Homework #8 • Numerical Analysis II (math 416) • Crank-Nicolson for Diffusion

- due Wednesday 22 November.
- quality of presentation will count heavily.
- A) (2 pages) For the diffusion equation, $u_t = D u_{xx}$, that is periodic on the domain $0 \le x \le 2L$, show that the local truncation error for the Crank-Nicolson scheme is second-order

$$\bar{L}_{\Delta x \Delta t}[u(x_i, t_k)] = O(\Delta x^2) + O(\Delta x \Delta t) + O(\Delta t^2) .$$

- **B)** (2 pages) Present the Von Neumann analysis that shows the above Crank-Nicolson scheme is unconditionally stable. Explain your logic well.
- C) (4 pages) Read carefully, this problem is not as difficult as it may seem. The goal is to implement the Crank-Nicolson algorithm for the diffusion problem

$$u_t = u_{xx}$$
 on $0 \le x \le \pi$, $0 \le t$

with zero initial conditions, and time-dependent Neumann boundary conditions

$$u_x(0,t) = \cos(t)$$
 ; $u_x(\pi,t) = 0$.

Impose the boundary conditions using the ghost point approach, so that

$$u_1^k - u_{-1}^k = 2 \Delta x \cos(t_k)$$
 ; $u_{N+1}^k - u_{N-1}^k = 0$

where these relations should be used to eliminate the ghost values from the discretized equations $(j = 0 \rightarrow N)$.

The implicit vector iteration for $\vec{U}^k = (u_0^k \dots u_N^k)^T$ can be written as the matrix equation

$$[\mathbf{M}(-\lambda)] \; \vec{U}^{k+1} = [\mathbf{M}(\lambda)] \; \vec{U}^k + \frac{1}{2} \left(\vec{f}^{k+1} + \vec{f}^k \right) + (\vec{b}^{k+1} + \vec{b}^k)$$

where $[\mathbf{M}(\lambda)]$ is a tridiagonal matrix that depends on $\lambda = D\Delta t/\Delta x^2$. Be sure to optimize these matrices using the Matlab sparse command. For this problem, the forcing (\vec{f}) is zero, but there is a boundary effect (\vec{b}) .

The pseudocode for this script should roughly follow:

- 1. initialize solution vector
- 2. define sparse M-matrices
- 3. execute timestepping loop: increment time variable calculate \vec{b} -vector sparse inversion for solution update
- 4. graphical output

Remember, your code should be second-order convergent. After a fairly long time $(T \approx 60\pi = 30 \text{ periods of the BC})$, the solution should settle into a time-periodic solution. After this settling has occurred, compare the behaviour of the end values u(0,t) and $u(\pi/2,t)$ over a t interval of 2π – what might this say about judging the temperature inside a room by touching the outside walls?

(For hint: see the class webpage. Also, deciding on good parameter values $(\Delta x, \Delta t)$ may take some collaboration.)