## 17 Isomorphism

## Cayley tables

Definition. If a group $\mathcal{G}$ has elements $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{m}$ then we can make a multiplication table for it. The multiplication table will be $m \times m$ and will have $G_{i} G_{j}$ in position $(i, j)$. We call this multiplication table a Cayley table. (Note that if we order the elements differently, we get a different Cayley table)

Example: For the group $C_{5}=\left\{R_{a} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}_{5}\right\}=\left\{I, R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}, R_{4}\right\}$, the Cayley table is easy to compute, since it is given by the rule $R_{a} R_{b}=R_{a+b}$. So, the Cayley table for $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ is

| $\cdot$ | $I$ | $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ | $R_{3}$ | $R_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I$ | $I$ | $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ | $R_{3}$ | $R_{4}$ |
| $R_{1}$ | $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ | $R_{3}$ | $R_{4}$ | $I$ |
| $R_{2}$ | $R_{2}$ | $R_{3}$ | $R_{4}$ | $I$ | $R_{1}$ |
| $R_{3}$ | $R_{3}$ | $R_{4}$ | $I$ | $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ |
| $R_{4}$ | $R_{4}$ | $I$ | $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ | $R_{3}$ |

Example: For the group $D_{3}=\left\{I, R_{1}, R_{2}, M_{0}, M_{1}, M_{2}\right\}$ the Cayley table is

| $\cdot$ | $I$ | $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ | $M_{0}$ | $M_{1}$ | $M_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I$ | $I$ | $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ | $M_{0}$ | $M_{1}$ | $M_{2}$ |
| $R_{1}$ | $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ | $I$ | $M_{1}$ | $M_{2}$ | $M_{0}$ |
| $R_{2}$ | $R_{2}$ | $I$ | $R_{1}$ | $M_{2}$ | $M_{0}$ | $M_{1}$ |
| $M_{0}$ | $M_{0}$ | $M_{2}$ | $M_{1}$ | $I$ | $R_{2}$ | $R_{1}$ |
| $M_{1}$ | $M_{1}$ | $M_{0}$ | $M_{2}$ | $R_{1}$ | $I$ | $R_{2}$ |
| $M_{2}$ | $M_{2}$ | $M_{1}$ | $M_{0}$ | $R_{2}$ | $R_{1}$ | $I$ |

As a reminder of how these entries are computed, to determine $M_{1} R_{2}$ we compute

$$
M_{1} R_{2}(x)=M_{1}\left(R_{2}(x)\right)=M_{1}(x+2)=-(x+2)+1=-x-1=-x+2=M_{2}(x)
$$

It follows that $M_{1} R_{2}=M_{2}$.
Note: Cayley tables are useful since they give us a total picture of how the product operation works. However in practice they can be cumbersome to determine since they have $m^{2}$ entries when the group has size $m$.

## Isomorphism

It is common in mathematics to find two objects with the same structure but different names. For instance, consider the two graphs $G$ and $H$ shown in the figure below.


The graphs $G$ and $H$ are not equal since they have different vertex sets. However, we could change from $G$ to $H$ by simply changing names. More precisely, if the vertices of $G$ are ordered $(1,2,3,4,5)$ and those of $H$ are ordered $(A, B, C, D, E)$, then we could change from $G$ to $H$ by changing the name of the $i^{t h}$ vertex of $G$ to the $i^{\text {th }}$ vertex of $H$. In this case we say that the graphs $G$ and $H$ are isomorphic. More generally in mathematics, two objects are called isomorphic if they have the same structure (but possibly different names).

Definition. If $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are groups, we say that $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are isomorphic if the elements of $\mathcal{F}$ can be ordered $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{m}$ and the elements of $\mathcal{G}$ can be ordered $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{m}$ so that starting from a Cayley table for $\mathcal{F}$ we can move to a Cayley table for $\mathcal{G}$ by replacing each $F_{i}$ by $G_{i}$.

Example: The group $S_{3}$ has Cayley table

| $\cdot$ | $I$ | $(123)$ | $(132)$ | $(12)$ | $(13)$ | $(23)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I$ | $I$ | $(123)$ | $(132)$ | $(12)$ | $(13)$ | $(23)$ |
| $(123)$ | $(123)$ | $(132)$ | $I$ | $(13)$ | $(23)$ | $(12)$ |
| $(132)$ | $(132)$ | $I$ | $(123)$ | $(23)$ | $(12)$ | $(13)$ |
| $(12)$ | $(12)$ | $(23)$ | $(13)$ | $I$ | $(132)$ | $(123)$ |
| $(13)$ | $(13)$ | $(12)$ | $(23)$ | $(123)$ | $I$ | $(132)$ |
| $(23)$ | $(23)$ | $(13)$ | $(12)$ | $(132)$ | $(123)$ | $I$ |

We can change this Cayley table for $S_{3}$ into the Cayley table for $D_{3}$ shown before by changing each element of $S_{3}$ in the list ( $\left.I,(123),(132),(12),(13),(23)\right)$ into the corresponding element of $D_{3}$ from the list $\left(I, R_{1}, R_{2}, M_{0}, M_{1}, M_{2}\right)$. Thus $S_{3}$ is isomorphic to $D_{3}$.

## Symmetry

Definition. If $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we define $R_{\mathbf{x}, t}$ to be the transformation of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ given by rotating by an angle of $t$ around the point $\mathbf{x}$. We call any such transformation a rotation.

Example: Consider the starfish shape in the figure below


The symmetries of this shape are precisely the identity $I$ and the rotations $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{2 \pi}{5}}, R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{4 \pi}{5}}$, $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{6 \pi}{5}}$, and $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{8 \pi}{5}}$ (we will discuss why later). This set of symmetries is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Trans}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ with Cayley table

| $\cdot$ | $I$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{2 \pi}{5}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{4 \pi}{5}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{6 \pi}{5}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{8 \pi}{5}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I$ | $I$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{2 \pi}{5}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{4 \pi}{5}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{6 \pi}{5}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{8 \pi}{5}}$ |
| $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{2 \pi}{5}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{2 \pi}{5}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{4 \pi}{5}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{6 \pi}{5}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{8 \pi}{5}}$ | $I$ |
| $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{4 \pi}{5}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{4 \pi}{5}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{6 \pi}{5}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{8 \pi}{5}}$ | $I$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{2 \pi}{5}}$ |
| $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{6 \pi}{5}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{6 \pi}{5}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{8 \pi}{5}}$ | $I$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{2 \pi}{5}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{4 \pi}{5}}$ |
| $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{8 \pi}{5}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{8 \pi}{5}}$ | $I$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{2 \pi}{5}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{4 \pi}{5}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{6 \pi}{5}}$ |

The group of symmetries of this shape is isomorphic to $C_{5}$. To see why, note that replacing $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{2 \pi j}{5}}$ with $R_{j}$ brings us from this Cayley table to that of $C_{5}$ from earlier in this section.

Note: Here we see the value of isomorphism. If we were to move our starfish figure to be centered at a different point $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$ in the plane we would have another shape with a different set of symmetries, but the group of symmetries would still be isomorphic to $C_{5}$. More generally, all of the following shapes have symmetry group isomorphic to $C_{5}$.


Definition. If $L$ is a line in the plane, then we define $M_{L}$ to be the transformation of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ given my performing a mirror reflection about the line $L$.

Example: Consider the triangle centred at $\mathbf{x}$ in the figure below.


The symmetries of this triangle are precisely the identity $I$, the rotations $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{2 \pi}{3}}, R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{4 \pi}{3}}$, and the mirror symmetries $M_{L_{0}}, M_{L_{1}}$, and $M_{L_{2}}$. This set of symmetries is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Trans}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ with Cayley table

| $\cdot$ | $I$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{2 \pi}{3}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{4 \pi}{3}}$ | $M_{L_{0}}$ | $M_{L_{1}}$ | $M_{L_{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I$ | $I$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{2 \pi}{3}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{4 \pi}{3}}$ | $M_{L_{0}}$ | $M_{L_{1}}$ | $M_{L_{2}}$ |
| $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{2 \pi}{3}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{2 \pi}{3}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{4 \pi}{3}}$ | $I$ | $M_{L_{1}}$ | $M_{L_{2}}$ | $M_{L_{0}}$ |
| $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{4 \pi}{3}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{4 \pi}{3}}$ | $I$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{2 \pi}{3}}$ | $M_{L_{2}}$ | $M_{L_{0}}$ | $M_{L_{1}}$ |
| $M_{L_{0}}$ | $M_{L_{0}}$ | $M_{L_{2}}$ | $M_{L_{1}}$ | $I$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{4 \pi}{3}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{2 \pi}{3}}$ |
| $M_{L_{1}}$ | $M_{L_{1}}$ | $M_{L_{0}}$ | $M_{L_{2}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{2 \pi}{3}}$ | $I$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{4 \pi}{3}}$ |
| $M_{L_{2}}$ | $M_{L_{2}}$ | $M_{L_{1}}$ | $M_{L_{0}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{4 \pi}{3}}$ | $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{2 \pi}{3}}$ | $I$ |

The group of symmetries of this shape is isomorphic to $D_{3}$. To see why, note that replacing $R_{\mathbf{x}, \frac{2 \pi j}{3}}$ with $R_{j}$ and replacing each $M_{L_{i}}$ with $M_{i}$ brings us from the above Cayley table to the earlier one for $D_{3}$.

Note: Again here we see the value of isomorphism. We could place a regular pentagon in a variety of different positions in the plane and these shapes would all have symmetry groups that are different, but isomorphic. More generally, all of the following shapes, no matter how they are positioned in the plane will have symmetry group isomorphic to $D_{5}$.


