

DRAFT UNTIL APPROVED BY SENATE

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE SENATE OF SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY HELD
MONDAY, APRIL 6, 1981, 3172 ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, 7:00 P.M.

OPEN SESSION

Present: Munro, J. M., Acting Chairman

Anderson, S. K.
Bhakthan, N.M.G.
Blaney, J. P.
Bowman, M. L.
Brown, R. C.
Calvert, T. W.
Cochran, J. F.
Cote, P. T.
Crawley, J. M.
Curtis, J., representing B. P. Beirne
Dobb, T. C.
Einstein, F.
Evenden, L. J.
Gehlbach, R. D.
Hale, L. R.
Hanson, J. A.
Hinchcliffe, S.
Holmes, R. A.
Irwin, J. C.
Johnston, H.J.M.
Jones, C.H.W.
Litwin, W. G.
Luetzen, S.
McNabb, G. T.
Moffatt, D. C.
Okuda, K.
Overholt, M. J.
Rieckhoff, K. E.
Starcevich, R.D.I.
Toor, J. B.
Tuinman, J. J.
Verdun-Jones, S. N.
Wagner, P. L.
Webster, J. M.
Weinberg, H.
Weinkam, J. J.
Wideen, M. F.
Wotherspoon, A. J.

Absent: Arrott, A. S.
Doherty, P. M.
Geen, G. H.
Ivany, J.W.G.
Mackauer, J.P.M.
McKeown, B. A.
Palmer, L. H.
Pedersen, K. G.
Trainer, M. D.

In attendance: Roberts, E. W.

Evans, H. M., Secretary
Heath, N.
Norsworthy, R., Recording Secretary

1. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

The agenda was approved as distributed.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The minutes of the Open Session of March 2, 1981 were approved as circulated.

3. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

- i) Paper S.81-58 - Statement on Intellectual Honesty
Paper S.81-59 - Procedures for Dealing with Incidents of Intellectual Dishonesty
Paper S.81-60 - Terms of Reference - Senate Committee on Academic Discipline

Senate received for information the amended and approved documents in connection with its actions at the previous meetings of February and March relating to the Statement on Intellectual Honesty, Procedures for Dealing with Incidents of Intellectual Dishonesty, and Terms of Reference of the Senate Committee on Academic Discipline.

4. REPORT OF CHAIRMAN

i) The Chairman drew to Senate's attention the decisions taken by Universities Council regarding the proposal for a Faculty of Engineering at Simon Fraser University. The Universities Council recommendations include an increase of UBC facilities to accommodate a total of up to 2500 engineering undergraduates; establishment of a Faculty of Engineering at UVIC when the need, based on demand, is perceived; and that SFU continue to offer the first two years Engineering transfer program and pursue development of a program of Engineering Science similar to that offered by the University of Toronto.

The Board of Governors at a special meeting approved two motions in connection with the UCBC decisions - that it questions Council's decisions, and that it requests an early meeting with Council to determine the role of SFU. J. Munro was asked to convey the Board's concerns to Senate.

T. Calvert regretted the decision which he said was based on an ad hoc committee report accepted at one closed session meeting of Council.

Moved by T. Calvert, seconded by P. Côté,

"Senate questions the wisdom of the Universities Council decision on the development of Engineering Education in B.C. and regrets that

- 1. The decision was made quickly and was based only on the advice of the ad hoc Committee on Engineering;
- 2. There was no opportunity to discuss the long range implications of this decision with the universities, with Council's Long Range Planning or Program Co-

3. The rationale for identifying the location of the next Faculty of Engineering was based solely on a superficial analysis of population and enrolment patterns; and
4. There was no analysis of the relative merits of the program proposals submitted by Simon Fraser University and University of Victoria or consideration of their cost effectiveness."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED
UNANIMOUSLY

J. Munro stated that the motion will be relayed to Universities Council along with an appropriate covering letter.

J. Tuinman was of the opinion that the University should take advantage of the psychological situation rather than expressing regrets, and suggested that other proposals for new programs should be developed for consideration without delay. P. Cote spoke of the negative essence of Council's report regarding expansion of UBC facilities from the current enrolment of between 1700 and 1800 students to 2500 when currently U.B.C. is able to graduate only half the engineers hired in B.C.

ii) The Chairman congratulated the successful candidates in recent elections, the details of which follow:

CHANCELLOR

Ballots cast	960
Ballots spoiled	35
Individual totals:	
Cote	523
Crawley	103
Severy	71
Skalbania	228
RE-ELECTED	P. T. COTE

CONVOCATION SENATORS

Ballots cast	966
Ballots spoiled	36
Individual totals:	
Carter	514
Delessalle	181
Glas	320
Litwin	131
Nielsen	365
Overholt	441
Palmer	67
Pasuta	66
Toor	426
Zarn	245

S.M. 6/4/81

ELECTED: SHIRLEY DELORES CARTER
 VALERIE NIELSEN
 MICHAEL JOHN OVERHOLT (re-elected)
 JOHN B. TOOR (re-elected)

Terms of office for the Chancellor and for the Convocation Senators are for three years, from June 1981 to May 31, 1984.

STUDENT SENATORS

As a result of the Second Call for Nominations and election of two students to the Senate (with one from the Faculty of Education) to complete the slate of student Senators, totalling eleven as specified by the University Act, only one nomination was received, and PETER G. CRIPPS (Faculty of Arts) was elected by acclamation. In a Third Call for a Faculty of Education student, W. STUART BARDEN was elected by acclamation. Terms of office for the student Senators are for one year, from June 1, 1981 to May 31, 1982.

5. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

i) Senate Nominating Committee

a) Paper S.81-61 - Senate Election

As there were no nominations in addition to those submitted by the Senate Nominating Committee, R. Starceovich was elected by acclamation as a student Senator alternate to the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies (SCUS) for no specified term of office. One vacancy on the Committee for a student Senator alternate position remains to be filled.

ii) Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies

a) Paper S.81-62 - Department of Business Administration and
Department of Economics - Curriculum Changes

Moved by J. Webster, seconded by W. Litwin,

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.81-62,

- i) the lower division course requirements specified for those who will undertake a Major or Honors or Minor in Economics and for those who will undertake a Joint Major or Joint Honors in Business Administration and Economics;
- ii) the upper division requirement for a Major and requirements for Honors in Economics, and for a Joint Major or for a Joint Honors in Business Administration and Economics.
- iii) The changes in prerequisites and in the use of some courses:

- BUS. 372-3 - Micro Perspectives and Organizations
- BUS. 374-3 - Macro Perspectives on Organizations
- BUS. 481-3 - Personnel Management I: Manpower Planning and Staffing Decisions
- BUS. 482-3 - Personnel Management II: Reward Systems and Employee Development
- BUS. 472-3 - Seminar in Organizational Behavior
- BUS. 488-3 - Human Relations in Business
- BUS. 489-3 - Small Groups and Organizations

T. McNabb asked for the rationale for the inclusion of six courses in English and two in Philosophy in the lower division prerequisites for Majors, Joint Majors, Honors and Joint Honors, and K. Okuda stated the selections were an attempt to improve English composition writing skills.

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

b) Paper S.81-63 - B.C. Studies Certificate Program

Senate was informed of actions undertaken by the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies under its delegated authority to approve HIST 436-3 - British Columbia as a replacement for HIST 432-3 - The Canadian West as an optional requirement for the B.C. Studies Certificate.

c) Paper S.81-64 - Education - New Course Proposal - EDUC 480-4 - Designs for Learning: French as a Second Language

Moved by J. Webster, seconded by W. Litwin,

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors the proposal for the new course EDUC 480-4 - Designs for Learning: French as a Second Language."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

It was noted that SCUS had approved waiver of the two semester time lag requirement in order that EDUC 480-4 may be offered in the Fall semester 81-3.

d) Paper S.81-65 - Proposal - Multiple Undergraduate Degrees

Moved by J. Webster, seconded by W. Litwin,

"That Senate approve the following additions to regulations to provide for completion of multiple undergraduate degrees:

1. The University should provide the opportunity for a student to complete, normally in series, more than one undergraduate degree in Arts, Science, Education, Interdisciplinary Studies.

2. The same general principles as are applied to Double-Major and/or Major/Minors within one degree with regard to:
 - a) preventing multiple semester-credit-hour counting; and
 - b) accepting multiple use of course-content with appropriate credit-hour replacementbe adapted in a suitable way and applied to multiple undergraduate degrees.
3. The place of origin of a first degree has bearing on entry for a further degree at SFU only in determining from recognized institutions the acceptability of grade point averages for admission and program eligibility.
- 4.a) The basic requirement for any further degree shall be not less than 60 credit hours of additional work for a degree with a Major program (or for the BGS) and not less than 72 credit hours of additional work for a degree with an Honors program.
 - b) Of the minimum 60 credit hours required for a further degree with a Major program (or for the BGS), not less than 44-45 hours must be upper division work. Of the minimum 72 credit hours required for a further degree with an Honors program, not less than 60 hours must be upper division work.
 - c) The department in which the further degree is being taken has the right to require completion of prerequisite lower division courses in addition to the minima specified under 4 a) above.
5. General University regulations covering a first degree apply to a second degree - unless otherwise stated or clearly implied. These include, but are not limited to
 - a) minimum GPA required for graduation;
 - b) maximum number of transfer credit hours countable towards Minor/Major/Honors programs (minimum number of SFU credits required for Minor/Major/Honors programs);
 - c) maximum number of transfer credits countable as upper division work toward the minimum of 60 or of 72 as applicable;
 - d) Otherwise, general faculty and departmental regulations apply, except that students enrolled in further degrees are not required to complete group requirements.
6. A student may not enrol in a further degree program at SFU in a subject in which
 - a) he/she already holds a degree from SFU or elsewhere;
 - b) i) a student who has a Minor (or equivalent) in a particular subject from SFU or elsewhere may enrol in a further SFU degree with a Major/Honors program provided that the formal conditions under 2. above are met. A further, pragmatic condition is that the program at SFU can accommodate to the satisfaction of the department involved the taking

S.M. 6/4/81

- of a Major/Honors program in the same subject beyond the earlier Minor (or equivalent);
- ii) A student taking this option will not be allowed to transfer courses from earlier Minors or near Minors and there can be no waivers granted on the basis of this earlier work. This means that 28-32 new course credit will have to be taken for a new Major, for example."

J. Webster noted that increased interest in the offering of multiple degrees had prompted the establishment of a sub-committee of SCUS, chaired by W. Roberts, to consider an accumulation of documentation on the topic which had been developed over the past five or more years. It was pointed out that the current proposal is a first step to reach a worthwhile objective and that, if and when experience suggests the necessity, adjustments can be made in the regulations.

W. Roberts was invited to join the assembly to provide information on the proposal. K. Rieckhoff enquired as to the processes utilized to generate a community consensus, and J. Webster confirmed that this most recent iteration had not been circulated beyond the Committee level although all Deans and Faculty curriculum committee chairmen are represented in the SCUS membership.

Moved by K. Rieckhoff, seconded by J. Crawley,

"That discussion be deferred to the next Senate meeting to give the faculties opportunity to comment if they wish to do so."

T. McNabb suggested that the proposal was of interest to students primarily and an opportunity for comment should be provided to the Student Society. This was accepted as a friendly amendment to the motion to defer.

In response to a question raised by L. Hale, the Secretary said that the proposal for Multiple Degrees, if approved, could be initiated for the Fall semester 1981 although a calendar entry could not be included in the next edition of the University calendar.

Following considerable debate on the merits of deferring consideration, question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION TO DEFER FAILED

During further consideration of the main motion, K. Rieckhoff pointed out a number of implications which could arise if the proposed policy were to be enacted, and the Secretary commented that the onus would be placed on counsellors and advisers to make decisions as to the legitimacy of applications to establish integrity and equitability and that the Extended Studies Diploma option likely would limit interest in second degrees.

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

S.M. 6/4/81

iii) Senate Committee on Agenda and Rules

a) Paper S.81-56 Addendum - Reports - Student Representation

Moved by W. Litwin, seconded by A. Wotherspoon,

"That Senate take from the table and continue debate and action on S.81-56 - Reports - Student Representation."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

Moved by K. Okuda, seconded by W. Litwin.

"That Senate approve that each Department in the Faculty of Arts be authorized to select one student representative to participate and vote in meetings of the Faculty of Arts and to vote in Faculty referenda. Each Department shall determine the qualifications, method of selection, and term of office of the student representative, but student representatives must be registered in the current or immediately preceding semester."

Amendment was moved by J. Hanson, seconded by W. Litwin,

"To replace 'Each Department' with 'Each Departmental Student Union' for determination of the student representative."

It was pointed out that not all departments have functional student unions. Lengthy and heated debate followed with student Senators insisting that responsibility for selection of representation belongs to the student body, and faculty Senators disclaiming sufficient student interest to facilitate development of curriculum issues.

An amendment to the amendment was proposed by L. Hale, "When the Department does not have a student union the Student Forum shall select the representative," which failed for lack of a seconder.

The amendment to the amendment by L. Hale was repeated by A. Wotherspoon but was not accepted by the Chairman as it was considered to be a separate amendment. An amendment to the amendment proposed by J. Hanson to change the word "select" to "elect" was also ruled out of order as contrary to the original amendment.

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT FAILED

An amendment was moved by H. Weinberg, seconded by R. Brown,

"To strike the word 'select' and substitute 'elect'; to strike 'method of selection;' to strike 'immediately

preceding semester;' and to insert 'students'
between 'that' and 'each.'"

The Secretary cautioned that careful consideration should be given to an amending change in wording inasmuch as the operating rules for qualification of student status for certain purposes is that the individual be currently registered or registered in the immediately preceding semester and undertake not to miss two consecutive registrations. T. Calvert questioned the authority of Senate to amend Paper S.81-65 given the wording of the University Act, Sections 39 and 40. The Chairman contended that the amendment was in order, stating that a legal opinion on the subject had been received.

A sub-amendment was moved by T. McNabb, seconded by J. Crawley,

"Where a student union exists within a department,
elections be carried out by the student union."

Question was called on the sub-amendment, and a vote taken.

SUB-AMENDMENT FAILED
14 in favor
16 opposed

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

Question was called on the main motion, as amended,

"That Senate approve that students in each department in the Faculty of Arts be authorized to elect one student representative to participate and vote in the meetings of the Faculty of Arts and to vote in Faculty referenda. Each Department shall determine the qualifications and term of office of the student representative, but student representatives must be registered in the current semester.

AMENDED MAIN MOTION
CARRIED
20 in favor
8 opposed

6. REPORTS OF FACULTIES

There were no reports from faculties.

7. OTHER BUSINESS

There was no other business.

8. NOTICE OF MOTION

As indicated by K. Rieckhoff at the previous meeting and recorded in the minutes, a notice of motion was

Moved by K. Rieckhoff, seconded by J. Crawley,

"That the Faculty of Education, the Faculty of Interdisciplinary Studies, and the Faculty of Science be directed to take action in discharging their responsibility in connection with Section 39(b) of the University Act."

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

9. INFORMATION

The assembly recessed briefly at 9:25 p.m., prior to moving into Closed Session.

H. M. Evans
Secretary