Introduction to Phonetics and Phonology

Phonemic Analysis (continued)

1. FORMALIZING PHONOLOGICAL RULES

PHONOLOGICAL RULES: Formalized general statements about the distribution of non-contrastive properties of segments; they provide the phonetic information necessary for the pronunciation of utterances.

INPUT: Phonemic (dictionary) representation of words in a sentence.

OUTPUT: Phonetic representation of words in a sentence.

INPUT: UNPREDICTABLE

OUTPUT: PREDICTABLE

Unpredictable segments: *BASE FORMS* or *UNDERLYING FORMS* or *PHONEMIC REPRESENTATIONS* .

Predictable segments: DERIVED FORMS, or SURFACE FORMS or PHONETIC REPRESENTATIONS

Phonological rules derive phonetic representations (PR) from underlying representations (UR).

FOCUS (input) + CONTEXT (environment): Structural Description (SD)

output: Structural Change (SC)

 $A \rightarrow B / x - y$

Read: A becomes B between \underline{x} and \underline{y}

A (input), x — y (environment), B (output)

Expressing environment: Read 2.8.1 (p. 28)

2. PHONEMES IN OTHER LANGUAGES: PATTERN AND SYMMETRY

In 40% of an extensive survey of languages (Maddieson, 1984) the following -- "maximally ordinary" phonemic system occurs: p. 31

Examples of different vowel phonemic systems:

(a) Basic three-vo	wel pattern:
--------------------	--------------

i u

a

Inuit, Arabic, etc.

Why these three vowels??

(b) Five-vowel systems:

i u e o

Spanish, Maori, Czech, etc.

(c) Seven-vowel system:

 $\begin{array}{cccc} i & & u \\ e & & o \\ \epsilon & & \mathfrak{I} \end{array}$

Italian, Kikuyu (Kenya), etc.

(d) The utilization of vowel length in the system:

i i: u u:

a a:

Several aboriginal languages in Australia have this system.

Some languages may have an asymetrical system:

i u e a

Cocopa (Arizona), etc.

SYMMETRY IN THE PATTERNING OF ALLOPHONES:

e.g., English /b/ /d/ /g/ may be devoiced; /t/ /d/ /n/ may have dental articulation; etc.

Certain processes in sound change seem to favour symmetry:

e.g., Anglo-Saxon voiced fricatives were *not* separate phonemes, but *allophones* of the voiceless fricatives. Emergence of separate voiced fricatives:

/θ/ /f/ /s/ /δ/ /v/ /z/

knife, but knives half halves south southern house houses By the time the voiced fricatives had achieved phonemic status in English, another voiceless fricative had also arisen:

/ʃ/

(Anglo-Saxon *scip*, *sciell* → Modern English *ship*, *shell*)
This fricative did not have a partner; occurrences of the voiced partner were supplied by (a) French loans (*beige*, *rouge*, etc.) or (b) assimilation (*measure*, *treasure*, etc.).

- Phonological symmetry is only a *tendency*;
- Role of *perceptual distance* plays an important part;
- Symmetry: optimizing the use of phonetic parameters.

3. **DERIVATIONS**

Objective: apply phonological rules in order to arrive at the *surface* representation.

A derivation consists of a series of lines, the first being the *underlying* representation.

UR	/pænda/
Aspiration Rule	p ^h ænda
Nasalization Rule	$p^h\tilde{\mathbf{e}}nda$
Vowel Reduction Rule	p ^h æ̃ndə
PR	[pʰæ̃ndə]

Study additional examples on p. 30.

