

MINUTES OF MEETING OF SENATE OF SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY
HELD MONDAY, AUGUST 2, 1971, EAST CONCOURSE CAFETERIA, 7:30 P.M.

OPEN SESSION

PRESENT:

Strand, K. T.

Chairman

Baird, D. A.
Basham, G. D.
Birch, D. R.
Bradley, R. D.
Brown, R. C.
Carlson, R. L.
Donetz, G.
Funt, B. L.
Gilbert, K. L.
Hamilton, C. L.
Hamilton, W. M.
Harper, R.J.C.
Mallinson, T. J.
Nair, K. K.
O'Connell, M. S.
Rieckhoff, K. E.
Sullivan, D. H.
Turnbull, A. L.
Wagner, P. L.
Weinberg, H.
Wheatley, J.
Wilson, B. G.

Evans, H. M.
Kelsey, I. B.
Norsworthy, R.

Secretary

Recording Secretary

ABSENT:

Campbell, M. J.
Caple, K. P.
Claridge, R. W.
Drache, Mrs. S.
Freiman, Mrs. L.
Hodge, F. D.
Lachlan, A. H.
McDougall, A. H.
Mugridge, I.
Reid, W. D.
Salter, J. H.
Srivastava, L. M.
Stratton, S. T.
Sutherland, G. A.

IN ATTENDANCE:

Burstein, K. R. (to speak to Paper S.71-88)
Chase, J.
Eastwood, G. R. (to speak to Paper S.71-81)
Meakin, D.

S.M. 2/8/71

The Chairman announced that K. L. Gilbert, as a result of a recent student election, had replaced J. R. McAninch on Senate, and that R. L. Carlson had returned to Senate after an absence of eleven months. Both Senators were welcomed by the assembly.

Moved by D. Baird, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

"That K. Gilbert be seated on Senate."

MOTION CARRIED

1. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

On a motion by K. Rieckhoff, seconded by J. Wheatley, the agenda was approved as circulated.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The minutes of the Open Session of June 7, 1971 were approved as distributed.

3. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

There was no business arising from the minutes.

4. REPORT OF CHAIRMAN

1. Paper S.71-80 - Selection of Department Chairmen

A paper (subsequently numbered S.71-80a) from M. E. Eliot Hurst was distributed to Senators. The Chairman stated that the Vice-President, Academic had been charged to bring forward a paper containing a proposal separating the question of Departmental Reviews from the appointment of Chairmen, but in such a way that the spirit covering the selection of Departmental Chairmen, as outlined in Paper S.224, is retained. B. Wilson drew attention to the alternate procedures which had been suggested for the selection of Departmental Chairmen and asked that a motion favoring either one or the other come from the floor. The Chairman ruled that a motion could be made to accept alternative 2A, or a motion to accept 2B, and that if both were made there would then be a vote on which to debate first.

Moved by K. Rieckhoff, seconded by C. Hamilton,

"That Senate adopt Method 2A for the appointment of Chairmen."

B. Wilson asked that two minor errors on page 4 of Paper S.71-80 be corrected. With these amendments the relevant sections read as follows:

- g) A quorum shall be the Chairman plus three others, at least two of whom shall be members of the Department faculty.

S.M. 2/8/71

- i) The Dean will report the conclusions of the Search Committee to the Vice-President, Academic. He also has the duty and right to attach his own advice to the recommendations.

Amendment moved by G. Basham, seconded by G. Donetz,

"That Method 2A, Section f) 2, 3, 4 and 5 be changed to read,

'2. 3. 4.

Three faculty members, elected by:

- i. the full-time faculty members of the Department,
- or,
- ii. if the full-time faculty members so decide, all those with voting rights at Departmental meetings.

- 5. One student selected by the Departmental student union if the union exists, and, if not, one student selected by the Student Society. The student must be enrolled in a major, honor or graduate program in a subject area offered by the Department. At the time of nomination he must be in good academic standing.

In a situation where the foregoing procedure cannot be applied the appropriate Faculty Executive Committee will determine the composition of the Committee members 2, 3, and 4."

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

Amendment moved by G. Basham, seconded by K. Gilbert,

"That Section 2A, a) be deleted and Section 2B, a) be substituted."

G. Basham said that there were advantages in a Departmental decision. K. Rieckhoff objected to the substitution in that the University has been moving away from the original Departmental autonomy concept. He was supported by B. Wilson who felt that a Department should not be put in the position where it could hold the University at ransom and that the University should be able to take action within reasonable procedures.

S.M. 2/8/71

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT FAILED

Question was called on the amended main motion, and a vote taken.

AMENDED MAIN MOTION
CARRIED

2. Paper S.71-81 - Report on Charge Concerning Referral of Paper S.71-51, Reorganization of Educational Foundations Centre and Approval of Courses

The Chairman stated that he had received a request from Dr. G. R. Eastwood that he be granted permission to make a statement to Senate. As there was no objection from the assembly, Dr. Eastwood was asked to attend the meeting. The Chairman then called upon the Vice-President, Academic to introduce the paper.

B. Wilson reported that the Academic Planning Committee had been considering the charge sent to it and had solicited briefs from the University community and had met separately and together with representatives of various groups associated with the present Faculty of Education. The Committee, he said, was of the opinion that Kinesiology and Fine Arts relate to the whole community rather than in the Faculty of Education, and that Behavioural Science Foundations and Communications Studies, not being associated with the professional aspects of teacher preparation, would be better separated into a Department of Human Relations. The proposal was that there be separate Departments of Kinesiology, Fine and Performing Arts, Department of Human Relations (on an interim basis until such time as it prepares realistic programs with coherent structure acceptable to Senate), and the present four General Studies programs of the Division of General Studies (Computing Science, Latin American Studies, Canadian Studies and African/Middle East Studies), all within a new Faculty of University Programs. The Faculty of Education would then consist of a single unit for teacher preparation comprising the present faculty from Professional Foundations and the Social and Philosophical Foundations. B. Wilson asked for approval in principle and for ideas on organization from Senate which could be developed into a detailed report which could be discussed with The Joint Board of Teacher Education prior to presenting to Senate.

Moved by B. Wilson, seconded by J. Wheatley,

"That Paper S.71-81, a Report on the Charge Concerning Referral of the Reorganization of Educational Foundations Centre and Approval of Courses, be approved in principle, subject to review of detailed proposals to be submitted to Senate at a later date."

S.M. 2/8/71

K. Rieckhoff felt that the paper covered too much ground without outlining the academic justification and rationale. J. Wheatley reiterated that the Academic Planning Committee was asking for direction and approval in principle from Senate and that a final report would be submitted within the next two months.

G. Eastwood was then called upon to present his viewpoint. He read from a paper which he had prepared (since numbered S.71-81a) on Principles and Structure for the B.Ed. Degree and the Organization of the Faculty of Education. He expressed the view that the proposals suggested by the Academic Planning Committee would not solve the deep problems involved.

In reply to a question on the thinking behind developing a Faculty of Education without departments, B. Wilson stated that a Faculty relates to a set of disciplines, and rather than restricting the Faculty of Education solely to the professional development program it should be encouraged to develop a greater ~~amount of~~ education areas of study.

Emphasis on

Lengthy discussion followed on the concept of the Faculty of University Programs, the rights and responsibilities of departments, and the justification for the inclusion of Fine and Performing Arts and Kinesiology within that Faculty.

Amendment was moved by K. Rieckhoff, seconded by R. Carlson,

"That the principle that Kinesiology be placed in the proposed Faculty of University Programs be rejected."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT FAILED

Amendment was moved by K. Rieckhoff, seconded by R. Carlson,

"That Human Relations not be formed as a Department within the proposed Faculty of University Programs."

R. Carlson said he would require a clear cut rationale before he could accept the proposal as outlined.

Question was called, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT FAILED

Amendment was moved by P. Wagner, seconded by K. Gilbert,

"That the Academic Planning Committee be enjoined to refrain from using the term 'Faculty of University Programs' and that it find a more suitable title."

S.M. 2/8/71

Question was called, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT FAILED

K. Strand and B. Wilson replied in the affirmative when R. Bradley requested confirmation that approval in principle of the document would not prevent the Faculty of Education from proposing its own restructuring.

Question was called on the approval of the omnibus motion, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

17 in favor
3 opposed

5. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

1. Senate Nominating Committee

Paper S.71-82 - Election to Academic Board

Moved by K. Rieckhoff, seconded by D. Birch,

"That the individual (B. G. Wilson) nominated to the Academic Board for Higher Education in British Columbia be elected by acclamation."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

It was noted that B. G. Wilson would replace D. H. Sullivan, who had resigned from the Academic Board, and that the term of office was for three years.

2. Senate Committee on Scholarships, Awards and Bursaries

Paper S.71-83 - Availability of Scholarships

The Chairman noted that no motion was required on this paper as it had been distributed for information, and that the Committee would be submitting proposed new terms of reference to the September meeting of Senate.

3. Senate Graduate Studies Committee

1. Paper S.71-84 - Amendment to General Regulations (Section 10.4)
(Partial Revision of S.71-56)

Moved by J. Wheatley, seconded by T. Mallinson,

S.M. 2/8/71

"That Senate approve the rewording of Section 10.4 of the General Regulations for Graduate Studies, as outlined in Paper S.71-84."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

2. Paper S.71-85 - Revision of Membership (Executive Committee and Full Committee

Moved by J. Wheatley, seconded by C. Hamilton,

1. "That the membership of the Senate Graduate Studies Committee as outlined in Paper S.71-85 be approved."

R. Bradley asked that recommendation A.6 on Department membership be altered to read, "Chairmen of each Departmental Graduate Studies Committee or their qualified designates," and this was accepted by the Dean of Graduate Studies.

Amendment was moved by R. Brown, seconded by H. Weinberg,

"That the University Librarian be a non-voting member of the Committee."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT FAILED

It was moved by K. Rieckhoff, and seconded by D. Baird, "That Senate strike from the membership of the Committee the words, 'non-voting' behind the name of the Registrar," but the Secretary of Senate stated that the Registrar, by the nature of duties involved, should have an impartial position on the Committee.

Amendment was moved by G. Basham, seconded by K. Gilbert,

"That Note (i) of Section A and Note (iii) of Section B of Paper S.71-85 be deleted."

Question was called on the deletion of Note (i) of Section A, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

11 in favor
6 opposed

S.M. 2/8/71

The Chairman asked if anyone objected to the deletion of Note (iii) in Section B of Paper S.71-85 rather than put it to a vote. As there was no objection, that section was also deleted.

B. Wilson expressed concern about the use of the term Associate Dean when such appointment is not in effect, and J. Wheatley agreed that this could be replaced with "designate." As there was no objection, the Chairman stated that the change was made.

Question was called on the amended main motion, and a vote taken.

AMENDED MAIN MOTION
CARRIED

Moved by J. Wheatley, seconded by C. Hamilton,

2. "That membership of the Executive Committee of the Senate Graduate Studies Committee as outlined in Paper S.71-85 be approved."

The Chairman noted that Item 3 would be amended by acquiescence to read:

"Six faculty members, two from each Faculty to be elected by and from the respective Faculty Graduate Studies Committees. The Dean of any Faculty shall be eligible for election."

Amendment was moved by H. Weinberg, seconded by R. Brown,

"That Item B.2 be changed to read, 'University Librarian, ex-officio (non-voting).'"

Moved by B. Wilson, seconded by J. Wheatley,

"That Paper S.71-85 be referred back to the Senate Graduate Studies Committee for revision."

It was noted that inasmuch as Motion 1 of Paper S.71-85 had already been approved the motion of referral would apply only to Motion 2 of Paper S.71-85.

Question was called on the motion to refer Motion 2, and a vote taken.

MOTION TO REFER
CARRIED

S.M. 2/8/71

Moved by R. Bradley, seconded by H. Weinberg,

"That Motion 1 be reconsidered."

Question was called on the motion to reconsider Motion 1, and a vote taken.

MOTION TO RECONSIDER
CARRIED

Moved by R. Bradley, seconded by L. Funt,

"That Motion 1 of Paper S.71-85 be referred back to the Senate Graduate Studies Committee for revision."

Question was called on the motion to refer Motion 1, and a vote taken.

MOTION TO REFER
CARRIED

4. Senate Library Committee

Paper S.71-86 - Library Loan Policy

Moved by D. Baird, seconded by H. Weinberg,

"That Senate approve the implementation of the Library New Loan Policy and New Penalty Policy, as outlined in Paper S.71-86, for a trial period to begin as soon as possible and to end at the end of the Spring semester, 1972."

K. Gilbert enquired why the Senate Library Committee was recommending radical changes to a system which had strong student support as shown in the charts accompanying the library questionnaire. He read from a letter sent by the Executive Council of the Student Society to the Chairman of the Senate Library Committee indicating disagreement with the new proposals. C. Hamilton, a member of the Senate Library Committee, responded, stating there had been many areas of discontent, that attempts were being made to assure parity among patrons but also to recognize that there are differing needs among library users. K. Rieckhoff expressed some misgivings with the proposals but expressed general support.

Amendment was moved by G. Basham, seconded by T. Mallinson,

"That page 4, item 1, lines 2 and 3 be changed by deleting '\$5 per item' and substituting '\$1 per item per day to a maximum of \$25.'"

S.M. 2/8/71

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

16 in favor
5 opposed

Amendment was moved by G. Basham, seconded by P. Wagner,

"That page 4, item 2, line 9 be changed by
deleting '\$5 per week' and substituting
'\$1 per day.'"

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

14 in favor
3 opposed

Amendment was moved by G. Basham, seconded by R. Bradley,

"That page 4, item 4, line 1 be changed by
deleting 'flat rate of \$5 per item' and
substituting 'rate of 25¢ per item per hour
to a maximum of \$25.'"

Discussion followed with indication of disagreement that the
amount would be sufficient to make the deterrent effective.

Question was called, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT FAILED

5 in favor
16 opposed

Amendment was moved by G. Basham, seconded by W. Hamilton,

"That page 4, item 4, line 1 be changed by
deleting 'flat rate of \$5 per item' and
substituting 'rate of \$1 per item per hour
to a maximum of \$25.'"

Question was called, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

17 in favor
4 opposed

S.M. 2/8/71

Amendment was moved by G. Basham, seconded by G. Donetz,

"That page 5, item 6, line 2 be changed by deleting 'in a flat fine of \$5' and substituting 'thereafter in a fine of \$1 per item per day to a maximum of \$25.'"

Question was called, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

D. Sullivan enquired as to the meaning of "materials" in item 7 and the Librarian indicated maps, pamphlets, tapes and books. The enquirer suggested this be set forth in detail in the section. He further enquired as to what would happen if an individual who owed money did not pay. D. Baird indicated he personally would send a letter to the offender, with a copy to the Vice-President, Academic, and that if payment were not made he might then refer the matter to a collection agency. D. Sullivan expressed strongly that this should be fully stated in the policy. C. Hamilton felt there would be few offenders under the new policy, that when persons receive a library card they are agreeing to follow the library rules, and that possibly a section on this point should be included.

D. Sullivan enquired of the Chairman whether Senate could levy the proposed fines and follow-up actions or whether Board of Governors' approval was required. K. Strand indicated further consideration would be required on these points and legal advice sought as the Universities Act was unclear.

D. Sullivan was concerned lest Senate adopt another set of policies with ambiguities and hoped matters would be cleared before implementation.

Amendment was moved by W. Hamilton, seconded by C. Hamilton,

"That section 8 be added:- 'Where fines have not been paid within sixty days of having been incurred, accounts may be placed in the hands of a collection agency for recovery.'"

It was suggested that action might best be undertaken following close of a semester as fines accumulate through the semester period. It was noted that the section allowed discretion.

Several Senators indicated desire for an appeal mechanism and for strong action where required, with clarity in the document. It was moved by R. Brown, seconded by J. Wheatley, "That this document be tabled until such time as the Library Committee comes forward with a recommendation on appeals procedures and collection of fines," but on further discussion the motion was withdrawn.

S.M. 2/8/71

K. Strand indicated that if the amendment on collections was approved he would obtain legal opinion and the results would be made known to Senate.

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

R. Bradley referred to several sections and enquired if advance notice on items outstanding could be sent out. D. Baird indicated that this had been considered but would be costly. Information could be given to any borrower who enquired.

Amendment was moved by R. Bradley, seconded by G. Basham,

"That on page 4, item 2, line 7 the words, 'As soon as material becomes overdue' be inserted before 'a notice of'; and that on page 5, item 6, line 3, the words 'As soon as material becomes overdue' be inserted before 'an overdue notice.'"

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

Amendment was moved by R. Bradley, seconded by G. Basham,

"That item 3, page 4, be deleted and the other items be numbered accordingly."

R. Bradley noted the use of "concurrent" in item 3, and felt this caused confusion. Deletion would mean that fines clearly would be cumulative.

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

A vote was then undertaken on the main motion, with amendments to the paper.

MAIN MOTION WITH
AMENDMENTS CARRIED

Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by L. Funt,

"That Senate instruct the Senate Library Committee to implement an appropriate appeals mechanism on fines and report back to Senate."

S.M. 2/8/71

Amendment was moved by K. Rieckhoff, seconded by G. Basham,

"That the words 'with student parity' be
inserted after 'fines.'"

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

A vote was then undertaken on the motion as amended on an
appeals mechanism.

MAIN MOTION WITH
AMENDMENT CARRIED

6. REPORTS OF FACULTIES AND DIVISIONS

General Studies - Curriculum Changes

Paper S.71-87 - Canadian Studies 200-3, 400-5, 401-5

Moved by R. Brown, seconded by C. Hamilton,

"That the new course proposals for Canadian
Studies 200-3, 400-5, and 401-5 as outlined
in Paper S.71-87 be approved."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Moved by R. Brown, seconded by D. Birch,

"That Senate suspend its rules with regard
to the two semester time lag requirement for
the offering of new courses in order that
Canadian Studies 200-3 may be first offered
in the Fall semester, 1971, and that Canadian
Studies 400-5 may be first offered in the
Spring semester, 1972."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Paper S.71-88 - General Studies 075-2

Moved by R. Brown, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

"That the new course proposal for General
Studies 075-2 as outlined in Paper S.71-88
be approved."

S.M. 2/8/71

The Vice-President, Academic asked R. Brown if the last sentence on page one would be considered automatic if the paper passed, and the response was "No."

R. Brown asked permission to allow Professor K. R. Burstein to be present to answer any questions raised in connection with the proposed course. As there was no objection from the assembly, the Chairman granted the request.

J. Wheatley objected to a philosophy course being offered in the Division of General Studies and stated that there was considerable overlap in the proposal with Philosophy 100 which he planned to teach in the next semester. He doubted that the faculty members who were listed as being willing to teach the course were in fact qualified in a philosophy area, and he stated emphatically that, in his opinion, this was not an experimental course.

D. Sullivan expressed concern about lack of procedures and testing facilities to identify the success of an experimental course, the indication of overlap, the necessity for consideration of all aspects of release time for faculty from departments, checking for duplication, and development of an adequate form for presenting such courses.

R. Brown outlined the procedures he had followed prior to presenting the course to Senate. He said that at the time the course was submitted to him the advisory committee for General Studies had not been struck, and as an alternative he had sought the opinion of faculty members within the Faculty of Arts and had then placed the matter before the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies where it had been approved for presentation to Senate.

In view of the reception by Senate, R. Brown proposed that the course be withdrawn in order that the proponents might consult with members of the Philosophy Department with a view to resolving any problems involved. K. Rieckhoff objected to the course being withdrawn, and K. Burstein was then called upon to address the meeting.

K. Burstein stated that there was very little overlap between General Studies 075-2 and Philosophy 100, and he expressed surprise that the fourth course proposal emanating from the Division of General Studies should be subjected to the questions raised. He said that the prime function of the course was to encourage people to think.

Moved by D. Sullivan, seconded by C. Hamilton,

"That the new course proposal for General Studies 075-2 be referred back to the Division of General Studies for examination of the questions raised by Senate and submission to the advisory board of the Division of General Studies before being brought back to Senate."

S.M. 2/8/71

R. Harper supported the motion to refer and felt that the opportunity should be given to those interested in the proposal to enlist the aid of others in various Departments to develop something worthwhile. K. Rieckhoff objected to referral of a course which was proposed on a one-semester trial basis. A. Turnbull was of the opinion that the course proposal appeared to be extremely interesting, useful and exciting and referral would undermine the concept of General Studies experimental courses. L. Funt said that great care should be taken in initiating programs within the Division of General Studies and that consideration should be given to ensuring proficiency of the faculty involved, and not to be competitive or overlapping with Department offerings.

Question was called on the motion to refer, and a vote taken.

MOTION TO REFER FAILED

9 in favor
10 opposed

Question was called on the main motion, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

10 in favor
8 opposed

Moved by R. Brown, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

"That Senate suspend its rules with regard to the two semester time lag requirement for the offering of new courses in order that General Studies 075-2 may be first offered in the Spring semester, 1972."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

11 in favor
3 opposed

L. Funt asked if the questions raised in connection with the proposal would be given consideration by the Dean of the Division of General Studies, and R. Brown stated that He would ask the Secretary of Senate to make the comments of Senate available to him and that he would act upon them.

Paper S.71-89 - Revisions to the African/Middle East Studies Program

Moved by R. Brown, seconded by B. Wilson,

"That the new course proposals for African/Middle East Studies 401-5 and 402-5 as outlined in Paper S.71-89 be approved."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Moved by R. Brown, seconded by B. Wilson,

"That Senate suspend its rules with regard to the two semester time lag requirement for the offering of new courses in order that African/Middle East Studies 402-5 may be first offered in the Spring semester, 1972."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Moved by R. Brown, seconded by J. Wheatley,

"That the requirements for the minor degree in African/Middle East Studies be changed from 15 hours to 14-18 hours at the 300 and 400 level, and from 18 hours to 9 hours at the 100 and 200 level; and that the 9 hour requirement in French, German, or Russian at the 100 and 200 level be dropped from the program."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

7. OTHER BUSINESS

1. Notice of Motion

There were no notices of motion.

2. Date of Next Meeting

It was noted that the next meeting of Senate would be held on Monday, September 13, 1971 at 7:30 p.m.

3. Other Items

There were no other items.

4. Confidential Matters

The meeting adjourned briefly at 1:24 a.m. prior to moving into Closed Session.

H. M. Evans
Secretary