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#### Abstract

A simple characterization of the 3,4 , or 5 -colorable Eulerian triangulations of the projective plane is given.


Key words: Projective plane, triangulation, coloring, Eulerian graph.

A graph is Eulerian if all its vertices have even degree. It is well known that Eulerian triangulations of the plane are 3 -colorable. However, Eulerian triangulations on other surfaces may have arbitrarily large chromatic number. It is easy to find examples on the projective plane whose chromatic number is equal to 3,4 , or 5 , respectively, and it is easy to see that the chromatic number of an Eulerian triangulation of the projective plane cannot be more than 5. In this paper we give a simple characterization of when an Eulerian triangulation of the projective plane is 3,4 , or 5 -colorable.

The class of graphs embedded in some surface $S$ such that all facial walks have even length (called locally bipartite embeddings) is closely related to Eulerian triangulations of $S$. Namely, if we insert a new vertex in each of the faces of a locally bipartite embedded graph $G$, and join it to all vertices on the corresponding facial walk, we obtain an Eulerian triangulation $F(G)$ which contains $G$ as a subgraph. We say that $F(G)$ is a face subdivision of $G$ and that the set of added vertices $U=V(F(G)) \backslash V(G)$ is a color factor of $F(G)$. Since $U$ is an independent set, $\chi(G) \leq \chi(F(G)) \leq \chi(G)+1$, where $\chi(\cdot)$ denotes the chromatic number of the corresponding graph.

[^0]Youngs [7] proved that a quadrangulation $Q$ of the projective plane which is not 2 -colorable is neither 3 -colorable, and its chromatic number is 4 . Youngs' proof also implies that in any 4 -coloring of a nonbipartite quadrangulation of the projective plane, there is a 4 -face with all four vertices of distinct colors. This fact appears in a sligtly extended version (where 4 -colorings are replaced by $k$-colorings, $k \geq 3$ ) in [5]. For our purpose, a strengthening of that result will be important:

Theorem 1 Let $G$ be a nonbipartite quadrangulation of the projective plane, and $k$ an integer. If $G$ is $k$-colored, then there are at least 3 faces of $G$ whose vertices are colored with four distinct colors. In particular, $k \geq 4$.

Proof. Suppose that $G$ is not bipartite, that it is $k$-colored, that the set $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ of multicolored faces (i.e. those whose vertices have distinct colors) contains at most two elements, and that $|V(G)|$ is minimum subject to these conditions. Denote by $\mathcal{F}$ the set of all faces which are not in $\mathcal{F}_{1}$.

Suppose first that $G$ has a facial walk $x y z w x \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $x$ and $z$ have the same color. If $x \neq z$, then we delete the edges $x y$ and $x w$, and identify $x$ and $z$. The resulting multigraph is a loopless nonbipartite $k$-colored quadrangulation of the projective plane with $\leq 2$ multicolored faces, a contradiction to the minimality of $G$.

From now on we may assume that every facial walk in $\mathcal{F}$ has only three (or two) distinct vertices. Again, let $F=x y z w x \in \mathcal{F}$ be a facial walk and assume that $x=z$. Then there is a simple closed curve $C$ in $F$ which has precisely $x$ in common with $G$ and which has $y$ and $w$ on distinct sides. If $C$ is contractible, then $x$ is a cutvertex of $G$. We choose the notation such that $y$ is in the interior of $C$. The subgraph of $G$ in the interior of $C$ is bipartite. Now we delete that part of the graph and also remove one of the edges between $x$ and $w$. The resulting nonbipartite graph contradicts the minimality of $G$. So, we may assume that $C$ is noncontractible. As no facial walk in $\mathcal{F}$ is a cycle, such a curve $C$ can be chosen in any other face of $\mathcal{F}$ as well. Since the projective plane has no two disjoint noncontractible curves, it follows that any such curve contains the same vertex $x$ and that every edge on a face in $\mathcal{F}$ is incident with $x$. If $\mathcal{F}_{1}=\emptyset$, then every edge of $G$ is incident with $x$, a contradiction to the assumption that $G$ is nonbipartite. Hence $\mathcal{F}_{1} \neq \emptyset$.

Let $F=a b c d$ be a face in $\mathcal{F}_{1}$, where $b, c, d \neq x$. As shown above, the edges $b c$ and $c d$ cannot lie on faces of $\mathcal{F}$. Since every edge is in two facial walks, there is another face $F^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$ containing bc and there is a face in $\mathcal{F}_{1} \backslash\{F\}$ containing $c d$. Since $\left|\mathcal{F}_{1}\right| \leq 2$, these two faces are the same. Since $F^{\prime} \notin \mathcal{F}$, it is a 4 -cycle $a^{\prime} b c d$. This implies that $c$ has degree 2 in $G$ and therefore $G-c$ is a nonbipartite quadrangulation of the projective plane. This contradicts the minimality of $G$.

Theorem 1 for a quadrangulation $Q$ implies that the chromatic number of the Eulerian triangulation $F(Q)$ is equal to 5 . Theorem 1 also implies that $F(Q)$ is not 5 -critical since the removal of any two vertices of degree 4 in $F(Q)$ leaves a graph which is not 4-colorable.

Eulerian triangulations of the projective plane with chromatic number 5 may have arbitrarily large face-width and they show that nonorientable surfaces behave differently than the orientable ones. Namely, Hutchinson, Richter, and Seymour [5] proved that Eulerian triangulations of orientable surfaces of sufficiently large face-width are 4-colorable.

Gimbel and Thomassen [4] observed that Youngs' result [7] implies:
Theorem 2 (Gimbel and Thomassen [4]) Let $G$ be a graph embedded in the projective plane such that no 3 -cycle of $G$ is contractible. Then $G$ is 3colorable if and only if $G$ does not contain a nonbipartite quadrangulation of the projective plane.

Our main result will follow from

Proposition 3 (Fisk [3]) Let $G$ be an Eulerian triangulation of the projective plane. Then $G$ contains a color factor $U$. In particular, $G$ is a face subdivision of the locally bipartite projective planar graph $G-U$.

Proof. Choose a face $T_{0}$ of $G$. Let $R$ be the dual cubic graph of $G$, so that $T_{0}$ is one of its vertices. Every walk $W=T_{0} T_{1} \ldots T_{k}$ in the graph $R$ determines a bijection $\sigma(W): V\left(T_{k}\right) \rightarrow V\left(T_{0}\right)$ (where we consider $T_{i}$ as a face in $G$ and $V\left(T_{i}\right)$ as a subset of $V(G)$ ). These bijections are defined recursively (depending on $k$ ). If $k=0$, then we set $\sigma(W)=i d$; for $k>0, \sigma(W)$ coincides with $\sigma\left(T_{0} T_{1} \ldots T_{k-1}\right)$ on $V\left(T_{k-1}\right) \cap V\left(T_{k}\right)$.

For $x \in V\left(T_{0}\right)$, denote by $U(x)$ the set of all vertices of $G$ which are mapped to $x$ by some $\sigma(W)$ where $W$ is a walk in $R$. The motivation for introducing these bijections is the following obvious fact:
(1) A vertex set $U \subseteq V(G)$ is a color factor in $G$ if and only if $U$ contains precisely one vertex of $T_{0}$, say $x$, and $U=U(x)$. This is further equivalent to the condition that for every closed walk $W$ in $R, \sigma(W)$ fixes $x$.

Since $G$ is 3-colorable if and only if $V(G)$ can be partitioned into three color factors, (1) implies
(2) $G$ is 3-colorable if and only if $\sigma(W)=i d$ for every closed walk $W$ in $R$.

Suppose that $W=T_{0} T_{1} \ldots T_{k}$ and that $T_{i+1}=T_{i-1}$ for some $i, 1 \leq i<k$. Then $\sigma(W)=\sigma\left(W^{\prime}\right)$ where $W^{\prime}=T_{0} \ldots T_{i-1} T_{i+2} \ldots T_{k}$. We say that $W^{\prime}$ is obtained
from $W$ by an elementary reduction. Suppose now that $v \in V(G)$ and that $W=T_{0} T_{1} \ldots T_{i} \ldots T_{j} \ldots T_{k}$ is a walk in $R$ such that the triangles $T_{i}, \ldots, T_{j}$ contain the vertex $v$. Suppose that $T_{i}, \ldots, T_{j}, T_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, T_{r}^{\prime}$ are the triangles in the local order around $v$. Let $W^{\prime}=T_{0} \ldots T_{i} T_{r}^{\prime} T_{r-1}^{\prime} \ldots T_{1}^{\prime} T_{j} \ldots T_{k}$ be the walk in $R$ which "goes around $v$ in the other direction" than $W$. We say that $W^{\prime}$ has been obtained from $W$ by a homotopic shift over $v$. Since $v$ is of even degree, it is easy to see that $\sigma\left(W^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(W)$. It is well known that (on every surface) any two homotopic closed walks (where walks in $R$ are considered as closed curves in the surface) can be obtained from each other by a sequence of homotopic shifts and elementary reductions and their inverses (cf., e.g., [6]). This shows that $\sigma(W)=\sigma\left(W^{\prime}\right)$ if $W$ and $W^{\prime}$ are homotopic closed walks in $R$.

The projective plane has only two homotopy classes of closed walks. One of them contains contractible closed walks. Since they are homotopic to the trivial walk $W_{0}=T_{0}$, we have $\sigma(W)=\sigma\left(W_{0}\right)=i d$ for every contractible closed walk $W$. The other homotopy class contains noncontractible closed walks. Pick one of them, say $W_{1}$. Let $W_{2}$ be the square of $W_{1}$. Then $\sigma\left(W_{2}\right)$ is equal to $\sigma\left(W_{0}\right)$ since $W_{2}$ is contractible. Therefore $\sigma\left(W_{1}\right)$ has a fixed vertex, say $x$. This implies that $x$ is a fixed point of $\sigma(W)$ for every closed walk $W$ in $R$. By (1), $U(x)$ is a color factor in $G$.

The main result of this note is:
Theorem 4 Let $G$ be an Eulerian triangulation of the projective plane. Then $\chi(G) \leq 5$ and $G$ has a color factor. Moreover, if $U$ is any color factor of $G$, then:
(a) $\chi(G)=3$ if and only if $G-U$ is bipartite.
(b) $\chi(G)=4$ if and only if $G-U$ is not bipartite and does not contain a quadrangulation of the projective plane.
(c) $\chi(G)=5$ if and only if $G-U$ is not bipartite and contains a quadrangulation of the projective plane. Such a quadrangulation is necessarily nonbipartite.

Proof. The existence of $U$ follows by Proposition 3. Observe that $G-U$ is 3 -degenerate, i.e., every subgraph of $G-U$ contains a vertex of degree $\leq 3$. (This is an easy consequence of Euler's formula.) Thus, it is 4 -colorable, and so $\chi(G) \leq 5$.

Now, (a) is obvious, so assume that $G-U$ is not bipartite. If $G-U$ does not contain a quadrangulation of the projective plane, then $G-U$ is 3-colorable by Theorem 2. Hence, $G$ is 4-colorable. So, suppose that $Q \subseteq G-U$ is a quadrangulation of the projective plane. We claim that $Q$ is not bipartite. For each face $C$ of $Q$, the subgraph $Q_{C}$ of $G-U$ inside $C$ is a locally bipartite plane
graph since the degrees (in $G$ ) of removed vertices in $U$ are even. Therefore, $Q_{C}$ is bipartite. Consequently, if $Q$ were bipartite, then also $G-U=Q \cup\left(\cup_{C} Q_{C}\right)$ would be bipartite.

To show that $G$ is not 4-colorable, assume (reductio ad absurdum) that there is a 4 -coloring $c$ of $G$. Consider the restriction of $c$ to $Q$. By Theorem $1, Q$ has a face $C=v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}$ on which all four colors are used. Let $G_{C}$ be the subgraph of $G$ inside $C$. Since $G_{C}$ is obtained from $Q_{C}$ by face subdivision (except for the face $C$ ), the degrees of $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{4}$ in $G_{C}$ are all odd. The degrees of other vertices of $G_{C}$ are even. We may assume that $v_{1} v_{3} \notin E\left(G_{C}\right)$. Now, adding the edge $v_{1} v_{3}$ to $G_{C}$ gives rise to a 4 -colored triangulation of the plane in which precisely two vertices $v_{2}$ and $v_{4}$ are of odd degree. It is well known (cf., e.g., [2]) that the colors of $v_{2}$ and $v_{4}$ must be the same in any 4 -coloring. This contradiction to our assumption that $c\left(v_{2}\right) \neq c\left(v_{4}\right)$ completes the proof.

Corollary 5 There is a polynomial time algorithm to compute the chromatic number of Eulerian triangulations of the projective plane.

Proof. By the proof of Proposition 3, it suffices to take an arbitrary noncontractible walk $W_{1}$ in the dual graph $R$ and compute $\sigma=\sigma\left(W_{1}\right)$. If $\sigma=i d$, then $G$ is 3-colorable. Otherwise, let $U=U(x)$ be a color factor, where $x$ is (the unique) vertex of $T_{0}$ which is fixed by $\sigma$.

All it remains to do, is to check if $H:=G-U$ contains a quadrangulation. This can be done in polynomial time as follows. For $v \in V(H)$, repeat the breadth-first-search starting at $v$ (up to distance 2 from $v$ ). This way, all 4cycles containing $v$ are discovered. For each such 4 -cycle $C$, one can check (in constant time, after an overall $O(n)$ preprocessing) if it is contractible and if it is nonfacial. If this happens, remove from $H$ all vertices and edges inside the disk bounded by $C$. After repeating this procedure for all vertices of $H$, the resulting graph $Q$ is a quadrangulation if and only if $G-U$ contains a quadrangulation. The overall time spent in this algorithm is easily seen to be $O\left(n^{3}\right)$, where $n=|V(G)|$, and it is not hard to improve it to an $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ algorithm. The details are left to the reader.

At the end we would like to mention list colorings. Let $G$ be an Eulerian triangulation of the projective plane. Denote by $\chi_{l}(G)$ the list chromatic number of $G$. By Theorem 4, $G$ does not contain $K_{6}$ as a subgraph. As proved in [1], this implies that $\chi_{l}(G) \leq 5$. Therefore, $\chi_{l}(G)=\chi(G)$ if $\chi(G)=5$. This raises the following

Question: Let $G$ be an Eulerian triangulation of the projective plane. Is it possible that $\chi_{l}(G)>\chi(G)$ ?
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