Chapter 3 – Perception & Pattern Recognition



Photoreceptors

- Cones Colour sensitive
 - Red
 - Green
 - Blue
- Rods Luminance sensitive – achromatic (white-black)





Colour Blindness (%)		
Anomalous Trichromacy	Males 6.3	Females 0.37
 Protationary (L-cone defect) Deuteranomaly (M-cone defect) 	1.30	.02
	5.00	.35
 Tritanomaly (S-cone defect) 	0.0001	0.0001
Dichromacy • Protanonia	2.4	0.03
(L-cone absent)	1.30	.02
Deuteranopia (M-cone absent)	1.20	.01
(S-cone absent)	0.001	0.03
Rod Monochromacy (no cones)	0.00001	0.00001



Refining the input

- Photoreceptors -> Bipolar Cells
- Bipolar Cells -> Ganglion Cells
- Ganglion Cells -> Visual Cortex

Refining the input

- Photoreceptors -> Bipolar Cells
- Bipolar Cells -> Ganglion Cells
- Ganglion Cells -> Visual Cortex
 - Opponent process
 - Red-green
 - Blue-yellow
 - White-Black

Sensation vs. Perception

- Sensation: the reception of stimulation from the environment and the initial encoding of that stimulation into the nervous system
- Perception: the process of interpreting and understanding sensory information

Saccades and Fixations

- **Saccade**: the quick movement of the eyes from one location to another
- saccades vary in duration (in part as a function of length) but often take about 25-100 msec
- *Fixation*: the brief period when the eyes stop moving and process the visual scene
- fixations also vary in duration (e.g., in reading) but typically last 200 msec or less

George Sperling (1960)

- his Ph.D. dissertation
- challenged the concept of limited perceptual span
- introduced the concept of iconic memory as a sensory store

The Apparatus

- tachistoscope (T-scope) developed by Cattell (1895)
- takhistos (most swift)
 + scopein (to see)
- permits brief display and rapid switch between displays

Sperling (1960)

- he replicated the standard perceptual span limitation of 4.5 items, as long as the display was less than an eye fixation (10-200 msec)
- his subjects reported two "introspections":
 - They claimed that they had actually seen the whole array, but "forgot" it while reporting
 - They claimed that the array seemed to fade but was available to examine mentally even after it went off the screen

















Exp. 1: Partial Report

- whole report = trying to report everything that was presented (i.e., perceptual span)
- *partial report* = trying to report only that portion of the display that is cued
- Sperling used 50-msec displays followed by tone cues
- Key question: Would 3 X partial report = whole report?









Exp. 2

- only one array size (3 X 4)
- only partial report
- variable delay before the partial report signal
- logic:
 - if there is no icon, then any delay should eliminate partial report advantage
 - if there is an icon, the partial report advantage should decrease as that icon fades over time









Averbach & Coriell (1961)

- cued only one location to reduce memory demands even more (*ultimate* partial report!)
- replicated Sperling's results using bar marker as cue









Averbach & Coriell (1961)

- cued only one location to reduce memory demands even more (*ultimate* partial report!)
- replicated Sperling's results using bar marker as cue
- but when they used a circle as the cue...

Conclusions

- a lot is perceived in a single fixation
- perceptual span is not a good measure of what is perceived
- an iconic image persists after the display disappears
- this image, or "icon," decays and is lost very rapidly

Sperling (1960) Exp. 3

- **Question**: What is the format of representation in iconic memory?
- mix letters and digits
- 3 kinds of report:
 - whole
 - partial spatial (row)
 - partial categorical (type)

Exp. 3: Data

- partial spatial report (as in earlier experiments) was better than whole report
- partial categorical was no better than whole report
- **Conclusion**: all of the information in iconic store is very visual and quite unprocessed as to meaning = raw, sensory information

Template Theories

- *Template*: a pattern treated as an unanalyzed whole
- e.g., numbers on cheques; universal bar codes
- problems:
 - complexity
 - pattern differences
 - multiple interpretations

Feature Theories

- Feature: a separable element of a pattern
- a feature theory aims to describe a pattern by listing the elements of that pattern
- · ties well to concept identification
- an example—letter recognition as done by Selfridge's (1959) Pandemonium model































Sperling (1963)

- **Question**: How quickly does pattern recognition copy information from sensory store to working memory?
- manipulate exposure duration of array from 5 msec to 200 msec
- but there was a problem....

Problem & Solution

- **Problem**: Question assumes you can only extract information during actual display, but we already know that isn't true because of the icon
- **Solution**: Use a mask to wipe out the icon so pattern recognition can only occur during the display





Sperling's Conclusions

- we can pattern recognize about 1 letter in every 10 msec, up to a maximum of 5
- why can't pattern recognition keep going?
 limit on working memory capacity (load)
 pattern recognition works in bursts (cycling)

Summary

- representation in sensory store is in terms of raw, sensory, uninterpreted information
- sensory store has a very large capacity, contrary to what the perceptual span suggests
- the duration of information in sensory store is very brief—1/4 to 1 sec (iconic), or 1 to 4 sec (echoic)—with forgetting via rapid decay
- transfer to Working Memory occurs via pattern recognition, presumably using feature analysis

Bottom-up vs Top-down

- [bottom = sensory input; top = knowledge]
- **Bottom-up**: processing begins with the sensory input and ends with its representation. The outcome of a lower step is never affected by a higher step in the process
- *Top-down*: the output of a lower step is influenced by a higher one