

DRAFT UNTIL APPROVED BY SENATE

MINUTES OF MEETING OF SENATE OF SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY
HELD MONDAY, DECEMBER 7, 1970, EAST CONCOURSE CAFETERIA, 7:30 P.M.

OPEN SESSION

PRESENT:

Strand, K. T.

Chairman

Baird, D. A.
Basham, G. D.
Birch, D. R.
Bradley, R. D.
Burstein, K. R.
Campbell, M. J.
Claridge, R. W.
Donetz, G.
Drache, Mrs. S.
Ellis, J. F.
Freiman, Mrs. L.
Funt, B. L.
Hamilton, C. L.
Hamilton, W. M.
Harper, R.J.C.
Hodge, F.
Lachlan, A. H.
McAninch, J. R.
Mugridge, I.
O'Connell, M. S.
Pate, B. D.
Rieckhoff, K. E.
Rogow, R.
Sadleir, R.M.F.S.
Stratton, S. T.
Sullivan, D. H.
Sutherland, G. A.
Turnbull, A. L.
Vidaver, W. E.
Webster, J. M.
Weinberg, H.
Wilson, B. G.

Evans, H. M.

Secretary

Kelsey, I. B.

Norsworthy, Mrs. R.

Recording Secretary

ABSENT:

Caple, K. P.
McDougall, A. H.
Reid, W. D.
Salter, J.

IN ATTENDANCE:

Chase, J.
Meakin, D.
Sutton, D. (to speak to Paper S.445)

SEATING OF SENATORS

The Chairman of Senate, K. Strand, stated that four individuals had been appointed to Senate recently by an Order-in-Council to replace M. Collins, A.F.C. Hean, C. H. McLean and G. N. Perry. The individuals appointed for terms of office of three years from December 2, 1970 to November 30, 1973 are F. Hodge, W. D. Reid, J. Salter, and G. A. Sutherland.

The Chairman pointed out also that in a recent election for a student Senator to replace J. K. Kenward for the remainder of his term of office to May 31, 1972, G. Donetz had been elected.

It was moved and carried that G. Donetz be seated on Senate. It was noted that formal motion to seat individuals was not required for the appointees.

1. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

The Chairman stated that he had received a request from N. Wickstrom, President of the Student Society, to speak to Senate this evening. It was agreed that, with Senate's approval, he should speak after approval of the agenda.

Moved by K. Rieckhoff, seconded by L. Freiman,

"That N. Wickstrom, President of the Simon Fraser Student Society, be permitted to speak to Senate on items of concern to the President of the Student Society."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

As there were no further items to be added to the agenda, the agenda was approved as amended.

N. Wickstrom spoke substantially as follows. He expressed appreciation for permission to speak to Senate on some of the general problems faced by the University and on problems directly related to students on campus. He felt that this past year had been somewhat of a difficult one for the University, especially with regard to the problems encountered in the PSA Department. He thought that the turning point had come only recently with the lack of censure of the University by the CAUT, and that there seemed to be some hope now that the University itself can determine in which direction it wishes to move. He thought that there were problems that the University could begin to handle now, especially with regard to graduate programs, the size of the University, University tenets, and the extent of student participation desirable for the University.

With regard to student participation, he pointed out that students wish to be involved in policy making right from the start rather than near the end of the formation of policy. He explained that from his standpoint students represent primarily student interests rather than the University at large, just as faculty, in his view, represent faculty interests. He realized, however, that there are certain costs involved in student participation at many levels of the University and that students have responsibilities to see that the best interests of the University are being met.

Mr. Wickstrom identified three areas which need immediate and close examination by Senate. These included Admissions, Library Fines Policy, and Openness of Senate. In the area of Admissions he felt that there should be more application of the "spirit of the law" as opposed to the "letter of the law" in applying Senate's policies on admission of students and that more authority should be given the professional staff in the Registrar's Office in this regard. He felt, too, that problems lay in existing Senate admissions policies and that these need to be re-examined and made more flexible than at present. He claimed that library fines need to be applied more equitably with a common policy for faculty and students, noting that sanctions are taken against students by withholding marks and preventing reregistration until fines are paid. On openness, he asked that Senate re-examine its policy of refusing to admit the public at large to view the proceedings of Senate. He felt that the climate of opinion was right to permit an audience to attend Senate meetings. He added that, in view of budgetary constraints and the costs of relaying the proceedings of Senate by way of closed circuit television to another part of the University, it would be appropriate now to open Senate meetings to the public.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The minutes of the Open Session of Senate held on Monday, November 9, 1970 were approved as distributed.

3. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

There was no business arising from the minutes.

4. REPORT OF CHAIRMAN

There was no report of the Chairman.

5. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

a) Senate Nominating Committee

Paper S.435 - Elections of Alternate Faculty Senator to the Senate Appeals Board (SAB), Student Members to the Senate Committee on Examination and Grading Practices, and Senators to the Board of Governors

As no additional names had been submitted by Senators to those already submitted by the Senate Nominating Committee for elections of individuals to the Senate Appeals Board (SAB), and to the Senate Committee on Examination and Grading Practices, and as there were no more than the necessary number of candidates for these bodies as contained in Paper S.435, the Chairman stated that a motion would be in order to elect the individuals to these Committees by acclamation.

Moved by K. Rieckhoff, seconded by G. Basham,

"That I. Mugridge be elected to the Senate Appeals Board (SAB) as the alternate faculty Senator to replace A. L. Turnbull, as outlined in Paper S.435."

MOTION CARRIED

Moved by K. Rieckhoff, seconded by G. Basham,

"That K. W. Gilbert and C. F. Macurdy (alternate) be elected to the Senate Committee on Examination and Grading Practices to replace D. A. Owen and B. J. Garnett, as outlined in Paper S.435."

MOTION CARRIED

The Chairman explained that in the election of Senators to the Board of Governors, the Senate Nominating Committee in its wisdom had not at this time brought forward nominations, but that it had recommended to Senate that the bringing forward of nominations be deferred but for not longer than 60 days. He pointed out that this item in Paper S.435 was for the information of Senate.

There was discussion on the procedure to be followed, and whether or not Senate should indeed suspend its rules on nominations and elect individuals to the Board of Governors immediately.

Moved by K. Rieckhoff, seconded by R. Bradley,

"That the recommendation of the Senate Nominating Committee, 'that the bringing forward of nominations be deferred, but for not longer than 60 days,' be accepted."

It was explained by B. L. Funt that when the Senate Nominating Committee was making its decision a student election to Senate was then in progress and that further appointments might be made to fill vacancies. The results were now known.

G. Basham explained that, as a member of the Senate Nominating Committee, he had been opposed to postponing this particular election and felt that an election should be held this evening on the basis of the names that had already been submitted and requested that the Secretary read the names. H. Evans, Secretary of Senate, indicated that three nominations had been received by the 72 hour deadline, and these included G. Basham (nominated by G. Donetz), G. Donetz (nominated by G. Basham), and Mrs. L. Freiman (nominated by Mrs. S. Drache).

Question was called on the motion to accept the recommendation of the Senate Nominating Committee, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

It was pointed out by M. Campbell that the Senate Nominating Committee had not acted upon Senate's directions to bring forward names for this election, and he queried what action should be taken on this point. It was pointed out that Senate had accepted the recommendation of the Senate Nominating Committee that the bringing forward of nominations be deferred, and that that was the only action that would be taken.

b) Academic Planning Committee

i) Paper S.436 - New Degree Program - Bachelor of General Studies

Moved by B. Wilson, seconded by C. Hamilton,

"That Senate approve the introduction of a non-specialist degree program in Arts, Science and Education. The program would be designated General Studies and, after successful completion, a degree of Bachelor of General Studies would be awarded by Senate on the recommendation of the Dean of the Division of General Studies. In such a program students would not be required to specialize in any subject and the program would be relatively unstructured. Specific recommendations are as follows:

- a) 120 semester hour credits with a minimum grade point average of 2.0, including,
- b) at least 45 upper level semester hour credits, and
- c) a minimum of 15 semester hour credits in the general areas of humanities, social sciences and natural sciences. Designation of courses falling in these areas would be the responsibility of Departments."

(An editorial change was noted by Senator Sadleir in that the words "each of" should be added between the words "in" and "the" in section c) of the motion. This change was accepted by the mover and seconder.)

In reply to several questions, B. Wilson stated that he hoped the effective date for implementation of the program could be May 1, 1971, and that transfer of students from several major areas to a General Studies program, as outlined here, could be made at any time after implementation of the proposals.

Amendment moved by K. Rieckhoff, seconded by R. McAninch,

"That the words 'Bachelor of General Studies' be deleted and the following words be substituted: 'Bachelor of Arts, or Bachelor of Education, or Bachelor of Science, depending on the Faculty where the majority of upper level course work had been done.'"

Considerable discussion followed on the establishment of this program with reference being made by several Senators to the low status they felt had been accorded over the years to Bachelor of General Studies degrees. A number of Senators were in favor of changing the title, where transcripts of students would identify the degree received as, for example, B.A. (General Studies), B.Ed. (General Studies), or B.Sc. (General Studies). There was some opposition with argument that change such as proposed would require approval by each of the Faculties concerned. Senator Drache commended B. Wilson for the program and felt that it would prepare young people for the world today in that the trend is to accept generalization as opposed to specification.

Question was called on the amendment to change Bachelor of General Studies to specific degrees awarded by individual faculties, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT FAILED

11 in favor
16 opposed

It was pointed out by B. Pate, speaking in favor of the main motion, that considerable direction and supervision will have to be given to the program in order for it to be a success. He felt that students may not enroll in the program unless there are more than just the present courses. He felt that courses need to be tailored specifically for the program.

Discussion followed on the requirement that individuals take a minimum of 15 semester credit hours in each of the general areas of humanities, social sciences and natural sciences. Several Senators

thought there should be greater freedom here rather than forcing the student to take the minimum of 15 hours in each of the areas.

Amendment moved by A. Lachlan, seconded by G. Basham,

"That Clause c) be deleted."

It was claimed that the program could operate immediately on the basis of the present courses. The main argument for deletion of this requirement was that students should be able to choose those areas they wish to study for the general degree.

Question was called on the amendment to delete 15 semester hours credit in each of the general areas of humanities, social sciences and natural sciences, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT FAILED

8 in favor

18 opposed

Amendment moved by K. Burstein, seconded by L. Freiman,

"That the words 'the general areas of humanities, social sciences and natural sciences' be deleted and the following words be substituted: 'Faculties of Arts, Education and Science.'"

An amendment to this amendment was submitted by R. Harper, "provided that the courses offered by these Faculties are indeed representative of humanities, social sciences and natural sciences." However, this amendment to the amendment was withdrawn.

Question was called on the amendment to substitute Faculties of Arts, Education and Science for humanities, social sciences and natural sciences, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT FAILED

4 in favor

Amendment moved by B. Wilson, seconded by R. Sadleir,

"That the following words be added to the second sentence in c), 'subject to ratification by Senate.'"

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

S.M. 7/12/70

Amendment moved by G. Basham, seconded by R. McAninch,

"That 5 semester hour credits be substituted
for 15 hour semester credits in c)."

Question was called on the amendment to change 15 semester hour
credits requirement to 5 semester hour credits, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT FAILED

11 in favor
18 opposed

Amendment moved by K. Burstein, seconded by B. Pate,

"That the words 'University Studies' be
substituted for the term 'General Studies'
throughout the paper."

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT FAILED

Question was called on the amended main motion, and a vote
taken.

AMENDED MAIN MOTION CARRIED

24 in favor
0 opposed

The final wording of the motion as passed by Senate is as
follows:

"That Senate approve the introduction of a non-
specialist degree program in Arts, Science and
Education. The program would be designated
General Studies and, after successful completion,
a degree of Bachelor of General Studies would be
awarded by Senate on the recommendation of the
Dean of the Division of General Studies. In such
a program students would not be required to
specialize in any subject and the program would
be relatively unstructured. Specific recommenda-
tions are as follows:

- a) 120 semester hour credits with a minimum grade
point average of 2.0, including,
- b) at least 45 upper level semester hour credits,
and
- c) a minimum of 15 semester hour credits in each of
the general areas of humanities, social sciences

and natural sciences. Designation of courses falling in these areas would be the responsibility of Departments, subject to ratification by Senate."

ii) Paper S.437 - Program in Computing Science

Moved by B. Wilson, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

"that a Program in Computing Science be established and incorporated into the Division of General Studies; that the program be implemented in phases, the first of which is identified in the body of this proposal; that the question of a major in Computing Science should be deferred until such time as there is an opportunity to assess the orientation of Phase I of the Computing Science Program and the extent of demand for such a program."

B. Wilson explained that the Academic Planning Committee had brought this paper before Senate for the establishment of this program, that it had been circulated to Departmental Chairmen, and through them to their respective faculty members for information and comment, and since that time a paper had been received by a number of Senators from Professor Shoemaker identifying some of the problems as seen by the Mathematics Department in the establishment of the program, and that B. Wilson himself had circulated earlier in the day to as many Senators as possible a rebuttal to the paper by Professor Shoemaker (papers subsequently numbered S.437a and S.437b).

It was pointed out by B. Wilson that approval of the motion would not mean approval of the courses outlined on page 3 of Paper S.437. He explained that these would have to come before Senate as new course proposals separately.

Amendment moved by K. Rieckhoff, seconded by R. Harper,

"That the final paragraph of Paper S.437 be deleted, and that the following paragraph be substituted: 'Any new academic appointment with teaching responsibilities in Computing Science should be made by a selection committee which includes the Dean of General Studies and representatives of faculties whose students will require access to the program. The responsibilities of the selection committee should

S.M. 7/12/70

be the same as those of departmental appointments committees. The senior academic appointment in Computing Science should be made to the Division of General Studies."

After some discussion it was pointed out that the final paragraph could be deleted and the proposed amendment incorporated into the main motion. This was agreed upon by the mover and the seconder. It was pointed out that the purpose of the addition was to ensure that any new appointment is not prejudiced by any one Department. In other words, no preference is given to any particular Department with regard to a new appointment. This procedure would help to give the program a broad basis.

In reply to questions raised by Senator Burstein, it was explained that the coordinator of the program would have teaching assignments and that he would, therefore, be included in this new provision. It was explained also that the search committee, or approval committee, would be selected by the Dean of the Division of General Studies, presumably in consultation with people who would be concerned with the program.

At this point G. Basham stated that there would be no students on the selection committee and that he felt strongly that there should be. He moved an amendment that students be included on the selection committee in Computing Science. However, the Chairman of Senate ruled the Senator out of order on the grounds that it was not within the rights of Senate to define appointments of faculty. The ruling of the Chairman was challenged, with the ruling of the Chair upheld (4 opposed).

Reference was made to the Computing Science programs which had been drawn up by a number of other institutions and outlined in the ACM bulletin or journal. It was recommended that comment from outside sources, such as those involved with the ACM program, be obtained before the proposed Computing Science courses come before Senate, and it was agreed that this be done.

G. Basham moved that Senate recommend to the Board of Governors that it consider provisions for the placement of students on selection committees such as the one for Computing Science. The Chairman ruled the motion out of order and stated that he would be pleased to discuss the matter with Senator Basham at his convenience.

Question was called on the amended main motion, and a vote taken.

AMENDED MOTION CARRIED

24 in favor
1 opposed

iii) Paper S.438 - Program in Canadian Studies

Moved by B. Wilson, seconded by C. Hamilton,

"That a program in Canadian Studies be established and incorporated into the Division of General Studies with organization as set out on pages 4 and 5 of Paper S.438, and that implementation be undertaken as set out on page 5 of the same paper."

In response to a question on library acquisitions for the program, it was pointed out by B. Wilson that the program as outlined would return to the Academic Planning Committee for priorities for implementation which would involve awaiting the availability of funds for such things as increased library resources.

S. Drache asked that on page 4, section B. 1. an off-campus Senate member be included in attempts to integrate the University into the community.

Amendment moved by G. Basham, seconded by S. Drache,

"That the following words be added to the top of page 5, after the reference to 'core department,' 'plus three student representatives, one from each of the three Faculties.'"

Discussion followed on the desirability of having students on the coordinating committee for this program. Although some Senators thought student representatives on this coordinating committee would dilute the effectiveness of it, the majority felt students could play a valuable role here.

Question was called on the amendment to include three student representatives on the coordinating committee, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

18 in favor
7 opposed

Moved by K. Burstein, seconded by B. Pate,

"That the question be divided, with the first part to read 'That a program in Canadian Studies be established and incorporated into the Division of General Studies,' and the second part to read 'with organization as set out on pages 4 and 5 of Paper S.438, and that implementation be undertaken as set out on page 5 of the same paper.'"

Question was called on division of the question, and a vote taken.

MOTION TO DIVIDE CARRIED

9 in favor

8 opposed

Question was called on the first part of the divided question pertaining to the establishment of the program, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

28 in favor

0 opposed

Discussion was undertaken on the second part of the divided question, "with organization as set out on pages 4 and 5 of Paper S.438, and that implementation be undertaken as set out on page 5 of the same paper." It was felt that these items should come before Senate in greater detail.

Moved by K. Burstein, seconded by B. Pate,

"That the second part of the question - relating to organization and implementation of the program in Canadian Studies - be referred back to the Academic Planning Committee.

B. Wilson opposed the motion. Senator Burstein expressed concern and enquired what passage in principle would include and that surely the matter must come back with a paper clearly describing organization and implementation. The Chairman felt that greater clarification was needed and that referral was appropriate.

Question was called on the motion to refer, and a vote taken.

MOTION TO REFER CARRIED

21 in favor

3 opposed

c) Senate Undergraduate Admissions Board

Paper S.439 - Credit for Work at Other Institutions

Moved by B. Wilson, seconded by I. Mugridge,

"That the policy statement relating to transfer credit for work at other institutions, as outlined in Paper S.439, be approved."

Amendment moved by R. McAninch, seconded by D. Birch,

"That in the second paragraph, the following words be deleted, 'and may not include more than 15 semester hours credit as upper division work.'"

It was felt that removal of this clause would give greater flexibility to students in taking work for transfer credit elsewhere.

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

12 in favor
10 opposed

Question was called on the amended main motion on transfer credit for work at other institutions, and a vote taken.

AMENDED MAIN MOTION
CARRIED

23 in favor
0 opposed

d) Senate Committee on Graduate Studies

i) Paper S.440 - Amendments to General Regulations

Moved by J. Webster, seconded by S. Stratton,

"That the amendments to the General Regulations for Graduate Studies, as outlined in Paper S.440, be approved."

Moved by G. Basham, seconded by G. Donetz,

"That this paper be referred to the Faculties for further study."

Question was called on the motion to refer, and a vote taken.

MOTION TO REFER FAILED

3 in favor

Question arose as to what extent consultation had been made with graduate students in the preparation of this paper. It was pointed out by both J. Webster and L. Funt that there had indeed been a long history of consultation with graduate students in the various Faculties, and the document before Senate reflected to a large degree the advice received from graduate students.

Amendment moved by A. Lachlan, seconded by R. Bradley,

"That the last sentence of section
10.6 on page 2 be deleted."

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT FAILED

3 in favor
16 opposed

Question was called on the main motion to adopt the entire
paper, and a vote taken.

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

ii) Paper S.441 - Audit Students

Moved by J. Webster, seconded by D. Baird,

"That the statement on Audit Students, as
outlined in Paper S.441, be approved."

Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by H. Weinberg,

"That the Open Session of Senate be
adjourned."

Question was called on the motion to adjourn, and a vote taken.

MOTION TO ADJOURN FAILED

3 in favor

Question was called on the motion to accept the statement on
Audit Students, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

1 opposed

iii) Paper S.442 - Preparation of Theses

Moved by J. Webster, seconded by J. Ellis,

"That the Preparation of Theses, as
outlined in Paper S.442, be approved."

Amendment moved by G. Basham, seconded by A. Lachlan,

"That the Chairman of the Thesis Examining
Committee sign the approval page which
appears at the end of the document titled
'Preparation of Theses.'"

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT FAILED

2 in favor

Question was called on the main motion to approve the paper on Preparation of Theses, and a vote taken.

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

iv) New Course Proposals

Arts

Paper S.443 - Economics 807-5

Moved by J. Webster, seconded by D. Sullivan,

"That Economics 807-5, as outlined in
Paper S.443, be approved."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Science

Paper S.444 - BiSc 802-3; 812-3; 813-3; 826-3; 848-3

Moved by J. Webster, seconded by R. Sadleir,

"That BiSc 802-3, 812-3, 813-3, 826-3
and 848-3, as outlined in Paper S.444
be approved."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Paper S.445 - Chemistry - M.Sc. (Chemical Education)

Moved by J. Webster, seconded by B. Pate,

"That the new course proposal in the
Department of Chemistry - M.Sc.
(Chemical Education), as outlined in
Paper S.445, be approved."

It was noted by J. Webster that D. Sutton was available to answer any questions with regard to the program. In this connection D. Sullivan commented that he was not certain about the advisability of mounting such a program in that, from his experience, it

appeared there would be few job opportunities for graduates of this program, especially in the community colleges. He did state, however, that there may be opportunities for graduates of this program in the secondary schools. He was supported on this latter point by Senator Ellis.

Question was called on approval of this program, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

1 opposed

Kinesiology

Paper S.446 - Kinesiology 811-3; 812-3; 813-3; 814-3; 815-3; 816-3; 817-3; 818-3

Moved by J. Webster, seconded by S. Stratton,

"That paper S.446 be approved including the graduate course offerings in Kinesiology, titled Kinesiology 811-3, 812-3, 813-3, 814-3, 815-3, 816-3, 817-3 and 818-3, and the changing of Kinesiology 801-5 to 806-3, and of Kinesiology 802-5 to 807-3."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

6. REPORTS OF FACULTIES

Curriculum and Calendar Changes

a) Arts

i) Paper S.447 - Upper Level Electives for B.A. Degree

Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by R. Bradley,

"That the policy statement for the Faculty of Arts with regard to Upper Level Electives for the B.A. degree, as outlined in Paper S.447, be approved."

It was noted, as an editorial change, that the word "take" in the policy statement should be deleted and replaced with the words "be credited with." (Note - The first line should read 'In the upper levels a student for degree purposes may be credited with..')

In reply to a question of retroactivity of this policy statement, D. Sullivan said that in his estimation it would be retroactive.

However, there was considerable debate on the merits of the proposed statement, with it being pointed out that a number of Senators would appreciate further documentation and rationale for the statement. J. Ellis envisaged some difficulties relating to Education 401/402, 405.

Moved by L. Funt, seconded by G. Basham,

"That Paper S.447 be referred back to the Faculty of Arts for further documentation and rationale."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION TO REFER CARRIED

ii) Paper S.448 - Double Major, Major/Minor, Joint Major for B.A. degree

Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by R. Bradley,

"That the recommendations relative to the Double Major degree, Major/Minor degree, and Joint Major degree for the Faculty of Arts, as outlined in Paper S.448, be approved."

At this point it was agreed by consensus that the question would be divided into three parts, with the first part dealing with the Double Major degree, the second part with the Major/Minor degree, and the third part with the Joint Major degree.

Question was called on the acceptance of the Double Major degree, as outlined in Paper S.448, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Moved by L. Funt, seconded by J. Ellis,

"That debate on this paper be postponed until the next meeting of Senate."

In response to a query as to whether or not the whole paper was being postponed, or only the second part relating to the Major/Minor degree, it was stated by the Chairman that debate on the entire paper was being postponed.

Question was called on the motion to postpone, and a vote taken.

MOTION TO POSTPONE CARRIED

- iii) Paper S.449 - New Courses - Geography 001-3; French 110-3; 111-3; 305-3; 420-3; 421-3; 460-4; 480-2; 481-2; Spanish 140-3

Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by H. Weinberg,

"That the new course proposals, including Geography 001-3; French 110-3, 111-3, 305-3, 420-3, 421-3, 460-4, 480-2, 481-2; and Spanish 140-3, as outlined in Paper S.449, be approved."

Moved by J. Ellis, seconded by G. Basham,

"That the motion be divided, and that Geography 001-3 be considered in the first part of the division, and that the remaining courses be considered in the second part of the division."

Question was called on the motion to divide, and a vote taken.

MOTION TO DIVIDE CARRIED

There was considerable question on the first part of the motion relating to Geography 001-3. It was not clear to several Senators just where these courses would be placed, either in General Studies or within the Faculty of Arts to be drawn upon by the Dean of General Studies as part of the General Studies program.

G. Basham moved that Geography 001-3 be placed in the Division of General Studies. The Chairman ruled the motion out of order in that there was no mechanism as yet to handle the course.

Question was called on the motion to adopt Geography 001-3, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

10 in favor
2 opposed

The second half of the divided question relating to the new course proposals for French and Spanish was then placed on the floor for discussion. Concern was voiced by a number of Senators for the reputed small enrolment in some of the DML courses. It was pointed out by Dean Sullivan that although enrolment in some of the DML courses was small in relation to enrolment in other Departments, this was not true in the French section of DML. It was also pointed out by D. Sullivan that the necessary resources for these courses were available.

Question was called on the new course proposal for French and Spanish, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

b) Science

i) Paper S.450 - Biological Sciences, Degree Requirement Changes

Moved by L. Funt, seconded by S. Stratton,

"That the changes in degree requirements relating to the Biological Sciences, as outlined in Paper S.450, be approved."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

ii) Paper S.451 - Biochemistry Programs, Physics Requirements Changes

Moved by L. Funt, seconded by S. Stratton,

"That the Physics requirements for Biochemistry honors students, as outlined in Paper S.451, be approved."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

iii) Paper S.452 - Mathematics, Prerequisite for Mathematics 443-4

Moved by L. Funt, seconded by J. Webster,

"That the prerequisite for Mathematics 443-4 be changed as noted in Paper S.452."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

iv) Paper S.453 - New Courses - Mathematics 469-4; 470-4; 471-4; 493-4; 494-4; 495-4; 496-4

Moved by L. Funt, seconded by A. Lachlan,

"That the new course proposals for Mathematics 469-4, 470-4, 471-4, 493-4, 494-4, 495-4, and 496-4, as outlined in Paper S.453, be approved."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

7. OTHER BUSINESS

a) Notices of Motion

i) Paper S.454 - Amendments to Course Changes and Withdrawal Regulations (R. McAninch)

Moved by R. McAninch, seconded by W. Vidaver,

"That the date of entry into a new course be extended until the end of the 3rd week of the semester, and further, that entry be allowed up until the end of the 5th week if permission of the professor teaching the course is obtained.

That the date for dropping courses be extended until the end of the last week of classes."

J. Ellis pointed out that the proper procedure for a paper such as this would be to have it flow through the Senate Undergraduate Admissions Board (SUAB) for comment before being brought to Senate.

Moved by J. Ellis, seconded by D. Sullivan,

"That Paper S.454, containing the motion before Senate, be referred to the Senate Undergraduate Admissions Board (SUAB)."

Question was called on the motion to refer, and a vote taken.

MOTION TO REFER CARRIED

9 in favor

6 opposed

ii) Paper S.455 - Proposal for Creation of Department Academic Grievance Committees (R. McAninch)

R. McAninch pointed out that he had a somewhat different motion to make to Senate than the one contained in Paper S.455.

Moved by R. McAninch, seconded by G. Basham,

"That Senate accept the principle that the students of this University should have at their disposal a mechanism of grievance redress procedure, which would pass judgment upon the validity of student grievances against the actions of a faculty member which relate to that faculty member's conduct of a course, and which would have the power to implement remedial action.

Further, that Senate elect a committee this evening, whose charge it would be to bring to Senate a means of implementation of this principle, no later than the March 1971 meeting, the committee to be composed of two faculty Senators and two student Senators."

It was queried whether or not this motion should actually be before Senate at this time as Senators have not had sufficient documentation or rationale on the motion. The Chairman of Senate ruled that the item was properly before Senate and that Senate could act on it in its own wisdom. Several Senators thought that the motion should be referred to the Senate Committee on Rules and Procedures Relating to Professional Conduct.

Moved by H. Weinberg, seconded by K. Burstein,

"That the question be divided into two parts, with the first part dealing with the principle of grievance redress, and the second dealing with the mechanisms for handling the redress."

It was agreed by consensus that the question be divided.

Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by B. Pate,

"That the first part of the divided question relating to the principle of student grievance redress be referred to the Senate Committee on Rules and Procedures Relating to Professional Conduct, and that the Committee report to Senate promptly."

Question was called on the motion to refer the first part of the divided question, and a vote taken.

MOTION TO REFER FAILED

7 in favor
10 opposed

At this point R. McAninch withdrew his motion previously presented, along with Paper S.455.

b) Date of Next Meeting

It was noted that the next meeting of Senate will be on Monday, January 11, 1971.

S.M. 7/12/70

c) Other Items

There were no other items.

d) Confidential Matters

The meeting recessed briefly at 1:36 a.m. prior to moving into Closed Session.

H. M. Evans
Secretary