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## 0. Introduction

This is a manual for coding Centering Theory (Grosz et al., 1995) in Spanish. The manual is still under revision. The coding is being done on two sets of corpora:

- ISL corpus. A set of task-oriented dialogues in which participants try to find a date where they can meet. Distributed by the Interactive Systems Lab at Carnegie Mellon University. Transcription conventions for this corpus can be found in Appendix A.
- CallHome corpus. Spontaneous telephone conversations, distributed by the Linguistics Data Consortium at the University of Pennsylvania. Information about this corpus can be obtained from the LDC.

This manual provides guidelines for how to segment discourse (Section 1), what to include in the list of forward-looking centers (Section 2), and how to rank the list (Section 3). In Section 4, we list some unresolved issues.

## 1. Utterance segmentation

1.1 Utterance

In this section, we discuss how to segment discourse into utterances. Besides general segmentation of coordinated and subordinated clauses, we discuss how to treat some spoken language phenomena, such as false starts.

In general, an utterance U is a tensed clause. Because we are analyzing telephone conversations, a turn may be a clause or it may be not. For those cases in which the turn is not a clause, a turn is considered an utterance if it contains entities.

The first pass in segmentation is to break the speech into intonation units. For the ISL corpus, an utterance U is defined as an intonation unit marked by either \{period\}, \{quest\} or $\{$ seos $\}$ (see Appendix A for details on transcription). Note that $\{$ comma $\}$, unless it is followed by $\{\operatorname{seos}\}$, does not define an utterance.

In the example below, (1c.) corresponds to the beginning of a turn by a different speaker. However, even though (1c.) is not a tensed clause, it is treated as an utterance because it contains entities, it is followed by \{comma\} \{seos\}, and it does not seem to belong to the following utterance.

[^0]| a. fvgc: así | que | si | $\emptyset$ | te | viene |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | bien

'So if (it) is good for you from ten to twelve'
Cf: fsnm (te), 10-12
$\mathrm{Cb}: 0$
b.este $\emptyset$ est-á bien \{period\} \#key_click\# \{seos $\}$
eh nullpro:3SG be -3SG:PRES well
'then, (it) is good'
Cf: 10-12 (zero)
Cb: 10-12
Transition: CONTINUE

| c. fsnm: perfecto $\{$ period $\}$ \{seos\} | diez | a | doce | el | veintitrés $\{$ comma $\}$ \{seos $\}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| perfect | ten | to | twelve | the | twenty-three |

'Perfect. (From) ten to twelve (on) the twenty-third'
Cf: 10-12, 23
Cb: 10-12
Transition: CONTINUE

| d. y | bueno $\{$ seos $\} / \mathrm{ls} / ~ Ø$ | te | ve -ré | en | tu |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| and | well | nullpro:1SG | OBJ:2SG see-1SG:FUT | in | POSS:2SG |

oficina entonces \{period $\{$ seos $\}$ office then
'Well, (I) will see you in your office then.'
Cf: fsnm (nullpro), fvgc (te, tu), oficina, 10-12 (entonces)
Cb: 10-12
Transition: RETAIN
For the CallHome corpus, an utterance $U$ consists of a Dialogue Act </DA>. Dialogue Acts were coded in LDC corpus LDC2001T61.

2 a. B: Sí, tío. ¿Y chic-o -s? </DA>
Yes uncle And kid -MASC -PL
'Yes, uncle. And kids?'
Cf: A, chicos
$\mathrm{Cb}: 0$
b. A: Todavía no </DA>
yet not
'Not yet.'
Cf: A, chicos
Cb : A
Transition: CONTINUE
Following Byron and Stent (1998:5), "empty utterances", that is, utterances that contain no discourse entities, are attached to their preceding or following utterance, according to context. In Example (3), bueno is attached to the following utterance.

'Well, on the eighth I can after (lit. 'starting at') two.'
This applies to empty utterances across turns as well, so that backchannels are ignored for Centering purposes. In (4), the backchannel sí by speaker B is ignored as an utterance.

1.2 Discourse segmentation: conjuncts and adjuncts ${ }^{1}$

If intonation units are not marked in the discourse, we will follow Kameyama (1998). The hypothesis proposed by Kameyama is that clauses within a (grammatically complete) sentence are center-updating units, i.e., Centering should be considered not only across sentences, but also within sentences. She proposes two models of intrasentential Centering: (i) Sequential intrasentential Centering, where each unit (clause, conjunct or adjunct) is processed at a time, resulting in a flat discourse tree, with one Centering state at a time; (ii) Hierarchical intrasentential Centering, where multiple Centering states are possible, at different levels of embedding. Sequential intrasentential Centering applies to tensed and tenseless conjuncts and tensed adjuncts. Hierarchical intrasentential Centering applies to reported speech complements, tensed non-report complements and relative clauses.

[^1]We are, for the time being, considering the first model of intrasentential Centering as our general model of Centering. That is, each clausal unit (segmented as described below) is a Centering unit. We believe this is the most appropriate model for spoken discourse. Exceptions are those mentioned by Kameyama: reported speech and non-report complements, where the reported part is embedded in the same Centering unit as the reporting unit (see below). These are to be processed differently: the embedded part becomes a segment and undergoes Centering analysis, but is not considered an update unit for the following clause. This is the approach taken by Suri and McCoy (1994) for processing main-subordinate clauses pairs ("X because Y"). We do not believe that approach is appropriate for tensed subordinate clauses (Taboada and Hadic Zabala, 2004), but do follow Kameyama in applying it to reported speech and non-report complements.

In order to establish which clausal units will be considered as Centering units, we follow Kameyama's guidelines for sentence segmentation. The following are all taken from Kameyama (1998:104-108), including the names for the hypotheses. We illustrate them with examples from our corpora.
1.2.1 Tensed conjuncts: Tensed clausal conjuncts break up into a sequence of utterances (Tensed conjunct hypothesis, TConj). In (5), the two coordinated sentences are separated into two utterances. Note that (5b) contains an embedded non-finite clause, not separated into its own utterance.
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| a. así <[n]><[n]> | $\varnothing$ | combin-amos | bien /ls/ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| so.that | nullpro:1PL | plan | -lPL:PRES | well |

TConj also applies to tensed subordinate conjuncts. (6a.), (6b.) and (6c.) below are three tensed subordinate clauses belonging to the main clause in (6d.). In our analysis, each tensed subordinate clause is treated as a separate utterance.

| 6 | a.A: | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{s i} \\ & \text { if } \end{aligned}$ | nullpro:2SG |  | enganch-ás hook.up -2SG:PRES | con <br> with | es -o -s, that-MASC-PL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 'If (you) hook up with those' |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cf: B (nullpro, te), Compuserve (esos) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cb : Compuserve |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Transition: ROUGH SHIFT |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| b. | $\varnothing$ | ten -és | acceso, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | and | nullpro:2SG | have-2SG:PRES | access |

'And (you) have access'
Cf: B (nullpro), internet (acceso)
Cb : B
Transition: SMOOTH SHIFT

| c. yo | ten -go | también | acceso, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | I | have-1SG:PRES | too | access |

'I also have access'
Cf: A (yo), internet (acceso)
Cb : internet
Transition: ROUGH SHIFT
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { d. } & \varnothing & \text { nos } \quad \text { pode }- \text { mos comunic } & \text {-ar por } & \text { por } \\ & \text { nullpro:1PL } & \text { RFL:1PL be.able-1PL:PRES communicate-INF by } & \text { by }\end{array}$
correo, así
mail so
'(We) can communicate by e-mail'
Cf: nosotros (nullpro, nos), internet (correo)
Cb : internet
Transition: RETAIN
1.2.2 Conjunct parallelism: Adjacent conjuncts, whether tensed or tenseless, induce parallelism (Conjunct parallelism hypothesis, Cpara). This is particularly relevant for cases of subject ellipsis or gapping.
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| a. "A" | Kiko | una | vez | se | gan-ó | veinte, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Kiko | one | time | refl:3sg win-3sg:pret | twenty |  |

'Kiko once won twenty (dollars)'
Cf: Kiko, premio (veinte)
Cb : premio
Transition: RETAIN
b. Pato, también. </DA>

Pato too
'Pato (did so) too.'
Cf: Pato, premio
Cb : premio
Transition: RETAIN
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| a. $\emptyset$ | teng -o | dos, | dos | $\emptyset$ | teng-o, | sí |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nullpro:1SG | have-1SG:PRES | two | two | nullpro:1SG | have-1SG:PRES | yes |
| '(I) have two, two (I) have, yes' |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cf: B (nullpro), laburos (dos) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cb: B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transition: CONTINUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |


1.2.3 Tenseless subordinate conjuncts: Tenseless subordinate clausal conjuncts belong to the same utterance as the immediately superordinate clause (Tenseless conjunct hypothesis, TlessConj). In (9), quedarme and tratar are coordinated tenseless verbs, part of the matrix clause initiated by the verb prefiero.

1.2.4 Tensed adjuncts: Tensed clausal adjuncts are separate utterances from their superordinate clauses (Tensed adjunct hypothesis, TAdj). In (10), the subordinate clause (10b.) is a separate utterance. We consider tensed subordinate clauses as separate utterances, regardless of their position with respect to the main clause (unlike Suri and McCoy 1994).

1.2.4 Tenseless adjuncts: Tenseless clausal and phrasal adjuncts belong to the same utterance unit as the immediately superordinate clause (Tenseless adjunct hypothesis, TlessAdj). In example (11), the tenseless adjunct 'para enganchar todo' does not constitute a center-updating unit and belongs in the same unit with the main clause.

| porque | $\emptyset$ | tene-mos | un -o -s (()) | por.ahí |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| because | nullpro:1PL | have-1PL:PRES | one-MASC-PL | around.there |

para enganch-ar todo,
to hook.up-INF everything
'because (we) have some (=modems) around to hook up everything'
Cf: nosotros (nullpro), modems (unos), todo

### 1.3 Reported Speech and Quoted Speech

This is the first instance of hierarchical Centering units. According to Kameyama, reported speech is an embedded segment that is not accessible to the superordinate Centering level (Reported speech complement hypothesis, Speech).

In Example (12), there are two Centering units, (12a.) and (12b.). Each one of those contains reported speech, but it is postulated that the entities within the reported speech are not accessible to the higher level. The Centering analysis presented after the example shows that the transition in this case would be from the reporting part of (12a.) to the reporting part of (12b.), reported units excluded. This results in a smooth shift transition in this case.

| a. Yo le | dij $\quad$-e, |
| :--- | :--- |
| I OBJ:3SG | say:PRET-1SG |
| 'I said to her' |  |
| Cf: | B (yo), maestra (le) |
| Cb: maestra |  |
| Transition: RETAIN |  |


| i. $\varnothing$ nullpro:2SG | sab -es know-2SG:PRES | qué, <br> what | maestra, teacher | $\varnothing$ nullpro:1SC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| est-oy | enfermísim -o. | DA> |  |  |
| be -1 SG:PRES | very.sick -MA | C:SG |  |  |
| '(You) know what, teacher, (I) am very sick' |  |  |  |  |
| Cf: maestra, B (nullpro) |  |  |  |  |
| Cb : B |  |  |  |  |
| Transition (10a to 10a.i): ROUGH SHIFT |  |  |  |  |


| b. $\emptyset$ | Me | dij $\quad-$ o, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nullpro:FEM:3SG | OBJ:1SG | say:PRET $-3 S G$ |

'(She) said to me
Cf: B (me), maestra (nullpro)
Cb : B
Transition (10a to 10b): SMOOTH SHIFT


### 1.4 Nonreport complements

1.4.1 Tensed nonreport complements: Tensed clausal nonreport complements create embedded discourse segments (Clausal complement hypothesis, Comp). Even though the tensed complement in (13a.i.) constitutes a centering unit, it does not update the center for the following utterance. Thus, for (13b.) the previous utterance is (13a.) and not (13a.i).
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As noted by Thompson (2002:134), certain complement-taking-predicates (CTP) "do not constitute the speakers' interactional agenda, but are instead functioning to convey the speakers' epistemic, evidential, or evaluative stance towards the issue or claim at hand." In English, the most common examples of such CTPs are think, know, and guess, and
they tend to occur with $1^{\text {st }}$ person subjects (for comprehensive list, see Thompson 2002: 138). Following Thompson (2002: 136), these CTPs and their clausal complements will be analyzed as monoclausal utterances. In other words, the clausal complements of epistemic, evidential or evaluative CTPs do not constitute embedded segments. CTPs express the epistemic/evidential/evaluative stance of the speaker towards the information contained in the complement clause, and could be substituted by modals or adverbs (Thompson, 2002: 132). The analys is of these clauses is a flat analysis, i.e., as if there was no embedding. The subject of the CTP is typically the first entity in the Cf list.

Examples (14) and (15) illustrate this type of construction. In (14d.) the verb creo 'believe' creates an epistemic frame for the clause that follows. It is the speaker's belief that his friend ended her relationship with her boyfriend in England.
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> a."B" una amiga que dejó la escuela, le entró la locura y se fue con su novio que estudia medicina en [PAUSE] el Medical College o algo así, de Inglaterra, y se largó con él 'A friend who left school, went crazy and left with her boyfriend who studies medicine at the English Medical College, or something like that, in England, and she went with him.'
b."A" Mmm </DA>

| c."B" y | este, </DA> | y | $\varnothing$ | se | fue | a |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| and | uh | and | nullpro:3SG | 3SG:RFL | go:3SG:PAST | to |

'And, uh she went looking for adventure'

| d. después $\varnothing$ | cre $\quad$-o | que | $\varnothing$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| afterwards nullpro:1SG | believe-1SG:PRES | that | nullpro:3SG |
| $1-\mathrm{o}$ | cort-ó | por | allá |
| OBJ-MASC:SG | cut-3SG:PAST | for | there |

'Afterwards I think she ended it over there'
Cf: B (nullpro), she (nullpro), it (=relationship), there (=England)
Example (15) further emphasizes the parenthetical nature of CTPs. 'Yo creo' does not occur in the canonical position, i.e. preceding the complement clause, but within the complement clause. As indicated by Thompson (2002: 134) epistemic parentheticals may "float away from CTP position."

| a."A" Y | entonces. | Pero | cómo | vas | $\varnothing$ | a |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| And | then | But | how | go: 2 SG:PRES | nullpro:2SG | to |
|  | hacer | tesis, | ahora, | entonces. |  |  |

'Then, how are you going to do your thesis now?'

| b. "B" Pues, Well | $\varnothing$ nullpro:1SG |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & -\mathrm{a} \\ \text { OBJ-FEM:SG } \end{array}$ |  | voy <br> go:1SG:PRES | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a} \\ & \text { to } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hacer | yo | cre | -0, | en | el |  |
| do.INF | 1SG | believ | -1 SG:PRES | in | the:MASC:SG |  |
| Centro | de | Ecolo | gía -- </DA> |  |  |  |
| Center | of | Ecolo |  |  |  |  |

'Well, I'll do it, I think, at the Ecology Centre.'
Cf: B (nullpro), thesis, Ecology Centre
1.4.2 Tenseless nonreport complements: Tenseless clausal complements belong to the same utterance units as their superordinate clauses (Tenseless complement hypothesis, TlessComp). Example (16) is a single utterance, with an embedded tenseless verb, traer.
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| por favor | $\varnothing$ | no | te | olvid -es | de |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| please | nullpro:2SG | not |  |  |  |

1.5 Relative clauses

Relative clauses create embedded centering units. Their entities, however, may be accessible to superordinate clauses (p.108). As indicated by Poesio et al. (to appear: 31), this would mean that relative clauses have a special status: at the local level of discourse, they update the local focus and at the global level of discourse, they merge with the superodinate clause. Because this approach would lead to a radical change in the Centering framework, Poesio et al. propose to treat relative clauses as separate utterances, although as embedded utterances and not as center-updating utterances. We follow this approach. In Example (17), the relative clause in (17b.i.) is processed as an utterance, but does not serve as previous utterance for (17c.)-i.e., it is not a center-updating unit.

17 a. y después $\varnothing$ se fue a Miami and then nullpro:3SG 3SG:RFL go:3SG:PRET to Miami
'And then (he) went to Miami'
Cf: tipo (nullpro), Miami
Cb : tipo
Transition: CONTINUE

| b. $\varnothing$ | Se compr-ó | algun-as | cosa -s de | est -a -s |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nullpro:3SG $\quad$ 3SG:RFL buy $\quad$-3SG:PRET |  |  |  |  |
| '(He) bought some of these things' |  |  |  |  |
| Cf: tipo (nullpro), cosas |  |  |  |  |
| thing-PL of | this-FEM-PL |  |  |  |


| i. que | $\varnothing$ | le | pusimos | a | l -a |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| that | nullpro:1PL | OBJ:SG | put:PRET:1PL | to | the-FEM:SG |

## máquina

machine 'that (we) put in the computer' Cf: nosotros (nullpro), cosas (que), máquina Cb : cosas Transition: ROUGH SHIFT

| c. él | siempre va | de | compras | qué | sé | yo |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| he | always | go.3SG:PRES | of | shopping | what | know:1SG:PRES |

'He always goes shopping, what do I know'
Cf: tipo (él), compras, yo
Cb: tipo
Transition (15b to 15 c ): CONTINUE
While headed relative clauses (as in 17b.i.) create embedded centering units, headless relative clauses (18) and certain idiomatic expressions (usually of time) containing relative clauses (19) do not, and are thus analyzed in conjunction with their superodinate clauses.

'the one who leaves around the $20^{\text {th }}$ is Pablo Lates, eh' Cf: Pablo Lates, 20th
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| yo | tengo | la | semana que | viene | una |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | have: 1SG:PRES | the:FEM:SG | week that | come:3SG:PRES | a:FEM:SG |

'I have next week (lit. the week that comes) a meeting at IBM'
Cf: I, meeting, IBM, next week
1.6 False starts

Speakers may correct themselves or abandon utterances altogether. Following Eckert and Strube (1999), self-corrected speech (false starts and speech repairs) are taken into account, since they may introduce a new entity in the discourse. With respect to segmentation, false starts belong to the same utterance as the self-repaired speech that
follows. In terms of the Cflist, the ranking of the entities in the false start with respect to the entities in the repaired speech proceeds linearly. Note however, that only the false starts that contain entities are taken into account. This is illustrated in example (20). In (20a.), te, a pronoun referring to the addressee, becomes part of the Cf list. In (20b), there are no entities in the false start (marked with angled brackets), and therefore there is nothing to include in the Cf list.
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'Please, don't forget to bring the files in order to be able eh> in order to have all the information at hand.'
Cf: fmgl (nullpro, te), legajos, información
Cb : fmgl
Transition: CONTINUE

## 2. Realization

When computing the Cb (backward-looking center) of an utterance, we allow for indirect realization of entities. In the corpora studied, constraing realization only to the entities that have been explicitly mentioned in the utterance led to a larger number of transitions with an empty Cb. Following Halliday and Hasan's (1976) definition of lexical cohesion, the following types of indirect realization are allowed.

### 2.1 General noun
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| a. "B" | sí, yes | y <br> and | quien <br> who | es be:3SG:PRES | Mónica Martínez, Mónica Martínez | $\begin{aligned} & \text { no? </DA> } \\ & \text { not } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'Yes, and who is Mónica Martínez, right?' |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cf: Mónica Martínez |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cb: 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transition: NO |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| b. nadie $\quad 1-\mathrm{a}$ | co-</DA> |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nobody $\quad$ OBJ-FEM:SG | knw- |
| 'Nobody (knows) her' |  |
| Cf: nadie, Mónica Martínez (la) |  |
| Cb: Mónica Martínez |  |
| Transition: RETAIN |  |


| c. "A" un-a | much- | un-a | muchacha |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a -FEM:SG | gir- | a -FEM:SG | girl |

[^2]que nac -ió $\quad$ en $\quad$ Camiri [PAUSE] Cochabamba,

that be.born-3SG:PRET in Camiri $\quad$| Bolivia </DA> |
| :--- |
| 'who was born in Camiri, Cochabamba, Bolivia.' |

2.2 Synonyms and near synonyms (when they have the same reference)

In examples (22a.) and (22c.) below, the words picture and icon have the same reference and are used as synonyms.

22 a. B: if someone could send me the \%um the blessed virgin picture
Cf: someone, B (me), picture
Cb : B
Transition: ROUGH SHIFT
that I have in my room
Cf: B (I), picture (that), B (my), room
Cb : B
Transition: CONTINUE
b. A: okay
c. B: the icon that's next to that gold \%uh cross

Cf: icon, gold cross
Cb : icon
Transition: SMOOTH SHIFT
that I have
Cf: B (I), cross (that)
Cb : cross
Transition: ROUGH SHIFT

### 2.3 Superordinate

a. "B" Sí, </DA> además $\emptyset$ no te dijeron que tipo
yes also nullpro:3PL not 2SG:OBJ say:PRET:3PL what type
de ganado, </DA> of cattle
'And also, (they) didn't tell you what type of animal."
Cf: 3pl (nullpro), A (te), ganado
Cb : ganado
Transition: RETAIN
b. a.lo.mejor $\quad \varnothing$
Ø son
son topo-s, o -- </DA> maybe nullpro:3PL
be:3PL:PRES
mole-PL or
'Maybe (they) are moles.'
Cf: ganado (topos)
Cb : ganado
Transition: CONTINUE

### 2.4 Inclusive relation
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$\begin{array}{lll}\text { a. "A" } & \text { Y } \quad 1 \quad \text {-os, } \\ & \text { and } \quad \text { the-MASC:PL }\end{array}$
mija,
my.daughter
y $\quad 1 \quad$ os and the-MASC:PL
qué tal est-án. </DA>
kid-DIM-MASC:PL what i? be -3PL:PRES
'And the, dear, and the kids how are they?'
Cf: niñitos
Cb: 0
Transition: NONE

| b. "B" | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bien, </DA> } \\ & \text { well } \end{aligned}$ | Samuel ayer Samuel yesterday | se 3SG:RFL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ca-yó } \\ & \text { fall-3SG:PRET } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 -a the-FEM:SG | pisci- afuera de swim- outside of | 1 -a the-FEM:SG | piscina, </DA> swimingpool |

'Well, yesterday Samuel fell in the swim- outside the swimingpool.'
Cf: Samuel (one of niñitos), piscina
Cb: Samuel
Transition: CONTINUE

### 2.5 Part - whole

25 a. I mean there was trees down
Cf: trees
Cb: 0
Transition: NONE
b. there was branches all over

Cf: trees (branches)
Cb : trees
Transition: CONTINUE

## 3. $\mathbf{C f}$-Ranking

3.1 Ranking criterion

The most important aspect of adapting Centering Theory to a new language is to determine the ordering of the Cf list, what Cote (1998) calls the Cf template for a language.

We mainly follow grammatical relations as the basis for ordering the Cf list in Spanish, therefore Subjects are ranked higher than Objects, whether they appear as full pronouns (26), or as null pronouns.
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| como | vos | me | has | dicho | en |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| like | 2SG:SUBJ | 1SG:OBJ | have: 2 SG:PRES | say:PASTPART | in |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | un-a | de | tu | -s | carta-s, | ino? </DA> |
| one-FEM:SG | of | POSS:2SG-PL | letter-PLno |  |  |  |

'Like you have told me in one of your letters, right?'
Cf: A (vos), me (B), carta
However, there are two other criteria that play a role in the Cf ordering in Spanish: empathy and animacy.

Following DiEugenio (1998), we take empathy with the speaker or hearer over strict word order as a ranking criterion. Empathy, as defined by Kuno (1987:206), "is the speaker's identification, which may vary in degree, with a person/thing that participates in the event or state that he describes in a sentence."

Empathy in Spanish, from our point of view, is reflected in the experiencer in psychological verbs, where the point of view taken if that of the experiencer, regardless of whether it is the subject or not (e.g., "it seems to me", 'I think", and the like). In (27) the speaker is the highest-ranked entity, because it is the experiencer of a psychological verb ("parece"). In this case, the experiencer is encoded with clitic doubling: the PP "a mí", plus the clitic "me". In Example (28), the clitic "me" refers to the speaker, for whom Thursday is a better date. However, the point of view criterion need not apply to the speaker only. In (29), the point of view is that of the interlocutor.
A number of verbs in Spanish follow this pattern ("me conviene", "me viene mejor", "se me hace que").
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'To me, it als o seems ok to have a meeting'
Cf: mphb (mí, me), [hacer una reunión], reunión
Cb : mphb

| $\begin{aligned} / \mathrm{h} \# / \text { me } & \text { viene } \\ 1 \mathrm{SG} & \text { come:3SG:PRES } \end{aligned}$ | mejor better | el the:MASC:SG | jueves $\{$ comma\} $\{$ seos $\}$ <br> Thursday |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'Thursday is better for me' |  |  |  |
| Cf: mphb (me), jueves |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cb}: 0$ |  |  |  |
| /h\#/ *pause* este /ls/ qué.tal | para | ti $\left\{\right.$ comma ${ }^{\text {* }}$ pause* |  |
| so how | for | 2SG |  |
| del from.the:MASC:SG | quince <br> fifteenth | $\mathrm{a}-1$ <br> to-the:MASC:SG | diecinueve $\{$ period $\}$ \{seos $\}$ nineteenth |

'How is it for you from the fifteenth to the nineteenth?'
Cf: meba (tí), del 15 al 19
Cb: 0
Empathy also includes verbs with clausal grammatical subjects, but with an animate experiencer, or person from whose point of view the statement is to be interpreted. In (30), the experiencer is in a prepositional phrase para mí, 'for me'). We believe the experiencer should be ranked higher than either the clause as a whole that has the function of subject (juntarme con vos ese día, 'to get together with you that day'), , or any of the entities included in that clause.
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'So it would be impossible for me to join you that day'
Cf: fmgl (mí), [juntarme...], fmcs (-me, vos), ese día (miércoles 17)
Cb : fmgl
Animacy is a relevant feature in the ordering of clitics and reflexive pronouns that refer to participants in the discourse. These have two characteristics that make them candidates for a higher ranking: (i) they convey empathy and (ii) they are often placed before the verb, linearly before non-animate direct objects. In (31), the indirect clitic $m e$ refers to the speaker, whereas the direct la refers to a thing, the computer. In (32), se refers to a third person, the speaker's sister. In both cases, the animate indirect clitic is first in surface position.

| A: | yo | me | 1 -a | com |  | usad-a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | 1SG | OBJ-FEM:SG | buy | -1SG:PRET | used-FEM:SG |
| 'I bought a used one for me' |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cf: A (yo, me), computadora (la) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cb : computadora |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| "A" ; | Y and | que <br> that | $\emptyset$ nullpro:3PL | se 3SG | 1 -0 <br> OBJ-MASC:3SG | d -an! </DA> give-3PL:PRES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'And it was given to her' |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cf: hermana (se), programa (lo), imp -3pl (nullpro) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cb : hermana |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Subjects still take precedence in the Cf list in most other cases (i.e., when they are not clausal, and when there are no experiencers). In Example (33), the subject maestra is higher in the Cf list than the clitic $m e$. This is in turn higher than the direct object mi examen. The ordering of the direct object NP follows the possessive ordering (see 3.2).

| 33 | Un-aa -FEM:SG | maestra este, teacher eh | OBJ:1SG | tuvo | que | ven -ir | a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | have:3SG:PRET | that | come-INF | to |
|  | hac-er | mi |  | últim-o | examen |  | A> |
|  | do -INF | POSS: 1 |  | last -MASC:SG | exam |  |  |

> 'A teacher had to come and give me my examhere.' Cf: maestra, B (me), B (mi), examen, aquí Cb: 0

Accordingly, the elements of the Cf list follow this order:

## Empathy $>$ Subj $>$ Animate $\mathrm{IObj}>\mathrm{DObj}>$ etc $>\quad$ Subj $>$ Animate $\mathrm{IObj}>$ DObj $>$ etc $^{2}$ Main clause

3.2 Possessives

Following DiEugenio (1998), we rank the possessor before the possessed, if the possessed is inanimate, and the possessor after the possessed, if the possessed is animate.

'A teacher had to come and give me my exam here.'
Cf: B (me), maestra, B (mi), examen, aquí
$\mathrm{Cb}: 0$

35 M
Mi

POSS:1SG $\quad$\begin{tabular}{l}
hermana <br>
sister

$\quad$

solicit -ó <br>
apply.for-1SG:PRET

$\quad$

an <br>
a:MASC:SG

$\quad$

programa <br>
program
\end{tabular}

'My sister applied for a program in archeology and anthropology in Greece.'
Cf: hermana, A (mi), programa, Grecia
Cb:0
This also applies to noun phrases with a PP modifier headed by "of" (de in Spanish). In most of those constructions, the meaning is that of a genitive las cartas de Marta =

[^3]Marta's letters) ${ }^{3}$. Thus, in Example (36), una de Marta refers to one (letter) from Marta. Since Marta is animate, it is ranked higher.

36 |  | Y $\quad$ un -a | de | Marta. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| And one-FEM:SG | of | Marta |  |
|  | 'And one (letter) from Marta' |  |  |
|  | Cf: Marta, 1 (=carta) |  |  |
| Cb: carta |  |  |  |

3.3 Wh-pronouns

Wh-pronouns, qué ('what'), quién ('who'), cuándo ('when'), are included in the list of forward-looking centers, and are ranked according to the syntactic role they play. In (37b.), the wh-pronoun qué ('what') is included in the Cflist. It functions as direct object in the clause, and since there are no other entities, it is ranked highest. In (37c.), the NP 'environmental science' links back to the wh-pronoun and answers the question raised in (37b.): 'environmental science' is what the teacher is doing at the University of South Wales.


[^4]
### 3.4 Relative pronouns

Relative pronouns should be ranked according to the role of the pronoun in the relative clause Subj>obj>etc., for the purpose of computing the Cf list. However, Poesio et al. (to appear) have shown that relative pronouns are not affected by Rule 1 of Centering, i.e. the Cb need not be a pronoun when a non- Cb relative pronoun is present. In (38), the relative pronoun que ('that') realizes the direct object of the relative clause and is thus ranked below the subject.
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'But (I) have not been able to gather the money'
Cf: A (nullpro), plata
Cb : A
Transition: CONTINUE

| que | $\emptyset$ | necesit-o | para | pod -er | hac-er |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| that | nullpro:1SG | need -1s |  | be.able-INF | do -INF |
|  | es -o, that-MASC:SG | pues. </D |  |  |  |
| 'that | need to be abl | do that' |  |  |  |
| Cf: | ullpro), que (= | ta), eso |  |  |  |
| Cb : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tran | n: CONTINUE |  |  |  |  |

3.5 Impersonal pronouns

Impersonal pronouns are included in the list of forward-looking centers, but they are ranked last, as per Turan (1995) and DiEugenio (1998). Turan (1995) argues that both the impersonal null pronoun and the impersonal pronoun insan in Turkish should either rank very low in the Cfhierarchy, or be treated as non-referential expressions and be excluded from the Cf list altogether, since they denote "members of a set whose referential identity is irrelevant" (140-1). Following Turan, DiEugenio (1998:116) proposes that quantified indefinite subjects (qis) and arbitrary plural pronouns (pro/arb) be placed at the end of the Cf-list.
Three different impersonal constructions are found in Spanish: impersonal tú, impersonal third person plural and impersonal se.

### 3.5.1 Impersonal tú

The second person singular can be used impersonally (Butt and Benjamin 1994: 374).

| a. "B"Son, <br> be:3PL:PRES | son <br> be:3PL:PRES | 1 -os <br> the-MASC:PL | tutoriales. </DA> <br> exams |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| '(They) are the exams' |  |  |  |
| Cf: examen |  |  |  |
| Cb: examen |  |  |  |
| Transition: CONTINUE |  |  |  |


| b. $\varnothing$ | Tiene-s | que | present-ar | un-o | cada | año. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| imp.2SG | have-2SG:PRES | that | present -INF | one-MASC:SG | every | year |
| '(You) have to take one every year' |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cf:examen (uno), cada año, imp-tú (nullpro) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cb: examen |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transition: continue |  |  |  |  |  |  |

It is interesting to note that this second person form is often used as an indirect form of reference to the speaker. In Example (39), the speaker is implying that he has to take one exam every year. The tú form might indicate simply that that's the norm, and he is no exception. If we were to consider that the second person form has some reference to the speaker, its ranking in the Cf list would have to change. For the time being, however, we are considering it as a type of impersonal form.

### 3.5.2 Impersonal third person plural

Third person plural can be used impersonally when the speaker does not include him/herself or the hearer in the reference (Butt and Benjamin 1994:374). As above, impersonal third person plurals are included in the list of forward-looking centers, but they are ranked low (arbitrary plural pronouns).

| "A" | Y <br> and | que <br> that | $\emptyset$ nullpro:3pl | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{se} \\ & 3 \mathrm{sg} \end{aligned}$ | lo obj:3sg | d -an! </DA> give- 3pl:pres |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'And it was given to her' |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cf: hermana (se), programa (lo), imp-3pl (nullpro) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cb : hermana |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 3.5.3 Impersonal se

García (1975:24) identifies three impersonal se constructions:

- Impersonal sentence containing an inanimate nominal that is not the logical subject: Se quemó el dulce 'The jam was burnt' / 'Someone burnt the jam';
- Impersonal sentence containing an animate nominal preceded by $a$, in which case no subject is available for se to refer to: Se fusiló a los prisioneros 'The prisoners were shot'/'Someone shot the prisoners';
- Impersonal sentence containing no nominal: Se vive mejor en España 'One lives better in Spain'.

Her analysis is compatible with Turan (1995): impersonal se has low deixis ("the force with which the hearer is instructed to seek the referent of the pronoun"), which means that the antecedent of se is irrelevant (García 1975:65).

According to García, under an impersonal or person-defocussing interpretation of se (vs. a reflexive), se is used to de-focus a human entity (1975:196). For Se quemó el dulce, the focus is on the fact that the jam was burnt, and not on who did the burning. Because the antecedent of se is irrelevant, impersonal se is ranked low in the Cflist.

41 Ya se te oye muy bien. </DA>
already imp.3SG OBJ:2SG hear: 3SG very well
'You already sound very well'
Cf: B (te), imp-se
$\mathrm{Cb}: 0$
3.6 Subjects and predicates of verb to be (ser \& estar)

The verb to be functions as a linking verb, so subjects and predicates (nominal and adjectival) of the verb to be are co-referential and only need to be listed once in the $\mathrm{C} f$ list.
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| a. no, $\quad \varnothing$ 1 <br> no$\quad$ nullpro:2SG OBJ-FEM:SG | conoc-ieras, </DA> <br> know |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'If (you) knew her' |  |  |
| Cf: A (nullpro), maestra (la) |  |  |
| Cb: A |  |  |
| Transition: SMOOTH SHIFT |  |  |


| b.Ø$\quad$ es | un | cuerazo. </DA> |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nullpro:3SG be:3SG:PRES | a:MASC:SG | good.body |
| '(She) has a good body.' |  |  |
| Cf: maestra (nullpro) = cuerazo |  |  |
| Cb: maestra |  |  |
| Transition: SMOOTH SHIFT |  |  |

It is possible to have only a predicate (elliptical subject and predicator) in an utterance. In these cases, since the predicate is co-referential with the elliptical subject of the elliptical predicator, we include the subject in the list of forward-looking centers.


## 4. Unresolved issues

4.1 Speech directed to a third party not in conversation

One issue to be resolved is the treatment of utterances directed to a third party not in conversation.

Following Kameyama (1998:107), the reported unit in (44d.), que más o menos, constitutes an embedded segment, unaccessible to centering. The reporting unit, $\varnothing$ dice, however, is not an embedded segment and is accessible to centering. A Centering analysis shows that the speech directed to a third party must be included in the analysis since it contains the antecedent for the null pronoun.

Analysis including speech directed to a third party


Analysis excluding speech directed to a third party
45 a. i Te lat -e que como quince? </DA> OBJ:2SG beat-3SG:PRES that like fifteen
'Does fifteen (minutes) sound about right?
Cf: B (te), quince minutos
$\mathrm{Cb}: 0$
Transition: NONE

| b. "B" | Pues | no | sé | yo </DA> |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | well | not | know:1SG:PRES | I |

'Well, I don't know.'
Cf: B (yo)
Cb : B
Transition: CONTINUE

| c. // $\emptyset$ | llev | -amos | como | quince | minutos, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| nullpro:1PL | be.talking-1PL:PRES | like | fifteen | minutes | mamá? // </DA> |
| 'Mom, have we been talking for fifteen minutes?' |  |  |  |  |  |


| d. $\emptyset$ | dice | que | más | o | menos </DA> |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nullpro:3SG | say: 3SG:PRES | that | more | or | less |

'(She) says that (we have been talking for about fifteen minutes) more or less.'
Cf: mamá (nullpro)
$\mathrm{Cb}: 0$
Transition: NONE
4.2 Pronouns referring to discourse segments

A second unresolved issues concerns the use of pronouns to refer to discourse segments, and how to deal with it within Centering Theory. The following example illustrates such use of pronouns. In (46c.), the demonstrative eso ('that') refers to the consequences of email use that have been described in the two previous utterances. It is unclear how to list such "entities" as forward-looking centers.
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| c. "A" | Sí, <br> yes | es -o <br> that-MASC:SG | es | be: 3SG:PRES | 1 -o |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| the-MASC:SG | malo, </DA> |  |  |  |  |
| bad-MASC:SG |  |  |  |  |  |

'Yes, that is the bad thing about it'
Cf: [dejas de escribirle a la gente y además no guardas las cartas] (eso)
Cb: 0
Transition: NONE

## Appendix A: Transcription conventions for the ISL corpus

The transcripts include a number of conventions introduced by the transcriber. These include human and non-human noises, as explained below.

| CATEGORY | BRACKET |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| human noises | $/ \ldots /$ | slashes |
| non-human noises | $\# \ldots \#$ | hash marks/pound sign |
| silences | $* \ldots$ * | asterisks |
| mispronunciations | $[\ldots]$ | square brackets (around whole word) |
|  | $(\ldots)$ | parentheses (supply missing part of word or correct <br> pronunciation of word, only inside square brackets) <br> transcriber comments <br> accent |
| \{...\} | curly braces |  |

In addition, transcriber comments include intonation, marked with one of the following at the end of the corresponding section of speech.
\{period $\} \quad$ Falling intonation
\{comma\} Slightly rising intonation, continuation of idea, and not a question \{quest \} Marked rising intonation

These comments do not reflect, or are influenced by, sentence structure. The speaker may have the intonation of a statement whether he or she is, in fact, asking a question. He or she may have the intonation of a period after a collection of words that do not, in any way, resemble a grammatically correct or complete sentence.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Conjuncts are expressions that are joined together by coordinating conjunctions (and/or/but) or subordinating conjunctions (Radford 1997:499-500).
    Adjuncts are expressions that provide additional information about the relevant activity/event, such as location, time and manner in which it took place (Radford 1997:142).

[^2]:    'A gir- a girl'
    Cf: Mónica Martínez (muchacha)
    Cb: Mónica Martínez
    Transition: CONTINUE

[^3]:    ${ }^{2}$ This Cf template is slightly different from that proposed in previous publications (Taboada 2002a, 2002b).

[^4]:    ${ }^{3}$ Contra Walker and Prince's (1996) Complex NP Assumption, which ranks complex NPs (such as an NP with a possessive) in linear order, left-to-right. Since we are considering animacy as a relevant feature, we preferred to follow Di Eugenio's ranking for possessives, and to expand it to other complex NPs.

