

Figure 4.4 Selected Foreign Exchange Rates

CROSS RATES									
	Canadian dollar	U.S. dollar	British pound	German mark	Japanese yen	Swiss franc	French franc	Dutch guilder	Italian lira
Canada dollar	---	1.3797	2.1289	0.8735	0.013610	1.0362	0.2550	0.7777	0.000875
U.S. dollar	0.7248	—	1.5430	0.6331	0.009864	0.7510	0.1848	0.5637	0.000634
British pound	0.4697	0.6481	—	0.4103	0.006393	0.4867	0.1198	0.3653	0.000411
German mark	1.1448	1.5795	2.4372	—	0.015581	1.1863	0.2919	0.8903	0.001002
Japanese yen	73.48	101.37	156.42	64.18	—	76.14	18.74	57.14	0.064291
Swiss franc	0.9651	1.3315	2.0545	0.8430	0.013135	—	0.2461	0.7505	0.000844
French franc	3.9216	5.4106	8.3486	3.4255	0.053373	4.0635	—	3.0498	0.003431
Dutch guilder	1.2858	1.7741	2.7374	1.1232	0.017500	1.3324	0.3279	—	0.001125
Italian lira	1142.86	1576.80	2433.03	998.29	15.554286	1184.23	291.43	888.80	—

Mid-market rates in Toronto at noon, Aug. 8, 1994. Prepared by the Bank of Montreal Treasury Group.

		\$1 U.S. in Cdn.\$ =	\$1 Cdn. in U.S.\$ =	Country	Currency	Cdn. \$ per unit	U.S. \$ per unit
U.S./Canada spot		1.3797	0.7248	Fiji	Dollar	0.9548	0.6920
1 month forward		1.3808	0.7242	Finland	Markka	0.2662	0.1929
2 months forward		1.3818	0.7237	France	Franc	0.2550	0.1848
3 months forward		1.3827	0.7232	Greece	Drachma	0.00578	0.00419
6 months forward		1.3862	0.7214	Hong Kong	Dollar	0.1786	0.1294
12 months forward		1.3973	0.7157	Hungary	Forint	0.01258	0.00912
3 years forward		1.4457	0.6917	Iceland	Krona	0.01972	0.01429
5 years forward		1.4917	0.6704	India	Rupee	0.04397	0.03187
7 years forward		1.5622	0.6401	Indonesia	Rupiah	0.000636	0.000461
10 years forward		1.6547	0.6043	Ireland	Punt	2.1068	1.5270
Canadian dollar	High	1.3083	0.7644	Israel	N Shekel	0.4531	0.3284
in 1994:	Low	1.3990	0.7148	Italy	Lira	0.000875	0.000634
	Average	1.3712	0.7293	Jamaica	Dollar	0.04415	0.03200
				Jordan	Dinar	1.9852	1.4388
				Lebanon	Pound	0.000824	0.000597
				Luxembourg	Franc	0.04245	0.03077
				Malaysia	Ringgit	0.5346	0.3874
				Mexico	N Peso	0.4074	0.2953
				Netherlands	Guilder	0.7777	0.5637
				New Zealand	Dollar	0.8340	0.6045
				Norway	Krone	0.1999	0.1449
				Pakistan	Rupee	0.04519	0.03275
				Philippines	Peso	0.05276	0.03824
				Poland	Zloty	0.0000603	0.0000437
				Portugal	Escudo	0.00859	0.00623
				Romania	Leu	0.0008	0.0006
				Russia	Ruble	0.000661	0.000479
				Saudi Arabia	Riyal	0.3679	0.2667
				Singapore	Dollar	0.9164	0.6642
				Slovakia	Koruna	0.0437	0.0317
				South Africa	Rand	0.3821	0.2770
				South Korea	Won	0.001719	0.001246
				Spain	Peseta	0.01062	0.00770
				Sudan	Dinar	0.0445	0.0322
				Sweden	Krona	0.1787	0.1295
				Switzerland	Franc	1.0362	0.7510
				Taiwan	Dollar	0.0524	0.0380
				Thailand	Baht	0.0553	0.0401
				Trinidad, Tobago	Dollar	0.2475	0.1794
				Turkey	Lira	0.0000441	0.0000320
				Venezuela	Bollivar	0.00812	0.00589
				Zambia	Kwacha	0.002090	0.001515
				European Currency Unit		1.5701	1.2105
				Special Drawing Right		1.9950	1.4460

The U.S. dollar closed at \$1.3772 in terms of Canadian funds, down \$0.0095 from Friday. The pound sterling closed at \$2.1201, down \$0.0182.

In New York, the Canadian dollar closed up \$0.0050 at \$0.7261 in terms of U.S. funds. The pound sterling was down \$0.0026 to \$1.5394.

Source:  
and Mail, Monday, August 8, 1994.

Globe

### Solution to Yamada's Stylized Arbitrages

a) Yamada can make an arbitrage profit by doing a **long (DM)** covered interest arbitrage. The arbitrage is short because it involves borrowing in US and investing in DM. This arbitrage involves the following sequence of transactions which will all be executed at 9:10 am Singapore time:

Borrow \$5,000,000 for three months. In three months time, the amount owing on this borrowing will be:  $(\$5 \text{ mil})(1 + (.09/4)) = \$5,112,500$

Exchange the \$5 mil. at the spot exchange rate to get  $(\$5 \text{ mil})(1.82) = 9.1 \text{ mil DM}$ .

Invest the 9.1 mil. DM for three months. In three months time, the investment will mature to a value:  $(9.1 \text{ mil})(1 + (.05/4)) = 9,213,750 \text{ DM}$

Sell the maturing value of the DM investment for US dollars using a three month forward exchange contract. At the quoted forward exchange rate of 1.8, the DM investment will produce  $(9,213,750/1.8) = \$5,118,750$

In three months time, the DM investment will mature and the proceeds delivered on the forward exchange contract. The proceeds of the forward contract will be used to settle the maturing three month loan producing an arbitrage profit of  $\$5,118,750 - \$5,112,500 = \$6250$ .

b) If the US interest rate is 10%, instead of 9%, then the cost of the US\$ borrowing would be  $(\$5 \text{ mil})(1 + (.1/4)) = \$5,125,000$ . Because this exceeds the covered return which could be received on the DM investment, the short arbitrage would not be profitable. However, in the absence of transactions costs, it would now be possible to do the **long** arbitrage, which would involve borrowing in DM and investing in the US. In this case the profit would be  $\$5,125,000 - \$5,118,750 = \$6250$ .

c) The presence of a \$7000 transaction cost would prevent either the long or the short arbitrage from being executed. This illustrates the point that covered interest arbitrage only provides upper and lower boundaries on the available combinations of interest rates and exchange rates that are consistent with absence of arbitrage at a specific point in time.

NOTE: In actual practice, the presence of transaction costs dictates that the spot and forward transactions will combined into one transaction, a foreign exchange swap.

The basics of the arbitrage trading strategy can be illustrated by considering a stylized cash-and-carry arbitrage trade between US dollars and a foreign currency for 1 year securities. If the covered foreign interest rate *exceeds* the rate on a comparable US security, the trade described in Figure 4.5 can be executed at  $t=0$ . Assuming perfect capital markets, this trade will generate an arbitrage profit by assumption because the amount received on the covered foreign investment will be more than the cost of the US dollar borrowing.

Figure 4.5: Short Covered Interest Arbitrage Trade

At  $t=0$ 

US asset	Exchange Market	Foreign (Canadian) asset
Borrow $\$Q$ for 1 year at $r(0,1)$	Buy $\$Q/S(0)$ Canadian dollars, spot	Invest $\$Q/S(0)$ for 1 year at $r^*(0,1)$
	Sell $(\$Q/S(0))(1+r^*(0,1))$ Canadian dollars forward at $F(0,1)$	

At  $t=1$  Use the funds from the maturing foreign asset to settle the forward exchange position by paying the foreign currency and receiving US dollars. Use these dollars to settle the US dollar loan.

where:  $F(0,1)$  = the 1 year forward exchange rate in US direct terms;  $S(0)$  = the spot exchange rate in US direct terms;  $r(0,1)$  = the domestic (US) interest rate on a 1 year zero coupon security (quoted on a 365 day basis);  $r^*(0,1)$  = the foreign (Canadian) one year interest rate (quoted on a 365 day basis).

To see how the series of transactions in Figure 4.5 translates into an arbitrage profit function, consider that the fully covered value of the foreign asset at maturity is  $F(0,1)\{\$Q/S(0)\}(1+r^*)$  while the amount to be repaid at maturity of the loan is  $\$Q(1+r)$ . This produces the arbitrage profit function associated with the **short arbitrage**:

$$\pi_s(0) = F(0,1)\{\$Q/S(0)\}(1+r^*) - \$Q(1+r) \leq 0$$

The  $\leq 0$  condition is required for absence of arbitrage.

To this point, much of the discussion of arbitrage transactions has assumed perfect markets. This assumption permits the profit functions for both the short and long arbitrages to be combined to produce an equality relationship involving forward and spot prices. When markets are not assumed to be perfect, as is the case in actual markets, then the short and long arbitrage conditions provide upper and lower boundaries on the futures or forward price. To see how this occurs, relax the assumption that lending and borrowing rates are equal by letting  $y$  and  $y^*$  denote the interest rates applicable to the **long covered interest arbitrage trade** done using covered Canadian borrowing to finance a US asset position. A sequence of transactions similar to those in Figure 4.5 produces the arbitrage profit function:

$$\pi_L(0) = \$Q(1+y) - F(0,1)\{\$Q/S(0)\}(1+y^*) \leq 0$$

In this case  $y = y(0,1)$  is a lending rate and  $y^* = y^*(0,1)$  is a borrowing rate, while for the short arbitrage  $r$  is a borrowing rate and  $r^*$  is a lending rate.

Manipulating the long arbitrage condition gives:

$$F(0,1) \geq \{(1+y)/(1+y^*)\} S(0)$$