

# Integral Cayley graphs over Abelian groups

Here we give a character-theoretic proof of a theorem of Bridges and Mena which characterizes which Cayley graphs over abelian groups are integral (i.e. have all eigenvalues integers). Throughout we shall assume that  $G$  is a multiplicative abelian group. The collection of subsets of  $G$  naturally forms a boolean algebra (using  $\cap$ ,  $\cup$ , and complement) and we let  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  denote the boolean subalgebra generated by the subgroups of  $G$ .

**Theorem 1** (Bridges, Mena). *Cayley( $G, S$ ) is integral if and only if  $S \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ .*

## “only if”

Let  $G^*$  denote the dual group of  $G$ , consisting of all complex characters (i.e. homomorphisms from  $G$  to (the multiplicative group of)  $\mathbb{C}$ ). It is well known that  $G^*$  is a group under pointwise multiplication, and that  $G^* \cong G$ . We define  $F$  to be the matrix indexed by  $G^* \times G$  and given by the rule that for  $\alpha \in G^*$  and  $x \in G$  we have  $F_{\alpha, x} = \alpha(x)$ . Note that each row of  $F$  is a character. Furthermore, it follows from the fact that the characters form an orthonormal basis that  $FF^\top = I$ , so  $F^\top = F^{-1}$ . Finally, observe that if  $n$  is the exponent of  $G$ , then every element of  $F$  is an  $n^{\text{th}}$  root of unity.

We define a relation  $\sim$  on  $G$  by the rule that if  $x, y \in G$ , then  $x \sim y$  if  $x$  and  $y$  generate the same subgroup of  $G$ . It follows immediately that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation. In the remainder, for any vector  $v \in \mathbb{C}^I$  and any  $n \in \mathbb{R}$ , we let  $v^n$  denote the vector in  $\mathbb{C}^I$  given by  $(v^n)_i = (v_i)^n$ .

**Observation 2.** *Let  $x, y \in G$  and let  $F_x, F_y$  denote the column vectors of  $F$  indexed by  $x$  and  $y$  respectively. If  $x \sim y$  then there exist integers  $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that  $(F_x)^j = F_y$  and  $(F_y)^k = F_x$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $x \sim y$ , we may choose  $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that  $x^j = y$  and  $y^k = x$ . Now, for any character  $\alpha \in G^*$  we have  $\alpha(y) = \alpha(x^j) = (\alpha(x))^j$  and it follows that  $F_y = (F_x)^j$ . A similar argument shows that  $F_x = (F_y)^k$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $v \in \mathbb{Q}^G$  and  $u \in \mathbb{Q}^{G^*}$  and assume that  $u = Fv$ . If  $x, y \in G$  satisfy  $x \sim y$  then  $v_x = v_y$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F_x$  and  $F_y$  denote the column vectors of  $F$  indexed by  $x$  and  $y$  and let  $\ell$  ( $m$ ) be the smallest integer so that every term of  $F_x$  ( $F_y$ ) is a  $\ell^{\text{th}}$  ( $m^{\text{th}}$ ) root of unity. It follows from the previous observation that  $\ell = m$ . Now, fix a primitive  $\ell^{\text{th}}$  root of unity  $\omega$  and express each entry of  $F_x$  and  $F_y$  in the form  $\omega^k$  for some  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, \ell - 1\}$ . Using this interpretation, and observing that  $u$  is rational, we obtain an expression for the dot product of  $F_x$  and  $u$  as

$$F_x \cdot u = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} a_i \omega^i$$

where each  $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Now, let  $P(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$  denote the polynomial  $P(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} a_i z^i - v_x$ . Next, choose  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \ell - 1\}$  so that  $F_y = (F_x)^j$  and note that by our assumptions,  $j$  and  $\ell$  are relatively prime. The polynomial  $P$  has rational coefficients and has  $\omega$  as a root. It follows from this and the fact that the polynomial

$$\Phi_\ell(z) = \prod_{i \in \{1.. \ell\}: \gcd(i, \ell) = 1} (z - \omega^i)$$

is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , that  $\omega^j$  is also a root of  $P$ . But then we have

$$0 = P(\omega^j) = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} a_i \omega^{ij} - v_x = F_y \cdot u - v_x$$

which implies that  $v_y = F_y \cdot u = v_x$  as desired.  $\square$

It is well known that for every  $S \subseteq G$  the Cayley graph  $\text{Cayley}(G, S)$  has each character  $\alpha \in G^*$  as an eigenvector with eigenvalue  $\alpha(S) = \sum_{g \in S} \alpha(g)$ . Alternately, if we view  $\alpha$  as a vector and let  $\mathbf{1}_S \in \mathbb{C}^G$  denote the characteristic vector of  $S$ , this eigenvalue may be written as  $\alpha \cdot \mathbf{1}_S$ . Before proving the “only if” direction, we need one easy observation concerning  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  which we leave without proof.

**Observation 4.**

- *The atoms of  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  are precisely the equivalence classes of  $\sim$ .*
- *$S \in \mathcal{B}(G)$  if and only if  $S$  is a union of equivalence classes of  $\sim$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 1 “only if”.* Since  $\text{Cayley}(G, S)$  is integral we must have that  $\alpha \cdot \mathbf{1}_S \in \mathbb{Q}$  for every  $\alpha \in G^*$ . Equivalently,  $F\mathbf{1}_S$  is rational valued. But then, it follows from the previous lemma that whenever  $x, y \in G$  satisfy  $x \sim y$  we have  $(\mathbf{1}_S)_x = (\mathbf{1}_S)_y$ . This implies that  $S \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ , as desired.  $\square$

“if”

If  $X$  is a graph on the vertex set  $V$  and  $B$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{C}^V$  then we say that  $X$  is  $B$ -integral if  $B$  is a set of eigenvectors for  $X$  and all eigenvalues of  $X$  are integral. For any family of graphs  $\mathcal{G}$  on a common vertex set, we let  $U(\mathcal{G})$  be the closure of  $\mathcal{G}$  under the operation  $\cup$ . We begin with an easy lemma.

**Lemma 5.**

- If  $G$  is  $B$ -integral and  $\mathbf{1} \in B$ , then  $\overline{G}$  is  $B$ -integral.
- If  $G$  and  $G \cap H$  are  $B$ -integral, then  $G \cap \overline{H}$  is  $B$ -integral.
- If  $\mathcal{G}$  is an intersection-closed family of  $B$ -integral graphs, then  $U(\mathcal{G})$  is  $B$ -integral.

For any set of graphs  $\mathcal{G}$  on a common vertex set  $V$ , we let  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G})$  denote the set of all graphs on  $V$  which may be expressed using members of  $\mathcal{G}$  and the operations  $\cap$ ,  $\cup$ , and complement.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be an intersection closed family of  $B$ -integral graphs and assume that  $\mathbf{1} \in B$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G})$  is  $B$ -integral.*

*Proof.* Set  $\mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{G}$  and for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we recursively define

$$\mathcal{G}_{k+1} = \{X_1 \cap X_2 \cap \dots \cap X_n : \text{either } X_i \in \mathcal{G}_k \text{ or } \overline{X_i} \in \mathcal{G}_k \text{ for every } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

It is immediate that each  $\mathcal{G}_k$  is intersection closed and it follows from De Morgan’s law that  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}) = \cup_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_k$ . To complete the proof, we shall show that every graph in  $\mathcal{G}_k$  is  $B$ -integral by induction on  $k$ . As a base, we observe that this holds for  $k = 0$  by assumption. For the inductive step, let  $X$  be a graph in  $\mathcal{G}_{k+1}$  and suppose that  $X = X_1 \cap X_2 \dots \cap X_\ell \cap \overline{Y_1} \cap \overline{Y_2} \dots \cap \overline{Y_m}$  where  $X_1 \dots X_\ell, Y_1 \dots Y_m \in \mathcal{G}_k$ . Then we have

$$X = \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^{\ell} X_i \right) \cap \overline{\left( \bigcup_{j=1}^m Y_j \right)}$$

and it now follows from the lemma that  $X$  is  $B$ -integral, as desired.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1 “if”.* Let  $\mathcal{G} = \{\text{Cayley}(G, H) : H \leq G\}$ . For every  $X \in \mathcal{G}$  we have that  $X$  has  $G^*$  as a basis of eigenvectors, and  $X$  is a disjoint union of cliques, so  $X$  is  $G^*$ -integral. It now follows from the theorem that  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}) = \{\text{Cayley}(G, S) : S \in \mathcal{B}(G)\}$  is  $G^*$ -integral, and this completes the proof.  $\square$