

June 19, 1969

minutes of the Senate Ad Hoc Committee on the Separation of Archaeology

FINAL REPORT OF THE SENATE AD HOC COMMITTEE ON THE
SEPARATION OF ARCHAEOLOGY

At the meeting on February 3, 1969, Senate approved that Archaeology Studies should be administratively separate from the Department of Political Science, Sociology and Anthropology. The acceptance of administrative separation in principle comprised the following:

1. That Archaeology Studies would be an administrative subdivision of the Office of the Dean of Arts, and
2. That in the administrative separation of Archaeology Studies from P.S.A., the separation carried no commitment for increased support of Archaeology Studies from the level already established in the Faculty of Arts, with respect to curricular, budgetary, or personnel matters.

The above principles were adhered to in the deliberations of the Senate Ad Hoc Committee, which was established under the following motion approved at the open session of Senate on February 3, 1969:

"that Senate form an Ad Hoc Committee comprising the Dean of the Faculty of Arts, the Bursar, the Academic Vice-President, and one other Faculty member on Senate whose responsibility will be to see that an equitable and timely separation of Archaeology Studies from P.S.A. is implemented."

Senate instructed the Ad Hoc Committee to report after the separation had been implemented. What follows, then, is a summary of the implementation of the Archaeology separation from the P.S.A. Department as made by the Senate Ad Hoc Committee.

The members of the Committee were: K. Okuda, elected as the Faculty member on Senate; D. H. Sullivan; D. H. M. Ross; and R. R. Haering (after May 31, L. M. Srivastava).

Prior to the establishment of the Senate Ad Hoc Committee, the P.S.A. Department, on January 14, 1969, approved the following:

"that the department strike a committee composed of Carlson, Hobler and two other members of the department with the chairman as an ex officio member to discuss with Dean Sullivan the meaning and implications of (archaeology) separation with reference to curriculum, budget, and personnel."

The Dean and the P.S.A. Committee first established the areas of agreement on separation between P.S.A. and Archaeology. It was agreed that any contentious items, if unresolvable by the P.S.A. Committee and the Dean, would be brought before the Senate Ad Hoc Committee for resolution.

A. Following are the matters on which all parties agreed:

- I. Budget
 - that Archaeology could be credited with all funds which were specifically for their work: e.g., equipment, lab. materials, lab. assistants, field expenses.
 - that Archaeology would get its proportionate share (2 faculty members in a department of 27 = 1/13.5) of all other line items, where applicable.
 - Both parties agreed on the separation of the budget.
2. Curriculum- that P.S.A. undergraduate courses as follows would be given by Archaeology and the change of prefix designated in the University Calendar: PSA 272-3; 273-3; 372-5; 472-5; 473-5; and specially designated sections of 433, 434, 435. (i.e., PSA 272-3 becomes Archaeology Studies 272-3)
 - that graduate course PSA 896 would be administered by Archaeology Studies and so designated.

B. The points of disagreement were as follows:

- I. Faculty
 - that in terms of existing commitments Archaeology should or should not be authorized to hire a faculty member in addition to the two presently teaching that subject.

Meeting April 10, 1968
Archaeology

2. Curriculum - that Honors students and graduate students already admitted to, or wishing to enter the P.S.A. Department could fulfill certain degree requirements in Archaeology Studies as part of the degree programs in P.S.A.
- that graduate courses (or sections of the courses) as follows should be or should not be administered by Archaeology Studies: (PSA) 873; 881; 882; 883; 891; 892; 897; 898.
 - that undergraduate courses (or sections of the courses) as follows should or should not be given by Archaeology Studies: (PSA) 373; 376; 493; 499.

C. The Senate Ad Hoc Committee on Archaeology Separation accepted the points of agreement. (under A.1 and 2) and decided the points of contention as summarized below:

1. Faculty - that Archaeology would not be authorized to hire an additional faculty member at this time.
2. Curriculum - that the courses that follow be administered and taught by Archaeology Studies and so designated: PSA 272; 273; 372; 472; 473; 881; 882; 896; and specially designated sections of 433; 434; 435, subject to the following:

That Archaeology Studies is not at present a degree-recommending agency (i.e., students who take Archaeology Studies are working in degree programs offered by other departments).

That students working toward the B.A. or B.A. Honors in P.S.A. may continue to take the Anthropology/Archaeology option (as has been the practice) in the P.S.A. undergraduate program.

That the P.S.A. Department will continue to allow qualified graduate degree candidates (who wish to do so) to take specific

*Meeting of Sept 10
Archaeology*

courses at the graduate level in Archaeology and will allow graduate students to write the graduate thesis in Archaeology Studies, providing that both the P.S.A. Department and the Archaeologists concur on a candidate's acceptability (that is, neither party may as it were assign students without the consent of the other party); also providing that in the cases where a student wishes to pursue Archaeology Studies for the graduate degree, that the supervising committee be partly comprised of Archaeologists.

That majors and honors students in P.S.A. be allowed to take Honors Reading or Honors Thesis in Archaeology providing that they meet the full departmental requirements for an honors or majors degree, and that in such cases that the Archaeologists be allowed to teach special sections (as in the case of 433, 434, and 435) of Honors Reading or Honors Thesis with the notation "Archaeology section" attached to its description in the list of course offerings.

The above items comprise the administrative separation of Archaeology Studies from the P.S.A. Department, and as such define the administrative sub-division of the Office of the Dean of Arts entitled "Archaeology Studies".

It should be clearly pointed out that in the above arrangement students in the various departments of the University may enrol in the Archaeology Studies courses as electives and that students in the P.S.A. Department may take Archaeology Studies courses as part of the major or honors program (as part of their study generally or specifically in conjunction with their study in Anthropology). By the same respect, graduate students admitted to P.S.A. with an interest in Anthropology are also provided with the option of taking specialized graduate courses in Archaeology Studies as part of their degree program.

Since Archaeology Studies is a responsibility of the Office of the Dean of Arts, all administrative, budgetary, curricular or other proposals from Archaeology Studies must first be approved by the Office of the Dean before action by subsequent agencies within the University.

In accordance with the above, Archaeology Studies is preparing a submission to the Senate for the University Calendar under the title "Archaeology Studies".

D. H. Sullivan
D. H. Sullivan
for the Committee