

DRAFT UNTIL APPROVED BY SENATE

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE SENATE OF SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY HELD
MONDAY, JULY 9, 1984, KLAUS RIECKHOFF HALL, 7:00 P.M.

OPEN SESSION

Present: Saywell, W.G., Chairman

Archer, B.
Barrow, R.
Basham, G.
Berggren, J.L.
Brown, R.C.
Bruskiewich, R.
Calvert, T.W.
Camp, C.
Cavers, J.K.
Chin, K.C.
Cochran, J.F.
Crawford, C.B.
Dalby, S.
Dickinson, J.
Flynn, G.
Graham, G.A.C.
Hoegg, J.L.
Holweg, B.
Hoyt, G.C.
Kameda, T.
Kazepides, A.C.
MacPherson, A.
McDonald, D.
McGeer, B.T.
Munro, J.M.
Nielsen, V.
Okuda, K.
Page, C.
Pinfield, L.T.
Rieckhoff, K.E.
Ross, J.
Stewart, R.
Strate, G.
Swartz, N.M.
Tuirman, J., representing J.W.G. Ivany
Warsh, M.I.
Webster, J.M.
Weinberg, H.
Wells, E.J.
Wideen, M.F.
Zeller, P.

Absent: Cleveland, W.L.
D'Auria, J.M.
Dobb, T.C.
Fellman, M.
George, D.A.
Hamilton, W.M.
Kimball, M.
McAllister, H.
Noonan, M.

In attendance:
Blaney, J.P.
Heath, N.

Evans, H.M., Secretary
Grant, B., Recording Secretary

1. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

The Agenda was approved as distributed.

2. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

The Minutes of the Open Session of May 14, 1984 were approved as distributed.

3. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

There was no business arising.

4. REPORT OF THE CHAIRMAN

a) The Chairman reported the approval by the Board of Governors of two new appointments to the University; that of a new Registrar, Mr. Ron Heath, to begin office September 1, 1984 and the appointment of George Ivany as Vice-President Academic, effective September 1, 1984. The Chairman wished to acknowledge and express appreciation to J. Munro for the dedication, talent, integrity and thoroughness which he brought to this position during his term of office as Vice-President Academic.

b) The Chairman reported that the Board of Governors had ratified the salary settlement which faculty members had approved. He felt this action by faculty showed an unparalleled level of institutional citizenship and concern for colleagues, job security and for the current economic environment and indicated his commitment to the restoration of faculty salaries to the level of national competitiveness at the earliest moment possible.

c) Following review of files regarding tenure and promotion, the Chairman wished to express how deeply impressed he was with the high level of quality that comes through time and time again in terms of the files, the resumes and the letters of reference.

d) The Chairman reported that he had written a letter to the former Prime Minister suggesting to him that should he find a return to academic life attractive Simon Fraser University would certainly be interested.

e) As a result of information that the national political leaders might be looking for an academic forum in which to hold a debate, a cable had been sent under the signatures of the Chairman of the SFU Board of Governors, the President of the SFU Faculty Association and the President of SFU to E. Broadbent, B. Mulroney and J. Turner inviting them to participate in a public forum to discuss issues of national concern. It was suggested that the meeting take place on the SFU campus prior to the end of the Summer semester 3 August 1984.

5. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

1) Senate Nominating Committee

a) Paper S.84-38 - Elections

Following are the results of elections to fill vacancies on a number of Senate Committees:

Senate Committee on Academic Planning(SCAP)/Senate Committee on University Budget(SCUB)

One Senator at-large to replace W. Leiss for balance term of office, from date of

election to September 30, 1984.

Elected by acclamation: J. Dickinson

One Lay Member Senator to replace M.J. Overholt for balance term of office, from date of election to September 30, 1984.

Ballots cast: 37

Ballots spoiled: 7

Individual totals: Basham 13
Holweg 17

Individual receiving the higher
number of votes and declared elected: B.A. Holweg

One Student Senator to replace A.J. Wotherspoon for balance term of office, from date of election to September 30, 1984.

Elected by acclamation: B. Archer

Senate Committee on Agenda and Rules (SCAR)

One Senator at-large to replace M.D. Harris for balance term of office, from date of election to September 30, 1985.

Elected by acclamation: E.J. Wells

One Student Senator to replace A.J. Wotherspoon for balance term of office, from date of election to September 30, 1985.

Elected by acclamation: G. Flynn

Senate Committee on Continuing Studies (SCCS)

Two Senators at-large to replace L.M. Prock and E.W. Banister for balance term of office, from date of election to September 30, 1985.

Elected by acclamation: R. Barrow
G.A.C. Graham

One Lay Member Senator to replace S.D. Carter for balance term of office, from date of election to September 30, 1985.

Elected by acclamation: M. Warsh

One Student Senator Alternate to replace H.A. Tham for balance term of office, from date of election to September 30, 1984.

Ballots cast: 39

Ballots spoiled: 12

Individual totals: Dalby 16
McAllister 11

Individual receiving the higher number
of votes and declared elected: S. Dalby

Senate Committee on Honorary Degrees (SCHD)

One Lay Member Senator to replace M.J. Overholt for no specified term of office.
Elected by acclamation: B.A. Holweg

One Student Senator to replace S. Kristen for no specified term of office.
Elected by acclamation: S. Dalby

Senate Library Committee (SLC)/Library Penalties Appeal Committee (LPAC)

One Student Senator and one Student Senator Alternate to replace H.A. Tham and A.J. Wotherspoon respectively for balance term of office, from date of election to September 30, 1984.

Elected by acclamation: K.C. Chin
H. McAllister (Alternate)

Senate Nominating Committee (SNC)

Two Senators at-large to replace W. Leiss and C. Jones respectively for balance terms of office, from date of election to September 30, 1984.

Elected by acclamation: J. Dickinson
G.A.C. Graham

One Student Senator Alternate to replace A.J. Wotherspoon for balance term of office, from date of election to September 30, 1984.

No Nominations Received

Senate Committee on Scholarships, Awards and Bursaries (SCSAB)

One Lay Member Senator to fill existing vacancy for balance term of office, from date of election to September 30, 1984.

Elected by acclamation: M. Warsh

Senate Undergraduate Admissions Board (SUAB)

One Student Senator Alternate to replace S. Rositch for balance term of office, from date of election to September 30, 1984.

Elected by acclamation: C. Camp

Senate Appeals Board (SAB)

One Faculty Senator Alternate to replace B. Schachter from date of election for no specified term of office.

Elected by acclamation: J. Ross

Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies (SCUS)

One Lay Member Senator to replace S.D. Carter for no specified term of office.

Elected by acclamation: G.D. Basham

Four Student Senator Alternates to replace J. Ayala, N. Finberg, H.A. Tham and N. Grant for no specified term of office.

Ballots cast: 39
Ballots spoiled: 12

Individual totals:	Bruskiewich	20
	Chin	22
	Flynn	22
	McAllister	25
	Zeller	19

Four individuals receiving the highest number of votes and declared elected:

H. McAllister
K.C. Chin
G. Flynn
R. Bruskiewich

ii) Senate Committee on Academic Planning/Senate Committee on Continuing Studies

a) Paper S.84-39 - Proposed School for Liberal and Professional Studies

Moved by J. Munro, seconded by R. Brown,

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-39, the proposed School for Liberal and Professional Studies"

J. Blaney, former Dean of Continuing Studies, was in attendance in support of the proposal and was seated to participate in discussion.

J. Munro introduced the paper by indicating that the proposal provides a means for more effective marketing of the non-credit programs which the University offers and the new name is intended to attract both instructors and students and give a higher level of visibility and prestige to the university's non-credit program activities.

Speaking in opposition, K. Rieckhoff noted that the arguments presented in support of the proposal were not very convincing and since the University was in the process of determining its priorities, he felt expansion in this area was not appropriate until the review of the PACCUP committee was complete and goals and priorities for the University were defined.

In reply, J. Munro expressed belief that the area of non-credit programming would continue to be an important priority in the University and that this proposal enabled the University to do more non-credit programming with less money.

R. Brown indicated that the budget for non-credit activities for the University in general had been severely reduced over the past two years and if Continuing Studies wished to continue its level of operation as previously maintained it was absolutely crucial to provide a suitable vehicle to raise funds. It was pointed out

that appointments of Faculty, Associates, etc. had to be made in the normal way through departments and deans so there was a guarantee to provide academic quality and yet the proposal encouraged Continuing Studies, and the new School, to be able to fund itself.

R. Stewart argued that consideration of the proposal should be postponed until completion of the PACCUP review which would determine academic planning priorities and goals for the University and this could then be better evaluated in a larger context. The University was planning a major downtown concept and objection was made to the fact that one step out of a possible three program initiatives was being considered. He felt consideration should be made in the context of all the proposed programs. Further objection was made to the committee route which the proposal had taken, i.e. the Downtown Planning Committee had not been consulted prior to the proposal going to SCAP.

Moved by R. Stewart, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

"That the proposal be referred to the Senate Committee on Academic Planning"

At the request of the Chair, J. Blaney provided background information with regard to the committee route which the proposal had taken and indicated his belief that the proposal had received sufficient consideration and approval, including such from the Downtown Campus Planning Committee.

Although not particularly concerned with respect to the route by which the proposal took, L. Pinfield spoke in favour of the referral motion because he felt the proposal lacked concrete and specific statements and suggested referral should be with a specific request for further detailed information as to exactly what is being prescribed for approval.

Question was called on the motion to refer, and a vote taken.

MOTION TO REFER FAILED

Considerable discussion ensued in which a variety of opinions were expressed.

Question was called on the main motion, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

111) Senate Committee on Academic Planning/Senate Graduate Studies Committee

a) Paper S.84-40 - Sociology/Anthropology

Moved by J. Webster, seconded by A. Kazepides,

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-40, the proposed curriculum changes to the Graduate Program in the Department of Sociology and Anthropology, including:

(1) The reduction of M.A. course requirements:

FROM: a minimum of 6 courses (2 of which may be readings

courses)

TO: a minimum of 4 courses (1 of which may be a readings course, and one

of which must be the proposed Research Design Seminar (S.A. 857-5)

(2) The introduction of a new Graduate Seminar in Sociology and Anthropology (S.A. 840-2/S.A.841-0) that all M.A. and Ph.D. students will normally be required to enrol in each semester that the course is offered (i.e. Spring and Fall semesters each year). Credit for S.A. 840-2/841-0 will not constitute part of the minimum course credit requirements for the M.A. or Ph.D. degrees. Grading for S.A. 840-2/841-0 will be restricted to Satisfactory/Unsatisfactory (S/U).

(3) The introduction of the stipulation that the minimum four courses required of Ph.D. students may include one readings course and must include the proposed Research Design Seminar (S.A. 857-5).

(4) The retitling of the comprehensive examination required at the doctoral level as a qualifying examination (which must still be completed before the student proceeds to work on the thesis prospectus).

(5) The deletion of the following courses from the graduate calendar:

- S.A. 808 Sociology of Industrial Societies
- S.A. 810 Urban Studies
- S.A. 815 Sociology of Knowledge
- S.A. 819 Social Stratification
- S.A. 822 Sociology of Religion
- S.A. 831 Family and Kinship Systems
- S.A. 855 Methodological Issues
- S.A. 869 Myth, Ritual and Symbolism
- S.A. 894 Master's Seminar
- S.A. 895 Doctoral Seminar

(6) The addition of the following new courses to the graduate curriculum:

- S.A. 840-2/841-0 (S/U) Graduate Seminar
- S.A. 857-5 Research Design Seminar
- S.A. 882-5 Selected Problems in Sociological Analysis
- S.A. 884-5 Selected Problems in Anthropological Analysis
- S.A. 886-5 Selected Problems in Social Analysis"

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

iv) Senate Graduate Studies Committee

a) Paper S.84-41 - Kinesiology

Moved by J. Webster, seconded by T. Calvert,

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-41, the proposed new graduate courses:

KIN. 810-3 Seminar in Exercise Biochemistry

KIN. 826-3 Motor Control: A Behavioural Perspective"

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

b) Paper S.84-42 - Biological Sciences

Moved by J. Webster, seconded by K. Rieckhoff,

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-42, the proposed new graduate course:

BISC 817-3 Social Insects"

Question was called, and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

c) Paper S.84-43 - Graduate Studies General Regulations

Moved by J. Webster, seconded by J. Tuirman,

"That Senate approve and recommend approval to the Board of Governors, as set forth in S.84-43, the following change in the General Regulations for Graduate Studies as 1.9.1(d): 'In those examinations in which an External Examiner is included, the External Examiner shall have qualifications at a level appropriate to full participation at a Master's Degree examination'"

K. Rieckhoff expressed concern about the implication of having a statement of this kind in the Calendar and pointed out that a written regulation was not required since the Dean already had the necessary authority in this area.

J. Webster explained that problems have arisen in the past because of the lack of regulations and he felt that a specific regulation would be useful in supporting the Dean's position when necessary.

Brief discussion followed and some concerns were expressed with regard to the proposed wording. It was suggested that the mover/seconder might accept withdrawal of the paper and, as there was no objection from the Assembly, the paper was withdrawn by the Dean of Graduate Studies for revision.

v) Senate Committee on Academic Discipline

a) Paper S.84-44 - Report

The Report of the Senate Committee on Academic Discipline was presented to Senate for information.

In reply to an inquiry as to whether or not a report of the actions taken either

by the President or the Senate Committee on Academic Discipline was sent back to the department(s) involved, M. Wideen, Chairman of the Committee, indicated that departments had been notified of the disposition of all four cases referred to in the Report.

T. Calvert expressed concern about the current regulations which he felt did not operate very well and indicated his hope that the new Vice-President Academic would initiate a review of the current policies.

J. Munro explained that the policies were originally developed by an ad hoc Senate committee and he felt they should be reviewed in the same way. The Chairman indicated that it would be appropriate if a proposal were put before the Senate Committee on Agenda and Rules for consideration and presentation to Senate.

vi) Senate Undergraduate Admissions Board

a) Paper S.84-45 - Annual Report

The Annual Report of the Senate Undergraduate Admissions Board was presented to Senate for information.

In reply to an inquiry from C. Crawford regarding the acceptance of transfer credits from Coquitlam College, J. Munro provided brief background information on the College, explained generally how decisions are made with regard to transfer credits, and indicated that approval is given for a trial period in which the academic performance of students who have entered from Coquitlam College are reviewed before extending the recognition further.

vii) Senate Appeals Board

a) Paper S.84-46 - Annual Report

The Annual Report of the Senate Appeals Board was presented to Senate for information. N. Heath, Director of Admissions, was seated in order to respond to questions.

In reply to an inquiry from K. Rieckhoff about what appeared to be a high percentage of sustained appeals, N. Heath explained that appeals are carefully screened and that a large number are not submitted to SAB but handled directly by himself and therefore he did not feel that the ratio was out of line.

K. Okuda expressed a concern about the policy of retroactive withdrawal in general and noted that the question itself should perhaps be reconsidered.

6. REPORTS OF FACULTIES

There were no reports from Faculties.

7. OTHER BUSINESS

a) Paper S.84-47 - Dean of Continuing Studies Search Committee

Moved by J. Munro, seconded by K. Okuda,

"That Senate approve the selection procedures and terms of reference established by the Board of Governors for the Dean of Continuing Studies Search Committee"

K. Rieckhoff wished to draw Senate's attention to the introduction in this proposal of two new concepts which past search committees did not contain; that of the inclusion of two outsiders "students" and the fact that these "students" are not selected by the body they represent, i.e. the Student Society.

The Chairman reported, for the information of Senate, that communication had been received from the President of the Student Society raising the same concerns.

H. Weinberg noted that the composition of the committee appeared to by-pass the electoral process which is common in other Dean's search committees. J. Munro explained that attempt has been made to provide representation to persons who have an interest in the selection of a Dean of Continuing Studies and also have some expertise to bring to bear on that selection. He therefore felt the electoral process would not contribute much to this particular kind of search committee.

G. Flynn expressed concern that the proposed composition of the committee would not necessarily include student representation.

Amendment moved by G. Flynn, seconded by R. Stewart,

"That the conditions of item #5 be amended to read "Appointed by the President from of a list of no more than 10 persons submitted by the Student Society"

N. Swartz inquired with respect to Senate's right to make amendments to this motion. The Secretary advised that there is provision for twin veto and that as the power originates with the Board, Senate technically has the right to approve or reject; however it is proper for Senate to indicate its concerns to the Board and to indicate the changes which Senate would make if accepted by the Board. This is usually achieved by dealing with a copy of the paper through amendments. Senate was also informed that should amendments be passed and subsequently approved by the Board, there would be agreement between the two bodies and the item would not be brought back to Senate for implementation.

Considerable debate followed.

An amendment to the amendment was proposed by J. Tuirman, seconded by R. Barrow, "That the conditions of Item #5 be amended to read 'One student appointed by the President from a list of five persons submitted by the Student Society and one Community Person appointed by the President from a list of five persons submitted by the Continuing Studies Program Directors in consultation with the Alumni Association'"

The amendment to the amendment was substantively different in spirit from the original amendment and was ruled out of order. J. Tuirman indicated intent to move a new amendment should the original amendment fail.

Question was called on the original amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT FAILED.

An amendment on the same section was moved by J. Tuinman, seconded by G. Strate,

"That the conditions of Item #5 be amended to read "(1) One student - appointed by the President from a list of no more than five persons submitted by the Student Society; (ii) and one community person - from a list of no more than five persons submitted by the Continuing Studies Program Directors in consultation with the Alumni Association"

The Chair indicated intent to call the question on the amendment. R. Stewart challenged the decision of the Chair and a vote was taken on the challenge. The decision of the Chair sustained.

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED.

Discussion followed with respect to what was meant by consultation with the Alumni Association and the difficulties associated with this suggestion were pointed out.

Further amendment to the motion as amended was moved by R. Bruskiewich, seconded by R. Stewart,

"That the conditions of Item #5 read (i) one student - appointed by the President from a list of no more than five persons submitted by the Student Society and (ii) one community person - from a list of no more than five persons submitted by the executive of the Alumni Association"

Question was called on the amendment, and a vote taken.

AMENDMENT CARRIED.

Question was called on the main motion as amended, and a vote taken.

MOTION AS AMENDED
CARRIED

b) Retirement of H.M. Evans

K. Rieckhoff requested that the following statement form part of the record:

"Mr. Chairman:

Some of us are - and the rest of us shortly will be - painfully aware that tonight is the last Senate meeting with our much beloved and universally respected Secretary Harry M. Evans at your side.

This meeting would have to extend way beyond its set time limits if I were to catalogue all of Harry's merits and all his contributions to SFU in general and to this body, the Senate of SFU in particular. I shall, therefore, restrict myself to the following observation.

Harry has been SFU's third Registrar and the 2nd Secretary of Senate. He came to SFU in 1968 and in the 16 years since that time he has successfully shepherded a

succession of Presidents of the Regular, the Acting, and the regularly acting variety through the procedural jungle of Senate Regulations and University Acts and done the same for the innumerable Chairs of a large number of Senate and University Committees, standing and ad-hoc, active and not-so-active.

Harry has done all this and much more throughout these 16 years with consummate skill and tact, as well as with unending patience. His successors will have no easy task to measure up to the standards of performance to which we have become accustomed. All of us owe a lot to Harry and thus, as a token of our gratitude to and affection for Harry I make the following motion:

Moved by Klaus E. Rieckhoff and seconded by Valerie Nielsen:

'That the Senate of Simon Fraser University express for the record its profound appreciation of and sincere thanks to Harry M. Evans for the superb dedication, competence, and integrity with which he has served this Senate and this University for the past 16 years.

Furthermore, this Senate wishes Harry M. Evans the very best for his forthcoming retirement. May the pleasures of the coming years be compensation and reward for the often onerous and heavy burdens he has in these past years carried with us and often for us.'"

Senate expressed its unanimous recognition by a prolonged standing applause.

8. NOTICES OF MOTION

There were no notices of motion.

9. INFORMATION

The next regular meeting of Senate is scheduled for Monday, August 13, 1984.

At 9:45 p.m. the Assembly moved directly into Closed Session.

H.M. Evans,
Secretary.