

MINUTES OF MEETING OF SENATE OF SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY
HELD MONDAY, JANUARY 6, 1969, FACULTY LOUNGE, 7:30 P.M.

OPEN SESSION

Present:

Strand, K.T.

Chairman

Boland, L.A.
Branca, A.E.
Burstein, A.R.
Collins, M.
Dampier, J.L.
D'Aoust, B.
Funt, B.L.
Haering, R.R.
Harper, R.J.C.
Hutchinson, J.F.
Korbin, D.
MacKinnon, A.R.
Prock, L.
Rieckhoff, K.
Sperling, G.V.
Shrum, G.M.
Stratton, S.
Sullivan, D.
Tuck, D.G.
Walkley, J.
Wong, S.
Williams, W.E.

OKUDA K

Evans, H.M.
Smedley, J.

Secretary
Recording Secretary

Absent:

Baird, D.A.
Baker, R.J.
Ellis, A.J.
Gibson, E.M.
Hamilton, W.M.
Hean, A.F.C.
Koerner, Mrs. Otto
Lett, Mrs. Sherwood
McLean, C.H.
~~Okuda, K.~~
Perry, G.N.
Vidaver, W.

Mrs. Lett expressed regrets that she was not able to attend.

SM 6/1/69 *Senae Procure*
Quano Director

1. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Attention was drawn to the new Agenda format which provides for report by the Chairman of Senate, reports of Committees, and reports of Faculties. The Chairman noted that the Agenda had been structured by him and the Acting Academic Vice-President, and R. Haering commented that he had noted that routine business of Senate seemed to be interrupted by motions from the floor and that the intent of the new format was to ensure the handling of routine business but to still provide opportunity for Notices of Motion to be dealt with under a later item on the Agenda.

Comment was made by a number of members that items which had been submitted through Notice of Motion or with Request for Inclusion on Agenda had not always been so included and clarification was requested. The Chairman indicated that he would comment further on these matters when making his report later on the Agenda.

Question was raised as to whether or not Motions from the floor could be accepted or whether Notice of Motion would be required. It was noted that motions from the floor could indeed be entertained on pertinent items under discussion and that such motions might be dealt with immediately or that Senate might wish to defer a motion to a later point on the Agenda.

K. Rieckhoff observed that Senate had approved the order of business at its meeting on April 4, 1966.

Moved by K. Rieckhoff, seconded by L. Dampier,

"that the Agenda be approved".

MOTION CARRIED

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- (a) Minutes of Open Session December 2, 1968 - continued through December 9, 1968.

S. Foulds had submitted written request that the minutes of December 2, page 8, line 23, be amended to read as follows, "D. Sullivan noted that this was not a student committee but a Senate committee. Foulds agreed that this was indeed not a student committee but insofar as Senate had delegated the responsibility to the Simon Fraser Student Society to find a student representative that the President of the Student's Council was a bonafide student representative. In view of the fact that Senate has formally recognized (Sept.-Oct., 1965) the President of the Student Council as representative of the student body and that under the Simon Fraser Student Society constitution it takes two weeks for an election to take place, Foulds said that he was disappointed that the Dean should question the validity of Mr. Walsh's position in that he took responsibility for this position in order to expedite the urgent business which was before the Senate Admissions and Standings Appeals Committee."

As there were no further changes the minutes were ruled approved with amendment as noted.

SM 6/1/69

Calendar Changes
Deferred Grades
Method of Appointment
Faculty Council

(b) Minutes of Open Session - December 9, 1968 - Calendar Changes

The name of W. Hamilton is to be included in the list of those present.

D. Sullivan indicated the necessity of a change on page 10 of the minutes, paragraph 6, in that the previous question had been put but there was not indication of the results of the vote on the question. The Secretary was instructed to make the necessary adjustments.

As there were no further changes the minutes of December 9, 1968, (Calendar meeting) with change as noted were declared approved.

3. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

(a) From Minutes of December 2-9, 1968

B. D'Aoust noted that further data on deferred grades was to be provided and the Secretary indicated that a paper prepared by D. Meyers would be made available to Senate at the next meeting.

(b) From Minutes of December 9, 1968

B. Funt drew attention to his Notice of Motion, "that in future Senate adjourn on the day the Senate meeting commences", and the Chairman indicated this would be considered at a later point in the Agenda.

4. REPORT BY THE CHAIRMAN

(a) Interim Council Recommendations, Paper S-184

The Chairman indicated that in compliance with the request of L. Boland, Acting Chairman of Joint Faculty, he had forwarded items DI - DXII inclusive of the Joint Faculty Referendum on the report of Interim Council to the Senate Committee on the Method of Appointment, Tenure, and Functions of Deans and Heads of Departments.

(b) Faculty Council

In accordance with report made to Senate the Chairman convened a meeting on Friday, December 20, 1968, of the outgoing and incoming members of Faculty Council in order that the incoming members would be apprised of past policies of Faculty Council. The history of Faculty Council attempts to formulate policy were reviewed and it was agreed, (1) that a historical survey would be prepared, and (2) that the first item before the incoming Faculty Council would be the preparation of a policy statement. No other action was then taken.

SM 6/1/69

Appeals Committee
Agenda
Senate Procedures

(c) Appeals Committee

The Chairman reported that the Appeals Committee had requested that he name a Faculty Senator as an alternate on the committee as the present structure would prevent operation of the Committee through lack of quorum if any one of the members were absent. He reported that the students had provided an alternate and in view of the circumstances he had named L. Boland as an alternate Faculty Senator.

Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by J. Walkley,

"that L. Boland be named the Alternate Faculty Senator for the Appeals Committee".

MOTION CARRIED

(d) Senate Agenda Committee

The Chairman made reference to earlier comments, noted that working in conjunction with the Academic Vice-President he had attempted to move to a fixed Agenda and that the Academic Vice-President had already commented on the intent to ensure that routine business of Senate was disposed of as expeditiously as possible. The Chairman further commented that the Agenda Committee procedure had not functioned as well as he had hoped and that in his view it was desirable that a number of procedural questions be immediately reviewed and that some committee of Senate go through the past records of Senate to identify procedures and bring forward recommendations which would expedite the business of Senate. He suggested that the Senate Agenda Committee or some other committee of Senate be assigned this task.

Discussion followed with concurrence that procedures required review, general concurrence that the Agenda Committee operation was not fully successful, and that steps be undertaken for a review of procedures immediately.

Informal vote was taken on the suggestion that the Senate Agenda Committee be assigned the task and the suggestion was defeated.

Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

"that Senate appoint a committee of three to review the various procedures of Senate and bring forward recommendations to Senate and include appropriate codification of procedures".

MOTION CARRIED

Informal vote was then taken on the suggestion that the Committee be appointed at the current meeting of Senate and the suggestion carried.

Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by J. Walkley,

"that Senate suspend its rules on nominating and election".

MOTION CARRIED

17 in favour

3 opposed

SM 6/1/69

*Election
Agenda
Senate Procedure*

Nominations were declared open and the following were nominated:

D. Sullivan, K. Rieckhoff, S. Wong, A. MacKinnon, L. Boland, J. Walkley, E. Gibson. Ballot vote was then undertaken and A. MacKinnon, K. Rieckhoff, D. Sullivan, were declared elected with K. Rieckhoff to convene the first meeting of the Committee.

K. Rieckhoff stated that if any Senators wished to submit recommendations for the Committee they should be made directly to him, in writing with reasons.

K. Burstein stated that when approval of the current Agenda was under discussion indication had been given that further comment would be made under Item 4 of the Agenda and he again wished to inquire as to the function of the Senate Agenda Committee, with reference to comments that had been made previously concerning difficulty of getting items through the Agenda Committee on to the Agenda. The Chairman responded that the function of the Agenda Committee was to advise him on the preparation of the Agenda with recommendations on items to be included on any given Agenda and that he proposed to continue to have the Agenda Committee so advise him. He noted that the standing procedures of Senate called for the distribution of the Agenda and supporting papers to members of Senate ten days in advance of the Senate meeting but that for a number of reasons it had not been possible to enforce such procedures during the Fall Semester but that he intended to enforce these procedures until new procedures might be brought forward by the Committee just elected. K. Burstein requested that the Senate Agenda Committee publish its criteria for selecting papers and items for the Agenda or that alternatively all items submitted appear on the Agenda. S. Wong suggested that the members of the Senate Agenda Committee would be willing to prepare such a statement for the guidance of Senate members in submitting items for the Agenda.

J. Hutchinson requested further consideration of paper S-184 and the Joint Faculty Referendum on the report of Interim Council of Joint Faculty noting that disposition had been made only of items DI - DXII to a Senate Committee but that disposal had not been undertaken of other items.

Moved by J. Hutchinson, seconded by K. Burstein,

"that Senate proceed through paper S-184, disposing of the items ad seriatim".

Considerable debate ensued and the Chairman was requested to give assurance that disposal of the items to appropriate bodies would be undertaken within 48 hours. The Chairman indicated that he could not give assurance of disposal within that time period.

Debate continued with disagreement as to whether the items could be adequately considered and disposed of immediately.

Following lengthy discussion the mover and seconder withdrew the motion on the understanding that the item would be considered later on the Agenda.

MOTION WITHDRAWN

SM 6/1/69 Graduate Studies
Calendar Change

Question was raised as to whether or not there would be a meeting of Senate on January 20, 1969, and following discussion it was agreed that this item would be considered later in the Agenda.

5. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

(a) Senate Committee on Graduate Studies - including Graduate Calendar - paper S-189

R. Haering reported that the Senate Committee on Graduate Studies met on December 19, 1968, and drew attention to paper S-189 with supporting documents.

(i) Moved by R. Haering, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

"that Senate accept a change in terms of the elected members of the Senate Committee on Graduate Studies from one year to two years".

On this basis the elected, R. Rogow, N. Robinson and L.M. Srivastava, would have terms of office extending to September 30, 1970.

MOTION CARRIED

(ii) Executive Committee of the Senate Committee on Graduate Studies: attention was drawn to item 2 of paper S-189 concerning the establishment of an Executive Committee of the Senate Committee on Graduate Studies. This was presented for information only.

(iii) Graduate Calendar (precis of major changes provided). Attention was drawn to paper S-189 with supporting documents. R. Haering indicated that the department of Philosophy was withdrawing its submission on graduate studies. He made reference also to changes in Physics courses at the graduate level commenting on changes in course numbering.

Moved by R. Haering, seconded by J. Hutchinson,

"that Senate approve the Graduate Calendar changes noted, subject to any further changes which may be approved at a later meeting".

K. Okuda inquired concerning the graduate program in Mathematics and L. Funt, Dean of Science, provided data.

MOTION CARRIED

(b) Senate Nominating Committee

SM 6/1/69 - *Elections*
Nominating Committee
Library Etc.
Appeals
Undergraduate Admissions

It was noted that election was required for a number of Senate Committees and the Senate Nominating Committee was prepared to present nominations.

Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

"that Senate suspend the rules concerning nominations and election".

MOTION CARRIED

17 in favour

1 opposed

D. Korbin requested that his negative vote be recorded.

(i) Senate Library Committee

The Nominating Committee put forth the names of S. Stratton and S. Wong and as there were no further nominations S. Stratton and S. Wong were declared elected to replace B. D'Aoust and S. Foulds respectively.

(ii) Senate Nominating Committee

The Nominating Committee put forth the names of K. Okuda and K. Rieckhoff. The name of G. Sperling was added by nomination from the floor.

Following balloting, K. Okuda was declared elected.

(iii) Appeals and Standings Consultative Committee

Three members are required and the nominating committee put forth the names of C.L. Hamilton, G. Rheumer, L.H. Palmer, J. Borden, A.G. Sherwood, M. McLaren. The names of M. Bentson, J. Zaslove, and J. Mills were added from the floor.

Following balloting J. Borden, C.L. Hamilton, and L. Hunt Palmer were declared elected.

(c) Senate Undergraduate Admissions and Standings Committee - Paper S-188

Attention was drawn to Paper S-188 submitted on behalf of the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Admissions and Standings.

Discussion was undertaken on Item 1 and S. Wong questioned the item on his understanding that a student is permitted to use the provisions of the Calendar which is to his greatest advantage and the Registrar was asked to comment. H. Evans indicated that there were two aspects of the problem and that in terms of fulfilling requirement for graduation the student is permitted to have the benefit of the Calendar regulations which are to his advantage but in terms of decisions on admissions the policy which is followed by the Committee is that applicable at the time of admission. W. Williams commented that the Appeals Committee follows the same practice as student admitted some semesters ago usually were under less stringent admission requirements and this was advantageous and more logical. Discussion continued with

SM 6/1/69 Undergrad Admissions -
Appeals -
Calendar Change

a number of members speaking in support of Item 1 and some speaking against.

Comment was made that the Appeals Committee does not make policies but that its function is to ensure that existing policies were properly and equitably applied. A number of members supported this view but some were opposed. Discussion continued with some consideration of Item 2 and continued debate on Item 1.

Moved by D. Tuck, seconded by K. Okuda,

"that paper S-188 be tabled".

MOTION CARRIED

15 in favour

5 against

Further discussion followed.

Moved by R. Harper, seconded by K. Burstein,

"that paper S-188 be referred back to the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Admissions and Standings and that that Committee and the Appeals Committee meet and review the paper with any necessary revisions for resubmission to Senate".

MOTION CARRIED

6. REPORTS OF FACULTIES

(a) Undergraduate Calendar

(i) Arts

- (a) Credit requirements for the B.A. Degree - paper S-186
Attention was drawn to paper S-186.

Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by K. Okuda,

"that paper S-186 be accepted and implemented".

Debate was undertaken and G. Sperling requested that his question be recorded as to when the referendum was taken, whether or not the matter was brought up at a Faculty of Arts meeting, and whether it went through the Faculty of Arts. Further debate was undertaken and the questions were ruled out of order. The ruling of the Chair was challenged. Vote on the ruling was undertaken and the Chair was upheld.

Vote on the main motion was then undertaken.

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

G. Sperling requested that his negative vote be recorded.

SM 6/1/69

Calendar change
Notice of Motion

It was noted that the limitation of course load on Honors students had been included in the Calendar changes for 1969/70 but that the current approval would introduce the limitation on course load effective with the Spring Semester 1969 and all following semesters.

- (b) The Dean of the Faculty of Arts reported on a number of items which had been considered at the Calendar meeting on December 9, 1968. In Economics and Commerce a review of courses with inter-relationship in Mathematics courses was being undertaken. English 300 was renumbered to become English 250-3 and with expectation that it would be offered in the Fall Semester, 69-3. Further consideration was being undertaken by the Department of Psychology; the Department of Modern Languages was completely reviewing its programs with expectation of a submission by February 1, 1969.
- (ii) Education - no further report was submitted.
- (iii) Science - R. Haering drew attention to paper S-185 concerning Mathematics undergraduate calendar submissions and commented that there was minimum expansion in the program except in the area of pure mathematics at the 400 level. L. Funt, Dean of Science, expressed the belief that the programs as outlined were fully justified. D. Sullivan referred to the minutes of December 9, 1968, and noted that documentation was to be provided. L. Funt referred to documentation which had been prepared within the Faculty of Science and Mathematics Department for release to Senate but the documentation had not reached Senate members. Debate continued.

Moved by D. Tuck, seconded by J. Walkley,

"that the Mathematics Undergraduate Calendar submission be approved".

D. Sullivan requested that the supporting papers to which L. Funt had made reference become a part of the submission and be distributed to Senate.

MOTION CARRIED

7. OTHER BUSINESS

(a) Notices of Motion received from individuals

Opportunity was given for Notices of Motion to be presented. A Notice of Motion from K. Rieckhoff, D. Tuck, was withdrawn without presentation to the membership.

A Notice of Motion which had been presented earlier at Senate by K. Burstein was distributed.

SM 6/1/69

*Senate Procedures
Student Groups
Joint Senate Board*

Moved by K. Burstein, seconded by J. Walkley,

"that Senate establish a Committee to make recommendations on a procedure for the approval of regulations and policies having university-wide application, with special attention to the relative roles, in this procedure, of Senate and Joint Faculty".

Debate was undertaken.

Moved by S. Wong, seconded by W. Williams,

"that this matter be postponed until a paper is prepared by the mover".

Further debate was undertaken and it was noted that the Committee elected to consider Senate Procedures could give consideration to this matter. K. Burstein and J. Walkley withdrew the motion.

MOTION WITHDRAWN

(b) Date of Next Meeting

Consideration was given the date of the next meeting and D. Sullivan indicated that following earlier discussions it had been anticipated a meeting would be held on January 20, 1969. A number of graduands in the Faculty of Arts whose records had not been presented because of technical difficulties required approval of Senate. Consideration was given methods whereby these cases could be considered by Senate without formal meeting and it was agreed that the names of individuals, together with the degree for which recommendation was being made by the Faculty of Arts would be circulated to members of Senate by mail for approval, following the usual checking in the Office of the Registrar, and the results later formally reported to Senate.

Moved by G. Sperling, seconded by R. Harper,

"that the next regular meeting of Senate be held on Monday, February 3."

MOTION CARRIED

(c) Other Items

(i) Referendum of Joint Faculty - Interim Council Report of Joint Faculty - Paper S-184

Moved by J. Hutchinson, seconded by L. Boland,

"that Senate dispose of paper S-184 as follows:-
Items A-3, A-6, be referred for comment to the Committee on Student Representation on Senate;
Item A-7 be referred for comment to the Committee on Procedures;
Items A-2, A-5, B-1, C-1 - C-7 inclusive be referred to the Ad Hoc Committee on Senate Board Working Relations".

Debate was undertaken.

SM 6/1/64

*Joint Senate Board
Student Groups
Student Officers
Election*

Motion was made by D. Korbin, seconded by S. Wong, that the Committee should take into consideration the Student Implementation Report but it was noted that the report had not yet been accepted by the Student Society and the suggestion was ruled out of order.

Debate continued with members speaking for and against the motion.

Vote was then undertaken.

MOTION CARRIED

17 in favour

3 opposed

(ii) Joint Senate Board Working Relations Committee

It was noted that a number of members of the Committee were off campus and it was agreed that replacements would be required. The three members of the Board on the Committee are A. Eyre, A. Hean and R. Lester; the three members of Senate were M. Bawtree, R. Baker and L. Funt. Replacements for M. Bawtree and R. Baker were required.

Moved by K. Rieckhoff, seconded by D. Sullivan,

"that Senate suspend its rules on nominations and elections".

MOTION CARRIED

Nominations from the floor were received on behalf of the following: R. Haering, J. Walkley, R. Harper, G. Sperling, S. Wong, L. Boland, E. Gibson, J. Hutchinson. Following balloting R. Haering and J. Hutchinson were declared elected. L. Funt continues to sit on the Committee from Senate.

- (iii) S. Wong wished to draw the attention of the Chairman and the Registrar to the necessity of arranging for election of Chancellor, the election to fill the term of office of S. Foulds who had resigned, and referred to reports concerning actions being undertaken to attempt to continue with placement of a telescope on Mount Kobau.

The Chairman indicated that arrangements for calling of nominations and for election of Chancellor were in hand and that he would write a letter to Dean Okulitch concerning the telescope.

H. Evans indicated that in terms of the election for replacement of S. Foulds that a nominating period of approximately 15 days would be provided, and a voting period of approximately 15 days. He further indicated that advertisement would appear in The Peak and notices would be posted on campus. He requested approval of Senate for these procedures and Senate so approved subject to

SM 6/1/69

Joint Senate Board
Election

concurrence of R. Walsh, President of the Student Society.

(d) Confidential Matters

Recess was commenced at 11:00 P.M. prior to entering Closed Session.

H. M. Evans
Secretary