# Edge-Disjoint Spanners in Cartesian products of graphs\*

Guillaume Fertin<sup>1</sup>, Arthur L. Liestman<sup>2</sup>, Thomas C. Shermer<sup>2</sup>, and Ladislav Stacho<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>IRIN, Université de Nantes, 2 rue de la Houssinière, BP 92208, 44322 Nantes Cedex 3, France, Guillaume.Fertin@irin.univ-nantes.fr

> <sup>2</sup>School of Computing Science, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby BC, V5A 1S6 Canada, {art,shermer}@sfu.ca

> <sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby BC, V5A 1S6 Canada, 1stacho@sfu.ca

**Abstract.** A spanning subgraph S = (V, E') of a connected graph G = (V, E) is an (x + c)-spanner if for any pair of vertices u and v,  $d_S(u, v) \leq d_G(u, v) + c$  where  $d_G$  and  $d_S$  are the usual distance functions in G and S, respectively. The parameter c is called the delay of the spanner. We study edge-disjoint spanners in graphs, focusing on graphs formed as Cartesian products. Our approach is to construct sets of edge-disjoint spanners in a product based on sets of edge-disjoint spanners and colorings of the component graphs. We present several results on general products and then narrow our focus to hypercubes.

## 1 Introduction

A spanner of a graph is a spanning subgraph in which the distance between any pair of vertices approximates the distance in the original graph. Although spanners were introduced by Peleg and Ullman [19] for simulation of synchronous distributed systems, they are an interesting graph theoretical structure with application to many problems in interconnection networks [4, 5, 17, 18]. The use of spanners as a network topology (as a substitute for an expensive original topology) was suggested by Richards and Liestman [20] and further studied in a series of papers by Liestman and Shermer [12–16] and Heydemann, Peters, and Sotteau [7]. Algorithms for constructing spanners have also been studied [3, 6, 8, 9].

One problem encountered in parallel computing is to share the resources among several users concurrently. One way to approach this problem is to multitask on

<sup>\*</sup> The research of last three authors was supported by NSERC.

the computers but to dedicate each link to an individual user. In graph-theoretic terms, this corresponds to partitioning the edges into a set of edge-disjoint spanners. Laforest, Liestman, Peleg, Shermer, and Sotteau [11] studied edge-disjoint spanners in complete graphs and in complete digraphs. Laforest, Liestman, Shermer, and Sotteau [10] studied edge-disjoint spanners in complete bipartite graphs. In this paper, we continue this line of study, investigating edge-disjoint spanners in Cartesian products of graphs (and specifically in hypercubes). The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: In section 2, along with other definitions and notation, we define our problem. In section 3, we investigate edge-disjoint spanners in general Cartesian products. In section 4, we restrict our attention to hypercubes.

### 2 Definitions

A network is represented by a connected simple graph G = (V(G), E(G)). We use  $d_G(u, v)$  to denote the distance from vertex u to vertex v in graph G. A spanner S of a connected simple graph G is an f(x)-spanner if for any pair of vertices u and v,  $d_S(u, v) \leq f(d_G(u, v))$ . We call  $d_S(u, v) - d_G(u, v)$  the delay between vertices u and v in S. For an f(x)-spanner S, we refer to f(x) - x as the delay of the spanner. Note that f(x) - x is an upper bound (but not necessarily a tight bound) on the maximum delay in S between any pair of vertices at distance x in G.

We use  $H \times G$  to denote the Cartesian product of base graphs H and G. The vertex set  $V(H \times G)$  is  $V(H) \times V(G) = \{[u,v] : u \in V(H) \text{ and } v \in V(G)\}$ . The edge set  $E(H \times G)$  contains all pairs ([u,v],[u',v']) such that either (1) u = u' and  $(v,v') \in E(G)$ , or (2) v = v' and  $(u,u') \in E(H)$ . The following generalization of the Cartesian product is useful in constructing edge-disjoint spanners. Given a coloring of vertices of H, the color-i product of graphs H and G, written  $H \times G$ , is the graph with vertex set  $V(H) \times V(G)$  and all edges ([u,v],[u',v']) such that (1) u = u', the color of u in H is i, and  $(v,v') \in E(G)$ , or (2) v = v' and  $(u,u') \in E(H)$ . Note that if all vertices of H are colored i, then the color-i product is simply the Cartesian product. For technical reasons while performing the operation of the color-i product we extend the coloring of H to  $H \times G$  by assigning the color of  $u \in V(H)$  to every vertex  $[u,v] \in V(H \times G)$ .

The (closed) neighborhood of a vertex v in graph G, denoted  $N_G[v]$ , is  $\{x \in V : d_G(v,x) \leq 1\}$ . More generally, the d-neighborhood,  $N_G^d[v]$  of v in G is  $\{x \in V : d_G(v,x) \leq d\}$ .

A d-dominating set of vertices in graph G is a set  $S \subseteq V$  such that every vertex in V is in the d-neighborhood of some element of S. A d-domatic coloring of G is a vertex coloring of G such that each color class constitutes a d-dominating set of G. A d-domatic coloring need not be a proper vertex coloring; we allow adjacent

vertices to be assigned the same color. The maximum number of colors in any d-domatic coloring of a fixed graph G is called the d-domatic number of G. The 1-domatic number of a graph G is the well-known domatic number of G and will be denoted by dom(G).

Let G be a graph and let  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k$  be edge-disjoint subgraphs of G. A vertex coloring of G is called an all-factor d-domatic coloring of G with respect to  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k$  if the vertices of each color constitute a d-dominating set in each  $S_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . In contrast, a vertex coloring of G with k colors is called a matched-factor d-domatic coloring of G with respect to  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k$  if the vertices of each color i constitute a d-dominating set of the subgraph  $S_i$ . These colorings were studied by Alon, Fertin, Liestman, Shermer, and Stacho [1] and we will use the results of that paper below.

Our goal is to investigate small delay spanners of Cartesian products. We are particularly interested in those spanners with constant delay, i.e. (x + c)-spanners for constant c. More precisely, given a constant c, we are interested in the maximum number of edge-disjoint (x + c)-spanners that can be found in G. We let EDS(G, c) denote this number.

## 3 General Cartesian Products

In this section, we present several results on the number of edge-disjoint spanners that can be found in graphs that are the Cartesian product of other graphs. Typically, these results are lower bounds on the number of spanners in  $H \times G$ , based on the number of spanners of H and some properties of H or its spanners. We start with a preliminary lemma concerning the delay of a spanner constructed as the Cartesian product of spanners.

**Lemma 1** Let  $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_{\alpha}$  be graphs and let  $S_i$  be a delay  $c_i$  spanner of  $G_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \alpha$ . Then  $S = S_1 \times S_2 \times \cdots \times S_{\alpha}$  is a delay c spanner of  $G = G_1 \times G_2 \times \cdots \times G_{\alpha}$ , where  $c = \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} c_i$ .

*Proof.* As each  $S_i$  is a spanner of  $G_i$ , it follows that S is a spanner of G. Let  $u = [u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{\alpha}]$  and  $v = [v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{\alpha}]$  be two vertices of G such that  $u_i, v_i \in V(G_i)$  for each i. Then,  $d_S(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} d_{S_i}(u_i, v_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} (d_{G_i}(u_i, v_i) + c_i) = d_G(u, v) + \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} c_i$ . Thus, S is a delay c spanner of G as claimed.

A central theme in the remainder of this section is the construction of spanners of  $H \times G$  that are color products of a spanner H with G, that is, the spanners of  $H \times G$  that include the same edges in each copy of H, some entire copies of G, and no other edges. In a particular spanner S, those vertices of H corresponding to a copy of G that is included in S are called hubs. We can bound delays of such spanners in  $H \times G$  by delays along paths in H that include a hub.

In the following theorem, we use the connectivity of the spanners of H to bound the delay of the spanners of  $H \times G$ .

**Theorem 1** Let H be a graph on n vertices with k edge-disjoint delay c spanners, each of which is  $\kappa$ -connected. Then for any connected graph G,

$$EDS\left(H \times G, c + 2 \left| \frac{n - \lfloor n/k \rfloor - 1}{\kappa} \right| + 2\right) \ge k.$$

Proof. Let  $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_k$  be  $\kappa$ -connected edge-disjoint delay c spanners of H. Color the vertices of H with colors  $1, 2, \ldots, k$ , in such a way that every color class has cardinality at least  $\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor$  (where we allow adjacent vertices to receive the same color). For  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ , let  $S_i = H_i \times G$ . These k graphs are edge-disjoint spanners of  $H \times G$ .

Consider the spanner  $S_i$  for some i. Let  $u=[h_1,g_1]$  and  $v=[h_2,g_2]$  be two vertices of  $S_i$ , where  $h_1,h_2\in V(H)$  and  $g_1,g_2\in V(G)$ . Let  $h'_1$  be a vertex of color i that is closest to  $h_1$  in  $H_i$ . The vertex  $h'_1$  is a hub of  $S_i$ . There is a path P in  $S_i$  from  $u=[h_1,g_1]$  to  $[h'_1,g_1]$  to  $[h'_1,g_2]$  to  $[h_1,g_2]$  to  $[h_2,g_2]=v$  with length  $d_{H_i}(h_1,h'_1)+d_G(g_1,g_2)+d_{H_i}(h'_1,h_1)+d_{H_i}(h_1,h_2)=2d_{H_i}(h_1,h'_1)+d_G(g_1,g_2)+d_{H_i}(h_1,h_2)$ . Since  $H_i$  has delay c,  $d_{H_i}(h_1,h_2)\leq d_H(h_1,h_2)+c$ , and the delay of P is at most  $2d_{H_i}(h_1,h'_1)+c$ .

We now bound  $d_{H_i}(h_1, h'_1)$ . If  $h_1 = h'_1$ , then  $d_{H_i}(h_1, h'_1) = 0$ , and the lemma follows. Therefore we may assume that  $h_1 \neq h'_1$ . Let  $h^*$  be any vertex of color i in  $H_i$ . By our assumption,  $h_1 \neq h^*$ . Since  $H_i$  is  $\kappa$ -connected, there are  $\kappa$  vertex-disjoint paths from  $h_1$  to  $h^*$  in  $H_i$ . As there are at most  $n-1-\lfloor n/k \rfloor$  vertices of  $H_i$  different from  $h_1$  and of color other than i, one of these vertex-disjoint paths contains at most  $\left\lfloor \frac{n-1-\lfloor n/k \rfloor}{\kappa} \right\rfloor$  such vertices. Since  $h^*$  has color i, on this path, there must be a vertex of color i at distance at most  $\left\lfloor \frac{n-1-\lfloor n/k \rfloor}{\kappa} \right\rfloor + 1$  from  $h_1$ . As a consequence,  $d_{H_i}(h_1,h'_1) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n-1-\lfloor n/k \rfloor}{\kappa} \right\rfloor + 1$ , and the delay of P is at most  $2 \left\lfloor \frac{n-1-\lfloor n/k \rfloor}{\kappa} \right\rfloor + 2 + c$ , giving the result.

By using the same construction but considering the diameters of the spanners of H rather than their connectivities, one obtains the following result.

**Theorem 2** Let H be a graph with k edge-disjoint spanners each of diameter at most d. Then for any connected graph G,  $EDS(H \times G, 2d) \ge k$ .

In the previous theorems, we have placed relatively few conditions on the coloring used in the color product constructions. In what follows, we make use of more sophisticated colorings to obtain better bounds.

The following theorem uses a natural proper coloring of H and will be a useful starting point for our investigation of hypercube spanners in Section 4.

**Theorem 3** Let H be any bipartite graph and let  $c \geq 2$  be an integer. If  $EDS(H, c) \geq 2$ , then for any connected graph G,  $EDS(H \times G, c) \geq 2$ .

*Proof.* We can properly color vertices of H with colors 1 and 2. Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be edge-disjoint delay c spanners of H. For i = 1, 2, let  $S_i = H_i \times G$ .  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are two edge-disjoint spanners of  $H \times G$ .

In the following, we bound the delay of  $S_1$ , the case of  $S_2$  is similar. Let  $u = [h_1, g_1]$  and  $v = [h_2, g_2]$  be two vertices of  $S_1$ . If  $g_1 = g_2$ , then both u and v are in some copy of  $H_1$  in  $H \times G$  and their delay is at most c. Otherwise  $g_1 \neq g_2$ .

First consider the case when  $h_1 = h_2$ . Let  $h'_1$  be a neighbor of  $h_1$  in  $H_1$ . Either  $h_1$  or  $h'_1$  is of color 1. If  $h'_1$  has color 1, then there is a path P from  $u = [h_1, g_1]$  to  $[h'_1, g_1]$  to  $[h'_1, g_2]$  to  $[h_1, g_2] = v$  with length  $1 + d_G(g_1, g_2) + 1 \le 2 + d_G(g_1, g_2)$ . If  $h_1$  is the vertex of color 1, there is a path from u to v with length  $d_G(g_1, g_2)$ . In either case, the delay is at most  $2 \le c$ .

Now consider the case when  $h_1 \neq h_2$ . If  $h_1$  has color 1, then there is a path in  $S_1$  from  $u = [h_1, g_1]$  to  $[h_1, g_2]$  to  $[h_2, g_2] = v$  with length  $d_G(g_1, g_2) + d_{H_1}(h_1, h_2) \leq d_{H \times G}(u, v) + c$ . Otherwise,  $h_1$  has color 2. Let  $h'_1$  be a neighbor of  $h_1$  on a shortest path from  $h_1$  to  $h_2$  in  $H_1$ . There is a path in  $S_1$  from  $u = [h_1, g_1]$  to  $[h'_1, g_1]$  to  $[h'_1, g_2]$  to  $[h_2, g_2] = v$  with length  $1 + d_G(g_1, g_2) + (d_{H_1}(h_1, h_2) - 1) \leq d_G(g_1, g_2) + d_H(h_1, h_2) + c = d_{H \times G}(u, v) + c$ . Thus, in either case, the delay is at most c.

We have defined matched factor domatic colorings in a way that allows us to construct good spanners using colored products.

**Theorem 4** Let H be a graph with k edge-disjoint delay c spanners  $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_k$ . If H has a matched factor l-domatic coloring with respect to  $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_k$ , then for any connected graph G,  $EDS(H \times G, 2l + c) \ge k$ .

*Proof.* Consider a matched factor l-domatic coloring of H with respect to  $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_k$  with colors  $1, 2, \ldots, k$ . For  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ , let  $S_i = H_i \times G$ . The graphs  $S_i$  are edge-disjoint spanners of  $H \times G$ .

Consider  $S_i$  for some i. Let  $u = [h_1, g_1]$  and  $v = [h_2, g_2]$  be two vertices of  $S_i$ . Let  $h'_1$  be a vertex of color i that is closest to  $h_1$  in  $H_i$ . The vertex  $h'_1$  is a hub of  $S_i$ . There is a path in  $S_i$  from  $u = [h_1, g_1]$  to  $[h'_1, g_1]$  to  $[h'_1, g_2]$  to  $[h_1, g_2]$  to  $[h_2, g_2] = v$ , with length  $d_{H_i}(h_1, h'_1) + d_G(g_1, g_2) + d_{H_i}(h'_1, h_1) + d_{H_i}(h_1, h_2) = 2d_{H_i}(h_1, h'_1) + d_G(g_1, g_2) + d_{H_i}(h_1, h_2)$ . Since we started with a matched factor l-domatic coloring,  $d_{H_i}(h'_1, h_1) \leq l$ , and the length of this path is at most  $2l + d_G(g_1, g_2) + d_H(h_1, h_2) + c = d_{H \times G}(u, v) + 2l + c$ .

In [1], with N. Alon, we established that every graph with k edge-disjoint spanners has a matched factor  $\lceil \frac{3k-1}{2} \rceil$ -domatic coloring. Combining this result with Theorem 4, we obtain:

**Corollary 1** Let H be a graph such that  $EDS(H, c) \ge k$ , and let G be any graph. Then  $EDS(H \times G, 2 \lceil \frac{3k-1}{2} \rceil + c) \ge k$ .

In the previous constructions, we built a set of good spanners in a product from a set of good spanners in a base graph. By using an all-factor domatic coloring, we may build a set of good spanners when one of the base graphs has a single good spanner.

**Theorem 5** Let H be a graph with k edge-disjoint spanners  $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_k$  such that  $H_1$  is a delay c spanner and let H have an all-factor  $r_1$ -domatic coloring with k colors with respect to  $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_k$ . Let G be a graph with an  $r_2$ -domatic coloring with k colors. Then,  $EDS(H \times G, 4r_1 + 2r_2 + c) \ge k$ .

*Proof.* We divide the edges of  $H \times G$  into k spanners  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k$  as follows: each copy of H in  $H \times G$  corresponds to a vertex of G. If this vertex has color i in the domatic coloring of G, we place the edges of  $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_k$  into spanners  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k$ , respectively, except for  $H_1$  and  $H_i$ . We place the edges of  $H_1$  in  $S_i$  and the edges of  $H_i$  in  $S_1$ . For each copy of G in  $H \times G$ , there is a corresponding vertex of H. If this vertex has color i in the all-factor coloring of H, then we place all edges of this copy of G in  $S_i$ .

Let  $u=[h_1,g_1]$  and  $v=[h_2,g_2]$  be two vertices of  $S_i$ . Let  $h'_1$  be a vertex of color i that is closest to  $h_1$  in H. Similarly, let  $h'_2$  be a vertex of color i that is closest to  $h_2$  in H. Let  $g'_2$  be a vertex of color i (in G) that is closest to  $g_2$  in G. There is a path in  $S_i$  from  $u=[h_1,g_1]$  to  $[h'_1,g_1]$  to  $[h'_1,g_2]$  to  $[h'_1,g'_2]$  to  $[h'_2,g'_2]$  to  $[h'_2,g'_2]$  to  $[h'_2,g_2]$  to  $[h'_2,g_2]$  to  $[h'_1,g_1]$  and from  $[h'_2,g_2]$  to v are each at most  $r_1$  by our all-factor  $r_1$ -domatic coloring of H. The length of the subpath from  $[h'_1,g_1]$  to  $[h'_1,g_2]$  is  $d_G(g_1,g_2)$ . The length of the subpaths from  $[h'_1,g_2]$  to  $[h'_1,g'_2]$  and from  $[h'_2,g'_2]$  to  $[h'_2,g_2]$  are each at most  $r_2$  by our  $r_2$ -domatic coloring of G. The length of the subpath from  $[h'_1,g'_2]$  to  $[h'_2,g'_2]$  is  $d_{H_i}(h'_1,h'_2) \leq d_H(h'_1,h'_2) + c \leq d_H(h_1,h_2) + 2r_1 + c$ . Thus, the total distance from u to v along P is  $d_G(g_1,g_2) + d_H(h_1,h_2) + 4r_1 + 2r_2 + c = d_{H\times G}(u,v) + 4r_1 + 2r_2 + c$ .

With N. Alon, we have shown that any graph with k edge-disjoint spanners has an all-factor  $(12k \log k)$ -domatic coloring with k colors. (This comes from an exact, rather than asymptotic, analysis of the proof of Theorem 2 in [1].) Combining this with the previous theorem, we obtain:

**Corollary 2** Let H be a graph with k edge-disjoint spanners such that  $H_1$  is a delay c spanner. Let G be a graph with an r-domatic coloring with k colors. Then  $EDS(H \times G, 2r + 48k \log k + c) \ge k$ .

In each of the preceding results, we have shown how to construct a set of k spanners in a product graph from a set of at most k spanners in a single base graph. In the following two results, we use domatic colorings and sets of spanners in each base graph to obtain larger set of spanners in the product graph.

**Theorem 6** Let  $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_{\alpha}$  be graphs. Let  $EDS(H_i, c_i) \geq k_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \alpha$ . If for  $i = 1, \ldots, \alpha$ , the domatic number  $dom(H_i) \geq k_i - \alpha + 1 > 0$ , then

$$EDS(H_1 \times H_2 \times \dots \times H_\alpha, \sum_{i=1}^\alpha c_i + 2 + \max_{i=1,\dots,\alpha} c_i) \ge \sum_{i=1}^\alpha k_i - \alpha^2 + \alpha.$$

Proof. Let  $G = H_1 \times H_2 \times \cdots \times H_{\alpha}$ . For  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \alpha$ , let  $H_{i,1}, H_{i,2}, \ldots, H_{i,k_i}$  be a set of edge-disjoint spanners of  $H_i$  each of delay  $c_i$ . We will construct  $\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} k_i - \alpha^2 + \alpha$  spanners of G. These spanners are divided into  $\alpha$  classes, one class for each  $H_i$ . Class i contains  $m_i = k_i - \alpha + 1$  spanners  $S_{i,1}, S_{i,2}, \ldots, S_{i,m_i}$ . Spanner  $S_{i,j}$  consists of all copies of  $H_{i,j}$  connected by some copies of some  $H_{i',j'}$  for every  $i' \neq i$ . The copies of each spanner  $H_{i,m_i+1}, H_{i,m_i+2}, \ldots, H_{i,k_i}$ , which are not used in class i, are used to connect all of the spanners of some other class. In particular, for any class i, let

$$R_{i} = H_{1,t_{1}+i} \times H_{2,t_{2}+i} \times \cdots \times H_{i-1,t_{i-1}+i} \times H_{i+1,t_{i+1}+i+1} \times H_{i+2,t_{i+2}+i+1} \times \cdots \times H_{\alpha,t_{\alpha}+i+1},$$

where  $t_i = k_i - \alpha$ . In each  $S_{i,j}$  some copies of  $R_i$  will be used to connect the copies of  $H_{i,j}$ . To this end, we use a domatic coloring of  $H_i$  with colors  $1, 2, \ldots, k_i - \alpha + 1$ , and let

$$S_{i,j} = H_{i,j} \overset{i}{\times} R_i.$$

As  $H_{i,j}$  spans  $H_i$  and  $R_i$  spans  $H_1 \times H_2 \times \cdots \times H_{i-1} \times H_{i+1} \times H_{i+2} \times \cdots \times H_{\alpha}$ , the graph  $S_{i,j}$  is a spanner of G.

We now show that all spanners  $S_{i,j}$  are edge-disjoint. Consider a pair of spanners  $S_{i,j}$  and  $S_{i',j'}$ . If i=i', then  $j\neq j'$ . As  $H_{i,j}$  and  $H_{i,j'}$  are edge-disjoint, and no copy of  $R_i$  is in both  $S_{i,j}$  and  $S_{i,j'}$ , (by the color product construction),  $S_{i,j}$  and  $S_{i,j'}$  are edge-disjoint. Otherwise,  $i\neq i'$ . In this case,  $H_{i,j}$  and  $H_{i',j'}$  must be edge-disjoint because  $H_i$  and  $H_{i'}$  are different spanners. Furthermore, by construction  $R_i$  and  $R_{i'}$  are also edge-disjoint. Thus,  $S_{i,j} \subseteq H_{i,j} \times R_i$  and  $S_{i',j'} \subseteq H_{i',j'} \times R_{i'}$  are edge-disjoint.

We now establish the delay of spanner  $S_{i,j}$ . Let  $u = [u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{\alpha}]$  and  $v = [v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{\alpha}]$ . Let  $u' = [u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{i-1}, x, u_{i+1}, u_{i+2}, \ldots, u_{\alpha}]$ , where x is a vertex of color j that is closest to  $u_i$  in  $H_{i,j}$ . Let  $v' = [v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{i-1}, x, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, \ldots, v_{\alpha}]$ . Let P be a shortest path in  $S_{i,j}$  from u to u' to v' to v. The length of the subpath of P from u to u' is at most  $c_i + 1$  because  $u_i$  and x are at distance 1 in  $H_i$  and  $H_{i,j}$  is a spanner of  $H_i$  with delay  $c_i$ . The length of the subpath of P from u' to

v' is at most  $\sum_{j\neq i} (d_{H_j}(u_j, v_j) + c_j)$  by Lemma 1. The length of the subpath of P from v' to v is at most  $d_{H_i}(u_i, v_i) + 1 + c_i$  because x and  $v_i$  are at distance at most  $d_{H_i}(u_i, v_i) + 1$  in  $H_i$ . Thus, the length of P is at most

$$(c_i + 1) + \sum_{j \neq i} (d_{H_j}(u_j, v_j) + c_j) + d_{H_i}(u_i, v_i) + 1 + c_i$$
  
=  $\sum_{j=1}^{\alpha} d_{H_j}(u_j, v_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha} c_j + c_i + 2$   
=  $d_G(u, v) + \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha} c_j + c_i + 2$ .

Therefore, every  $S_{i,j}$  has delay at most  $\sum_{j=1}^{\alpha} c_j + \max_{j=1,2,\dots,\alpha} c_j + 2$ .

The proof of previous theorem can be easily modified so that the following strengthening of Theorem 6 is true. Note that with m = 0, we get Theorem 6.

**Theorem 7** Let  $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_{\alpha}$  be graphs. Let  $0 \le m < \alpha$ . Let  $EDS(H_i, c_i) \ge k_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \alpha$ . If for  $i = 1, \ldots, \alpha - m$ ,  $dom(H_i) \ge k_i - \alpha + m + 1 > 0$ , and for  $i = \alpha - m + 1, \alpha - m + 2, \ldots, \alpha$ , the value of  $k_i \ge \alpha - m - 1$ , then

$$EDS(H_1 \times H_2 \times \cdots \times H_\alpha, \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} c_i + 2 + \max_{i=1,\dots,\alpha-1} c_i) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha-m} k_i - \alpha^2 + (2m+1)\alpha - m^2 - m.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 6. The difference is that we do not construct classes  $\alpha-m+1, \alpha-m+2, \ldots, \alpha$ , and there are no  $R_{\alpha-m+1}, R_{\alpha-m+2}, \ldots, R_{\alpha}$ . Thus, for  $i \leq \alpha-m$ , we need only use  $\alpha-m-1$  spanners  $H_{i,j}$  to connect spanners of classes other than i (spanner used in  $R_1, \ldots, R_{\alpha-m}$ ). This allows us to have  $k_i - \alpha + m + 1$  spanners in each class i, giving  $\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha-m} (k_i - \alpha + m + 1) = \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha-m} k_i - \alpha^2 + (2m+1)\alpha - m^2 - m$  spanners total.

Note that Theorem 7 gives more spanners than Theorem 6 if  $\sum_{i=\alpha-m+1}^{\alpha} k_i < (2m+1)\alpha - m^2 - m$ .

# 4 Hypercubes

Let  $Q_d$  denote the d-dimensional hypercube. Note that  $Q_d = Q_{d-i} \times Q_i$  for any  $1 \leq i < d$ . In this section, we prove bounds on the number of edge-disjoint spanners that can be found in hypercubes. We begin with two lemmas that show how to construct a set of spanners containing one good spanner. These lemmas will then be combined with Corollary 2 to produce the main results of this section. We use the following result from [21].

**Lemma 2** For any integer  $k \geq 1$ ,  $dom(Q_{2^k}) = 2^k$ .

**Lemma 3** For any  $k \geq 2$  and  $d \geq 4k - 2$ , there exists a set of k edge-disjoint spanners  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k$  of  $Q_d$  such that  $S_1$  has delay at most 4k - 2.

Proof. We express  $Q_d$  as the product of two graphs  $H = Q_{2k}$  and  $G = Q_{d-2k}$ . We decompose H into a set of k Hamilton cycles  $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_k$ , and arbitrarily choose a distinguished vertex z; see [2]. We construct a 1-domatic coloring of G with k colors. This is trivial for k = 2 and possible for  $k \geq 3$ . Indeed, for such k, we have  $d - 2k \geq 2k - 2 \geq 2^{\lceil \log k \rceil} \geq k$ . Hence we apply the previous lemma to a  $2^{\lceil \log k \rceil}$ -dimensional sub-hypercube of G and then extend the  $2^{\lceil \log k \rceil}$ -coloring to G by adding the remaining dimensions and repeating the coloring in each sub-hypercube. Thus, we obtain the desired 1-domatic coloring of G with K colors (we ignore some color classes if necessary). Further, we decompose G into a set of K-1 edge-disjoint spanners  $D_2, D_3, \ldots, D_k$ . This can be done, for example, by obtaining a set of K-1 edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles and dispensing the remaining edges arbitrarily.

Each copy of H in  $H \times G$  corresponds to a vertex of a particular color i in the domatic coloring of G. In each copy of H, we place the edges of  $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_k$  into spanners  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k$ , respectively, except for  $C_1$  and  $C_i$ . We place the edges of  $C_1$  in  $S_i$  and edges of  $C_i$  in  $S_1$ . In the copy of G corresponding to the distinguished vertex  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k$  we place the edges of  $S_2, S_3, \ldots, S_k$  respectively. In every other copy of  $S_1, \ldots, S_k$  we place all edges into  $S_1, \ldots, S_k$ 

Consider a spanner  $S_i$ ,  $2 \le i \le k$ , and two arbitrary vertices u and v. There is a path from u to v in  $S_i$  that starts at u, proceeds within a copy of G to a copy of z, then proceeds within a copy of H to another copy of z, and then proceeds within a copy of G to v. Thus,  $S_i$  is connected and, therefore, a spanner.

Now consider a pair of vertices u and v in  $S_1$ . If u is a copy of z, let u' be a vertex adjacent to u in  $S_1$  and otherwise let u' = u. Similarly, if v is a copy of z, let v' be a vertex adjacent to v in  $S_1$ , and otherwise, let v' = v. Let  $u' = [h_1, g_1]$ and  $v' = [h_2, g_2]$ . Let  $u'' = [h_2, g_1]$ , that is u'' is a copy of u' in the copy of Hcontaining v'. We will construct a path from u to v that commences at u, and passes through u', u'', and v' in order and then arrives at v. The subpaths from u to u' and from v' to v are straightforward. The subpath from u'' to v' requires further elucidation. Let H' be the copy of H containing u'' and v', and let z' be the copy of z in H'. Note that the distance from u'' to v' in H' is at most 2k. If the distance from u'' to v' in H' is at most 2k-2, then let u'''=u'' and v''=v'. If the distance from u''' to v' in H' is 2k-1, then consider the four edges of  $S_1$ adjacent to u'' or v' in H'. (These edges belong to some cycle  $C_i$  in H'.) Of these four edges, two of them (one from u'' and one from v') may be in the dimension of H' in which u'' and v' do not differ. Of the remaining edges, at least one has an end-vertex w other than u'', v', and z'. If w is adjacent to u'' in  $S_1$ , then let u''' = w and v'' = v'. Otherwise w is adjacent to v' and we let v'' = w and u''' = u''. If the distance from u'' to v' in H' is 2k, then consider the Hamilton cycle formed in H' by  $S_1$ . Let w be one of the two vertices at distance two from u" in this cycle such that  $w \neq z'$ . Let u''' = w and v'' = v'. In all cases above, we have defined u'''

and v'' such that  $d_{H'}(u''', v'') \leq 2k - 2$ . Further, we note that the delay between u'' and v' in  $S_1$  is at most the delay between u''' and v'' in  $S_1$ .

Now consider a shortest path from u''' to v'' in H'; since either u''' and v'' are adjacent or there are at least two such vertex-disjoint paths, there is a shortest path P that does not contain z'. We construct a path from u''' to v'' in  $S_1$  by replacing each edge of P that is not already in  $S_1$  by a path of three edges in  $S_1$ . Let e = (x, y) be an edge of P not in  $S_1$ . In H', e belongs to some cycle  $C_j$ . In the domatic coloring of G, there is a vertex of color j adjacent to the vertex corresponding to H'. Let x' and y' be the vertices corresponding to x and x' and x' is in x' and since neither x nor x' is x' both x' and x' and x' are edges in x'. We use the path x' and replace the edge x' in the path x'.

If u and v are both copies of z, then the distance in  $S_1$  from u to u' is 1, the distance in  $S_1$  from u' to u'' is  $d_{H\times G}(u,v)$ , the distance in  $S_1$  from u'' to v' is at most 6, and the distance in  $S_1$  from v' to v is 1. Thus, in this case, the delay is 8.

If exactly one of the vertices u and v, without loss of generality v, is a copy of z, then the distance in  $S_1$  from u to u' is 0, the distance in  $S_1$  from u' to u'' is  $d_G(u,v)$ , and the distance in  $S_1$  from v' to v is 1. The path from u''' to v'' in  $S_1$  has length at most  $3d_H(u''',v'')$ , which is at most  $d_H(u''',v'')+2(2k-2)$  by our choice of u''' and v''. This implies that the distance from u'' to v' in  $S_1$  is at most  $d_H(u'',v')+2(2k-2)$  by our observation that the delay between u'' and v' is at most the delay between u''' and v''. Finally, this quantity is at most  $d_H(u,v)+2(2k-2)+1$  in this case. This gives a total distance from u to v of at most  $d_{H\times G}(u,v)+2(2k-2)+2$  and a delay of at most 4k-2.

If neither u nor v are copies of z, then the distance in  $S_1$  from u to u' is 0, the distance in  $S_1$  from u' to u'' is  $d_G(u,v)$ , the distance in  $S_1$  from u'' to v' is at most  $d_H(u'',v')+2(2k-2)$  as in the previous case, and the distance in  $S_1$  from v' to v is 0. Since, in this case,  $d_H(u'',v')=d_H(u,v)$ , this gives a total distance from u to v of at most  $d_{H\times G}(u,v)+2(2k-2)$  and a delay of at most 4k-4.

For larger d, we can use a similar idea to reduce the delay on  $S_1$  even further.

**Lemma 4** For any  $k \geq 2$ ,  $m \geq 2$ , and  $d \geq 2\binom{m+k-1}{m} + 2(m+k-2)$ , there exists a set of k edge-disjoint spanners  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k$  of  $Q_d$  such that  $S_1$  has delay at most  $\max\{6, 2\lceil \frac{2k-1}{m} \rceil + 1\}$ .

Proof. We proceed as in Lemma 3 expressing  $Q_d$  as the product of  $H = Q_{2(m+k-1)}$  and  $G = Q_{d-2(m+k-1)}$ . We decompose H into a set of m+k-1 Hamilton cycles and arbitrarily choose a vertex z. We also construct a 1-domatic coloring of G with  $\binom{m+k-1}{m}$  colors. Since  $d-2(m+k-1) \geq 2\binom{m+k-1}{m} - 2$ , this is possible. As before, we decompose G into k-1 edge-disjoint spanners. We can associate each

of the  $\binom{m+k-1}{m}$  colors with a unique choice of m of the cycles in the decomposition of H.

In the copy of H corresponding to a vertex of color  $\chi$  of G, we place the edges of the cycles associated with  $\chi$  into  $S_1$ . The remaining k-1 cycles in this copy of H are each placed into one of the k-1 spanners  $S_2, S_3, \ldots, S_k$ . The edges of the copies of G are placed into the spanners as in the proof of Lemma 3.

The analysis of the delay of  $S_1$  is quite similar to the proof of Lemma 3 and we only point out the major differences. To construct a path from u'' to v' (two vertices in the same copy H' of H), we begin by taking as many edges of  $S_1$  as possible in the direction of v' and not leading to z'. When no such further step is possible, we are at vertex u''' such that  $d_{H'}(u''', v') \leq 2k - 1$ . Consider a shortest path P from u''' to v' in H' that does not contain z'. We divide P into  $\left\lceil \frac{|V(P)|-1}{m} \right\rceil$  subpaths of length at most m. Each such subpath has edges from at most m of the cycles in the decomposition of H. Thus, by the domatic coloring, there is a copy H'' of H adjacent to H' in which all of the edges of this subpath are in spanner  $S_1$ . We replace this subpath with an edge to H'', the corresponding subpath in H'', and an edge back to H', encountering 2 units of delay. Since  $length(P) \leq 2k - 1$ , there are at most  $\left\lceil \frac{2k-1}{m} \right\rceil$  subpaths giving delay at most  $2\left\lceil \frac{2k-1}{m} \right\rceil$ . We get delay at most  $2\left\lceil \frac{2k-1}{m} \right\rceil + 1$  when one of u and v is a copy of z, and delay 6 when both are.

Note that the usable limit of this lemma is when m = k giving a spanner of delay 6 in  $Q_d$ , where  $d = 2\binom{2k-1}{k} + 4k - 4$ .

As promised, we now combine the previous lemmas with Corollary 2 to give the main results of this section. We use  $G = Q_{2k-2}$  (which has a 1-domatic coloring with k colors) in Corollary 2, and  $H = Q_{d-2k+2}$  from Lemma 3 or 4 to obtain Theorem 8 and Theorem 9, respectively.

**Theorem 8** For  $k \ge 2$  and  $d \ge 6k - 4$ ,  $EDS(Q_d, 48k \log k + 4k) \ge k$ .

**Theorem 9** For  $k \ge 2$ ,  $m \ge 2$ , and  $d \ge {m+k-1 \choose m} + 2m + 4k - 4$ ,

$$EDS(Q_d, 48k \log k + 2 + \max\{6, 2 \left\lceil \frac{2k-1}{m} \right\rceil + 1\}) \ge k.$$

These theorems show that one can find k edge-disjoint spanners with delay  $O(k \log k)$  in  $Q_d$  for sufficiently large d. In particular, beyond a certain dimension, the delay depends only on the number of spanners and not the size of the cube.

**Lemma 5**  $EDS(Q_4, 4) = 2$ .

*Proof.* Let us consider the following decomposition of  $Q_4$  into two Hamilton cycles, see Figure 1. One of the Hamilton cycles is depicted in bold edges and the another




Fig. 1. Two Hamilton cycles in  $Q_4$ .

in dotted edges. It is only a time consuming exercise to check that both these Hamilton cycles are delay 4 spanners in  $Q_4$ . Since every spanner of  $Q_4$  must have at least 15 edges, and  $Q_4$  has only 32 edges,  $EDS(Q_4, 4) \leq 2$ .


#### **Theorem 10** For $d \ge 6$ , $EDS(Q_d, 18) \ge 3$ .

*Proof.* For brevity, we here outline the general method of constructing three spanners of delay 18 in  $Q_6$ . The full details of the construction are given in the Appendix. After the sketch of the proof for  $Q_6$ , we describe how to extend the construction to higher dimensions.

We view  $Q_6$  as four copies  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$ ,  $H_3$ ,  $H_4$  of  $Q_4$  interconnected by four sets of edges. We decompose each  $H_i$  into a Hamilton cycle and two matchings. Spanners  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  contain Hamilton cycles in  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively, and each contains three matchings, one each in the remaining  $H_i$ 's. Spanner  $S_3$  includes the remaining two Hamilton cycles and two matchings.

Each of the four sets of interconnecting edges is divided in half. To do this, we 2-color each  $Q_4$  in the same manner. An interconnecting edge is placed in one subset if its ends are colored 1 and placed in the other subset otherwise. The two subsets of each set of interconnecting edges will be assigned to two different spanners. In particular, we give the edges between  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  to  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , the edges between  $H_2$  and  $H_3$  to  $S_2$  and  $S_3$ , the edges between  $H_3$  and  $H_4$  to  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , and the edges between  $H_4$  and  $H_1$  to  $S_1$  and  $S_3$ , see Figure 2.

At this point,  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are connected and each contains a single cycle. The subgraph  $S_3$ , however, consists of two components, each with one cycle. To ensure that all three subgraphs are spanners, we may exchange one or more of the interconnecting edges of  $S_1$  between  $H_3$  and  $H_4$  with an equal number of edges of  $S_3$  in the Hamilton cycle of  $H_4$ . At this point, each  $S_i$  is connected and contains one cycle. In particular, each  $S_i$  contains the Hamilton cycle in  $H_i$ .



**Fig. 2.**  $Q_6$  expressed as four copies of  $Q_4$ .

If we ignore the delays introduced by the edges exchanged between  $S_1$  and  $S_3$ , we can easily obtain a rough estimate of the delay between any two vertices u and v in  $S_1$  or  $S_2$ . There is a path from u to v in  $S_i$  (i=1,2) that consists of three sections: one from u to the cycle in  $H_i$ , one around the cycle, and one from the cycle to v. To get from u to the cycle takes at most four edges, going around the cycle takes at most half the cycle length (eight edges), and to get from the cycle to v takes at most four more edges. This is a total distance of at most 16, a delay at most 14 between u and v. The actual analysis of  $S_1$  and  $S_3$  must take into account the exchanged edges. This analysis is tedious and contains no insight and is thus omitted here. By careful choice of the decomposition of each  $H_i$ , which matchings to assign to each spanner, which subsets of interconnecting edges to assign to each spanner, and which edges to exchange, we may obtain a set of spanners with maximum delay 18. This construction has been verified by computer and the details of the construction are given in the appendix.

To extend this construction to higher dimensions, we start with the three spanners  $S_1, S_2$ , and  $S_3$  in  $Q_6$  as described above. Again, we view  $Q_6$  as four copies of  $Q_4$ , and we color the vertices of  $H_1$  with color 1,  $H_2$  with color 2, and  $H_3 \cup H_4$  with color 3. To construct three spanners  $S'_1, S'_2$ , and  $S'_3$  of  $Q_d$  for d > 6, we group the lower 6 dimensions and the upper d - 6 dimensions and view  $Q_d$  as  $Q_6 \times Q_{d-6}$ . We then let each  $S'_i$  be the color-i product  $S_i \times Q_{d-6}$ . We now establish that each of the spanners  $S'_1, S'_2$ , and  $S'_3$  has delay at most 18. Consider two vertices u and v in  $S'_i$ . If u and v do not differ in any of the upper d - 6 dimensions, then the delay between them is at most 18 by the construction above.

If u and v differ in the upper dimensions, consider a shortest path P from u to v' where v' has the same lower coordinates as v and upper coordinates as u. If P includes a vertex w of color i, then we may construct a path from u to v by following P from u to w, following edges in the upper dimensions as necessary, and then following the remainder of P projected to the copy of  $Q_6$  containing v.

Since there is no delay encountered in traveling the upper dimensions, this path has delay at most 18.

If P does not include a vertex of color i, then let w be the closest vertex of color i to u. Observe that w is also the closest vertex of color i to v'. Based on the sketch above, w is within distance 6 of both u and v'. For the exact construction presented in the appendix, these distances are at most 5. The path from u to w followed by the necessary upper dimension edges to a vertex w' and then to v has delay at most 12.

**Lemma 6** For 
$$d \ge 2$$
,  $EDS(Q_d, 2^{d-1} - 2) = \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$ .

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that  $\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$  Hamilton cycles can be found in  $Q_d$ , and the fact that the delay of any spanner of any bipartite graph must be even.

4	0	4										
5	0	4										
6	0	4	18									
7	0	4	18									
8	0	4	18	126								
9	0	4	18	138								
10	0	4	18	138	510							
11	0	4	18	138	524							
12	0	4	18	56	524	2046						
13	0	4	18	56	524	2064						
14	0	4	18	56	272	2064	8190					
15	0	4	18	56	272	2064	8210					
16	0	4	18	56	272	380	8210	32766				
17	0	4	18	56	272	398	8210	32790				
18	0	4	18	56	132	398	1148	32790	131070			
19	0	4	18	56	132	398	1160	32790	131096			
20	0	4	18	56	132	310	1160	1532	131096	524286		
21	0	4	18	56	132	310	1160	1556	131096	524316		
22	0	4	18	56	132	310	672	1556	4604	524316	2097150	
23	0	4	18	56	132	310	672	1556	4618	524316	2097182	
24	0	4	18	56	132	170	672	888	4618	6140	2097182	8388606
25	0	4	18	56	132	170	672	900	4618	6170	2097182	8388642
d/k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12

**Table 1.** Upper bounds on the delay of k spanners in  $Q_d$  for small k and d.

We conclude this section with Table 1 which shows a lower bound on the delay for a set of k spanners in  $Q_d$ . These bounds were obtained by the application of various results from this paper. For each value entered in Table 1, the corresponding entry in Table 1' indicates how the value was obtained. The bottom line indicates particular set of edge-disjoint spanners used. For example, the string aQb, cQd indicates a spanners of  $Q_b$  and c spanners of  $Q_d$ .

Table 1'. Used results to get the corresponding entries in Table 1.

d/k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
4	Trivial	Lem. 5										
5	Trivial	Thm. 3										
6	Trivial	Thm. 3	Thm. 10									
7			Thm. 10									
8			Thm. 10	Lem. 6								
9			Thm. 10	Cor. 1 4Q8								
10	Trivial	Thm. 3	Thm. 10	Cor. 1 4Q8	Lem. 6							
11	Trivial	Thm. 3	Thm. 10	Cor. 1 4Q8	Cor. 1 5Q10							
12	Trivial	Thm. 3	Thm. 10	Thm. 6 3Q6, 3Q6	Cor. 1 5Q10	Lem. 6						
13	Trivial	Thm. 3	Thm. 10	Thm. 6 3Q6, 3Q7	Cor. 1 5Q10	Cor. 1 6Q12						
14	Trivial	Thm. 3	Thm. 10	Thm. 6 3Q6, 3Q8	Thm. 6 3Q6, 4Q8	Cor. 1 6Q12	Lem. 6					
15	Trivial	Thm. 3	Thm. 10	Thm. 6 3Q6, 3Q9	Thm. 6 3Q7, 4Q8	Cor. 1 6Q12	Cor. 1 7Q14					
16	Trivial	Thm. 3	Thm. 10	Thm. 6 3Q6, 3Q10	Thm. 6 3Q8, 4Q8	Thm. 6 4Q8, 4Q8	Cor. 1 7Q14	Lem. 6				
17	Trivial	Thm. 3	Thm. 10	Thm. 6 3Q6, 3Q11	Thm. 6 4Q8, 3Q9	Cor. 1 6Q16	Cor. 1 7Q14	Cor. 1 8Q16				
18	Trivial	Thm. 3	Thm. 10	Thm. 6 3Q6, 3Q12	Thm. 6 3Q6, 4Q12	Cor. 1 6Q16	Thm. 6 4Q8, 5Q10	Cor. 1 8Q16	Lem. 6			
19	Trivial	Thm. 3	Thm. 10	Thm. 6 3Q6, 3Q13	Thm. 6 3Q6, 4Q13	Cor. 1 6Q16	Thm. 6 4Q9, 5Q10	Cor. 1 8Q16	Cor. 1 9Q18			
20	Trivial	Thm. 3	Thm. 10	Thm. 6 3Q6, 3Q14	Thm. 6 3Q6, 4Q14	Thm. 6 4Q8, 4Q12	Thm. 6 4Q10, 5Q10	Thm. 6 5Q10, 5Q10	Cor. 1 9Q18	Lem. 6		
21	Trivial	Thm. 3	Thm. 10	Thm. 6 3Q6, 3Q15	Thm. 6 3Q6, 4Q15	Thm. 6 4Q8, 4Q13	Thm. 6 5Q10, 4Q11	Cor. 1 8Q20	Cor. 1 9Q18	Cor. 1 10Q20		
22	Trivial	Thm. 3	Thm. 10	Thm. 6 3Q6, 3Q16	Thm. 6 3Q6, 4Q16	Thm. 6 4Q8, 4Q14	Thm. 6 4Q8, 5Q14	Cor. 1 8Q20	Thm. 6 5Q10, 6Q12	Cor. 1 10Q20	Lem. 6	
23	Trivial	Thm. 3	Thm. 10	Thm. 6 3Q6, 3Q17	Thm. 6 3Q6, 4Q17	Thm. 6 4Q8, 4Q15	Thm. 6 4Q8, 5Q15	Cor. 1 8Q20	Thm. 6 5Q11, 6Q12	Cor. 1 10Q20	Cor. 1 11Q22	
24	Trivial	Thm. 3	Thm. 10	Thm. 6 3Q6, 3Q18	Thm. 6 3Q6, 4Q18	Thm. 6 4Q12, 4Q12	Thm. 6 4Q8, 5Q16	Thm. 6 4Q8, 6Q16	Thm. 6 5Q12, 6Q12	Thm. 6 6Q12, 6Q12	Cor. 1 11Q22	Lem. 6
25	Trivial	Thm. 3	Thm. 10	Thm. 6 3Q6, 3Q19	Thm. 6 3Q6, 4Q19	Thm. 6 4Q12, 4Q13	Thm. 6 4Q8, 5Q17	Thm. 6 4Q9, 6Q16	Thm. 6 6Q12, 5Q13	Cor. 1 10Q24	Cor. 1 11Q22	Cor. 1 12Q24

## 5 Appendix

In this appendix, we include a description of a set of three edge-disjoint spanners of  $Q_6$ . These spanners have delay 14, 14, and 18. We view the set of spanners as a 3-coloring of edges and describe the construction in Table 2 by listing the label of every vertex along with the colors of its incident edges in order of increasing dimension i. For example, vertex 000000 has edges in dimension 4 and 5 in spanner  $S_1$ , in dimensions 1 and 3 in spanner  $S_2$ , and in dimension 2 and 6 in spanner  $S_3$ . The delay of each of these spanners has been verified by computer.

000000	$2\ 3\ 2\ 1\ 1\ 3$	010000	$3\ 2\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 2$	100000	$3\ 3\ 2\ 1\ 2\ 3$	$110000  1\ 3\ 2\ 2\ 2\ 2$
000001	$2\ 2\ 3\ 1\ 3\ 1$	010001	$3\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 3\ 1$	100001	$3\ 2\ 3\ 1\ 3\ 1$	$110001  1\ 2\ 3\ 2\ 3\ 1$
000010	$3\ 3\ 2\ 1\ 3\ 1$	010010	$3\ 2\ 1\ 1\ 3\ 1$	100010	$3\ 3\ 2\ 1\ 3\ 1$	$110010  1\ 3\ 2\ 2\ 3\ 1$
000011	$3\ 2\ 1\ 3\ 1\ 2$	010011	$3\ 1\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 2$	100011	$3\ 2\ 1\ 3\ 2\ 2$	$110011  1 \ 2 \ 2 \ 3 \ 2 \ 2$
000100	$3\ 3\ 2\ 1\ 3\ 1$	010100	$3\ 2\ 1\ 1\ 3\ 1$	100100	$3\ 3\ 2\ 1\ 3\ 1$	$110100  1\ 3\ 2\ 2\ 3\ 1$
000101	$3\ 2\ 3\ 1\ 1\ 2$	010101	$3\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 1\ 2$	100101	$3\ 2\ 3\ 1\ 2\ 2$	$110101  1\ 2\ 3\ 2\ 2\ 2$
000110	$2\ 3\ 2\ 1\ 1\ 3$	010110	$3\ 2\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 2$	100110	$3\ 3\ 2\ 1\ 2\ 3$	$110110  1\ 3\ 2\ 2\ 2\ 2$
000111	$2\ 2\ 1\ 3\ 3\ 1$	010111	$3\ 1\ 1\ 2\ 3\ 1$	100111	$3\ 2\ 1\ 3\ 3\ 1$	$110111  1 \ 2 \ 2 \ 3 \ 3 \ 1$
001000	$3\ 2\ 3\ 1\ 3\ 1$	011000	$3\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 3\ 1$	101000	$3\ 2\ 3\ 1\ 3\ 1$	$111000  1\ 2\ 3\ 2\ 3\ 1$
001001	$3\ 2\ 3\ 1\ 1\ 2$	011001	$3\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 1\ 2$	101001	$3\ 2\ 3\ 1\ 2\ 2$	$111001  1\ 2\ 3\ 2\ 2\ 2$
001010	$2\ 2\ 3\ 1\ 1\ 3$	011010	$3\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 1\ 2$	101010	$3\ 2\ 3\ 1\ 2\ 3$	$111010  1\ 2\ 3\ 2\ 2\ 2$
001011	$2\ 2\ 1\ 3\ 3\ 1$	011011	$3\ 1\ 1\ 2\ 3\ 1$	101011	$3\ 2\ 1\ 3\ 3\ 1$	$111011  1 \ 2 \ 2 \ 3 \ 3 \ 1$
001100	$2\ 2\ 3\ 1\ 1\ 3$	011100	$1\ 3\ 2\ 1\ 1\ 2$	101100	$2\ 3\ 3\ 1\ 2\ 3$	$1111100  2\ 1\ 3\ 2\ 2\ 2$
001101	$2\ 3\ 3\ 1\ 3\ 1$	011101	$1\ 3\ 2\ 1\ 3\ 1$	101101	$2\ 3\ 3\ 1\ 3\ 1$	$111101  2\ 1\ 3\ 2\ 3\ 1$
001110	$2\ 2\ 3\ 1\ 3\ 1$	011110	$1\ 3\ 2\ 1\ 3\ 1$	101110	$2\ 3\ 3\ 1\ 3\ 1$	$1111110  2\ 1\ 3\ 2\ 3\ 1$
001111	$2\ 3\ 1\ 3\ 1\ 2$	011111	$1\ 3\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 2$	101111	$2\ 3\ 1\ 3\ 2\ 2$	$1111111  2 \ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 2 \ 2$

**Table 2.** Representation of three spanners in  $Q_6$ .

### References

- Alon, N., G. Fertin, A. L. Liestman, T. C. Shermer, and L. Stacho, Factor d-domatic colorings of graphs, Discrete Mathematics 262, 2003, pp. 17-25.
- Alspach, B., J. C. Bermond, D. Sotteau, Decompositions into cycles I: Hamilton decompositions, In G. Hahn et al. (editors) Cycles and rays, Kluwer Academic, 1990, pp. 9-18.
- 3. Althöfer, I., G. Das, D. Dobkin, D. Joseph, and J. Soares, On sparse spanners of weighted graphs, Discr. & Comp. Geometry, 9, 1993, pp. 81–100.
- 4. Awerbuch, B., A. Baratz, and D. Peleg, Efficient Broadcast and Light-Weight Spanners, Technical Report CS92-22, the Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel, 1992.
- 5. Awerbuch, B. and D. Peleg, Sparse partitions, 31st IEEE Symp. on Foundations of Computer Science, 503-513, October 1990.
- Chandra, B., G. Das, G. Narasimhan, and J. Soares, New sparseness results on graph spanners, Proc. 8th ACM Symp. on Computational Geometry, 1992.
- Heydemann, M.-C., J. Peters, and D. Sotteau, Spanners of Hypercube-derived Networks, SIAM J. Discr. Math. 9, 1996, pp. 37-54.
- 8. Kortsarz, G. and D. Peleg, Generating Sparse 2-Spanners, J. Algorithms, 17, 1994, pp. 222-236.
- Kortsarz G. and D. Peleg, Generating Low-Degree 2-Spanners, SIAM J. on Computing, 27, 1998, pp. 1438–1456.
- Laforest, C., A. L. Liestman, T. C. Shermer, and D. Sotteau, Edge-disjoint spanners of complete bipartite graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 234, 2001, pp. 65-76.
- 11. Laforest, C., A. L. Liestman, D. Peleg, T. C. Shermer, and D. Sotteau, Edge Disjoint Spanners of Complete Graphs and Complete Digraphs, *Discr. Math.* **234**, 2001, pp. 65–76.
- 12. Liestman, A. L. and T. C. Shermer, Additive Graph Spanners, Networks 23, 1993, pp. 343-364.
- Liestman, A. L. and T. C. Shermer, Additive Spanners for Hypercubes, Par. Proc. Lett. 1, 1991, pp. 35-42.
- Liestman, A. L. and T. C. Shermer, Degree-Constrained Network Spanners With Non-constant Delay, SIAM J. Discr. Math. 8, 1995, pp. 291–321.
- 15. Liestman, A. L. and T. C. Shermer, Grid Spanners, Networks 23, 1993, pp. 123-133.
- Liestman, A. L., T. C. Shermer, and C. R. Stolte, Degree-Constrained Spanners for Multidimensional Grids, Discr. Appl. Math. 68, 1996, pp. 119–144.
- Mansour, Y. and D. Peleg, An Approximation Algorithm for Minimum-Cost Network Design, Technical Report CS94-22, the Weizmann Institute of Science, 1994.
- 18. Peleg, D. and A.A. Schäffer, Graph Spanners, J. Graph Theory 13, 1989, pp. 99-116.
- 19. Peleg, D. and J. D. Ullman, An Optimal Synchronizer for the Hypercube, SIAM J. Computing 18, 1989, pp. 740-747.
- 20. Richards, D. and A. L. Liestman, Degree-Constrained Pyramid Spanners, J. Par. Distr. Comp. 25, 1995, pp. 1–6.
- 21. Zelinka, B., Nektere ciselne invarianty grafu (Some numerical invariants of graphs), Ph.D. Dissertation, Prague, 1988.