



SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY
ENGAGING THE WORLD

Minutes of a Meeting of the Senate of Simon Fraser University held on
Monday, February 6, 2023 at 5:30 pm at the West Mall Complex (Room 3210)
and via Zoom Video Conferencing

Open Session

Present: Joy Johnson, Chair

Ahsan, Shariq
Andreoiu, Corina
Arseneault, Donovan
Bird, Gwen
Brooks-Wilson, Angela
Bubela, Tania
Chapman, Glenn
Chessel, Patrick
Coleman, Gordon
Collard, Mark
Daniel, Bryan
Denholm, Julia
Derksen, Jeff
Dhesa, Priyanka
Elle, Elizabeth
Everton, Mike
Faris, Reema
Fiume, Eugene
Gray, Bonnie
Hendrigan, Holly
Herrenbrueck, Lucas
Karaivanov, Alexander
Krogman, Naomi
Kumpulainen, Kristiina
Laitsch, Dan
Leznoff, Daniel
Liu, Connie
Magnusson, Kris
Martell, Matt
Masri, Kamal
Masri, Lynn
McKenzie, Janis
McTavish, Rob
Muntean, Reese
Murphy, David
Myers, Gord
Nelson, Todd
Neustaedter, Carman

O'Neil, Dugan
Pantophlet, Ralph
Parkhouse, Wade
Parmar, Abhishek
Percival, Colin
Rashmi, Samiya
Shinkar, Igor
Sidhu, Harneer
Silverman, Michael
Spector, Stephen
Stockie, John
Tingling, Peter (for Ujwal Kayande)
Van Veen, Melissa
Weldon, Laurel
Williams, JF
Williams, Vance
Yao, Grace

Absent:

Bhalloo, Shafik
Brennand, Tracy
Kayande, Ujwal
Lam, Allan
Nagy, Judit
Nepomnaschy, Pablo
Parent, Michael
Schiphorst, Thecla
Subbiah, Arun
Tran, Lisa
Vrooman, Tamara

In Attendance:

Davis, Trevor
Westerlaken, Kristie

1. Approval of the Agenda

The agenda was approved as distributed.

2. Approval of the Minutes of the Open Session of December 5, 2022

The minutes of the open session on December 5, 2022 were approved as distributed.

3. Approval of the Minutes of the Open Session of January 9, 2023

The minutes of the open session on January 9, 2023 were approved as distributed.

4. Business Arising from the Minutes

There was no business arising from the minutes.

5. Report of the Chair

In honour of both Black History Month and the International Decade for People of African Descent, the Chair recognized the contributions of black students, faculty and staff to the SFU community. The Chair also acknowledged groups on campus, including the Black Caucus and SFU SOCA for the work they do in supporting Black members of the community, holding the leadership team accountable, and helping to build a more inclusive and equitable campus.

The Chair reported that students from the Beedie School of Business recently competed in the 17th annual JDC West. At the competition, Beedie was named JDC West School of the Year and JDC West Academic School of the Year. This is the third time SFU has held this honour in the past five years, beating schools like Sauder, Haskayne, Alberta and UVic, among others.

The Chair reported that after being approved by Senate, What's Next: The SFU Strategy was officially approved by the Board of Governors at the January 26th Board meeting. Moving forward, the Chair will organize her report around the four priorities outlined in the plan: Uphold Truth and Reconciliation, Engage in Global Challenges, Make a Difference for BC, and Transform the SFU Experience.

The Chair reported that last week she attended the official opening for the expansion of SFU's Indigenous Student Centre on the Burnaby campus. The expanded space offers new work and study spaces to support student success, including computer stations and a meeting room with web conferencing equipment. It also features a kitchen, drumming room and opportunities for cultural connections through the ISC's programs.

Informed by existing Indigenous naming protocols and processes, the Chair reported that various revitalization projects related to Indigenous naming at SFU are currently active and being steered by the Indigenous Naming Committee (INC). The INC is led by Ron Johnston, Director of the Office for Aboriginal Peoples, alongside Chris Lewis, Director of Indigenous Initiatives and Reconciliation. The INC is making progress toward creating principles and an institutional approach to guide Indigenous naming at SFU. Phase One projects include three campus names, four Indigenous student space names, the Faculty of Education's Truth and Reconciliation Garden and Outdoor Classroom, and the Indigenous Curriculum Resource Centre.

The Chair reported there have been many conversations in the post-secondary sector around the need for processes that affirm Indigenous identity, specifically when it comes to the University granting honours, awards and scholarships based on identity. SFU's approach to date has been to trust individuals who identify as Indigenous, with an aim to avoid reinscribing colonial identity practices. The University is aware that there have been cases of false Indigenous identification, bringing up questions around how people can prove this, but it is also recognized that the development of such a validation needs to be led by Indigenous people and take place in consultation with Indigenous communities. Under the leadership of Chris Lewis, Director of Indigenous Initiatives and Reconciliation, the Office of the President is convening a working group that will engage with members of Indigenous communities to establish an Indigenous identity process for the University this year. As this is a national post-secondary institutional issue, SFU has reached out to the President of the First Nations University of Canada to discuss ways SFU can work with them on a planned national dialogue.

The Chair reported that last week, SFU held its first Consular Corps Reception in several years. The reception provided the opportunity to connect with consular officers who represent countries from around the world, and to talk about SFU's efforts to build a more inclusive and sustainable future both internationally and at home.

The Chair reported that in August 2021, SFU submitted a proposal entitled *Community-Centred Climate Innovation (C3I)* to the Canada First Research Excellence Fund (CFREF). The C3I research program will help local and international partners reduce barriers to cleantech innovation and advance climate resiliency by leveraging funding and expertise with SFU's resources. SFU submitted a \$174M proposal to CFREF, including a \$97M contribution from the federal government. The proposal includes Waterloo as an academic partner and about 60 other organizations in the community. SFU was invited to present in the final stage of the competition in January, and will find out the final results by the end of February.

The Chair reported that in January she attended the opening of the SFU Innovation Plaza at SFU's Surrey campus, which will house the SFU-led B.C. Centre for Agritech Innovation and Quantum Algorithms Institute, among others.

The Chair reported that she met with Deputy Minister of Post-Secondary Education and Future Skills, Bobbi Plecas. The meeting provided an opportunity to impress upon the Minister the importance of continuing to consider SFU's operating budget and money for capital, including the Integrated Life Sciences Building.

The Chair reported that in January she wrapped up a "Faculty Tour" that started last fall – meeting with every faculty at SFU to hear directly about how things are going. Feedback focused on ways to transform the faculty and staff experience by getting rid of red tape, enhancing service offerings and making it easier for people to do their jobs.

The Chair reported that the University recently completed a community consultation regarding the change in policy authority for the Human Rights Policy (GP18) and Bullying and Harassment Policy (GP47) and have reviewed the feedback. This topic has been of interest to employee groups and others across the university, and has demonstrated the need to talk more about human

rights at SFU. In looking back on the decision to move the Human Rights Office and Bullying and Harassment Hub reporting, it was acknowledged that the change and communication around that change could have been managed better. The intent was to strengthen SFU's commitment and response to human rights and bullying and harassment concerns through this move.

Concerns were raised for many years from various community members that the approach to case management was lacking and fragmented. The co-location of these offices was intended to help address that, and to alleviate stress and pressure on complainants. The key piece of feedback received is the perception of independence of the offices. To respond to this feedback, it is being proposed that both the policy authority and office reporting move to the University Secretary, once that position is permanently filled, as the responsible executive. Feedback on these proposed changes will be sought from the community through a formal consultation. That consultation will be hosted by the General Counsel, will launch within the next few weeks, and will be open for one month. In addition to the formal consultation, members of SFU senior leadership will host dedicated conversations with key stakeholders. A final decision will be made following this consultation.

Noting that the Research Ethics Board (REB) will be addressed later on the agenda, the Chair reported that she did receive a letter signed by over 250 people with respect to the REB.

The Chair reported that the Provost search continues and that the executive recruiter supporting the search is actively assembling a roster of candidates for the search committee's consideration.

The Chair reported that Tamara Vrooman's 3-year term as SFU Chancellor ends in June of this year. As per Policy B10.12 - Selection of a Chancellor, a joint committee of the Board of Governors, Alumni Association and the Senate has been struck. Given that Tamara is willing to stand for reappointment, the committee's job will be considering whether or not she should be reappointed.

i) Board of Governors Update

Angie Lamarsh, Board of Governors Chair, addressed Senate on the role of the Board and finding ways to strengthen the relationship between the Board and Senate. Angie is the Head of Sustainable Finance, Commercial Banking at HSBC Bank Canada, and is an SFU graduate with a Bachelor of Arts in International Security & Conflict and a Master of Arts in International Development.

In an effort to improve transparency and information sharing the following Board updates were provided:

- On January 26th the Board officially approved What's Next: The SFU Strategy as SFU's strategic plan moving forward. Given this approval, the role of the Board will now be to ensure that the executive team is delivering on the strategy, determining how best to operationalize the plan and figuring out what type of reporting structure is appropriate.
- On January 26th the Board approved construction for the First People's Gathering House at the Burnaby campus. Construction will begin in spring 2023.
- The Board has initiated a governance review to ensure that its structures and processes are best supporting the institution and its members.

- On the subject of the medical school, the Board is working to ensure that it will be fully funded by government and will not draw on resources from the University.
- The Board is looking at the issue of student affordability. With the University facing budgetary challenges over the next few years, consideration must be given to ensuring that folks are paid a living wage, addressing critical maintenance and campus upkeep, keeping research projects moving forward, and figuring out how SFU can support students as cost of living continues to rise.

A question was asked as to what greater Board and Senate collaboration might look like. Senate was informed that aside from the occasional Board-Senate retreat there has been very little sustained collaboration between the two governing bodies, but that there may be opportunity for joint Board and Senate committees, especially in areas of key overlap, like with the medical school.

A question was asked if the Board is prepared to draw a line if the government is not fully prepared to fund the medical school. Senate was informed that discussion at the Board has centered on a fully funded program.

ii) Report of the Provost

The Provost provided the following enrollment updates:

- SFU is 4% above target from the government on domestic undergraduate FTEs.
- There have been 29,500 unique students registered for courses at SFU last year and 195,000 seats filled.
- 23,100 AFTEs were delivered.
- For Spring 2023, 6800 new students were registered.
- For 2022, SFU was 0.6% off for funded AFTEs from what was projected. For international enrollment, there was a 6.6% decrease in undergraduate international AFTEs.
- At the graduate level, there were 3550 students in terms of program FTEs, exceeding the Ministry target by 21%.
- Annualized headcount at the graduate level is 4300, roughly a 1% decrease from last year.
- AFTEs were up significantly in Applied Sciences and down in Business, with the remaining faculties being flat. Regular AFTEs were up 2.6%, but specialty AFTEs, those that charge a premium fee, were down 8%.

The Provost reported that the SCUS is currently reviewing elective grading, and is looking at scheduling, micro credentials and general education, and is regularly meeting with Fraser International College.

The Provost reported that the searches for the Dean of Graduate Studies and the Dean of Education are progressing well and have received a significant number of applicants, but that it is still early in the process.

iii) Medical School Update

The Provost provided the following updates:

- The first community consultation takes place online on March 2nd.
- Conversations are taking place around research opportunities and meetings are being held with internal bodies and in preparing for the consultation session.
- SFU continues to work with government on the business case and it's anticipated having some financial costing information in April.
- The business case will be submitted at the end of May. This marks the beginning of a six-month process of dealing with government in terms of finalizing that business case to ensure adequate funding.
- The provincial government is working to enhance primary care and access to family doctors across the province, and that's the goal of the SFU medical program.
- The government has provided financial support to SFU and has thus far provided \$6.4 million to help facilitate preplanning, accreditation work, the development of curriculum and do related space planning.
- The University is trying to create a program where medical students and residents learn in team-based primary care settings, which are patient-centered and consider social, environmental, and prevention contexts.
- The medical school will be based in Surrey, with place-based learning opportunities across the province.
- First Nations, Inuit and Métis knowledge systems and perspectives will be embedded throughout the school, with strong connections to remote, rural, and Indigenous communities.
- In terms of size, the medical school will be small. The first class will likely be 40 to 60 students, with that number increasing to a maximum of 100 to 120 over a period of years.

In response to a question asked earlier in the meeting as to when Senate will be expected to make a recommendation or not on the medical school, Senate was informed that such a decision will be made by Fall 2024. This is based on the need to issue a notice of intent and to allow the process to go through SCUS and SCUP.

A question was asked as to when the first class of students would be situated. Senate was informed that the first class would not be in place until fall 2026, given that there is a three-year accreditation process to go through.

Referring to numbers on costing provided at the December meeting, a concern was raised that such numbers would leave the University with a substantial deficit, necessitating transfers from the rest of the University to compensate. Senate was informed that SFU is only in the early stages of this process and that the numbers provided in December were tentative and based on limited program information. At a recent SCUP meeting those numbers were corrected, with the costing being \$125,000 per student, as opposed to \$200,000 per student. It was noted that more concrete numbers will be forthcoming in April, once KPMG assesses the proposed medical program rather than a generic program.

A question was asked if Senate will be establishing the admission targets for the medical school. Senate was informed that Senate will set the admission requirements and targets for the medical school.

6. Question Period

No questions were submitted.

7. Reports of Committees

A) Senate Committee on University Honours (SCUH)

i) Changes to the Policy and Procedures on Granting and Revoking Honorary Degrees (S.23-16)

Moved by S. Spector, seconded by M. Collard

“That Senate approve the policy and procedures for granting and revoking an SFU Honorary Degree.”

A concern was raised around a single person being able to bring forward a request to revoke an honorary degree. Senate was informed that the process to revoke an honorary degree is based on the process to revoke the Order of Canada or the Order of British Columbia, both of which allow for a single person to make that request. It is believed that requiring approval by both SCUH and Senate should help alleviate the potential for frivolous requests.

Noting that an honorary degree may be considered for revocation if *the original grounds for conferring the degree are determined to be unfounded*, a concern was raised with the vagueness of the word *unfounded*. Senate was informed that moving forward a process will be initiated where the citation for the honorary degree will be checked by the honorary degree recipient. In this context *unfounded* means that if things that are claimed in that citation are found not to be true then there is potentiality for the honorary degree to be revoked.

Under *Conflict of Interest*, a concern was raised with the inclusion of language stating that *members of SCUH are not eligible to nominate a candidate to receive an honorary degree*. Senate was informed that when members are considering honorary degree candidates, they are looking at a slate of candidates rather than just a single candidate and that even if a member recuses themselves from voting on a candidate they had nominated, such a scenario could still impact other candidates. It was also felt that restricting committee members from nominating candidates would alleviate the potential for any one member of the committee to disproportionately sway the opinion of other members.

Under *Selection*, a question was asked to explain the rationale for SCUH recognizing *emerging leaders*. Senate was informed that the University is looking for candidates that will inspire students at convocation and that it can be beneficial to acknowledge worthy candidates in the midst of their careers rather than at the end. It was added that such candidates still need to meet other eligibility criteria.

A question was called and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

B) Calendar Committee (CC)**i) Academic Dates Fall 2023 (S.23-2)**

Moved by S. Spector, seconded by A. Parmar

“That Senate approve the revised schedule of academic dates for the Fall 2023 term, repurposing Tuesday, October 10th 2023 as a “Monday” for scheduling purposes. Classes that would otherwise be held on Tuesday, October 10th, 2023, would be cancelled.”

A question was called and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

C) Senate Committee on Agenda and Rules (SCAR)**i) Procedure Changes – R 20.01 (S.23-17)**

Senate received Procedure Changes - R20.01 for information.

Kristie Westerlaken, Director - Research Ethics, and Trevor Davis, Executive Director - Research Operations, were in attendance to respond to questions.

Dugan O’Neil, Vice-President, Research and International and Kristie Westerlaken presented to Senate on the rationale for the proposed procedure changes and outlined some of those changes:

- The orientation for both the policy and procedures for R 20.01 (Ethics Review of Research Involving Human Participants) should be around protecting human participants in research.
- R 20.01 is a regulatory policy, meaning that there is an external body determining what the rules are and policy should be written to conform to those rules. In this case, the external regulator is Tri-Council Policy Statement 2 (TCPS2). There are other sets of external regulations that SFU must comply as well. To hold U.S. grants, SFU must comply with the Common Rule.
- External rules take precedence, meaning someone can be sanctioned at SFU for violating an external rule, even if it doesn’t violate SFU policy.
- Under TCPS2 SFU is required to establish an independent Research Ethics Board (REB).
- The REB should be considered an independent body that has been empowered by the Board of Governors and Senate to make certain decisions.
- R 20.01 states that the REB derives its authority from Senate, meaning that the REB has the authority to make independent decisions in relation to the ethical acceptability of a project.
- SFU has historically treated REB as if it were a committee of Senate and followed the Rules of Senate for populating the Board, even if it didn't follow the orientation of external regulation.
- TCPS2 guidelines explicitly state that senior administrators, including the VPRI, are prohibited from chairing the REB, from serving on the REB, or even being present in meetings in which decisions are made by the REB. Guidelines are also in place to prohibit REB members from discussing REB deliberations with outsiders.
- Given these guidelines, REB should be independent in its ability to review and make decisions on ethical acceptability of research without undue influence or interference. However, the REB and senior administrators are encouraged to form a strong relationship

- to discuss policy issues, general issues arising from the REB's activities, or training and educational needs, to the benefit of the overall operation and mandate of the REB. This has not been recent practice at SFU.
- Senate last discussed R 20.01 in April 2019, when it approved revisions to the policy. That same year, version 3 of a set of national standard operating procedures were published by the Canadian Association of Research Ethics Boards (CAREB). The current discussion is about adopting those national standard operating procedures (SOP) at SFU. Those SOPs are part of a broader national effort to standardize and harmonize research ethics policies across institutions.
 - Last year, the Research Ethics team held over 550 consultations with staff, faculty and students on Zoom and in-person to engage with the research community.
 - Legacy issues continue to hinder research ethics at SFU. There is a lack of understanding by many SFU stakeholders about the roles and responsibilities of the Office of Research Ethics (ORE), the VPRI, and the REB. This gap has led to a level of hostility and dismissiveness of research ethics at SFU.
 - Recommendations from an external report suggested that the VPRI update policies and procedures and look at rules and responsibilities of the ORE, as distinct from the REB and the VPRI.
 - Similar to other institutions across Canada, SFU Research Ethics staff should be responsible for administrative and operational aspects of REB education, the appointment of REB Members, managing forum requirements and a delegated review process.
 - Research Ethics staff have delegated authority to approve minimal risk projects as non-voting members of the REB. With ORE taking on the bulk of reviews, only eight studies went to the full board for review over the previous year.
 - Increases in REB membership and the requirement for all faculties to be represented has deviated from TCPS2 guidelines and has led to quorum issues.
 - Proposed changes look to go back to the five core members, thereby allowing SFU to meet compliance requirements and provide flexibility to deal with clinical trials, research alongside the medical school or other clinical research. It will also allow the REB to build a pool of non-member ad hoc advisors to assist when projects require special expertise not found within the Board.

Noting that membership for certain REB positions require Senate ratification, concern was raised with the fact that names have not been brought forward to Senate and with the plan to reduce the membership. Senate was informed that the goal is to reduce the size of the Board by not renewing members whose term had expired, while leaving in place those with time remaining. In January those expired members were informed that they would not be going through a renewal process, which lead to concerns being voiced by the SFU community.

A comment was made that the proposed changes to the REB, which seek to reduce the number of faculty involved in the process and reduce membership down to core members, impacts academic freedom by removing important checks on that process. This creates a potential scenario where a small number of people could have a large impact on the research goals of faculty members.

A comment was made that Senate is not the appropriate place to hold consultations on proposed policy and/or procedural changes. The nature of the changes would benefit from a more fulsome consultation, similar to what was recently done for the Human Rights Office. Though the VPRI does have the authority to make procedural changes, it was argued that there are voices that should be heard before making changes, including those of former members, who would have an informed perspective on how these changes might affect REB operations.

Noting the feedback provided and the suggestion to refer these changes back to committee, the following motion was moved:

Moved by G. Coleman, seconded by D. Laitsch

“The SFU Senate does not support the recent changes to the composition of the Research Ethics Board. We call on the VPRI to do four things: (1) pause these changes; (2) extend the expired terms of REB members by bringing to Senate nominations for renewal of their terms; (3) engage the Office for Research Ethics, the SFU Senate, the members of the REB, as well as the SFU research community in a process of consultation around these proposed changes; and (4) due to the complexity of these changes and the extent to which they interact with SFU Policy R 20.01, bring any proposed changes to REB procedures to Senate for formal approval. We hope and believe that - through consultation - a better path can be found which will address the administration's concerns while at the same time preserving the vital independence of the REB.”

Senate was informed that procedural matters are not voted on by Senate, thus part (4) of the motion should be struck from consideration.

Given concerns over the language of the proposed motion and over which clauses should be up for consideration within that motion, a suggestion to refer this issue to SCAR was made. Both the mover of the motion, and the seconder, agreed to refer it to SCAR with an agreement that the proposed changes not be implemented while SCAR is considering a path forward. As such, a motion to withdraw the proposed motion was made:

Moved by G. Coleman, seconded by D. Laitsch

“That the motion be withdrawn.”

A question was called and a vote taken to withdraw the motion.

MOTION CARRIED

With the motion to withdraw the motion being approved, a motion to refer this matter to SCAR was moved:

Moved by S. Spector, seconded by A. Parmar

“That S.23-17 (Procedure Changes – R 20.01) be referred to the Senate Committee on Agenda and Rules (SCAR).”

A question was called and a vote taken.

MOTION CARRIED

D) Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies (SCUS)**i) Program Changes (S.23-18)**

Senate received information that the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies, acting under delegated authority, approved program changes in the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences (Criminology, English, Global Humanities, Hellenic Studies Program, Philosophy, Psychology, School of Public Policy), the Beedie School of Business, the Faculty of Education, the Faculty of Environment (Archaeology, Geography), and the Faculty of Science (Physics).

ii) New Course Proposals (S.23-19)

Senate received information that the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies, acting under delegated authority, approved new course proposals in the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences (Philosophy), the Faculty of Environment (Geography), and the Faculty of Science (Biological Sciences, Molecular Biology and Biochemistry).

iii) Course Changes (S.23-20)

Senate received information that the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Studies, acting under delegated authority, approved course changes in the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences (History, Humanities, Philosophy, Political Science, Psychology), the Beedie School of Business, the Faculty of Communication, Art and Technology (School of Interactive Arts and Technology), the Faculty of Environment (Geography), and the Faculty of Science (Earth Sciences, Physics).

E) Senate Committee on University Teaching and Learning (SCUTL)**i) Implementation of the SETCwg and TAWG Reports (S.23-21)**

Senate received Implementation of the SETCwg and TAWG Reports for information.

F) Senate Graduate Studies Committee (SGSC)**i) Annual Report 2022 (S.23-22)**

Senate received Senate Graduate Studies Committee (SGSC) Annual Report 2022 for information.

ii) Program Changes (S.23-23)

Senate received information that the Senate Graduate Studies Committee, acting under delegated authority, approved program changes in the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences (Liberal Studies, History), and the Beedie School of Business.

iii) Graduate New Course Proposals (S.23-24)

Senate received information that the Senate Graduate Studies Committee, acting under delegated authority, approved new course proposals in the Faculty of Applied Sciences (School of Computing Science), the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences (French, History), the Beedie School of Business, and the Faculty of Science (Molecular Biology and Biochemistry).

iv) Graduate Course Changes (S.23-25)

Senate received information that the Senate Graduate Studies Committee, acting under delegated authority, approved course changes in the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences (History, School of Public Policy), the Beedie School of Business, and the Faculty of Science (Earth Sciences).

v) Graduate Course Reinstatement (S.23-26)

Senate received information that the Senate Graduate Studies Committee, acting under delegated authority, approved a course reinstatement in the Faculty of Applied Sciences (School of Engineering Science).

G) Senate Nominating Committee (SNC)

i) Senate Committee Elections (S.23-27)

Senate received a summary of the nominations, positions elected by acclamation, positions requiring an online vote, and outstanding vacancies for Senate committees.

H) Senate Policy Committee on Scholarships, Awards and Bursaries (SPCSAB)

i) Discontinuation of Automatic College Transfer Scholarships (S.23-28)

Senate received Discontinuation of Automatic College Transfer Scholarships for information.

ii) President's and Dean's Honour Roll Revised Terms of Reference (S.23-29)

Senate received President's and Dean's Honour Roll Revised Terms of Reference for information.

8. Other Business

9. Information

i) Date of the next regular meeting – Monday, March 6, 2023

Open session adjourned at 7:49 p.m.

Tom Nault
Senate Secretary