

## Econ 836 Final Exam

1) [4 points] Let

$$Y = X\beta + \varepsilon,$$

$$\varepsilon \sim N(X\Gamma, \sigma^2 I_N),$$

where  $X$  is a just one column. Let  $\hat{\beta}$  denote the OLS estimator, and define residuals  $e$  as

$$e = Y - X\hat{\beta}.$$

Suppose that  $X$  is drawn from a multivariate normal distribution with the same mean for every observation:

$$X \sim N(w, \sigma_X^2 I_N),$$

where  $w$  is an  $N$ -vector.

Suppose finally that there exists a variable  $Z$  satisfying

$$Z = w\delta + u,$$

$$u \sim N(0, \sigma_u^2 I_N)$$

- a) Derive the bias of  $\hat{\beta}$ .
  - b) What is the covariance of  $X$  and  $e$ ?
- 2) Suppose you have an unbiased estimator of a parameter vector. Suppose also you have estimated the parameter vector with a very large sample, and, invoking a central limit theorem, have found the sampling distribution of your estimated parameters to be

$$\hat{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta}_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_1 \end{bmatrix} \sim N\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 9 \end{bmatrix}\right).$$

- a) Test the hypothesis that the two parameters are equal to each other.
  - b) Use a Wald Test Statistic to test the hypothesis that  $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = -1$ . Do you reject the hypothesis?
  - c) Construct a test statistic for the hypothesis that  $\beta_1 = \beta_2$ . Do you reject the hypothesis?
  - d) If the hypothesis in b) is true, then the hypothesis in c) is true. Why are test statistics different?
- 3) Consider the following Stata output, using the data from Assignment 3 (Jacks and Pendakur 2011).

```
. tsset country_num year, yearly
      panel variable:  country_num (strongly balanced)
      time variable:  year, 1870 to 1913
             delta:  1 year
. ***** REGRESSION 1 *****
. regress logaverage freight loggdpsum if (exclude==0 ), robust
```

Linear regression

```
Number of obs =      734
F(  2,    731) =   465.06
Prob > F       =   0.0000
R-squared      =   0.4172
```

Root MSE = .97528

logaverage	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
freight	.4171854	.1657967	2.52	0.012	.0916909	.7426799
loggdpsum	2.591873	.1214102	21.35	0.000	2.353518	2.830227
_cons	-13.39943	2.107877	-6.36	0.000	-17.53764	-9.261212

```
. ***** REGRESSION 2 *****
. xtivreg2 logaverage freight loggdpsum if (exclude==0 ), fe robust
```

## FIXED EFFECTS ESTIMATION

```
Number of groups =      21                Obs per group: min =      12
                                           avg =      35.0
                                           max =      44
```

OLS estimation

Estimates efficient for homoskedasticity only  
Statistics robust to heteroskedasticity

		Number of obs =	734
		F( 2, 711) =	120.63
		Prob > F =	0.0000
Total (centered) SS	=	Centered R2 =	0.3313
Total (uncentered) SS	=	Uncentered R2 =	0.3313
Residual SS	=	Root MSE =	.2514

logaverage	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
freight	.1410819	.0689973	2.04	0.041	.0058497	.276314
loggdpsum	.9399335	.095116	9.88	0.000	.7535095	1.126357

Included instruments: freight logqdpsum

```
. ***** REGRESSION 3 *****
. xtivreg2 logaverage loggdpsum (freight=L1.(log_steam_tonnage log_sail_tonnage
distsailtonnage > diststeamtonnage) L2.(log_steam_tonnage log_sail_tonnage distsailtonnage
diststeamtonnage)
> logwages logcoal logfish distlogwages distlogcoal distlogfish logaversteam logaversail
> distlogaversteam distlogaversail country_mean country_stddev distcountry_mean
> distcountry_stddev) if exclude==0, fe robust
```

## FIXED EFFECTS ESTIMATION

```
Number of groups =      21                Obs per group: min =      12
                                           avg =      33.7
                                           max =      42
```

## IV (2SLS) estimation

Estimates efficient for homoskedasticity only  
Statistics robust to heteroskedasticity

		Number of obs =	708
		F( 2, 685) =	129.74
		Prob > F =	0.0000
Total (centered) SS	=	Centered R2 =	0.3174
Total (uncentered) SS	=	Uncentered R2 =	0.3174
Residual SS	=	Root MSE =	.2354

logaverage	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
freight	.052251	.1104144	0.47	0.636	-.1641572	.2686592
loggdpsum	.7890528	.1242683	6.35	0.000	.5454915	1.032614

---

Instrumented: freight  
Included instruments: loggdpsum  
Excluded instruments: L.log\_steam\_tonnage L.log\_sail\_tonnage L.distsailtonnage  
L.diststeamtonnage L2.log\_steam\_tonnage  
L2.log\_sail\_tonnage L2.distsailtonnage L2.diststeamtonnage  
logwages logcoal logfish distlogwages distlogcoal  
distlogfish logaversteam logaversail distlogaversteam  
distlogaversail country\_mean country\_stddev  
distcountry\_mean distcountry\_stddev

---

- Why is the coefficient on freight in regression 1 (.4171854) so much larger than that in regression 2 (.1410819)?
- Why is the coefficient on freight in regression 2 so much larger than that in regression 3 (.052251)?
- Does the model for regression 2 contain a vector of year dummies? If so, which year is the left-out dummy?
- Why is the coefficient in regression 3 insignificant?
- Why are there fewer observations in regression 3 than in regression 2?
- Regression 2 says "Estimates efficient for homoskedasticity only; Statistics robust to heteroskedasticity". Does this mean we should not trust the estimates if the data are homoskedastic?
- Regression 3 is estimated by 2-stage least squares. Would it be the same if estimated by GMM? Does the skedasticity of the errors matter for this?
- In regression 3, loggdpsum is listed as an included instrument. Does this variable enter the first stage of the 2-stage least squares estimator?
- The instruments include log\_steam\_tonnage log\_sail\_tonnage distsailtonnage diststeamtonnage. These instruments are highly correlated with each other. How does this affect your interpretation of the estimates?