

Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

- ▶ Suppose A is an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix with real entries.
- ▶ The function from R^n to R defined by

$$x \mapsto x^t A x$$

is called a quadratic form.

- ▶ We can maximize $x^T A x$ subject to $x^T x = \|x\|^2 = 1$ by Lagrange multipliers:

$$x^T A x - \lambda(x^T x - 1)$$

- ▶ Take derivatives and get

$$x^T x = 1$$

and

$$2Ax - 2\lambda x = 0$$



- ▶ We say that v is an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue λ if $v \neq 0$ and

$$Av = \lambda v$$

- ▶ For such a v and λ with $v^T v = 1$ we find

$$v^T Av = \lambda v^T v = \lambda.$$

- ▶ So the quadratic form is maximized over vectors of length one by the eigenvector with the largest eigenvalue.
- ▶ Call that eigenvector v_1 , eigenvalue λ_1 .
- ▶ Maximize $x^T Ax$ subject to $x^T x = 1$ and $v_1^T x = 0$.
- ▶ Get new eigenvector and eigenvalue.



Summary of Linear Algebra Results

Theorem

Suppose A is a real symmetric $n \times n$ matrix.

- 1. There are n orthonormal eigenvectors v_1, \dots, v_n with corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$.*
- 2. If P is the $n \times n$ matrix whose columns are v_1, \dots, v_n and Λ is the diagonal matrix with $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ on the diagonal then*

$$AP = P\Lambda \quad \text{or} \quad P^T \Lambda P = A \quad \text{and} \quad P^T A P = \Lambda \quad \text{and} \quad P^T P = I$$

- 3. If A is non-negative definite (that is, A is a variance covariance matrix) then each $\lambda_i \geq 0$.*
- 4. A is singular if and only if at least one eigenvalue is 0.*
- 5. The determinant of A is $\prod \lambda_i$.*



The trace of a matrix

Definition: If A is square then the trace of A is the sum of its diagonal elements:

$$\text{tr}(A) = \sum_i A_{ii}$$

Theorem

If A and B are any two matrices such that AB is square then

$$\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$$

If A_1, \dots, A_r are matrices such that $\prod_{j=1}^r A_j$ is square then

$$\text{tr}(A_1 \cdots A_r) = \text{tr}(A_2 \cdots A_r A_1) = \cdots = \text{tr}(A_s \cdots A_r A_1 \cdots A_{s-1})$$

If A is symmetric then

$$\text{tr}(A) = \sum_i \lambda_i$$



Idempotent Matrices

Definition: A symmetric matrix A is idempotent if $A^2 = AA = A$.

Theorem

A matrix A is idempotent if and only if all its eigenvalues are either 0 or 1. The number of eigenvalues equal to 1 is then $\text{tr}(A)$.

Proof: If A is idempotent, λ is an eigenvalue and v a corresponding eigenvector then

$$\lambda v = Av = AA v = \lambda Av = \lambda^2 v$$

Since $v \neq 0$ we find $\lambda - \lambda^2 = \lambda(1 - \lambda) = 0$ so either $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda = 1$.



Conversely

- Write

$$A = P\Lambda P^T$$

so

$$A^2 = P\Lambda P^T P\Lambda P^T = P\Lambda^2 P^T$$

- Have used the fact that P is orthogonal.
- Each entry in the diagonal of Λ is either 0 or 1
- So $\Lambda^2 = \Lambda$
- So

$$A^2 = A.$$



Finally

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{tr}(A) &= \mathrm{tr}(P\Lambda P^T) \\ &= \mathrm{tr}(\Lambda P^T P) \\ &= \mathrm{tr}(\Lambda)\end{aligned}$$

Since all the diagonal entries in Λ are 0 or 1 we are done the proof.



Independence

Definition: If U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k are random variables then we call U_1, \dots, U_k independent if

$$P(U_1 \in A_1, \dots, U_k \in A_k) = P(U_1 \in A_1) \times \dots \times P(U_k \in A_k)$$

for any sets A_1, \dots, A_k .

We usually either:

- ▶ Assume independence because there is no physical way for the value of any of the random variables to influence any of the others.

OR

- ▶ We prove independence.



Joint Densities

- ▶ How do we prove independence?
- ▶ We use the notion of a **joint density**.
- ▶ U_1, \dots, U_k have joint density function $f = f(u_1, \dots, u_k)$ if

$$P((U_1, \dots, U_k) \in A) = \int \cdots \int_A f(u_1, \dots, u_k) du_1 \cdots du_k$$

- ▶ Independence of U_1, \dots, U_k is equivalent to

$$f(u_1, \dots, u_k) = f_1(u_1) \times \cdots \times f_k(u_k)$$

for some densities f_1, \dots, f_k .

- ▶ In this case f_i is the density of U_i .
- ▶ ASIDE: notice that for an independent sample the joint density is the likelihood function!



Application to Normals: Standard Case

If

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 \\ \vdots \\ Z_n \end{bmatrix} \sim MVN(0, I_{n \times n})$$

then the joint density of Z , denoted $f_Z(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ is

$$f_Z(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \phi(z_1) \times \cdots \times \phi(z_n)$$

where

$$\phi(z_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-z_i^2/2}$$



So

$$\begin{aligned} f_Z &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2 \right\} \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} z^T z \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$z = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_n \end{bmatrix}$$



Application to Normals: General Case

If $X = AZ + \mu$ **and** A is invertible then for any set $B \in R^n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}P(X \in B) &= P(AZ + \mu \in B) \\&= P(Z \in A^{-1}(B - \mu)) \\&= \int \cdots \int_{A^{-1}(B - \mu)} (2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} z^T z \right\} dz_1 \cdots dz_n\end{aligned}$$

Make the change of variables $x = Az + \mu$ in this integral to get

$$\begin{aligned}P(X \in B) &= \int \cdots \int_B (2\pi)^{-n/2} \\&\quad \times \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (A^{-1}(x - \mu))^T (A^{-1}(x - \mu)) \right\} J(x) dx_1 \cdots dx_n\end{aligned}$$



Here $J(x)$ denotes the Jacobian of the transformation

$$J(x) = J(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left| \det \left(\frac{\partial z_i}{\partial x_j} \right) \right| = |\det(A^{-1})|$$

Algebraic manipulation of the integral then gives

$$P(X \in B) = \int \cdots \int_B (2\pi)^{-n/2} \\ \times \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu) \right\} |\det A^{-1}| dx_1 \cdots dx_n$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &= AA^T \\ \Sigma^{-1} &= (A^{-1})^T (A^{-1}) \\ \det \Sigma^{-1} &= (\det A^{-1})^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\det \Sigma} \end{aligned}$$



Multivariate Normal Density

- Conclusion: the $MVN(\mu, \Sigma)$ density is

$$(2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu) \right\} (\det \Sigma)^{-1/2}$$

- What if A is not invertible? Ans: there is no density.
- How do we apply this density?
- Suppose

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\Sigma = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \hline \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{array} \right]$$

- Now suppose $\Sigma_{12} = 0$



Assuming $\Sigma_{12} = 0$

1. $\Sigma_{21} = 0$
2. In homework you checked that

$$\Sigma^{-1} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \end{array} \right]$$

3. Writing

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\mu = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} (x - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu) &= (x_1 - \mu_1)^T \Sigma_{11}^{-1} (x_1 - \mu_1) \\ &\quad + (x_2 - \mu_2)^T \Sigma_{22}^{-1} (x_2 - \mu_2) \end{aligned}$$



4. So, if $n_1 = \dim(X_1)$ and $n_2 = \dim(X_2)$ we see that

$$f_X(x_1, x_2) = (2\pi)^{-n_1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(x_1 - \mu_1)^T \Sigma_{11}^{-1}(x_1 - \mu_1) \right\} \\ \times (2\pi)^{-n_2/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(x_2 - \mu_2)^T \Sigma_{22}^{-1}(x_2 - \mu_2) \right\}$$

5. So X_1 and X_2 are independent.



Summary

- ▶ If $\text{Cov}(X_1, X_2) = E[(X_1 - \mu_1)(X_2 - \mu_2)^T] = 0$ then X_1 is independent of X_2 .
- ▶ **Warning:** This only works provided

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \text{MVN}(\mu, \Sigma)$$

- ▶ **Fact:** However, it works even if Σ is singular, but you can't prove it as easily using densities.



Application: independence in linear models

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mu} &= X\hat{\beta} = X(X^T X)^{-1}X^T Y \\ &= X\beta + H\epsilon \\ \hat{\epsilon} &= Y - X\hat{\beta} \\ &= \epsilon - H\epsilon \\ &= (I - H)\epsilon\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mu} \\ \hat{\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\sigma \begin{bmatrix} H \\ I - H \end{bmatrix}}_A \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}_b$$

Hence

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mu} \\ \hat{\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} \sim MVN \left(\begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}; AA^T \right)$$



Now

$$A = \sigma \left[\frac{H}{I - H} \right]$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} AA^T &= \sigma^2 \left[\frac{H}{I - H} \right] \begin{bmatrix} H^T & (I - H)^T \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} HH & H(I - H) \\ (I - H)H & (I - H)(I - H) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} H & H - H \\ H - H & I - H - H + HH \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} H & 0 \\ 0 & I - H \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The 0s **prove** that $\hat{\epsilon}$ and $\hat{\mu}$ are independent.

It follows that $\hat{\mu}^T \hat{\mu}$, the regression sum of squares (not adjusted) is independent of $\hat{\epsilon}^T \hat{\epsilon}$, the Error sum of squares.



Joint Densities: some manipulations

- ▶ Suppose Z_1 and Z_2 are independent standard normals.
- ▶ Their joint density is

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp(-(z_1^2 + z_2^2)/2).$$

- ▶ Show meaning of joint density by computing density of a χ_2^2 random variable.
- ▶ Let $U = Z_1^2 + Z_2^2$.
- ▶ By definition U has a χ^2 distribution with 2 degrees of freedom.



Computing χ_2^2 density

- ▶ Cumulative distribution function of U is

$$F(u) = P(U \leq u).$$

- ▶ For $u \leq 0$ this is 0 so take $u \geq 0$.
- ▶ Event $U \leq u$ is same as event that point (Z_1, Z_2) is in the circle centered at the origin and having radius $u^{1/2}$.
- ▶ That is, if A is the circle of this radius then

$$F(u) = P((Z_1, Z_2) \in A).$$

- ▶ By definition of density this is a double integral

$$\int \int_A f(z_1, z_2) dz_1 dz_2.$$

- ▶ You do this integral in polar co-ordinates.



Integral in Polar co-ordinates

- ▶ Let $z_1 = r \cos \theta$ and $z_2 = r \sin \theta$.
- ▶ we see that

$$f(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp(-r^2/2).$$

- ▶ The Jacobian of the transformation is r so that $dz_1 dz_2$ becomes $r dr d\theta$.
- ▶ Finally the region of integration is simply $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ and $0 \leq r \leq u^{1/2}$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} P(U \leq u) &= \int_0^{u^{1/2}} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp(-r^2/2) r dr d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{u^{1/2}} r \exp(-r^2/2) dr \\ &= -\exp(-r^2/2) \Big|_0^{u^{1/2}} \\ &= 1 - \exp(-u/2). \end{aligned}$$



- Density of U found by differentiating to get

$$f(u) = \frac{1}{2} \exp(-u/2)$$

which is the exponential density with mean 2.

- This means that the χ^2_2 density is really an exponential density.



t tests

- ▶ We have shown that $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\epsilon}$ are independent.
- ▶ So the Regression Sum of Squares (unadjusted) ($=\hat{\mu}^T \hat{\mu}$) and the Error Sum of Squares ($=\hat{\epsilon}^T \hat{\epsilon}$) are independent.
- ▶ Similarly

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta} \\ \hat{\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} \sim MVN \left(\begin{bmatrix} \beta \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}; \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} (X^T X)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & I - H \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

so that $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\epsilon}$ are independent.



Conclusions

- We see

$$a^T \hat{\beta} - a^T \beta \sim N\left(0, \sigma^2 a^t (X^T X)^{-1} a\right)$$

is independent of

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\hat{\epsilon}^T \hat{\epsilon}}{n - p}$$

- If we know that

$$\frac{\hat{\epsilon}^T \hat{\epsilon}}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-p}^2$$

then it would follow that

$$\frac{\frac{a^T \hat{\beta} - a^T \beta}{\sigma \sqrt{a^t (X^T X)^{-1} a}}}{\sqrt{\hat{\epsilon}^T \hat{\epsilon} / \{(n - p) \sigma^2\}}} = \frac{a^T (\hat{\beta} - \beta)}{\sqrt{\text{MSE} a^t (X^T X)^{-1} a}} \sim t_{n-p}$$

- This leaves only the question: how do I know that

$$\hat{\epsilon}^T \hat{\epsilon} / \{\sigma^2\} \sim \chi_{n-p}^2$$



Distribution of the Error Sum of Squares

- **Recall:** if Z_1, \dots, Z_n are iid $N(0, 1)$ then

$$U = Z_1^2 + \dots + Z_n^2 \sim \chi_n^2$$

- So we rewrite $\hat{\epsilon}^T \hat{\epsilon} / \{\sigma^2\}$ as $Z_1^2 + \dots + Z_{n-p}^2$ for some Z_1, \dots, Z_{n-p} which are iid $N(0, 1)$.

- Put

$$Z^* = \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma} \sim \text{MVN}_n(0, I_{n \times n})$$

- Then

$$\frac{\hat{\epsilon}^T \hat{\epsilon}}{\sigma^2} = Z^{*T} (I - H)(I - H)Z^* = Z^{*T} (I - H)Z^*.$$

- Now define new vector Z from Z^* so that

1. $Z \sim \text{MVN}(0, I)$
2. $Z^{*T} (I - H)Z^* = \sum_{i=1}^{n-p} Z_i^2$



Distribution of Quadratic Forms

Theorem

If Z has a standard n dimensional multivariate normal distribution and A is a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix then the distribution of $Z^T A Z$ is the same as that of

$$\sum \lambda_i Z_i^2$$

where the λ_i are the n eigenvalues of Q .

Theorem

The distribution in the last theorem is χ_ν^2 if and only if all the λ_i are 0 or 1 and ν of them are 1.

Theorem

The distribution is chi-squared if and only if A is idempotent. In this case $\text{tr}(A) = \nu$.



Rewriting a Quadratic Form as a Sum of Squares

- ▶ Consider $(Z^*)^T A Z^*$ where A is symmetric matrix and Z^* is standard multivariate normal.
- ▶ In earlier application $A = I - H$.
- ▶ Replace A by $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{P}^T$ in this formula
- ▶ Get

$$\begin{aligned}(Z^*)^T Q Z^* &= (Z^*)^T \mathbf{P}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{P}^T Z^* \\ &= (\mathbf{P}^T Z^*)^T \mathbf{\Lambda} (\mathbf{P}^T Z^*) \\ &= Z^T \mathbf{\Lambda} Z\end{aligned}$$

where $Z = \mathbf{P}^T Z^*$.



- ▶ Notice that Z has a multivariate normal distribution
- ▶ mean is 0 and variance is

$$\text{Var}(Z) = \mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{P} = I_{n \times n}$$

- ▶ So Z is also standard multivariate normal!
- ▶ Now look at what happens when you multiply out

$$Z^T \mathbf{\Lambda} Z$$

- ▶ Multiplying a diagonal matrix by Z simply multiplies the i th entry in Z by the i th diagonal element
- ▶ So

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} Z = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 Z_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n Z_n \end{bmatrix}$$



- ▶ Take dot product of this with Z :

$$Z^T \Lambda Z = \sum \lambda_i Z_i^2.$$

- ▶ Have rewritten our original quadratic form as a linear combination of squared independent standard normals,
- ▶ That is, as a linear combination of independent χ_1^2 variables.



Application to Error Sum of Squares

- Recall that

$$\frac{\text{ESS}}{\sigma^2} = (Z^*)^T (I - H) Z^*$$

where $Z^* = \epsilon/\sigma$ is multivariate standard normal.

- The matrix $I - H$ is idempotent
- So ESS/σ^2 has a χ^2 distribution with degrees of freedom ν equal to $\text{trace}(I - H)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\nu &= \text{trace}(I - H) \\ &= \text{trace}(I) - \text{trace}(H) \\ &= n - \text{trace}(X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T) \\ &= n - \text{trace}((X^T X)^{-1} X^T X) \\ &= n - \text{trace}(I_{p \times p}) \\ &= n - p\end{aligned}$$



Summary of Distribution theory conclusions

1. $\epsilon^T A \epsilon / \sigma^2$ has the same distribution as $\sum \lambda_i Z_i^2$ where the Z_i are iid $N(0, 1)$ random variables (so the Z_i^2 are iid χ_1^2) and the λ_i are the eigenvalues of A .
2. $A^2 = A$ (A is idempotent) implies that all the eigenvalues of A are either 0 or 1.
3. Points 1 and 2 prove that $A^2 = A$ implies that $\epsilon^T A \epsilon / \sigma^2 \sim \chi_{\text{trace}(A)}^2$.
4. A special case is

$$\frac{\hat{\epsilon}^T \hat{\epsilon}}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-p}^2$$

5. t statistics have t distributions.
6. If $H_o : \beta = 0$ is true then

$$F = \frac{(\hat{\mu}^T \hat{\mu})/p}{\hat{\epsilon}^T \hat{\epsilon}/(n-p)} \sim F_{p, n-p}$$



Many Extensions are Possible

The most important of these are:

1. If a “reduced” model is obtained from a “full” model by imposing k linearly independent linear restrictions on β (like $\beta_1 = \beta_2$, $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = 2\beta_3$) then

$$\text{Extra SS} = \frac{\text{ESS}_R - \text{ESS}_F}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_k^2$$

assuming that the null hypothesis (the restricted model) is true.

2. So the Extra Sum of Squares F test has an F -distribution.
3. In ANOVA tables which add up the various rows (not including the total) are independent.
4. When null H_0 is **not true** distribution of Regression SS is **Non-central** χ^2 .
5. Used in power and sample size calculations.

