## Categorical Covariates

- Examples: variables SCHOOL (Med school yes or no) and REGION in SENIC.
- ► Called **Factors**, possible values called **levels**; e.g. YES or NO are 2 levels of factor SCHOOL.
- Simplest situation when effects additive:
- ▶ Intercepts depend on levels of categorical covariates but not slopes of other variables.
- ▶ Idea is: effect of NURSES is measured by corresponding slope.
- Interpretation simplest if slope same for hospitals in all 4 regions.
- See assignment 3 for simplest example.
- If slope depends on level of categorical covariate then factor interacts with continuous covariate, otherwise effects called additive.



# Fitting models with categorical covariates

- ▶ Suppose a categorical variable has *K* levels.
- ▶ Relabel the data as  $Y_{i,j}$  where j runs from 1 to  $n_i$  and i runs from 1 to K.
- ▶ Here  $n_i$  is the number of observations with the categorical variable at level i.
- ▶ We fit the model

$$Y_{i,j} = \beta_{0,i} + x_{i,j}^{\mathsf{T}} \beta + \epsilon_{i,j}$$

- ▶ Now  $\beta$  is vector of slopes for, say, p continuous covariates.
- $\triangleright$   $\beta_{0,i}$  is the intercept which depends on the level i of the categorical variable.



- ► This model does not have a column of 1's in the design matrix.
- ▶ It can be fitted by specifying /NOINT in SAS, for example.
- ► Common, however, to reparametrize in such a way that the model has a column of 1's
- ▶ Hypothesis of no effect of factor, that is,  $H_o: \beta_{0,1} = \cdots = \beta_{0,K}$  becomes hypothesis that coefficients of some columns of design matrix are 0.
- ▶ Usually done by defining  $\beta_0$  to be a weighted average of the intercepts, that is,

$$\beta_0 = \sum n_i \beta_{0,i} / \sum n_i ,$$

- ▶ Or by defining  $\beta_0$  to be the intercept for level 1 of the factor, that is,  $\beta_0 = \beta_{0,1}$ .
- ▶ In either case define new parameters  $\alpha_i = \beta_{0,i} \beta_0$ .



▶ The model equation is now

$$Y_{i,j} = \beta_0 + \alpha_i + x_{i,j}^T \beta + \epsilon_{i,j}.$$

▶ In either case the  $\alpha_i$  satisfy a linear restriction: either

$$\sum n_i \alpha_i = 0$$

or

$$\alpha_1 = 0$$
.

- ▶ If we forget about this linear restriction then our linear reparametrization increases the number of columns of the design matrix by 1 but without increasing the rank of X i
- ► So new X<sup>T</sup>X would be singular.
- SAS does the algebra without worrying about this
- ▶ It finds 1 of infinitely many possible solutions to the normal equations.



- ▶ I usually suggest the definition of  $\beta_0$  as an average intercept.
- ▶ Then I eliminate  $\alpha_K$  by writing

$$\alpha_K = -\sum_{i=1}^{K-1} \frac{n_i}{n_K} \alpha_i$$

- ► This changes the rows of the design matrix corresponding to observations at level *K*.
- ▶ The other definition of  $\beta_0$  as  $\beta_{0,1}$  is called corner point coding
- ▶ Column of design matrix corresponding to  $\alpha_1$  is dropped.



#### Example

- Consider a small version of the car mileage example on assignment 3.
- Imagine we have only the 5 data points below.

VE	EHICLE 1	VEHICLE 2			
Mileage	<b>Emission Rate</b>	Mileage	<b>Emission Rate</b>		
0	50	0	40		
1000	56	1100	49		
2000	58				

For the model equation

$$Y_{i,j} = \beta_{0,i} + \beta_1 x_{ij} + \epsilon_{i,j}$$

we have  $n_1 = 3$ ,  $n_2 = 2$ .

▶ The  $x_{i,j}$  are the 5 numbers 0, 1000, 2000, 0, 1100.



▶ For this parametrization the design matrix is

$$X_a = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1000 \\ 1 & 0 & 2000 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1100 \end{bmatrix}$$

For the parametrization

$$Y_{i,j} = \beta_0 + \alpha_i + \beta_1 x_{ij} + \epsilon_{i,j}$$

the design matrix is that above with an extra column of 1's:

$$X_b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1000 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 2000 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1100 \end{bmatrix}$$

► Since columns 2 and 3 add together to give the first column the matrix has rank 4 and  $X^TX$  is singular.



- ▶ Define parameters  $\beta_0 = (3\beta_{0,1} + 2\beta_{0,2})/5$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \beta_{0,1} \beta_0$  and  $\alpha_2 = \beta_{0,2} \beta_0$ .
- ▶ Then  $3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 = 0$ .
- ▶ As a result we can write the model equations as

$$Y_{1,j} = \beta_0 + \alpha_1 + \beta_1 x_{1,j} + \epsilon_{1,j}$$

and

$$Y_{2,j} = \beta_0 - 3\alpha_1/2 + \beta_1 x_{2j} + \epsilon_{2,j}$$

▶ Then the design matrix is

$$X_c = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1000 \\ 1 & 1 & 2000 \\ 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & 0 \\ 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & 1100 \end{bmatrix}$$



▶ Alternatively corner point coding leads to the design matrix

$$X_d = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1000 \\ 1 & 0 & 2000 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1100 \end{bmatrix}$$

- All these design matrixes have the same column spaces
- ► So they must give same fitted values, same residuals and the same error sum of squares.
- ▶ Hypothesis of no "Vehicle" effect (two cars have same intercept) is tested either by a *t*-test or by an *F*-test.
- ▶ *t* test is for the parameter which is the difference of intercepts
- ▶ *F* test is extra sum of squares *F*-test comparing with the restricted model in which just 1 straight line is fitted.
- ▶ One important point is that in all the parametrizations the parameter "difference of intercepts" has the same estimate.
- ▶ This is true even for the matrix  $X_b$  for which  $X_b^T X_b$  is singular.



#### Factors with more than two levels

SAS Code adding two categorical variables, SCHOOL and REGION, to our model.

```
proc glm data=scenic;
  class School Region;
  model Risk = Culture Stay Nurses
     Nratio School Region;
run ;
proc glm data=scenic;
  class School Region;
  model Risk = Culture Stay
    Nurses School Region;
run ;
proc glm data=scenic;
  class School Region;
  model Risk = Culture Stay Nurses Region;
run:
```



```
Class
                 Levels
                          Values
          SCHOOL
                          1 2
          REGTON
                      4 1234
Dependent Variable: RISK
          Sum of
                    Mean
Source DF
          Squares Square
                           F
                                 Pr > F
Model
          110.9440 13.8680 15.95
                                 0.0001
Error 104 90.4358
                    0.8696
Total 112 201.3798
                             RISK Mean
 R-Square
            C.V.
                  Root MSE
 0.550919 21.41305
                  0.9325101
                             4.3548673
```



Source	DF	Type I SS	Mean Square	F	Pr > F
CULTURE	1	62.9634	62.9631	72.41	0.0001
STAY	1	27.7388	27.7388	31.90	0.0001
NURSES	1	7.0137	7.0137	8.07	0.0054
NRATIO	1	5.9748	5.9748	6.87	0.0101
SCHOOL	1	1.2488	1.2488	1.44	0.2335
REGION	3	6.0047	2.0016	2.30	0.0815



Source	DF	Type 3 SS	Mean Squar	e F	Pr > F
CULTURE	1	27.4386	27.4386	31.55	0.0001
STAY	1	26.4490	26.4490	30.42	0.0001
NURSES	1	6.3902	6.3902	7.35	0.0079
NRATIO	1	1.7448	1.7448	2.01	0.1596
SCHOOL	1	2.2195	2.2195	2.55	0.1132
REGION	3	6.0047	2.0016	2.30	0.0815



```
Sum of
                    Mean
Source
      DF
           Squares
                   Square F
                                 Pr > F
Model
          109.1992 15.5999 17.77
                                 0.0001
      105 92.1806 0.8779
Error
Total 112 201.3798
                C.V. Root MSE
                                 RISK Mean
    R-Square
    0.542255 21.51544
                       0.9369689
                                 4.3548673
Source
       DF
          Type I SS Mean Square F
                                    Pr > F
                     62.9631
CULTURE
            62.9631
                               71.72 0.0001
STAY
            27.7388
                     27.7388
                               31.60 0.0001
NURSES
        1 7.0137
                      7.0137
                                7.99 0.0056
SCHOOT.
             2.1654
                      2.1654
                                2.47 0.1193
REGION
        3
             9.3181
                      3.1060
                                3.54 0.0173
```



Source	DF	Type 3 SS	Mean Square	F	Pr > F
CULTURE	1	32.6368	32.6368	37.18	0.0001
STAY	1	24.7063	24.7063	28.14	0.0001
NURSES	1	8.9907	8.9908	10.24	0.0018
SCHOOL	1	3.1958	3.1958	3.64	0.0591
REGION	3	9.3181	3.1060	3.54	0.0173



		Sum of	Mean			
Source	DF	Squares :	Square	F	Pr >	F
Model	6	106.0034	17.6672	19.64	0.00	01
Error 1	.06	95.3765	0.8998			
C Totl 1	.12	201.3798				
	R-Sc	quare C.	V. Roo	t MSE	RIS	K Mean
	5263	385 21.78	175 0.9	485663	4.3	548673
Source	DF	Type I SS	Mean S	quare	F	Pr > F
CULTURE	1	62.9631	62.963	1 69	9.98	0.0001
STAY	1	27.7388	27.738	8 30	0.83	0.0001
NURSES	1	7.0137	7.013	7	7.79	0.0062
REGION	3	8.2877	2.762	6 3	3.07	0.0310
Source	DF	Type 3 SS	Mean S	quare	F	Pr > F
CULTURE	1	30.5032	30.503	2 33	3.90	0.0001
STAY	1	22.9897	22.989	7 25	5.55	0.0001
NURSES	1	5.8504	5.850	4 6	3.50	0.0122
REGION	3	8.2877	2.762	6 3	3.07	0.0310



#### Conclusions

- Type I, II, III and IV sums of squares terminology
- ► Look at type III SS to see which effects can be deleted from full model.
- ▶ BUT, can only delete one at a time.
- ▶ Notice that NRATIO is least significant so drop it and refit.
- After refitting SCHOOL is not quite significant so delete and rerun.
- All remaining effects significant.
- ▶ Notice that *F*-test for REGION has 3 degrees of freedom.
- ▶ What is being tested is  $\beta_{0,1} = \cdots = \beta_{0,4}$  where these are 4 intercepts.
- Under the restricted model where this hypothesis is assumed there is 1 intercept compared to 4 intercepts in the full model.
- ► The difference of 3 is the degrees of freedom associated with the sum of squares for REGION.



# SAS sum of squares types

- ▶ Type III sums of squares are extra SS.
- ► They compare a model with all the effects in the model statement in proc glm to a model with one of those effects removed (but all the others still there).
- ► The TYPE I SS are also called sequential SS.
- They compare models which include all the factors down to a certain line in the table with the model including all the factors down to that line but not including the line.
- Example: Type I SS for SCHOOL in first model compares a model with CULTURE, STAY, NURSES and NRATIO to a model with all those variables plus SCHOOL.
- Neither model includes the line lower than SCHOOL in the table — neither model includes REGION.
- ► All TYPE I F-statistics use ESS from whole model fitted by GLM in denominator.
- ▶ So denominator estimate of  $\sigma^2$  in Type I SS test for Schools is ESS from a model including REGION and all other variables.



# Categorical covariates summary

- ▶ Data  $Y_{i,j}$ ; i labels level of covariate.
- Additive Model:

$$Y_{i,j} = \beta_{0,i} + x_{i,j}^{\mathsf{T}} \beta + \epsilon_{i,j}$$

Alternative form of same model:

$$Y_{i,j} = \beta_0 + \alpha_i + x_{i,j}^T \beta + \epsilon_{i,j}.$$

▶ Possible linear restrictions on  $\alpha_i$ 's.

$$\sum n_i \alpha_i = 0$$

or

$$\alpha_1 = 0$$
.

