

### 3. Poisson Processes

## 3. Poisson Processes (9/10/04, cf. Ross)

Exponential Distribution

Poisson Processes

Poisson and Exponential Relationship

Generalizations

## Exponential Distribution

Definition: The continuous RV  $X$  has the *exponential distribution* with parameter  $\lambda$  if its p.d.f. is  $f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$ ,  $x \geq 0$ .

Facts:  $F(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$ ,  $x \geq 0$ .

$\mathbb{E}[X] = 1/\lambda$ ,  $\text{Var}(X) = 1/\lambda^2$ ,

$M_X(t) = \lambda/(\lambda - t)$ ,  $t < \lambda$ .

Theorem: The exponential distribution has the *memoryless property*. Namely, for  $s, t > 0$ ,

$$\Pr(X > s + t | X > t) = \Pr(X > s).$$

Example: If  $X \sim \text{Exp}(1/10)$ , then

$$\Pr(X > 10 | X > 5) = \Pr(X > 5) = e^{-5/10} = 0.607.$$

Remark: The exponential is the only continuous distribution with this property.

### 3. Poisson Processes

Definition: For a continuous distribution, the *hazard function* (or *failure rate*) is

$$r(t) \equiv \frac{f(t)}{1 - F(t)}.$$

The hazard function is the conditional p.d.f. that  $X$  will fail at time  $t$  (given that  $X$  made it to  $t$ ).

Why this interpretation?

$$\begin{aligned}
 r(t) dt &= \frac{f(t) dt}{1 - F(t)} \\
 &\approx \frac{\Pr(X \in (t, t + dt))}{\Pr(X > t)} \\
 &= \frac{\Pr(X \in (t, t + dt) \text{ and } X > t)}{\Pr(X > t)} \\
 &= \Pr(X \in (t, t + dt) | X > t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Example:  $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$  implies that  $r(t) = \lambda$ . This makes sense in light of the memoryless property. In fact, the  $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$  is the only RV with constant  $r(t)$ .

### 3. Poisson Processes

Remark:  $r(t)$  (uniquely) determines  $F(t)$ .

“Proof:”

$$r(t) = \frac{f(t)}{1 - F(t)} = -\frac{d}{dt} \ln(1 - F(t)),$$

so that

$$F(t) = 1 - \exp\left(-\int_0^t r(s) ds\right). \quad \diamond$$

### 3. Poisson Processes

Theorem:  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Exp}(\lambda)$  implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Erlang}_n(\lambda) \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \lambda).$$

Many proofs — m.g.f.'s, induction, you name it. ◇

## Poisson Processes

Definition: Consider discrete (non-fractional) events occurring in continuous intervals (time, length, volume, etc.). The *counting process*  $N(t) \equiv$  the number of events occurring in  $[0, t]$ . Let the *rate*  $\lambda > 0$  be the average number of occurrences per unit time (or length or volume).

### 3. Poisson Processes

**Examples:** 1. Cars entering a shopping center (time);  
 $\lambda = 5/\text{min.}$

2. Defects on a wire (length);  $\lambda = 3/\text{ft.}$
3. Raisins in cookie dough (volume);  $\lambda = 2.6/\text{in}^3.$

### 3. Poisson Processes

Definition: A counting process  $N(t)$  satisfying the following three assumptions is called a *Poisson process* with rate  $\lambda$  (PP( $\lambda$ )).

- (A-1) Arrivals occur one-at-a-time,
- (A-2) Independent increments, and
- (A-3) Stationary increments.

Details follow. . .

**(A-1)** For any interval of sufficiently small length  $h$ ,

(a) The prob of one arrival in that interval is

$$\Pr(N(t+h) - N(t) = 1) = \lambda h + o(h) \doteq \lambda h.$$

(b) The prob of no arrivals is

$$\Pr(N(t+h) - N(t) = 0) = 1 - \lambda h + o(h) \doteq 1 - \lambda h.$$

(c) The prob of more than one arrival is

$$\Pr(N(t+h) - N(t) \geq 2) = o(h) \doteq 0,$$

where  $o(h)$  is a function that  $\rightarrow 0$  faster than  $h \rightarrow 0$ .

**(A-2) Independent Increments:** The numbers of arrivals in two *disjoint* intervals are *independent*. I.e., if  $a < b < c < d$ , then  $N(d) - N(c)$  and  $N(b) - N(a)$  are independent.

**(A-3) Stationary Increments:** The number of arrivals in a time interval depends only on its length. Thus,  $N(t + s) - N(t) \sim N(s) - N(0)$  for all  $t$ .

**(A-4) (bonus assumption):**  $N(0) = 0$ .

### 3. Poisson Processes

Example: People arriving to a restaurant is not a PP. Arrivals occur in groups (violating A-1), and arrival rates change throughout the day (violating A-3).  $\diamond$

Theorem: If  $N(t)$  is a  $\text{PP}(\lambda)$ , then  $N(t) \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda t)$ . In particular,  $N(1) \sim \text{Pois}(1)$ . Further,  $E[N(t)] = \text{Var}(N(t)) = \lambda t$ .

Proof: See any reasonable probability text.  $\diamond$

### 3. Poisson Processes

Remark: Be careful with units of time. For example, suppose that  $X \sim \text{Pois}(3)$  is the number of phone calls in a one-minute period.

Then the number of calls in a 3-minute period is  $\text{Pois}(9)$  and the number in a 30-sec. period is  $\text{Pois}(1.5)$ .

## Poisson and Exponential Relationship

Definition: Let  $A_1$  denote the time until the first arrival of a  $\text{PP}(\lambda)$ .

For  $i \geq 2$ , let  $A_i$  denote the time between the  $(i-1)$ st and  $i$ th arrivals.

The  $A_i$ 's are called *interarrival* times.

We can get the distributions of the  $A_i$ 's. . .

### 3. Poisson Processes

First of all, consider  $A_1$ .

$A_1 > t$  iff no arrivals take place in  $[0, t]$ . Thus, for  $t > 0$ ,

$$\Pr(A_1 > t) = \Pr(N(t) = 0) = \frac{e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^0}{0!} = e^{-\lambda t},$$

and so  $A_1 \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ .

Now  $A_2$ . For  $0 < s < t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(A_2 > t | A_1 = s) &= \Pr(\text{no arrivals in } (s, s + t] | A_1 = s) \\ &= \Pr(\text{no arrivals in } (s, s + t]) \\ &\quad (\text{by independent increments}) \\ &= \Pr(\text{no arrivals in } (s, s + t]) \\ &\quad (\text{by stationary increments}) \\ &= e^{-\lambda t} \quad (\text{by previous arguments}). \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Poisson Processes

Thus,  $\Pr(A_2 > t | A_1 = s) = e^{-\lambda t}$  for all  $s$ .

So  $\Pr(A_2 > t) = e^{-\lambda t}$ , i.e.,  $A_2 \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ , independent of the value of  $A_1$ .

This can be generalized...

Theorem:  $A_1, A_2, \dots \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ .

Definition: The  $n$ th *arrival time* is  $S_n \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n A_i$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

Theorem:  $S_n \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \lambda) \sim \text{Erlang}_n(\lambda)$ . Thus,  $E[S_n] = n/\lambda$  and  $\text{Var}(S_n) = n/\lambda^2$ .

Example: A UGA student can read  $X \sim \text{Pois}(1)$  pages of *Dick and Jane* a day. (a) The expected time until the 10th page is completed is  $E[S_{10}] = n/\lambda = 10$  days. (b) The prob that it takes  $> 2$  days to read the 11th page is  $\Pr(A_{11} > 2) = e^{-2} \doteq 0.135$ .  $\diamond$

Theorem: Consider a  $\text{PP}(\lambda)$ ,  $N(t)$ . Suppose arrivals are either Type I or II (e.g., male or female), with  $\Pr(\text{Type I}) = p$ ,  $\Pr(\text{Type II}) = 1 - p$ . Let  $N_1(t)$  and  $N_2(t)$  denote the numbers of Type I and II events during  $[0, t]$ . Note that  $N(t) = N_1(t) + N_2(t)$ .

Then  $N_1(t)$  and  $N_2(t)$  are *independent* PP's with resp. rates  $\lambda p$  and  $\lambda(1 - p)$ .

Proof: Long and tedious. See, e.g., Ross.  $\diamond$

Example: Suppose cars entering a parking lot follow a  $\text{PP}(5/\text{min})$ . Further, suppose the prob that a driver is female is 0.6. Find the prob that exactly 3 cars driven by females will enter the lot in the next 2 minutes.

The # of cars entering in two min.  $\sim \text{Pois}(\lambda t = 10)$ .

The # driven by females is  $\text{Pois}(\lambda t p = 6)$ .

So the desired prob is

$$\Pr(\text{Pois}(6) = 3) = \frac{e^{-6} 6^3}{3!} = 0.0892. \quad \diamond$$

## Conditional Distribution of Arrival Times

Theorem:  $\Pr(A_1 < s | N(t) = 1) = \frac{s}{t}.$

In other words, suppose we *know* that one arrival has occurred by time  $t$ , i.e.,  $N(t) = 1$ . What's the (conditional) distribution of the time of that arrival? Answer:  $\text{Unif}(0, t)!$

Proof: We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Pr(A_1 < s | N(t) = 1) \\
 &= \frac{\Pr(A_1 < s \text{ and } N(t) = 1)}{\Pr(N(t) = 1)} \\
 &= \frac{\Pr(1 \text{ arrival in } [0, s]; \text{ none in } (s, t])}{\Pr(N(t) = 1)} \\
 &= \frac{\Pr(1 \text{ arrival in } [0, s]) \Pr(\text{none in } (s, t])}{\Pr(N(t) = 1)} \\
 &\quad (\text{by independent increments}) \\
 &= \frac{\Pr(N(s) = 1) \Pr(N(t) - N(s) = 0)}{\Pr(N(t) = 1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof (cont'd): Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pr(A_1 < s | N(t) = 1) \\ &= \frac{\Pr(N(s) = 1) \Pr(N(t-s) = 0)}{\Pr(N(t) = 1)} \\ & \quad (\text{by stationary increments}) \\ &= \frac{e^{-\lambda s} (\lambda s)^1}{1!} \frac{e^{-\lambda(t-s)} (\lambda(t-s))^0}{0!} \Big/ \frac{e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^1}{1!} \\ &= s/t. \quad \diamond \end{aligned}$$

Can generalize above result...

Theorem: Given that  $N(t) = n$ , the joint probability distribution of the  $n$  arrival times  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$  is the same as the joint distribution of  $n$  i.i.d.  $\text{Unif}(0, t)$  RV's.

Thus, if we *know* that  $n$  arrivals have occurred by time  $t$ , the arrivals can be treated as if they were i.i.d.  $\text{Unif}(0, t)$ .

Bonus Theorem: Consider a  $\text{PP}(\lambda)$ ,  $N(t)$ . Suppose there are  $k$  possible types of arrivals. Further suppose that the prob that an arrival is of Type  $i$  depends on the *time* that it occurs — if it occurs at time  $t$ , then the probability that it's a Type  $i$  is  $P_i(t)$ , where  $\sum_{i=1}^k P_i(t) = 1$ . If  $N_i(t)$  denotes the number of Type  $i$  arrivals by time  $t$ , then the  $N_i(t)$ 's,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , are *independent* Poisson RV's with means

$$\mathbb{E}[N_i(t)] = \lambda \int_0^t P_i(s) ds.$$

Proof: Ross.

## Generalizations

Definition: The counting process  $N(t)$  is a *nonhomogeneous PP* with *intensity function*  $\lambda(t)$  if it satisfies:

(A-1') Arrivals occur one-at-a-time. In particular,

$$\Pr(N(t+h) - N(t) = 1) = \lambda(t)h + o(h) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\Pr(N(t+h) - N(t) = 0) = 1 - \lambda(t)h + o(h)$$

(A-2) Independent increments.

(A-4)  $N(0) = 0$ .

Note: We don't require stationary increments.

### 3. Poisson Processes

Fact:  $N(t + s) - N(t) \sim \text{Pois}\left(\int_t^{t+s} \lambda(x) dx\right)$ .

Remark: Arrivals may be more (or less) likely to occur as time progresses in a NHPP, since there is no stationarity requirement.

Example: Distribution of cars arriving to a lot as the day progresses is a NHPP. From 8:00–11:00 a.m., cars arrive at a steadily increasing rate: 5 cars/hr at 8:00 a.m. to 20 cars/hr at 11:00 a.m. I.e.,  $\lambda(t) = 5 + 5t$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 3$  hrs.

Number of arrivals between 8:30–9:30 a.m. is

$$N(1.5) - N(0.5) \sim \text{Pois} \left( \int_{0.5}^{1.5} (5 + 5x) dx \right) \sim \text{Pois}(10).$$



### 3. Poisson Processes

Definition: A stochastic process  $X(t)$  is a *compound PP* if it can be written as

$$X(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} Y_i, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where  $N(t)$  is a  $\text{PP}(\lambda)$  and the  $Y_i$ 's are i.i.d. and independent of  $N(t)$ .

Facts:  $\mathbb{E}[X(t)] = \mathbb{E}[N(t)]\mathbb{E}[Y_1] = \lambda t \mathbb{E}[Y_1]$  and  $\text{Var}(X(t)) = \lambda t \mathbb{E}[Y_1^2]$ .

### 3. Poisson Processes

Example: If the  $Y_i$ 's all equal 1, then  $X(t) = N(t)$ , the usual PP.

Example: Customers leave a market according to a PP. Let  $Y_i$  be the amount spent by customer  $i$ . If  $X(t)$  is the total amount spent by time  $t$ , then  $X(t)$  is a compound PP.

Example: A FB player makes  $\text{Pois}(\lambda = 2)$  scores/game.

$$\Pr(\text{score} = x) = \begin{cases} 1/6 & \text{if } x = 1 \\ 1/3 & \text{if } x = 3 \\ 1/2 & \text{if } x = 6 \end{cases}$$

Let  $Y_i$  be the value of the  $i$ th score.  $E[Y_i] = \frac{25}{6}$ ,  $E[Y_i^2] = \frac{127}{6}$ . Let  $X(t)$  be the total points scored in  $t$  games.

$$E[X(5)] = \lambda t E[Y_1] = 125/3,$$

$$\text{Var}(X(t)) = \lambda t E[Y_1^2] = 635/3. \quad \diamond$$