof. The first inequality is obvious. For the second one, we may assume that $n>K$. Let $L_{1}=\lfloor K / 2\rfloor+1$ and $L_{2}=n-\lfloor K / 2\rfloor$. Suppose first that $1 \leq i<L_{1}$ and $L_{2}<j \leq n$. Let $P$ be a shortest path in $\Xi(M, X)$ from $(u, i)$ to $(v, j)$. Let $P^{\prime}$ be a segment of $P$ from a vertex $\left(u^{\prime}, L_{1}\right)$ to $\left(v^{\prime}, L_{2}\right)$. Since $n>K, P^{\prime}$ exists. Let $P_{1}$ be a shortest path in $\Xi_{n}$ from $(u, i)$ to some vertex $\left(u^{\prime \prime}, L_{1}\right)$. Then $\left|P_{1}\right| \leq k K / 2$. Similarly, if $P_{2}$ is a shortest path in $\Xi_{n}$ from a vertex $\left(v^{\prime \prime}, L_{2}\right)$ to $(v, j)$, then $\left|P_{2}\right| \leq k K / 2$. Since there is a path $P_{1}^{\prime}$ in $\Xi(M, X)$ from $\left(u^{\prime \prime}, L_{1}\right)$ to ( $u^{\prime}, L_{1}$ ) of length at most $K$, such a path exists also in $\Xi_{n}$ by

Proposition 3.1(a). Similarly we get a path $P_{2}^{\prime}$ from $\left(v^{\prime \prime}, L_{2}\right)$ to $\left(v^{\prime}, L_{2}\right)$. Paths $P_{1}, P_{1}^{\prime}$, $P^{\prime}, P_{2}^{\prime}, P_{2}$ show that the distance in $\Xi_{n}$ from $(u, i)$ to $(v, j)$ is at most $K(k+2)+|P|$.

The cases where $1 \leq i<L_{1}$ and $1 \leq j<L_{1}$ (or $L_{1} \leq j \leq L_{2}$ ) are proved similarly. (One even gains a factor of 2 since $\left|P_{1}\right|+\left|P_{2}\right| \leq k K / 2$ and only one path between vertices of $M_{L_{1}}$ is needed.) In cases when $i>L_{2}$ we get the same bounds by symmetry. In all other cases, Proposition 3.1(a) applies.

It may happen that $\Xi_{n}^{\circ}(M, X)$ is connected and that $\Xi(M, X)$ is disconnected. For example, if $M=\bar{K}_{2}, X=\{(1,2),(2,1)\}$, and $n$ is odd. On the other hand, the connectivity of $\Xi(M, X)$ always implies the connectivity of $\Xi_{n}^{\circ}(M, X)$. It is interesting that for fasciagraphs, the reverse statements hold: $\Xi_{n}(M, X)$ being connected for some $n \geq 1$ implies that $\Xi(M, X)$ is connected (recall that $X \neq \emptyset$ ), while the connectivity of $\Xi(M, X)$ does not yield connectivity of $\Xi_{n}(M, X)$. Hence, the connectivity of $\Xi(M, X)$ and $\Xi_{n}(M, X)$ is a local property (by Theorem 2.3), but the connectivity of $\Xi_{n}^{\circ}(M, X)$ is not a local property.

## 4 The Wiener index

The Wiener index $W(G)$ of $G$ is the sum of all distances in $G$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(G)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_{u v} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This index was introduced in 1947 [15], when Wiener observed a good correlation between the boiling points of paraffins and $W(G)$ of the corresponding molecular graphs.

Although it was the first topological index studied, even today it is a widely employed graph theoretical descriptor [14]. For more information on the applicability of the Wiener index the reader is advised to consult other articles in this volume. The Wiener index of polymer molecular graphs that correspond to our notion of polygraphs has been studied in $[7,11]$. Regarding the computation of the Wiener index see [8, 12].

In [9], an observation that the distance matrices of polygraphs blocks which can be computed efficiently, was used to give algorithms for computing the Wiener index of polygraphs. In particular, if the polygraph is a fascia or rotagraph, formulas for the Wiener index of infinite families $\Xi_{n}(M, X)$ and $\Xi_{n}^{\circ}(M, X)$ can be derived. In [9], an explicit formula for the Wiener index of fasciagraphs (and rotagraphs) is given in case when $M$ is an isometric subgraph of the polygraph.

In the sequel, we shall express the Wiener index by using the sums of distances
between all pairs of vertices in $M_{0}$ and $M_{i}$. Hence we set for each integer $i>0$ :

$$
s_{i}=\sum_{u \in V(M)} \sum_{v \in V(M)}\left(D_{i}\right)_{u v}
$$

and

$$
s_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V(M)} \sum_{v \in V(M)}\left(D_{0}\right)_{u v}
$$

Given a connected infinite chain graph $\Xi(M, X)$, denote by $p$ and $q, 0 \leq p<q$, the smallest integers such that $D_{q}=D_{p}+C$, where $C$ is a constant matrix. Recall that Proposition 2.4 guarantees that such integers do exist. The numbers $p$ and $P=q-p$ are called the preperiod and the period of $\Xi(M, X)$, respectively.

Theorem 4.1 [9] Let $M$ be a connected graph with $k$ vertices and suppose that each copy of $M$ is an isometric subgraph of $\Xi_{n}(M, X)$. Let $p, P$, and $C$ be defined as above and let all entries of $C$ be equal to $c$. Set $m=\lfloor(n-p) / P\rfloor$ and let $r=n-1-m P$. If $n \geq p$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
W\left(\Xi_{n}(M, X)\right)= & \sum_{i=0}^{r}(n-i) s_{i}+m \sum_{i=1}^{P}\left(n-r-\frac{(m-1) P}{2}-i\right) s_{r+i} \\
& +\frac{k^{2} c(m-1) m P}{2}\left(n-r-\frac{(2 m-1) P}{3}-\frac{P+1}{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us remark that for $n \geq p$, Theorem 4.1 implies that for each congruence class modulo the period $P$, the Wiener index $W\left(\Xi_{n}(M, X)\right)$ is a cubic polynomial in $n$. More precisely, one can show that all these polynomials for distinct congruence classes differ only in the constant term, all other coefficients are independent of $n \bmod P$ (see Example 3).

Theorem 4.1 also shows that in order to obtain the Wiener index of a given fasciagraph with isometric monographs, it suffices to compute only the matrices $D_{0}, \ldots, D_{r+P}$, where $P$ and $r$ are defined above. Recall that $r$ and $P$ cannot be too large, i.e., there is an upper bound on $r$ and $P$ that is independent of the number of monographs $n$.

The results of Theorem 4.1 can also be extended to the case when monographs are not isometric subgraphs of the fasciagraph. In such a case the first and the last $\left\lfloor\frac{K}{2}\right\rfloor$ monographs have to be considered separately (where $K$ is the maximum element of $D_{0}$ ), and this additional requirement increases the complexity of the obtained formula. Therefore we decided to present only asymptotic results.

Corollary 4.2 Let $\Xi_{n}(M, X)$ be a connected fasciagraph. Let $k, p, P, c, m$, and $r$ be defined as in Theorem 4.1 and let $K$ be the maximum element of $D_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
W\left(\Xi_{n}(M, X)\right)= & \sum_{i=0}^{r}(n-i) s_{i}+m \sum_{i=1}^{P}\left(n-r-\frac{(m-1) P}{2}-i\right) s_{r+i} \\
& +\frac{k^{2} c(m-1) m P}{2}\left(n-r-\frac{(2 m-1) P}{3}-\frac{P+1}{2}\right) \\
& +\mathcal{O}\left(K^{2} k^{3} n\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Denote by $W_{n}$ the sum of all distances in $\Xi(M, X)$ between distinct vertices of $M_{1} \cup \cdots \cup M_{n}$ and set $W=W\left(\Xi_{n}(M, X)\right)$. A calculation used in obtaining the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [9] shows that for $n \geq p$, the sum in Theorem 4.1 is equal to $W_{n}$. Therefore it remains to show that

$$
W-W_{n}=\mathcal{O}\left(K^{2} k^{3} n\right)
$$

Given $i$ and $j, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, let

$$
\Delta_{i, j}=\sum_{u, v \in V(M)}\left(\left(D_{i, j}\right)_{u v}-\left(D_{j-i}\right)_{u v}\right) .
$$

Note that $\Delta_{i, j} \geq 0$. By the definition,

$$
W-W_{n} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i}^{n} \Delta_{i, j} .
$$

For $K / 2<i \leq j<n-K / 2+1$, Proposition 3.1(a) implies that $\Delta_{i, j}=0$. On the other hand, when $i \leq K / 2$ or $j \geq n-K / 2+1$, Lemma 3.2 gives $\Delta_{i, j} \leq K k^{2}(k+2)$. Therefore

$$
W-W_{n} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor K / 2\rfloor} \sum_{j=i}^{n} \Delta_{i, j}+\sum_{j=n-\lfloor K / 2\rfloor+1}^{n} \sum_{i=\lfloor K / 2\rfloor+1}^{j} \Delta_{i, j} \leq K^{2} k^{2}(k+2) n,
$$

which proves the corollary.
A companion of Theorem 4.1 for rotagraphs proved in [9] for isometric monographs yields a similar result for the Wiener index of rotagraphs.
Corollary 4.3 Suppose that $\Xi(M, X)$ is a connected infinite chain graph and let $k$, $p$, $P$, and $c$ be defined as in Theorem 4.1. Set $N=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-K, m=\lfloor(N+1-p) / P\rfloor$, $r=N-m P$, and let $K$ be the maximum element of $D_{0}$. If $N+1 \geq p$, then

$$
W\left(\Xi_{n}^{\circ}(M, X)\right)=\frac{n}{2} \cdot\left(2 \sum_{i=0}^{r} s_{i}+2 m \sum_{i=1}^{P} s_{r+i}+k^{2} c(m-1) m P+\sum_{i=N+1}^{n-N-1} s_{i}^{\circ}\right),
$$

where $s_{i}^{\circ}$ denotes the sum of all elements in the matrix $D_{0, i}^{\circ}$.

Observe that the entries of $D_{0, i}^{\circ}$ can be efficiently computed since $D_{0, i}^{\circ}=\min \left\{D_{i}, D_{n-i}^{\mathrm{T}}\right\}$. Similarly to the case of fasciagraphs one can show that $n$ large enough for each congruence class modulo $2 P$, the Wiener index of a rotagraph is a cubic polynomial in $n$.

## 5 Examples

We conclude by four examples which should serve as a demonstration of the method from Section 4.


Figure 2: The graphs of Examples 2 and 3.

Example 2. Consider a fasciagraph obtained by taking $n 5$-cycles $C_{5}$ as the monographs and connected as in Figure 2(a) for the case $n=4$. The matrices $D_{0}=D(G)$ and $T(X)$ are

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\
2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\infty & \infty & \infty & \infty & \infty \\
\infty & \infty & \infty & \infty & \infty \\
\infty & \infty & \infty & \infty & \infty \\
\infty & 1 & \infty & \infty & \infty \\
1 & \infty & \infty & \infty & \infty
\end{array}\right],
$$

while the matrices $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are equal to

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
2 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 3 \\
3 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 4 \\
3 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\
2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\
1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 2
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
4 & 5 & 6 & 6 & 5 \\
5 & 6 & 7 & 7 & 6 \\
5 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 6 \\
4 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 5 \\
3 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 4
\end{array}\right]
$$

and the matrices $D_{3}$ and $D_{4}$ are equal to

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
6 & 7 & 8 & 8 & 7 \\
7 & 8 & 9 & 9 & 8 \\
7 & 8 & 9 & 9 & 8 \\
6 & 7 & 8 & 8 & 7 \\
5 & 6 & 7 & 7 & 6
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
8 & 9 & 10 & 10 & 9 \\
9 & 10 & 11 & 11 & 10 \\
9 & 10 & 11 & 11 & 10 \\
8 & 9 & 10 & 10 & 9 \\
7 & 8 & 9 & 9 & 8
\end{array}\right]
$$

Therefore we have $k=5, p=3, q=4, P=1, c=2, m=n-3, r=2, s_{0}=15, s_{1}=73$, $s_{2}=131$, and $s_{3}=185$. Applying Theorem 4.1 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
W= & n s_{0}+(n-1) s_{1}+(n-2) s_{2}+\frac{1}{2}(n-3)(n-2) s_{3} \\
& +\frac{25}{3}(n-4)(n-3)(n-2) \\
= & \frac{1}{6}\left(50 n^{3}+105 n^{2}-161 n+120\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 3. Take a fasciagraph obtained by taking $n 5$-cycles $C_{5}$ as the monographs which are connected as in Figure 2(b) for the case $n=4$. In this case $X=\{(4,1),(5,2)\}$. The matrices $D_{0}=D(G)$ and $D_{1}$ are

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\
2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
3 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\
3 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 4 \\
2 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 3 \\
1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 2 \\
2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 3
\end{array}\right]
$$

while the matrices $D_{2}$ and $D_{3}$ are equal to

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
5 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 6 \\
6 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 7 \\
5 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 6 \\
4 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 5 \\
4 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 5
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
8 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 9 \\
8 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 9 \\
7 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 8 \\
6 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 7 \\
7 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 8
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The matrix $D_{4}$ is equal to $D_{2}+C$, where $C$ has all entries equal to 5 . Hence we have $k=5, p=2, q=4, P=2, c=5, m=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-1, r=1+n \bmod 2, s_{0}=15, s_{1}=73$, $s_{2}=131$, and $s_{3}=194$. By Theorem 4.1 we get

$$
W= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{24}\left(250 n^{3}+75 n^{2}+134 n-99\right), & n \text { odd } \\ \frac{1}{24}\left(250 n^{3}+75 n^{2}+134 n-96\right), & n \text { even } .\end{cases}
$$



Figure 3: The graph of Example 4.
Example 4. As our next example, consider first the fasciagraphs obtained by taking 6 -cycles $C_{6}$ as the monographs and connected as in Figure 3 for the case $n=4$. Here $X=\{(4,1),(5,6)\}$. The matrices $D_{0}=D(G)$ and $D_{1}$ are

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 \\
2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and }\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
4 & 5 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 \\
3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 5 & 4 \\
2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 4 & 3 \\
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 2 \\
2 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\
3 & 4 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2
\end{array}\right],
$$

while the matrices $D_{2}$ and $D_{3}$ are equal to

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
6 & 7 & 8 & 7 & 6 & 5 \\
7 & 8 & 9 & 8 & 7 & 6 \\
6 & 7 & 8 & 7 & 6 & 5 \\
5 & 6 & 7 & 6 & 5 & 4 \\
4 & 5 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 \\
5 & 6 & 7 & 6 & 5 & 4
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
8 & 9 & 10 & 9 & 8 & 7 \\
9 & 10 & 11 & 10 & 9 & 8 \\
8 & 9 & 10 & 9 & 8 & 7 \\
7 & 8 & 9 & 8 & 7 & 6 \\
6 & 7 & 8 & 7 & 6 & 5 \\
7 & 8 & 9 & 8 & 7 & 6
\end{array}\right] .
$$

As $D_{3}-D_{2}=2 J$, we have $k=6, p=2, q=3, P=1, c=2, m=n-2, r=1, s_{0}=27$, $s_{1}=126$, and $s_{2}=216$. By Theorem 4.1 we get

$$
W\left(\Xi_{n}(M, X)\right)=12 n^{3}+36 n^{2}-39 n+18 .
$$

To compute the Wiener index of the rotagraphs $\Xi_{n}^{\circ}(M, X)$, some additional work is needed. Since $D_{i}=D_{2}+2(i-2) J, i>1$, and the absolute value of the largest element of $D_{2}-D_{2}^{T}$ is equal to 2 , we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{0, i}^{\circ} & =\min \left\{D_{i}, D_{n-i}^{T}\right\}=\min \left\{D_{2}+2(i-2) J, D_{2}^{T}+2(n-i-2) J\right\} \\
& = \begin{cases}D_{i}, & 1<i<\frac{n}{2} \\
\min \left\{D_{i}, D_{i}^{T}\right\}, & i=\frac{n}{2} \\
D_{n-i}^{T}, & \frac{n}{2}<i<n-1\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular,

$$
s_{i}^{\circ}= \begin{cases}72 i+72, & 1<i<\frac{n}{2} \\ 72 i+54, & i=\frac{n}{2} \\ 72(n-i)+72, & \frac{n}{2}<i<n-1\end{cases}
$$

Finally, for $n \geq 8$, Corollary 4.3 implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(\Xi_{n}^{\circ}(M, X)\right)=9 n^{3}+36 n^{2}-36 n \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us remark that (6) is in fact true for all $n \geq 3$.

Example 5. Let $M=P_{k}$ be a path of order $k$. Label the vertices of $M$ by $1, \ldots, k$ in order as they appear on $M$ and set $X=\{(1,1),(k, 1)\}$. Observe that in this case the monographs are not isometric subgraphs of $\Xi(M, X)$. Since 1 is the only vertex of $M$ that has a neighbor in the previous monograph, we have

$$
\left(D_{i}\right)_{u v}=\min \{u, k+1-u\}+i-1+\min \{v-1, k+2-v\}
$$

for $i>0$. Moreover, for $u \leq v$,

$$
\left(D_{0}\right)_{u v}=\min \{v-u, k+1-(v-u)\}
$$

Therefore $p=1, q=2, P=1$, and $c=1$. Let $\kappa=\left\lfloor(k+1)^{2} / 4\right\rfloor$. A short calculation shows that $s_{0}=(k-1) \kappa / 2$ and $s_{i}=k(2 \kappa-1)+k^{2}(i-1), i>0$. Since our example has a very simple structure, $D_{i, j}=D_{j-i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq j<n$ and $\left(D_{i, n}\right)_{u v}=\min \{u, k+$ $1-u\}+(n-i)+v-2$ for $0<i<n$ and $u, v \in V(M)$. Hence, the Wiener index of the fasciagraphs $\Xi_{n}(M, X)$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
W & =\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(n-i) s_{i-1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{u, v \in V(M)}\left(D_{i, n}\right)_{u v}+\frac{(k-1) k(k+1)}{6} \\
& =\frac{1}{6}\left(k^{2} n^{3}+(6 \kappa-3 k-3) k n^{2}+\left(3 k^{3}-9 k \kappa-k^{2}-3 \kappa+9 k\right) n+3 k \kappa-2 k^{3}+3 k^{2}+3 \kappa-7 k\right) \\
& = \begin{cases}\frac{1}{24}\left(4 k^{2} n^{3}+6 k\left(k^{2}-1\right) n^{2}+\left(3 k^{3}-25 k^{2}+21 k-3\right) n-5 k^{3}+21 k^{2}-19 k+3\right), & n \text { odd } \\
\frac{1}{24}\left(4 k^{2} n^{3}+6 k\left(k^{2}-2\right) n^{2}+\left(3 k^{3}-25 k^{2}+30 k\right) n-5 k^{3}+21 k^{2}-22 k\right), & n \text { even. }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing $W$ to the expression

$$
W_{n}=\frac{1}{6}\left(k^{2} n^{3}+(6 \kappa-3 k-3) k n^{2}+\left(-3 k \kappa+2 k^{2}-3 \kappa+3 k\right) n\right)
$$

from Theorem 4.1 we see that the order of magnitude of the difference

$$
W-W_{n}=\frac{1}{6}\left(3\left(k^{2}-2 \kappa-k+2\right) k n-2 k^{3}+3 k \kappa+3 k^{2}+3 \kappa-7 k\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(k^{3} n\right)
$$

is as claimed by Corollary 4.2.
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