Today: Multiway Plots (sec 6.1and 6.2)
Intro to 1-1 Plots of Multivariate Data
Typical data: one quantitative response and several categorical predictor variables. Regression is a logical possibility but limited use when many categories. pp 303-319 concern an "animals" data set
In this example, the quantitative response is "count" data. Count data often requires transformation before plotting or analysis will work well. $\log _{10}$ in this example.

Multipanel plots are useful here. The panels can be determined by either categorical variable. Effectively, the variable used for the panels is the variable we condition upon.

There are two characteristics of the plot on p 302 that should be selected to enhance the readability of the plot: the order of the panels, and the order of the countries within the panels. Both are ordered according to the overall median in this plot. Note the order of the panels is bottom left to top right (increasing median counts). Note also that this ordering counts each animal as 1 , no matter what kind. 1 chicken $=1$ horse!

What anomalies can be read from this display?
Pigs in Turkey, Ireland, Albania
Chickens in Albania
Horses in Greece and Poland
Sheep in West Germany, Belgium, Denmark, and Finland
The similar graph on p 305 suggests some other anomalies:
Horses in UK, France, Italy
However, a slight improvement might be to find a way to look at pair-wise ratios of animals. But this is getting too complex for our current purposes.

The Splus Trellis graphs are best for this kind of plot. MINITAB can't do the multipanel plots without a lot of work. Here is a MINITAB attempt at a plot which is to accomplish the same information display as the graph on p 302:


Four more of these would be similar, but not so automatic as in Another attempt (see below) is too messy:


1=Poultry 2=Cattle 3=Pigs 4=Sheep 5=Horses
It is quite easy to get Splus graphs like the ones in the text p 302 or p 305.
Residual Analysis is important but no new technology so we will skip over, except ...
Last topic from Cleveland: Level Plot on p 319:
Part of the context of this data is that countries have a geographic location - likely some spatial correlations. How can data display make use of this feature?

The graph on p 319 shows a special kind of level plot, where the levels are levels of the residual. The focus in this plot is the number of sheep, since this number is the most variable as a proportion of total animals, as suggested by the graph on p 302. Residuals are low, medium, or high depending on whether the number of sheep is low, medium or high relative to the number of animals. The graph invites speculation about why certain countries have more or fewer sheep relative to other farm animals. This kind of datamotivated speculation is often the birthplace of theory.

Next topic: 1-1 plots of multivariate data
We have seen various ways to get around the 2-D limitation of flat displays - coplots, level plots, contour plots, etc. However, these are most effective for small numbers of observations (less than 5 variables, perhaps). What strategies are available for larger numbers of variables?

Formation of Indexes
Regression Models (usually Linear Models) along with residual plots

Kernel Models (extensions of the techniques we have tried) Icon plots (1-1 plots are an example)

Consider the animals data again:

| Row | C12 | cattle | pigs | poultry | horses | sheep |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Alba | 478000 | 125000 | 484000 | 43000 | 914000 |
| 2 | Aust | 2536000 | 3920000 | 11371000 | 35000 | 326000 |
| 3 | Belg | 3246000 | 5108000 | 29448000 | 31000 | 123000 |
| 4 | Bulg | 1796000 | 3808000 | 41096000 | 120000 | 11271000 |
| 5 | Czec | 5131000 | 7126000 | 49212000 | 44000 | 990000 |
| 6 | Denm | 2873000 | 9317000 | 16296000 | 41000 | 58000 |
| 7 | West | 15098000 | 22478000 | 83033000 | 369000 | 1172000 |
| 8 | Finl | 1719000 | 1475000 | 7763000 | 20000 | 104000 |
| 9 | Fran | 23599000 | 11711000 | 234131008 | 278000 | 14346000 |
| 10 | East | 5690000 | 12107000 | 51356000 | 81000 | 2220000 |
| 11 | Gree | 831000 | 1107000 | 29846000 | 420000 | 12669000 |
| 12 | Hung | 1922000 | 9035000 | 45397000 | 120000 | 3183000 |
| 13 | Irel | 6908000 | 1031000 | 9903000 | 68000 | 3323000 |
| 14 | Ital | 8734000 | 8928000 | 137999008 | 273000 | 10497000 |
| 15 | Neth | 5241000 | 10254000 | 88174000 | 59000 | 1210000 |
| 16 | Norw | 971000 | 687000 | 5571000 | 25000 | 1999000 |
| 17 | Pola | 11912000 | 19471000 | 67244000 | 1734000 | 3899000 |
| 18 | Port | 1173000 | 2448000 | 4143000 | 23000 | 2811000 |
| 19 | Roma | 6303000 | 12464000 | 109244000 | 566000 | 17748000 |
| 20 | Spai | 4495000 | 11263000 | 42824000 | 242000 | 16543000 |
| 21 | Swed | 1902000 | 2677000 | 11393000 | 57000 | 437000 |
| 22 | Swit | 1954000 | 2071000 | 6188000 | 45000 | 336000 |
| 23 | Turk | 16983000 | 11000 | 59660000 | 784000 | 70093000 |
| 24 | Unit | 13155000 | 7975000 | 130018000 | 140000 | 32888000 |
| 25 | Russ | 42200000 | 33100000 | 385000000 | 1556000 | 21000000 |
| 26 | Yugo | 5474000 | 7867000 | 65690000 | 573000 | 7384000 |

This looks like 26 rows of 5-variate data.
Suppose we are interested in the relative proportions of these counts. What does "relative" mean here. Not necessarily relative to total animal count ...

Try standardized data:
Row C12 cat pig poult hors shep
1 Alba -0.75632-1.01557-0.78149 -0.57106 -0.55083
2 Aust -0.53136-0.52512 -0.65212 -0.58898 -0.59023
3 Belg -0.45375 $-0.37159-0.43731-0.59794-0.60383$

| 4 | Bulg | -0.61225 | -0.53959 | -0.29890 | -0.39860 | 0.14301 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | Czec | -0.24770 | -0.11079 | -0.20245 | -0.56882 | -0.54574 |
| 6 | Denm | -0.49453 | 0.17237 | -0.59359 | -0.57554 | -0.60818 |
| 7 | West | 0.84179 | 1.87326 | 0.19944 | 0.15911 | -0.53355 |
| 8 | Finl | -0.62067 | -0.84110 | -0.69499 | -0.62257 | -0.60510 |
| 9 | Fran | 1.77103 | 0.48177 | 1.99493 | -0.04471 | 0.34901 |
| 10 | East | -0.18660 | 0.53294 | -0.17698 | -0.48595 | -0.46334 |
| 11 | Gree | -0.71774 | -0.88866 | -0.43258 | 0.27334 | 0.23666 |
| 12 | Hung | -0.59848 | 0.13593 | -0.24779 | -0.39860 | -0.39883 |
| 13 | Irel | -0.05346 | -0.89848 | -0.66956 | -0.51506 | -0.38945 |
| 14 | Ital | 0.14614 | 0.12210 | 0.85260 | -0.05591 | 0.09116 |
| 15 | Neth | -0.23568 | 0.29347 | 0.26053 | -0.53522 | -0.53100 |
| 16 | Norw | -0.70243 | -0.94294 | -0.72104 | -0.61138 | -0.47815 |
| 17 | Pola | 0.49353 | 1.48464 | 0.01182 | 3.21642 | -0.35086 |
| 18 | Port | -0.68035 | -0.71536 | -0.73801 | -0.61586 | -0.42375 |
| 19 | Roma | -0.11959 | 0.57908 | 0.51090 | 0.60035 | 0.57692 |
| 20 | Spai | -0.31723 | 0.42387 | -0.27836 | -0.12534 | 0.49619 |
| 21 | Swed | -0.60067 | -0.68576 | -0.65186 | -0.53970 | -0.58279 |
| 22 | Swit | -0.59498 | -0.76408 | -0.71371 | -0.56658 | -0.58956 |
| 23 | Turk | 1.04784 | -1.03031 | -0.07830 | 1.08862 | 4.08365 |
| 24 | Unit | 0.62940 | -0.00106 | 0.75776 | -0.35380 | 1.59119 |
| 25 | Russ | 3.80431 | 3.24601 | 3.78771 | 2.81774 | 0.79478 |
| 26 | Yugo | -0.21021 | -0.01502 | -0.00665 | 0.61603 | -0.11739 |

Is this a proper data set to analyze further with graphics?
What about human population?
Nevertheless, we can plot these data is several ways:
Profile plots
Stars
Chernoff Faces
Next topic - the bootstrap.

