

1 Then the *extremal function* $\text{ex}(n, P)$ of the forbidden pattern P returns the
2 maximal weight of an n by n matrix that avoids P .

3 The question of determining $\text{ex}(n, P)$ originated from the paper of Mitchell [9],
4 which introduced an algorithm that finds the shortest rectilinear path between
5 two points in the plane avoiding rectilinear obstacles. The complexity of this
6 algorithm was hard to estimate, but its upper bound turned out to be the
7 extremal function of certain collection of forbidden patterns, which were later
8 treated by Bienstock and Györi in [1]. Other motivation comes from discrete
9 geometry, as it was shown that some problems in this area can be reduced to
10 the estimation of $\text{ex}(n, P)$ for appropriate pattern P , see e.g. [4], [10].

11 The problem raised by Hajnal and Füredi in [5] is to characterize all patterns
12 with linear extremal function. Several considerable steps in this direction have
13 been already made. The complete characterization of the forbidden patterns up
14 to four 1 entries according to the asymptotic growth of their extremal function
15 was done by Hajnal and Füredi in [5], and by Tardos in [12]. Tardos and
16 Marcus in [8] proved a linear bound on $\text{ex}(n, P)$ if P is a permutation matrix
17 (permutation matrix has in each row and each column exactly one 1 entry)
18 and thereby solved the open problem from [5]. Recently, based on the result of
19 Klazar and Valtr in [7], Keszegh in his master's thesis [6] introduced a new type
20 of reduction that preserves linearity. In connection with the characterization
21 of all linear patterns Tardos asked in [12], whether L_1 (Figure 1) is a minimal
22 (with respect to the number of 1 entries) pattern with non-linear extremal
23 function. This note gives negative answer to that question and also rules out
24 L_2 as a next natural candidate for a non-linear pattern.

25 In what follows we present some additional terminology. Let $G(V, E)$ denote an
26 undirected graph with the set of vertices V and a set of edges E . The *visibility*
27 *representation* of the graph G in the Euclidean plane \mathbb{R}^2 is constructed by
28 mapping each vertex $u \in V$ to the horizontal line segment h_u and each edge
29 $(u, w) \in E$ to the vertical line segment v_{uw} that joins horizontal segments
30 h_u and h_w . Moreover, the horizontal line segments are pairwise disjoint and
31 the vertical segments are not allowed to meet horizontal segments besides two
32 segments they join. G admits a visibility representation if and only if G is
33 planar, see [11,3]. A planar embedding of G could be obtained from visibility
34 representation by contracting each horizontal segment into a single point.

35 **2 Results**

36 In this section we present bounds on the maximal number of the 1 entries in
37 the matrix that avoids the pattern L_1 and in the matrix that avoids pattern
38 L_2 (Figure 1). First, we prove a simple lower bound on $\text{ex}(n, P)$ that depends

$$L_1 = \begin{pmatrix} & \bullet & \bullet & \\ \bullet & & & \bullet \\ & \bullet & & \end{pmatrix} \quad L_2 = \begin{pmatrix} & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \\ \bullet & & & & \bullet \\ & & \bullet & & \end{pmatrix}$$

Fig. 1. **Patterns L_1 and L_2**

1 only on the size of the matrix P .

2 **Proposition 1** *If $P = (p_{ij})$ is a k by l not-all-zero matrix then $\text{ex}(n, P) \geq$*
 3 *$n(k + l - 2) - (k - 1)(l - 1)$.*

4 **Proof.** We give the construction of a n by n matrix A that avoids pattern P
 5 with exactly $n(k + l - 2) - (k - 1)(l - 1)$ 1 entries. Let $p_{\nu k'}$ be some 1 entry
 6 in P . Note that P is not all zeros matrix. Then A contains $(k - 1)n$ 1 entries
 7 in the first $(k' - 1)$ columns and in the last $(k - k')$ columns (i.e. there are no
 8 0 entries in these columns), and additional $(n - k + 1)(l - 1)$ 1 entries in the
 9 first $(l' - 1)$ rows and the last $(l - l')$ rows (i.e. there are no 0 entries in these
 10 rows). \square

11 **Theorem 2** $5n - 6 \leq \text{ex}(n, L_1) \leq 7n - 13$

12 **Proof.** Let $A = (a_{ij})$ denote the n by n matrix that avoids L_1 . Let $A' = (a'_{ij})$
 13 denote the matrix which we obtain from A , if we delete (i.e. replace by 0
 14 entries) all leftmost and rightmost 1 entries in each row. In what follows we
 15 construct a visibility representation $\text{VR}(G)$ of a graph $G(V, E)$, whose vertices
 16 correspond to non-empty rows (rows containing at least one 1 entry) of A' and
 17 edges correspond to certain 1 entries in A' . We identify the element a'_{ij} in A'
 18 with the point $(j, -i)$ in \mathbb{R}^2 . The minus sign before i was introduced to preserve
 19 the first row of the matrix on the top.

20 We represent the i th row, that some vertex of G corresponds to, with the
 21 horizontal line segment h_i connecting the first and the last 1 entry of A' in
 22 that row. If i th row contains only one 1 entry, h_i consists only of one point.

23 We represent an 1 entry in A' , that is neither the bottommost nor the second
 24 bottommost one in its column, with a vertical line segment starting at this
 25 entry and extending downwards until it hits the next horizontal line segment.

26 So, for each 1 entry a'_{ij} in A' that is neither the bottommost nor the second
 27 bottommost 1 entry in its column we join the vertex u by the edge e with the
 28 vertex v that corresponds to the i' th row, which is the row of A' below i th
 29 row with the minimal index such that its leftmost and rightmost 1 entries in
 30 the j_l th and j_r th column satisfy $j_l \leq j \leq j_r$. We have the following simple
 31 observation about G .

1 **Observation 3** *If G has multiple edges then A contains L_1 .*

2 **Proof.** Let u and v denote two vertices $u, v \in V(G)$ such that the i' th row
3 corresponding to v is below the i th row that corresponds to u and such that
4 they are joined by at least two edges $e, f \in E(G)$. We can assume that the
5 1 entries $a'_{ij}, a'_{ij'}$ that correspond to e, f satisfy $j < j'$. Then the submatrix
6 B of A that represents L_1 in A consists of the i th, i' th row and the row that
7 contains the bottommost 1 entry in the j th column. The columns of B are
8 those, which contain leftmost and rightmost 1 entries in the i' th row (deleted
9 in A'), and the j th and j' th columns of A . \square

10 From Observation 3, we know that G cannot contain multiple edges, as oth-
11 erwise A would contain L_1 .

12 So, G is the simple planar graph with at most $n-1$ vertices (the last row cannot
13 correspond to any vertex) and there is one-one correspondence between the
14 edges in G and the 1 entries in A' except for at most $2(n-2)$ entries (the
15 first and the last columns are empty). So, we can conclude that the number
16 of the 1 entries in A' is at most $5n-13$, as $3n-9$ is the maximum number
17 of edges in a simple planar graph on $n-1$ vertices, see for instance [2]. Thus
18 the number of the 1 entries in A is at most $7n-13$.

19 The lower bound follows from Proposition 1. \square

20 It turns out that our method still works for the pattern L_2 (Figure 1) obtained
21 from L_1 by adding the 1 entry to the first row. Now, we only need to argue that
22 the construction from the proof of Theorem 2 gives us a planar graph with the
23 multiplicity of edges at most two when the matrix A avoids L_2 . Indeed, if we
24 obtained some edge with multiplicity at least three, similar argument as in the
25 proof of Theorem 2 would lead to the claim that A contains forbidden pattern
26 L_2 . The maximal number of edges in a planar graph with $n-1$ vertices and
27 with the multiplicity of edges at most two is $6n-18$. That gives us an upper
28 bound $10n-22$ on $\text{ex}(n, L_2)$. For the lower bound we use Proposition 1. We
29 have just proven the following theorem.

30 **Theorem 4** $6n-8 \leq \text{ex}(n, L_2) \leq 10n-22$

31 **3 Conclusions**

32 It is easy to see that the presented approach can be applied to any pattern
33 we obtain from L_2 by adding any number of 1 entries in the first row between
34 existing 1 entries. Just consider a planar graph with the greater multiplicity of

$$L_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & & \bullet & & \\ & \bullet & \bullet & & \\ & & & \bullet & \end{pmatrix} \quad L_4 = \begin{pmatrix} & \bullet & & \bullet & \\ \bullet & & & & \bullet \\ & & \bullet & \bullet & \end{pmatrix}$$

Fig. 2. Patterns L_3 and L_4

$$L_5 = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & & & & \\ & & & \bullet & \\ & & & & \bullet \\ & \bullet & \bullet & & \end{pmatrix}$$

Fig. 3. Pattern L_5

edges. This bound also follows from the stated results through the reduction in [12].

Nevertheless, it would be interesting to figure out whether our method (with some modifications) can be applied to some other forbidden patterns. We propose two candidates L_3 and L_4 (Figure 2). Moreover, the linearity of $ex(n, L_3)$ using the reduction from [5] would give us a linear bound on $ex(n, L_5)$ and thereby solve an open problem that was asked in [6]. Note that the extremal functions of all mentioned patterns are in $O(n\alpha(n))$, where by $\alpha(n)$ we mean the inverse of the Ackerman function. That can be obtained using the upper bound on the maximal length of generalized Davenport-Schinzel sequences from [7], see e.g. [6].

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank his advisor Gábor Tardos for directing this research and for his help with the preparation of this article.

References

- [1] D. Bienstock, E. Győri, An extremal problem on sparse 0-1 matrices, SIAM Journal of Discrete Mathematics 4 (1991) 17–27.
- [2] R. Diestel, Graph Theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 173, 3rd ed., Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2005.

- 1 [3] P. Duchet, Y. O. Hamidoune, M. L. Vergnas, H. Meyniel, Representing a planar
2 graph by vertical lines joining difference levels, *Discrete Mathematics* 46 (1983)
3 319–321.
- 4 [4] Z. Füredi, The maximum number of unit distances in a convex n -gon, *Journal*
5 *of Combinatorial Theory A* 55 (1990) 316–320.
- 6 [5] Z. Füredi, P. Hajnal, Davenport-Schinzel theory of matrices, *Discrete*
7 *Mathematics* 103 (1992) 233–251.
- 8 [6] B. Keszegh, Forbidden submatrices in 0-1 matrices, Master’s thesis, Eötvös
9 Loránd University (2005).
- 10 [7] M. Klazar, P. Valtr, Generalized Davenport-Schinzel sequences, *Combinatorica*
11 14 (1994) 463–476.
- 12 [8] A. Marcus, G. Tardos, Excluded permutation matrices and the Stanley-Wilf
13 conjecture, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory A* 107 (2004) 153–160.
- 14 [9] J. Mitchell, Shortest rectilinear paths among obstacles, Technical Report No.
15 739, Tech. rep., Department of Operations Research and Industrial Engineering,
16 Cornell University, Ithaca, New York (April 1987).
- 17 [10] J. Pach, G. Tardos, Forbidden patterns and unit distances, in: *Proceedings of*
18 *the 21th Annual Symposium on Computational Geometry (SoCG 2005)*, 2005.
- 19 [11] R. Tamassia, I. G. Tollis, A unified approach to visibility representations of
20 planar graphs, *Discrete & Computational Geometry* 1 (1986) 321–341.
- 21 [12] G. Tardos, On 0-1 matrices and small excluded submatrices, *Journal of*
22 *Combinatorial Theory A* 111 (2005) 266–288.