

ABSTRACT UNDERLYING REPRESENTATIONS

There may be cases when the alternants must be derived from an underlying form which coincides with *none* of the phonetic representation forms.

Yawelmani (a dialect of the Yokuts language, California)

Vowel Harmony Rule:

$$\begin{matrix} V & & V \\ [+ \text{ high}] & \square & [+ \text{ round}] / \left[\begin{matrix} + \text{ high} \\ + \text{ round} \end{matrix} \right] \end{matrix} \text{ Co} + \text{ Co} \text{ ______}$$

What about #9 and #10?

Compare: go:bit
 ʔo:ʔut

There are two kinds of long o:

- (1) Those which behave like u and cause rounding harmony,
- (2) Those that behave like o and do not cause rounding harmony.

In Yawelmani only

$\left. \begin{matrix} e: \\ a: \\ o: \end{matrix} \right\}$ occur as long vowels

Long u: is lacking!

Suffix harmony suggests that those occurrences of o: which behave like high rounded vowels are actually derived from underlying /u:/

Consequently, the underlying form for the stem ‘steal’ must be /÷u:t÷/, and we need a rule that lowers long high vowels:

$$\begin{matrix} V \\ \left[\begin{matrix} + \text{ high} \\ + \text{ back} \\ + \text{ long} \end{matrix} \right] \end{matrix} \square [- \text{ high}]$$

The environment is not specified, because all PRs of the /u:/ will undergo this rule.

Note: an underlying short /u/ is never lowered, e.g. *mut* ‘swear’

UR	/go:b + it/	/mut + it/	/ʔu:tʔ + it/
Vowel Harmony Rule	-	mut + ut	ʔu:tʔ + ut
Long High Vowel Lowering Rule	-	-	ʔo:tʔ + ut
PR	[go:bit]	[mutut]	[ʔo:tʔut]

Abstract underlying representations have an *explanatory* function. What on the surface may appear to be an irregularity, has an explanation at the abstract level.